EUROPE

IN

THE MIDDLE AGE

BY

OLIVER J. THATCHER, Ph.D.

AND

FÈRDINAND SCHWILL, PH.D.

With Maps and Charts

JOHN MURRAY

Copyright, 1896, by Charles Scribner's Sons for the United States of America

Printed by Sherman & Co. Philadelphia, U S A.

PREFACE

THE authors have attempted to prepare in the present work serviceable text-book of the History of the Middle Age for the use of the freshman and sophomore classes in the American college. This end has subjected them to certain conditions and limitations: it has restricted the scope and compressed the contents of the book; it has controlled the topical arrangement and determined the method of presentation. It is fair to request that in forming an opinion about the book this fact should be kept in mind.

There is a large number of books on the Middle Age in existence, and, apparently, it is somewhat superfluous to add another to the list. But a closer inspection will show that these histories generally address themselves to one of two classes, to students of the High School or to specialists; that they are by virtue either of their summary treatment or their minute expansiveness unfit for use in college classes; and, further, that the small remainder which might be regarded as eligible for the college public is almost uniformly cumbersome in arrangement and antiquated in matter. However, the last few years have brought to light a number of really excellent books, which, in their way, deserve all praise and are not without an appeal to the undergraduate world. We refer especially to the works of Professor Adams and Professor Emerton. But it cannot be denied that the valuable history of the former is rather of the nature of an essay for advanced and educated

readers, while the "Mediæval Europe" of the latter, though an excellent presentation of the period which it covers, only partially deserves its title. The present book can, in its own class, therefore, even put forth some claim to novelty

It may avoid misunderstandings to add a word about the compass of the book The term Middle Age is necessarily vague. There was no reason, therefore, why it should not be employed in its traditional sense as including roughly the period between Other considerations enforced this view. 350 and 1500 A.D The authors have been engaged for some years in the instruction of General European History at the University of Chicago. The study of European History has been laid down by the authorities as required work, and two courses are regularly devoted to it every quarter. It has been found after repeated trial that both the requirements of the system and the aims of teaching are best served by a division of the work, after the accepted manner, into Mediæval and Modern History present book had its origin in the needs of the class-room work organized in accordance with the above requirements. satisfy those needs more fully the authors hope to publish soon another volume, similar in character to this, upon the Modern Period.

For various reasons it has been thought unnecessary to add an extensive bibliography We have taken it for granted that the teachers who may use the book are acquainted with the best literature on the period and will be able to direct the reading of their classes. The students for whom we are writing would be confused rather than helped by long lists of books, unless each book were accompanied by a discriminating estimate of its character and value. Bibliographies are easily attainable in such works as Adams's "Manual of Historical Literature" (1888) and Heath's "Methods of Teaching History" (1889). The book of Professor Emerton as well as that of Lavisse and Rambaud also give excellent lists of authorities.

The following works on the History of the Middle Age may be mentioned here for the benefit of those who have no access to the above-mentioned authors:

Emerton An Introduction to the Study of the Middle Ages, 1891.

Emerton Mediæval Europe, 1894.

Adams: Civilization During the Middle Ages, Especially in Relation to Modern Civilization, 1894.

Bryce The Holy Roman Empire

Hallam A View of the State of Europe during the Middle Ages. Somewhat antiquated

Assmann Allgemeine Geschichte des Mittelalters Second edition, by
Meyer, now appearing An excellent handbook, contains many references to the original sources and brief quotations from them.

Leo. Lehrbuch der Geschichte des Mittelalters, 2 vols

Lavisse et Rambaud: Histoire générale du IV^o siècle à nos jours. To be complete in 10 vols. The first four volumes deal with the Middle Age. In many respects the best history of the Middle Age that has yet appeared

Rehm Handbuch der Geschichte des Mittelalters, 4 vols 1821-39.

Gibbon · The Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire. Still unequalled in narrative impressiveness.

Pflugk-Hartung Geschichte des Mittelalters, 3 vols. 1886-91.

Schroeck Geschichte der Christlichen Kirche, 45 vols. The principal feature of this work is that it contains in paraphrase nearly all of the most important documents touching the history of the Church, the Papacy, and the Empire Valuable to those who have not the sources at hand.

Gueseler: The History of the Christian Church, 5 vols. Especially valuable because of its many foot-notes, which consist very largely of quotations from the original sources. It is a library of sources in itself.

Symonds Renaissance in Italy, 6 vols. Unnecessarily drawn out, but well written, and full of sound information on the period.

We gladly acknowledge our indebtedness to Dr. Thompson, Assistant at the University of Chicago, for the liberal manner in which he has given us help and advice, especially in the preparation of the maps and charts. Mr. Fertig, Fellow of the Department of History, has kindly prepared the Index.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

						-				P.	LGE
PREFACE	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	V
INTRODUCTIO	N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	I
	•	•	СНА	\PTE	ER I.						
EUROPE, ITS	PEOP	LES,	AND	THE	CHR	.isti <i>a</i>	AN C	HURC	CH	•	6
			CHA	PTE	R II						
THE MIGRATI	ONS (OF T	HE I	VATIO	SAC	•	•	•	•	•	25
			СНА	PTE	R II	I.					
THE HISTORY	OF T	HE I	New	Chri	STIA	n Ge	RMAN	I STA	TES	•	50
			СНА	PTE	R IV	7.					
THE REACTIO	N OF	тнь	Е Ем	PIRE	AGAI	nst	THE	GER	MANS		72
			CH	АРТІ	er v	r.					
THE FRANKS	(481–	814)		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠.	84

CHAPTER VI.
THE DISMEMBERMENT OF THE EMPIRE
CHAPTER VII.
POLITICAL HISTORY OF FRANCE (887-1108) 150
CHAPTER VIII.
GERMANY AND ITS RELATION TO ITALY (887-1056) 164
CHAPTER IX.
ENGLAND AND THE NORSEMEN (802-1070) 194
CHAPTER X.
THE NORMANS IN ITALY
CHAPTER XI.
FEUDALISM
CHAPTER XII.
THE GROWTH OF THE PAPACY
CHAPTER XIII.
THE STRUGGLE BETWEEN THE PAPACY AND THE EM-
PIRE (1056–1254)
CHAPTER XIV.
Monasticism

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER XV.		
MOHAMMED, MOHAMMEDANISM, AND THE CRUSS DE	5 7	r.¥336
CHAPTER XVI.		
THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CITIES, MORE ESPECIAL IN FRANCE		
CHAPTER XVII,		
ITALY TO THE INVASION OF CHARLES VIII., 1494	•	. 446
CHAPTER XVIII.		
FRANCE (1108-1494). ENGLAND (1070-1485) .	•	. 484
° CHAPTER XIX.		
THE LESSER COUNTRIES OF EUROPE TO 1500 .	•	• 544
CHAPTER XX.		
GERMANY (1254-1493)	•	• 552
CHAPTER XXI.		
THE PAPACY (1250-1450)	•	. 576
CHAPTER XXII.		
THE CIVILIZATION OF THE MIDDLE AGE	•	. 583
CHAPTER XXIII.		
THE ITALIA RENAISSANCE		. 616

CHRONOL	OGICAL TABLES.	•		
				PAG
I.	Emperors and Popes		•	659
II.	The Merovingian Kings to Dagobert I.		•	66
III.	The Dukes of Austrasia (Ancestors	of Karl	the	
	Great)			664
IV.	The Carolingian House (the Karlings)			66
v.	Later Carolingians and First Capetia	ns (Ro	bert-	
	ines), Showing their Connection and	Rivalry		666
VI.	Kings of England to the Norman Conq	uest .		667
VII.	Saxon, Franconian, and Hohenstauf	en Hot	ises,	
	the Welfs			668
VIII.	Kings of France and Collateral Branche	es .		669
IX.	Kings of England from the Norman	Conque	st to	•
	Henry VII		•	670
X.	The Hapsburg-Burgundian and Hapsb	urg-Spa	nısh	
	Marriages			671
		•		
INDEX .				673

LIST OF MAPS

											PAGE
Euro	OPE, 350 A.	D., S	HOW	NG	THE	Rom	AN .	Емрі	RE A	AND	
	Barbaria	NS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
TŒ	GERMANIC	KII	NGDO	MS	Est.	ABLIS	HED	ON	Ron	1AN	
•	Soir .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	72
King	DOM OF	TĤE	MEI	ROVI	NGIA:	ns,	Зноч	VING	Тн	EIR	
	Conques	rs	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	96
Тне	EMPIRE .	or K	ARL	TH	E G	REAT	, Ѕн	owi	1G :	THE	
	Division	OF 84	13	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	146
Тне	Empire in	THE	ТІМ	E O	F OT	го ті	ie G	REAT			180
Engl	AND, 878		•	•			•				196
Тне	CRUSADES					•	•	•	•	•	344
FRAN	ICE, 1185			•	•	•				•	487
FRAN	CE, 1360	•		•		•		•	•		532
Euro	PE ABOUT	1500				_	_	•	_		E7.4

EUROPE IN THE MIDDLE AGE

INTRODUCTION

THE whole course of history is very conveniently divided into three periods—the Ancient, the Mediæval, and the Modern. Generally, fixed dates have been assigned for the The continuity of History. beginning and end of each of these They have then been further divided and subdivided, and each division has received a particular name While this has been more or less convenient and justifiable, the divisions have often been treated so mechanically as to make a totally wrong impression, especially on the minds of students who are just beginning the study of history But if there is anything that is firmly held by all good historians to-day, it is the continuity of history. There are no real breaks in its course Every age is a preparation for, and an introduction to, the next One period grows into another so gradually and naturally that the people who lived in the time of transition were often utterly unconscious of the fact that a new period was beginning V Certain events may well be said to be epoch-making, but in spite of that their They slowly modify the existing full effect is not felt at once order of things, and the old is gradually displaced by the new. The world is never actually revolutionized in a day

It is not wholly wrong to separate history into such periods, for different interests prevail at different times, and, therefore, one period may have a very different character from that of another. But in making all such divisions two things should be carefully guarded against. fixed boundaries should not be assigned to them, and

they should not be treated as if their predominant interest were their only interest. No one interest can absorb the whole life of a period. For several centuries the life of Europe has been too complex to admit of its being adequately treated from only one point of view.

The terms "Mediæval" and "Middle Age" have been used simply because of their convenience That which brought about the great change in Europe was the invasions of the Barbarians, and these began on a grand scale in the fourth century. The end of the period is not perhaps so easily determined, but the period from 1450 to 1550 is marked by such period. movements as the great religious revolution which involved all western Europe and was productive of many changes, the growth of absolutism in Europe, the changes in the practical government of many of the countries, the birth of political science, the multiplication of international relations, and the extension of industry and commerce, so that we may safely say that the Middle Age should end somewhere about At any rate, a convenient place may there be found where one may stop and mark the failing of old, and the appearance of new, tendencies and characteristics

A comparison of the map of Europe in the fourth century of our era with that of the same country in the sixteenth century Europe 350 AD, will give the best idea of the changes that took compared with place there during the Middle Age Such a Europe 1500 A.D. comparison would suggest that all these changes could be grouped under four heads, namely, the changes in the political system, in language, in religion, and in civilization.

The first map would show but two grand political disions, the Roman Empire and the Barbarians. On the second, the Barbarians have almost disappeared, and the Empire, while it has a nominal existence, is not at the three and there are many separate and independent states and different na-

tions One asks instinctively, What has become of the Empire?

Where are the Barbarians? How did these new states arise? What is the origin of these new nationalities?

The linguistic changes suggested by the maps are quite as striking. Latin and Greek were the only languages in existence in Europe in the earlier time. The rude dialects of the Barbarians were not regarded as languages, and were unfit for literary purposes. In the sixteenth century Greek was spoken in a limited territory, and Latin had become the language of the educated only, although still spoken, it was practically a dead language. The barbarian tongues have been developed into literary languages. Each nation has its own speech, and there are at least the beginnings of those rich literatures which treasure up the world's best thought.

Religiously, the changes are sweeping At the beginning of the fourth century Europe was still prevailingly heathen Christianity was widely spread, but its adherents were largely in the minority But in the sixteenth century heathenism was nominally, at least, almost destroyed in Europe we have Christianity in two great types, the Roman Catholic and the Greek, while a third new type, to be known as Protestantism, was about to be produced Besides Christianity we find a part of Europe under the domination of Mohammedan-15m. How were the Barbarians of Europe Christianized? How were the different types of Christianity produced? What separated the Greek from the Latin Church? What was the origin of Mohammedanism? What are its tenets and character? How did it spread, and what has been its history? What influence has it had on Europe? And what have been the relations between Christianity and Mohammedanism?

The changes in civilization are also radical Territorially there has been great progress. Civilization has passed far beyond the Rhine and the Danube, and there are already indications that its centre is soon to be changed from the south to the north. Italy, Spain, and southern France were still leading in the sixteenth century; but England, France, and Germany.

were showing the characteristics which would eventually enable them to assume the leadership in art, science, literature, manufactures, and in nearly all that goes to make up the highest and best civilization. They are to furnish the ideas that shall rule the world. What did the rest of Europe receive from Greece and Rome? How was this inheritance transmitted? How has it been increased and modified? How were the Barbarians influenced by the art, literature, architecture, law, customs, modes of thought, and life of the Greeks and Romans? What new ideas and fresh impulses have been given by the various barbarian peoples that have successively been brought in as factors in the progress and development of Europe?

The Middle Age is the birth-period of the modern states of We shall study the successive periods of decay and Europe revival in the Empire; its ineffectual efforts to General mention carry on the work of Rome in making all the of important topics. world homogeneous, to destroy the sense of difference in race, to make all Europe one people, and its bitter struggle with its new rival, the Papacy, which Empire. ended practically in the destruction of both Papacy. We shall follow the Barbarians in their migrations and invasions, and watch them as they form new states Nations and and slowly learn of Rome the elements of civilstates. ization. We shall see these different barbarian peoples come to national self-consciousness, exhibiting all the signs of a proud sense of nationality, gradually but stubbornly resisting interference of both Emperor and Pope in their national affairs, and, finally, throwing off all allegiance to both, becoming fully in dependent and acknowledging their responsibility to no power outside of themselves. Along with this national differentiation goes the development of the barbarian dialects into vigorous languages, each characteristic of the people to which it belongs

We shall study the spread of Christianity, its ideals and institutions, Monasticism and the Papacy. The monks of the

west played a most important part in Christianizing and civilizing the peoples of Europe, and the Bishops of Rome came to look upon themselves as the successors, not only of Peter, but also of the Cæsars, they claimed all power, both spiritual and temporal The Church is, therefore, a prominent factor in the history of the Middle Age

Mohammedanism was for some time a formidable opponent of Christianity even in Europe. It set for itself the task of conquering the world. It made many determined efforts to establish itself firmly in Europe.

Mohammedanism. The Eastern Question was an old one, even in the Middle Age, and the invasions of the Mohammedans into Europe and the counter-invasions of the Christians (the Crusades) are all so many episodes in its history.

By invading and settling in the Empire the Barbarians came under the schooling of the Romans. They destroyed much, but they also learned much. The elements of Progress in Græco-Roman civilization were preserved, its civilization art, laws, and ideas were slowly modified and adopted by the invading peoples. We shall see how this rich legacy was preserved and gradually made the property of all the peoples of Europe. We shall study the progress which these peoples have made in civilization.

These are some of the problems with which the history of the Middle Age is concerned, they will be treated in their appropriate places. We shall first take a kind of inventory of the factors in these problems. The factors are Europe (the land itself in its physical and climatic features), its peoples, and the Christian Church.

CHAPTER I

EUROPE, ITS PEOPLES, AND THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH

1. EUROPE

THE general contour of Europe has greatly influenced its history. It is, therefore, necessary to study its mountain systems, its plains, its coast and river systems, and its climate

On the east, and coinciding in general with the boundary be-

tween Asia and Europe, are the Ural Mountains. They, with the Caucasus Range between the Black and Caspian Seas, form a barrier to easy communication between the east and the west, and so have forced travel and commerce, as well as invading peoples and armies, to follow certain well-defined routes. The Alps and the Pyrenees have served much the same purpose in the south. They have prevented the fusion of the peoples to the north with those to the south, and have made futile all the many attempts to bring and keep them under one government. They have been important factors in the differentiation and spread and

development of the various nations about them. Their passes being few and difficult, they have hindered intercourse and have prevented interference. Each people has been left more exclusively to itself to work out its own character and destiny

Even in the small physical divisions of Europe, mountains have done much to isolate and divide those whom everything else has sought to fuse and unite. They have helped perpetuate tribal and racial differences in Scandinavia, in Germany, in Austria, and especially in the Balkan Peninsula, Italy, Spain, and Portugal. The present difficulties among the peoples of

these countries are the result of unequal development, caused in part by the conditions in the local physical and geological forms. There can be no doubt that the mountains of these countries still make the problems of their respective governments more difficult. Grave doubts are expressed by the best authorities on this subject about the possibility of the people of Italy ever becoming homogeneous because of the separating effect of its many mountains. They have been constant and efficient barriers to the formation of extensive states and governments in western Europe

On the other hand, the great central plains offer every opportunity for the development of peoples into homogeneity and for the formation of governments with extensive sway. They determined the earliest occupations of the people, being adapted to the occupation of grazing, agriculture, and similar pursuits. So long as the number of inhabitants was small, their great extent favored the continued separation of the nomadic tribes that wandered over them. With increasing population the peoples were more easily brought together and subjected to the influence of the same ideas, whether political, social, or religious

Turning to the study of its coast we note that Europe itself is almost a peninsula, and is besides deeply indented by arms of the sea, so that it has a large extent of coast line

Coast line and inland seas.

As if to facilitate communication, Europe is traversed from north to south by many rivers, which in the Middle Age were the highways of travel and commerce By a short portage the Rhine and the rivers of France are connected with each other and with the Rhone and its

tributaries; the Rhine, the Main, the Elbe, and the Oder, with the Danube; the Vistula, the Niemen, and the Duna, with the Dniester, the Dnieper, the Don, and the Volga. In this way nature has done much to promote intercourse in Europe. A radically different arrangement of the rivers of Europe would have affected its history in a corresponding way. Especially the districts about the mouths of the rivers were likely to be hastened in their development because of their greater opportunities for traffic and the advantages to be derived therefrom. The national existence of Portugal, Holland, and Belgium is due in some measure to the fact that they lie about the mouths of great rivers

The climate of a country influences its people in many ways. Europe is in this respect fortunate, for she has the greatest possible variety in her climate. Long and cold winters make the conditions of life in the north much more difficult than in the south, where nature does almost everything unaided. In this way the habits of the people, their dress, social life, architecture, public as well as private, are greatly influenced by the climatic conditions that prevail in the various parts of Europe 1

2. THE PEOPLES

A THE ROMAN EMPIRE

In the third century the Roman Empire extended from the Atlantic in the west to the Euphrates in the east, from the Sahara in the south to the Danube, Main, and Rhine in the north Britain also (the modern England) had been added to this territory. Since the beginning of the Christian Era, the boundaries of the Empire had

¹ For a full treatment of the subjects, which are only suggested here, see Ritter's Europa An excellent set of rehef maps, made by G. Roggero, is published by G. B. Paravia & Co. (Florence and Rome) They cost only about twenty-five cents each, and are invaluable in the class-room

not been greatly enlarged The task of defending the frontiers was rapidly becoming more difficult and left successive Emperors little time to think of foreign conquests

In the year 27 B C. Octavius usurped the power by concentrating in himself the most important offices which, up to this He did not change The change from time, had been elective their character, for the officers of the Republic, a Republic to an although elected, exercised absolute power during their term of office The state delegated to them this According to Roman conceptions the power of the state was absolute, the highest ideal of the people was obedience, not liberty. This power the Emperor seized and vested in himself, though, in theory, it was regarded as simply delegated to him He had the power-military, judicial, legisla-He indeed divided tive, executive, financial, and religious the provinces with the Senate, but this was merely formal since he controlled the Senate and the officers placed over the provinces by it The Senate's actual powers were gone many forms of the Republic were still observed the Emperor was supreme He was the state Disobedience to his will was' an offence against the majesty of the Roman people, and consequently punished with death. He was the head of the state religion with the title of Pontifex Maximus He took on a sacred character, being worshipped while living and receiving the honors of apotheosis at his death. Temples and altars were erected to him, sacrifices offered in his name, and a rich ritual developed An offence against his person was sacrilege, and hence a capital crime

This change in the government was in many respects beneficial. The last years of the Republic had been filled with wars and seditions. The Emperor restored peace and order! He policed the Empire and made it safe! He put down brigandage and piracy. He compelled those who were over the provinces to rule justly, and the cities received many favors.

at his hands. As legislators the earlier Emperors, made excellent use of their powers, introducing a humane spirit into Up to this time the law had taken only men into their laws Women, children, and slaves were almost without account The Emperors forbade abortions and the exposure of children, gave wives and mothers more protection against the cruelty and caprice of their husbands, and mitigated in many ways the hard conditions of slaves Illegitimate children and those of criminals were no longer compelled to share the heavy penalties visited upon their parents The Emperors made less use of torture in the examination of witnesses, recognized the right of the accused to trial, and declared that it was worse to punish an innocent person than to let a guilty one escape.

The policy of Rome had been to Romanize her subjects She endeavored to lift them all up to her level by giving them her civilization. This work the Emperors took up and prosecuted with great zeal. The progress made in this direction under them was very great. In the year 215 A.D Caracalla issued an edict making all the free inhabitants of the Empire citizens of Rome.

The Republic had made shipwreck of its religious faith. Its last days had been godless and atheistic. The Empeiors headed and promoted an earnest revival in religion and morals, which in the course of the next three centuries became general among all classes. Under its influence, monotheistic ideas and conceptions became common, being supported also by the philosophy of the times. Such ideas as the unity of the human race and the brotherhood of man were not unknown, for philosophers, such as Seneca and Epictetus, taught them. It was a period, therefore, in which civilization made great progress and the conception of humanity grew broader and higher.

Naturally the Emperor was surrounded by a crowd of people who assisted him in the work of governing, but he was at first

without a "court." His life was comparatively simple and During the first three centuries little change was made in the administration of the government The cities were left undisturbed in the exercise of simplicity of the early Empire. their liberties and local self-government The provinces were ruled by officers of the Emperor who appointed and paid them They represented him, and in his name commanded the troops, collected taxes, and administered justice He kept a sharp watch over them and guarded the interests of Many provinces had an annual assembly, or parliament, which, however, was in the hands of the Emperor and served him as a part of the machinery for administering the affairs of government

A fatal mistake was made in that no law of succession was Theoretically the people of Rome were supposed established to have the right to elect the Emperor, but practically the army disposed of the imperial crown No fixed qualifications were required of the candidate, consequently any one might aspire to be Emperor For some time there was little trouble about the succession, but in the third century bloody contentions for the possession of the crown arose and the whole Empire was dis-From 180 to 284 AD there were over thirty actual turbed. Emperors, and more than that number of would-be usurpers By acclamation the soldiers made their favorite general Emperor, or sold the crown to the highest bidder In any case they were influenced solely by the desire for plunder Diocletian's Diocletian (284-305) endeavored to put an end reform to this by increasing the number of Emperors and surrounding each one with a court According to his scheme there were to be two Emperors, one in the east and the other in the west Each of these was to have an assistant called An Imperial The term of office was fixed at twenty a Cæsar Court established. At the end of this period the Emperors were to resign, the Cæsars were to take their places as Emperors, and to appoint other Cæsars as their assistants.

render the persons of the Emperors still safer, each was to have a court modelled after the eastern courts. He was to be surrounded with many attendants and officers, and a great show of pomp and ceremonies

For the support of these courts large sums of money were necessary. Diocletian, therefore, reformed and extended the Runnous taxassystem of taxation and reduced the governation. In this process he destroyed local liberty and self-government, and so oppressed the people with taxes that the inevitable result was universal bankruptcy. All of Diocletian's reforms may be said to have taken root except his plan of having four joint rulers. Within a few years this was found to be impracticable because even twenty years of absolute rule could not satisfy the ambition of some men.

The reforms of Diocletian did away with the last traces of republican rule. The old titles of the various offices which Diocletian the founder of the now omitted The Senate had no power at all The Emperor was "Lord and God" (Dominus ac Deus, præsens et corporalis Deus). Not only he, but his house, his bedchamber, and his treasury were regarded as sacred. His word was law. He was the living law on earth (Lex animata in terris) He was the highest judge, and might, if he wished, call before him all cases. He was the source of law, judicial authority, and justice The finances of the Empire were wholly in his hands He assessed all taxes and tolls.

The old prætorian guard was replaced by a guard of the palace and a body-guard The Emperor had a council (consistorium sacrum), composed of some of his principal officers, which served him in all the work of governing For the private and the public service of the Emperor there was a vast crowd of employees under the most various titles. They were arranged in groups, each under the control of an officer who was made directly responsible to the

Emperor A complete bureauciatic system was developed which has served as model for more than one of the modern governments of Europe

Under the Emperors the character of the army changed rapidly The Romans gradually lost their love of war, and consequently it was difficult to keep the ranks The Army of the legions full Great inducements were became barbarian. offered the volunteer, but with less and less It soon became necessary to make drafts by force and to accept even slaves for military service The large landowners were compelled to furnish slaves for the army in proportion to the value of their lands The difficulties encounstered by the state in such a method of procedure, and the poor quality of the soldiers thus obtained, led to the enrolment of Barbarians in ever-increasing numbers Native troops were replaced by mercenaries, who were without patriotism cared only for money, and made and unmade Emperors simply for the sake of the gift which custom compelled every Emperor to make to the army at the time of his coronation. Intrigues. plunderings, revolts, and rebellion on the part of the army became frequent The army, which was supposed to be the protection of the Empire, became its bane

The inhabitants of the Empire were divided into four classes -slaves, plebs, curiales, and senators Within each of these four great classes there were various grades and The people shades of difference The lot of the slaves was divided into gradually growing better In the country it classes. now became customary to enroll the slaves, thus attaching them to the soil from which they could not be separated. They were bought and sold with the land Slaves. Masters were forbidden to kill their slaves or to separate a slave from his wife and children The class of slaves called "coloni" was rapidly increasing These closely resembled the "villein" of the Middle Age. They were attached to the soil, but had a right to the products of it.

They owed their master certain taxes, rent, and services of various kinds

To the class of plebs belonged all the free common people, whether small freeholders, tradesmen, laborers, or artisans

The freeholders were diminishing in numbers.

Their lands were consumed by the taxes and they themselves either became coloni or ran away to the towns. The majority of the inhabitants of the cities and towns were free, but had no political rights.

All who possessed twenty-five acres of land, or their equivalent, were regarded as "curiales". On these fell the burdens of office-holding and the taxes, for the collection of which they were made responsible. In order to escape from this crushing load they strove to pass into some other class, but the Emperor forbade this and the son of a curial was forced to retain the rank of his father

The ranks of the senatorial class were constantly increasing by the addition of all those who for any reason received the senators.

title of senator or who were appointed to one of the high offices by the Emperor The honor was hereditary. The senators were the richest people of the Empire, having in their possession the most of the soil. As they enjoyed exceptional privileges and immunities, the lot of the curiales was made more grievous.

For the support of his army, his court, and the great number of clerks made necessary by the bureaucratic form of government, the Emperor must have immense sums of money, for the purpose of raising which many kinds of taxes were introduced. Taxes were levied on both lands and persons; on all sorts of manufacturing industries, on heirs, when they came into possession of their estates, on slaves when set free; and on the amount of the sales made by merchants. Tolls were collected on the highways and at bridges, and duties at the city gates and in the harbors Besides direct taxes, there were many kinds of special taxes, bur-

dens, and services, such as food, clothing, and quarters for the army, horses and wagons for the imperial use whenever demanded; and repairing of the roads, bridges, and temples. Worst of all, perhaps, was the dishonesty of the officers, who often exacted far more than even the very high sums which the Emperor required

It was impossible that this should not bankrupt the Empire. The cities suffered most quickly. In 364 AD, Valentinian permitted each city to appoint a "defender," whose duty it was to protect it from these exactions and wrongs. As the senatorial class, the army, professors of rhetoric, and the clergy were largely freed from taxation, the whole burden fell on the curiales, who became oppressors in order Effects on the curiales. to collect the vast sums demanded of them Finally, when they were exhausted, they attempted in every way to escape from their class Some of them succeeded in rising into the senatorial ranks, but many of them deserted their lands and became slaves or coloni, or entered the army or the church The Emperors tried to prevent this, and often seized the curial who had run away and compelled him to take up his old burden again. The curial was forbidden by law to try to change his position, but in spite of this many of them surrendered their lands to some rich neighbor and received them back on condition of the payment of certain taxes, and the rendering of certain services. This was a form of land tenure and social relation very similar to that common in teudalism of a later day.

The free citizens, the great middle class, were disappearing. There was no political life in the Empire, for there was no freedom. The people had no part in the control of the affairs of state, and consequently lost all political interest.

B. THE KELTS

In the fourth century BC the Kelts occupied Gaul (modern France) and the islands of Great Britain. Four or five

hundred years before Christ, they had extended as far east as the Weser in the north, and occupied much territory in the Kelts at one time centre of Europe The Bon gave their name to the district now known as Bohemia; the terrieast of the Rhine. tory of modern Kaernthen and Steleimark was called by the Romans Noricum, because it was occupied by The Vindelici held the Tyrol, while almost the whole of Switzerland was inhabited by other Keltic tribes They were driven to the Rhine by the Germans, though we do not know exactly when or under what circumstances They made three great invasions into the south, one, in the sixth century B C, into Spain, another under Brennus, about 390 B C, into Italy, and the third, under the same leader, a few years later, into Greece It is probable that these three invasions were caused by the attacks of the Germans' pressing on them from the east

The Kelts were never all united in one great state, but continued to exist in separate tribes Each tribe formed a state and was governed by an aristocracy The peo-Tribal governple had no part in the government, but were treated by the ruling class as slaves The nobility was divided into two classes, the religious and the secular The latter spent their time principally in fighting The Druids formed the religious nobility They were a caste of priests who controlled all sacrifices, both public and private They were also judges and final authorities in all matters. Their word was law, and whoever refused them obedience was put under their interdict, which had almost exactly the same meaning as the Papal interdict a few centuries later They had many gods, to whom they offered human sacrifices 1

They had large, strong, and beautiful bodies, as may be seen from the famous statue in Rome, "The Dying Gaul" (formerly known as the "Dying Gladiator") They were brave, dashing warriors, fond of music, especially of the shrill martial

¹ Cæsar, B G, v1, 11-19, gives a good description of the Kelts.

kind, with which they went into battle They were easily moved by fine speech and had a love for poetry. Their language was well developed and capable of expressing a wide Keltic characrange of thought and emotion They loved teristics. bright and gay colors, and were noted for the liveliness rather than for the persistency of their feelings and emotions 1 They were restless, sprightly, full of activity, and capable of the greatest enthusiasm for, and devotion to, a popular leader. they were fickle and unreliable if their ardor was once quenched by disaster At the beginning of our period the Kelts who occupied Gaul and Britain (the present England) were thoroughly Romanized To a great extent they had forgotten their language and spoke Latin Many cities had sprung up which were well supplied with temples, baths, and theatres, and were in all respects thoroughly Roman But the Kelts of Ireland, Wales, and Scotland were still barbarian, and hostile to Rome,2

C THE GERMANS

At the beginning of our period the Germans occupied Scandinavia, and nearly all the land between the Rhine and the Vistula, and the Baltic and the Danube Since the times of Cæsar and Tacitus many changes had taken place among them Some of them had changed their location, new groups had been formed, and they were known by new names. A process of consolidation was going on among them, the many tribal names mentioned by Cæsar and Tacitus had disappeared and the groups were larger. The Goths had left the Vistula and were now spread over a great stretch of territory to the north of the Black Sea and the lower Danube. Other tribes were moving or spreading out in the same direction. Great masses of Germans and other peoples

¹ It would not be difficult to show that these characteristics have lived on and still mark the Kelts of France and Great Britain

² Many of their tribal names have been preserved in geographical names, cf Paris, Bregentz, Soissons, Tours, etc

were crowded together along the whole northern faontier of the Empire, and the danger of a barbarian invasion was rapidly growing greater

Tacitus ("Germania," ii) says that the Germans were divided into three great branches the Ingævones, who lived flearest the ocean, the Hermiones, who lived in the "middle," and the Istævones, who included all the rest These three names had now been replaced by others, such as Franks, Suevi, and Saxons Neither these names nor those used by Tacitus actually included all the Germans. They formed rather the great division which may be called the West Germans Besides these there were those of the north, afterward known as the Danes, Norwegians, and Swedes, and those of the east, the Goths, Vandals, and others

In their government they were democratic. The North Germans had kings, whom they elected from one family. There was no fixed law of succession, but the most suit-Their government. able man was chosen The West Germans probably had only leaders in time of war They had a welldefined system of local self-government There were three political divisions the whole tribe, or nation, the Gau, or county (in England this was called the hundred), and the All matters that concerned only the village were discussed and settled by all the freemen of the village in a public Likewise the affairs of the Gau were administered by meeting the freemen of the Gau, and matters that concerned the whole nation were decided by an assembly of all the freemen of the In social rank, there were three classes—nobles, free men, and slaves The nobles had certain advantages, but in the assemblies the vote of a freeman equalled that of a nobleman. The slaves were of two kinds, some were attached to the soil and could not be removed from their lands, while others were personal slaves and were treated as chattels

It was customary among the Germans for the young men to attach themselves to some man of tried courage and military

ability (the comitatus or Gefolge). They lived with him and accompanied him on all his expeditions. Such warnor chiefs were proud of having a large number of young men about them, for it added to their dignity and increased their power in many ways. The relation between a leader and a follower was entirely voluntary, and consequently honorable to both. It might be terminated whenever either party failed in his duties.

The religion of the Germans was a kind of nature worship, connected with various natural phenomena and objects, such as groves, trees, caves, and the forces of nature. Religion and occupations. They had no priest caste The father of a familv was its priest, and it seems that any one might be chosen to serve as priest on public occasions. They lived by cattleraising, agriculture, and hunting The labor was performed principally by slaves and women It was characteristic of them that they were unwilling to live in compactly built towns. Their houses were generally some distance apart, forming a straggling village The Romans were impressed with the great size and power of their bodies, the ruddiness of their faces, and the light color of their hair

They had some very prominent faults, such as the too great love of war, of the cup, and of the dice. Their time was wholly taken up with these. They became so infatuated with gambling that, after losing all their property, wife and children were staked, and if these were lost, they risked even their own liberty. The Germans boasted of their faithfulness to every obligation. So true were they to their word that if they lost their freedom in gambling they willingly yielded to their new master, and permitted themselves to be reduced to the position of slaves.

D THE SLAVS

The Slavs occupied a large belt of territory east of the Germans, and extended far into Russia. As the Germans with-

drew to the west and south, the Slavs followed them and took possession of the land thus vacated In this way they finally came as far west as the Elbe, and may be said to have held nearly all of the territory from the Elbe to the Dnieper A large part of what is now Prussia, Saxony, and Bohemia became wholly Slavic

The Slavs, as well as the Kelts and Germans, were broken up into many tribes having no political connection with each other. They seem to have had a patriarchal form of covernment.

Ment At any rate, great reverence was shown the old men of the tribe, who, by virtue of their age, had a controlling voice in the management of affairs. They probably had no nobility at first. They elected their leaders in war. So strong was the demogratic spirit among them that they were never able to produce a royal line. They always imported their kings. This fact greatly weakened them and led to constant internal wars among those who were ambitious for power and leadership.

Their religion was a low form of idolatry They had many priests, who were consulted on all matters, both political and religious, and had almost unlimited influence over everything. They were tame and unwarfulke, hence have never been conquerors. Yet they had powerful frames and impressed the Romans with their size. Their location was favorable to the occupations of cattle-raising and agriculture. They did not possess a strong national feeling, but were easily assimilated by other peoples. Laige numbers of them were Germanized from the ninth century on.

E THE LETTS

In the ninth century still another Indo-European people came into history. We meet with the Letts on the shore of the Baltic, from the Vistula to some distance beyond the Niemen They were closely related to the Slavs, but formed an independent family of the Indo-European race. They were

divided into Lithuanians and Prussians It is curious to note that the name of this non-German people (the Prussians) has, in the process of time, come to be applied to the leading German state of to-day

F THE URAL-ALTAIC PEOPLES

Besides these Indo-European peoples which we have just discussed there were others, who are usually called Ural-Altaic or Finnic Turkish tribes "Turanian" is also applied to them. They were to be found in northern Scandinavia and in the northern, northwestern, and eastern parts of Russia. They were the Finns, the Lapps, the Esthonians, the Livonians, the Ugrians, the Tchues, the Permians, the Magyars, the Huns, and many others They were related to the Turkish Mongols During the Middle Age, at least, they in no way advanced the interests of civilization, but rather played the part of a scourge. They have been destroyers rather than builders

The division followed above is linguistic. Philologists first discovered the similarity between the languages of the Greeks. the Romans, the Kelts, the Germans, the Slavs, Basis of above the Letts, the Persians, and the ancient inhabiclassification philological, not tants of India On the basis of these resemrecognized by blances in language, these peoples were classed ethnologists. together as one great race It was inferred that because their languages were akin the people themselves must have been of the same original stock The modern science of Anthropology or Ethnology does not recognize the validity of such an argument, but declares that these peoples do not belong to the same race, although their languages are related Ethnologists now use other tests to discover the racial relations of peoples. Prominent among these are skull measurements 1

¹ A convenient book on this subject is Taylor's Origin of the Aryans, though some of its statements are too strongly made and its conclusions are not altogether justifiable

3. THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH

In the fourth century Christianity was well scattered over the Empire, and there were Christians even among the Barbarians It had begun in Palestine as a brotherhood, but had slowly developed an organization which at this time was fairly complete It was modelling its government after that of the Roman Em-Its clergy had much of what we might call "esprit de corps." The Christian Church, as a whole, was The Church friendly to the Roman state, and desired that it friendly to the State might be preserved and perpetuated due in part to certain commands in their sacred writings that they should honor the king and obey the powers that be, and in part also, to the belief that so long as the Roman government should remain intact the "Antichrist" would not come In the mysterious passage, 2 Thess 11 3-12, mention is made of "one that restraineth," and the appearance of the "lawless one" (1 e, the Antichrist) This "one that restraineth" was very early believed by the Christians to be the Roman Consequently we find that the early Christians desired the long continuance of the Empire

This friendly feeling of the Church was not reciprocated by the state. To the heathen the congregations of the Christians The State hostile seemed to be secret societies, most of which were to Christianity forbidden by the state because of their supposed political character. Eastern religions were also forbidden in the western part of the Empire, Christianity was eastern in its origin and by that very fact forbidden / To be a Christian, therefore, was to be a criminal in the eyes of the law. It was impossible for the Christians to perform their duties as citizens, for all such duties were connected with idolatrous rites and practices. Neither could they sacrifice to the gods or take any part in the great religious festivals and celebrations. In an age when nearly everything was attributed to the direct

agency of the gods, it was unavoidable that the Christians should be blamed for all calamities It would be said that the gods were angry at those who despised them The result was that the Christians were persecuted and annoved, more or less, for three hundred years These persecutions were local, however, until 240 A D, when Decius ordered the first general per-Even then the persecution did not extend over the secution In 303 AD the last great persecution was whole Empire begun under Diocietian, though the responsibility for it is to be laid on his Casar Galerius. After about eight years of struggle the first edict of toleration was published, in April, 311 Through this and the later efforts of Constantine, Christianity was made a legal religion

It was the policy of Constantine to further Christianity 313 he released the Catholic clergy from many political duties which were ordinarily regarded as burdensome constantine and In 315 he freed the Church from the payment of taxes such as tribute and the "annona" Probably in 316 he made legal the manumission of slaves which took place in churches In 321 churches were granted the privilege of receiving legacies In 323 he forbade the compulsory attendance of Christians at heathen worship and celebrations to 323 the coins which he struck bore the images and inscriptions of various gods, after that time his coins had only allegorical emblems But, on the other hand, Constantine never in any way limited or prohibited heathenism. He retained the office and performed the duties of Pontifex Maximus 321 he issued an edict commanding that officials should consult the Haruspices (soothsayers) After the year 326 he permitted a temple to be erected to himself, and allowed himself to be worshipped After his death he was enrolled among the gods and received the title of Divus It is evident from this that the famed conversion of Constantine was political rather than religious His principal interest was centred in the unity of the Church, which he wished to use as a tool in the work of

governing the Empire. He did not make Christianity the state religion He merely made it a legal religion.

The Emperors Gratian (375–383) and Theodosius (379–395) went one step farther and made orthodox Christianity the only legal religion They withdrew state support Gratian and Theodosius made from heathenism and restricted the heathen wor-Christianity the ship. They also persecuted all heresies only legal religattempted to make citizenship depend upon ion. orthodoxy It is evident, therefore, that the Christian Church will be one of the most important factors in the history of the Middle Age. It might be said that the future belonged to the Church and to the Germans.

CHAPTER II

THE MIGRATIONS OF THE NATIONS

Having seen where these various tribes were located in the fourth century, we are now ready to take up the remarkable movements known as the "invasions of the Barbarians," or the "migrations of the nations" general statement. Beginning about the middle of the fourth century these migrations lasted for nearly one hundred and fifty years. Taking with them all their possessions, whole tribes left their homes and passed over into the Roman Empire, where they either took by force or received as gifts large areas of land. There they set up their own government, lived according to their own laws, and attempted to preserve their nationality. To their rule the Romans were compelled to submit.

It seems strange that it should have been possible for these barbarian tribes to invade, conquer, and rule a people so much more civilized than themselves But the Ro- The Romans no mans had lost their warlike spirit Their legions longer warlike. had once been regarded as irresistible, but now their armies were no longer Roman. They were composed largely of Bar-The inhabitants of the interior were unacquainted with war because nearly all disturbances were on the frontier. The change in the government and the existence of a standing army had destroyed the patriotism of the Romans longer took an intelligent and enthusiastic interest in the affairs of government, and were cringing and spiritless looked to the Emperor for protection and defence. battle depended largely on personal prowess, and in this respect the Barbarians were far superior to the Romans.

The migrations began in the last half of the fourth century, although during the preceding centuries there had been what we may call a prelude to the movement. Many bands of Germans crossed the frontier for the purpose of plunder, or to ask for lands, and to offer in return for them their service in war Many tracts of land in the Empire had in this way been occupied by Germans. The army was almost Germanized, so large was the number of Germans in the legions

The causes of the migrations were often complex. By the writers of the period hunger was assigned as one of the princicauses of the pal causes of this movement. The Germans migrations. probably had only very small areas under cultivation. Their agricultural implements and methods were of the most primitive sort and the variety of their crops was limited. They depended to some extent on their cattle, which might be carried off by a pest. A scarcity of game would be a grievous blow.

We have evidence from contemporaneous writers that the Germans were a hardy, vigorous race, that was multiplying rapidly. Ammianus Marcelinius (died c 380) says that the Germans were so numerous that one might suppose that death never visited their land This increase in population would make the conditions of life among them more difficult, and a famine would be more quickly felt. As a proof of the rapid increase, it is said that within sixty years the tribe of the Aduatici increased in numbers from six thousand to fifty-nine thousand Sometimes one tribe set another in motion under Probus (276-280 AD) the Bastarnæ, being driven out by the Goths, were allowed to settle on Roman soil, and in 375 AD we know that the Huns drove the West Goths from their homes and started them on their new period of wandering The movement was contagious, for if a tribe had once been set in motion it was joined, if not by whole tribes, at least by many bands from other tribes through whose territory it passed.

The Germans also migrated often, driven by a desire for plunder and lands. For some centuries the Barbarians had been making predatory incursions into the Roman territory and had carried off much spoil. They knew that the lands in the south were richer than their own and consequently coveted them. The Germans, especially, asked, first of all, for lands. They had a good deal of knowledge about the south through traders, captives, soldiers, and marauding tours. They had learned about the wealth of the south and the easier conditions of life in those more favored districts where nature does almost everything for the inhabitants. The material advantages of the south had great attractions for them

The Goths were the first tribe to break over the boundary in great numbers and begin the migrations on a grand scale When we first learn of them they were on the Baltic, east of the Vistula They had a tradifrom the Baltic to the Black Sea. tion that they had come from Scandinavia They early left their home on the Vistula and slowly moved to the south Their line of travel is not known with certainty They set out about 150 AD, and within fifty years had reached the Black Sea and the lower course of the Danube, where they settled in two groups The tribe was thereby divided, and, from their relative positions the two divisions came to be known as the East and the West Goths The latter were also called Thervingi, and the former Greutungi About the middle of the third century, probably from 238 on, owing to the weakness of the Emperors, they caused the Empire great damage by making many rapid raids into its territory. Sailing across the Black Sea, or following around its coast, they plundered many towns, and even ven tured to go several miles into the interior Within a few years they took and sacked Trapezus, Chalcedon, Nicæa, Apamea, and many other towns About 262 they burnt the temple of Artemis (Diana) at Ephesus, and overran even Cappadocia, Thrace, Macedonia, Achaia, and Illyria, the whole

Balkan peninsula, in fact, suffered more or less at their hands. Claudius (268–270) and his able successors chastised these invaders and kept them within bounds. Constantine put an end to their incursions

During their stay on the Danube and the Black Sea the Goths made the first steps in civilization They were directly on the frontier, and consequently came into contact in many ways with the inhabitants of the Empire There Contact with the Empire was more or less commerce between them and the Empire, and the ways and means of communication constantly increased They became acquainted with the Roman system of weights, measures, and coinage They became familiar with Roman modes of life, dress, and customs Among the booty carried off on their raids were many Christians whom they reduced to the position of slaves Christian slaves often repaid them by making known to them their religious faith. Through the labors of these slaves, Christian merchants, soldiers, exiles, and missionaries, the Goths were converted some time before they were attacked by the Huns. At the middle of the fourth century there was a good prospect that there would be developed a great German Empire in southern Europe along the Danube and the Black It was the invasion of the Huns that made such a development impossible

During their removal from the Baltic to the south (or perhaps before), the Goths broke up into small groups ("Gaue")

slow development of the man This leader was called by the Romans "Dux," by the Germans "Herzog" (Heer, army; zog, from the verb "to lead") These groups or bands settled and remained independent For a long time there was no central government, no common king over them all. Giadually some of these "Gau" leaders succeeded in uniting under themselves several Gaue, and on this account were often called kings. In this way, about 350 AD., Hermannich, a leader of

one of the Gaue of the East Goths, rose to such power that he was called the king of the East Goths, and became the founder of the dynasty which ruled over them until the death of the unfortunate Amalasuntha in Italy Later a long line of ancestors was invented for Hermanrich, reaching back to the demigods, and because of Amala, one of these supposed ancestors, the whole dynasty was called the Amalians Neither were the West Goths united About the same time, however, one of their leaders, Athanarich, attained great honor among them and practically ruled over them, although he did not yet bear the title of king

The beginnings of Christianity among the Goths are to be referred to the work of prisoners, merchants, soldiers, exiles, and missionaries. There were Goths in the The Christiani-Crimea who very early took the orthodox faith. One of their bishops, Theophilus, was present at the Council of Nicæa (325). These Goths in the Crimea became completely separated from the others. When the Huns came, they were not driven out, nor did they afterward leave their home. As late as the sixteenth century the descendants of these Goths were still living in the Crimea and spoke the Gothic tongue.

The principal missionary of the Goths was Ulfilas (311-381) He was born of Gothic parents. He spent several years at Constantinople, although the reason of his presence there is unknown. He may have been sent as an ambassador or as hostage. Having become a Christian of the Arian type, he wished to return as a missionary to his people. About 340, having reached the canonical age, he was ordained bishop to the Goths. He had probably already begun the work of translating the Bible into Gothic, for which purpose he had invented an alphabet. His object in making this translation was that proper passages or lessons might be read in the Church services. He had no thought of putting it into the hands of the Goths for popular use. For a

long while there was no one among the Goths, except the clergy, who could read.

Soon after 340 Ulfilas, accompanied by some helpers, returned to his people north of the Danube, where he labored with considerable success for a few years. Athanarich, however, was opposed to giving up the national religion firmly to the traditions of his fathers and was hostile to all changes. When he saw that Ulfilas was rapidly making converts he proceeded to persecute them. After some of the Gothic Christians had suffered martyrdom Ulfilas determined to flee. He appealed to the Emperor Constantius, who gave him lands in southern Mœsia, not far from Nicopolis. He moved with his Christian followers and settled there The Mosson These Mœso-Goths, like those of the Crimea, were never again united to the main tribe, but remained in Mœsia, where they continued their separate existence for several centuries. As late as the ninth century Gothic was still spoken there

Even after the withdrawal of Ulfilas and his followers the Christianization of the West Goths seems to have gone slowly and quietly on At any rate, by the year 370 there were a good many Christians among them, and a second persecution was carried on by Athanarich. This persecu-Rival "kings." tion was aimed principally at the sect known as the Audians, though it is probable that both orthodox and Arian Christians were troubled by it The Audians were very rigid in their morals and almost monkish in their mode of life In connection with this persecution we meet with an opponent of Athanarich Another Gau leader, Fritigern, opposed him and protected the Christians Fritigern must have had several Gaue under him, for he was able to carry on a war with Athanarich, the outcome of which was that Fritigern moved with all his followers down toward the mouth of the Danube, and occupied what is now Roumania Athanarich and his party occupred the land to the north, on the upper waters of the Pruth

The East Goths were still farther east, along and Dniester the Black Sea, around the mouth of, and beyond, the Dniester

About 372 the Huns, under Balamir entered Europe and attacked the Slavs and other peoples that lay to the east of the They were everywhere victorious The coming of and gradually moved to the west In 373, they the Huns. had reached the Alani, also a Hunnic people, who submitted only after much hard fighting In the same year Hermanrich, king of the East Goths, died, and two candidates appeared for the position of leader Hermanrich's son, Hunimund, was recognized by a part of the nation He made peace with the Huns, paying tribute and furnishing troops for their army. The other part of the East Goths chose for their king Winithar (Winthemir), another member of the royal family, and prepared to resist the invaders. Winithar was joined by some of the neighboring tribes, but after several defeats was himself killed in battle. His young son, Widerich, succeeded him, under the regency of two able leaders, Saphrax These now withdrew with all their people to and Alatheus the Danube, leaving their lands in the possession of the Huns (374) They were also accompanied by several bands of Alanı and other Hunnıc peoples who had been displaced by the invading Huns under Balamir

The West Goths, under Athanarich, on the upper waters of the Pruth and Dniester, were then attacked by the Huns, and after some ineffectual resistance retreated toward the Danube Later, when it was apparent that the Einperor would not allow them to cross the Danube, they withdrew into Transylvania (Siebenbuergen), where, protected by the mountains, they escaped the

further ravages of the Huns The West Goths. under Fritigern, were in this way put under very great pressure, being threatened from the east by the East Goths, under the young Widerich, and from the north by the West Goths, under Athananch. The Huns, of course, were behind both of these.

Fritigern and his West Goths cross the Danube.

Under these

circumstances Fritigern asked the Emperor for permission to lead his people across the Danube and settle on Roman soil The request was granted, and early in the year 376 Fritigern led his division of the West Goths, about one hundred thousand in number, over the Danube — The Emperor received them into the relation of fœderati — They retained their arms, gave hostages, and agreed to furnish a contingent of troops for service in the Roman army — In return they were to receive lands and grain — Their intentions were thoroughly peaceable.

But the conduct of the Roman officials was so bad that the Goths were driven into revolt before the summer was ended.

Only poor grain and food were furnished them and an enormous price was demanded. In a short time the West Goths were reduced to poverty and compelled to sell their goods and even their children and their wives. This produced the most bitter feeling on their part and led to a revolt. They overran much of the territory about Adrianople and were joined by Gothic slaves and troops, who now took this opportunity of deserting. At the same time bands of Huns and of East Goths, under Widerich, crossed the Danube and committed great ravages on the boundary between Thrace and Illvria.

The Emperor Valens finally came in person to punish the Barbarians, but at the battle of Adrianople, in the spring of 378, The battle of his army was totally routed and he himself slain. Adrianople, 378. Again the West Goths had the Empire in their power Both Adrianople and Constantinople were besieged, but without success. Despairing of taking walled towns they now spread over the country and carried on their depredations as far as the Adriatic The East Goths, under Widerich, chose the northern part of the peninsula, while the West Goths went south into Achaia, where Fritigern died The Emperor Gratian finding it impossible to offer battle now made overtures of peace to the East Goths, which were accepted They then settled in southern Pannonia, along the Save and Drave rivers.

Just at this time Gratian conferred the imperial title on the able Spaniard Theodosius, who set himself to pacify rather than to conquer the marauding West Goths This he accomplished, but only after three years' efforts. In 382 he settled them in the territory south of the lower Danube (Dacia-Mœsia) He also persuaded Athanarich to bring his West Goths from Transylvania, and settle with those already in the Empire Athanarich himself was received with royal honors in Constantinople, but died suddenly a few days afterward Nearly all of his followers, who were still heathen, either entered the Emperor's army or were settled as colonies in Asia Minor (Phrygia and Bithynia). They were soon assimilated by the peoples among whom they settled.

On the other hand, the West Goths south of the Danube retained their nationality They became feederati of the Empire That is, they were not permitted to have their own kings over them but had leaders who were elected, they controlled their local affairs and furnished regular contingents of troops for the imperial army From 382 to 395 they were without a king

Such a condition must have seemed to them a dishonorable one, for they were not their own masters During this period another division took place among them One part was devoted to the Empire and willing to be assimilated by it other clung to the national traditions, wished to preserve their nationality, and longed for a king of their own Of this party was Alaric. He was a member of one of the noble families which had furnished leaders for some of the Gaue. The date of his birth is unknown, but he must still have been a young man when, in 305, he was made king and headed the revolt He had kept himself aloof from the court as much as possible, and had preferred the welfare of his people to personal honors. the Empire He cherished the idea of national independence and liberty, and wished his people to have a home, where they might develop and make progress in civilization without losing their nationality.

In 395 the dissatisfaction with their position became so great that they elected Alaric king and raised him on their The revolt unshields. This was the signal for the revolt. All der Alaric, 395. the people prepared to leave their homes, and in a short time they were on their way toward Constantinople, spreading desolation as they went. The death of Theodosius had left the Empire without a protector, for his sons, Arcadius and Honorius, were utterly worthless and unable to perform the duties of their office.

Alaric hoped to surprise Constantinople, but found its gates closed and its walls manned A siege of the city was hopeless, for the West Goths were not skilled in that kind of warfare. In consideration of large sums of money Alaric consented to raise the siege He then took up his line of The march through Greece. march to the west, following the sea-coast through Thrace and Macedonia into Greece The army in the west was at this time commanded by a Vandal named Stilicho, a man of the very greatest talent He quickly ended the war in which he was engaged in Gaul, and by forced marches hastened to the east to check Alaric But owing to intrigues in the court at Constantinople he was ordered to return to Gaul, and reluctantly obeyed. Alaric continued his journey to the south The guard at the pass of Thermopylæ fled, leaving the way open to the Barbarians They soon reached Bœotia, devastating the open country and sacking temples and churches which everywhere contained much booty. Thebes could not be taken by them and they passed into Attica The Peiræus fell into their hands, and Athens, being cut off from her foodsupply, was compelled to purchase their withdrawal with money Continuing to the south Corinth was soon reached and taken The Emperor now saw that it was necessary to ask for the help of Stilicho, who was with the troops in northern As soon as he was summoned Stilicho sailed for Corinth, and by skilful manœuvening succeeded in forcing Alaric into central Peloponnesus.

On the borders between Arcadia and Elis, the West Goths were shut up in a valley, all the exits from which were held by Stilicho. But it did not come to a battle. Probably both Stilicho and Alaric were afraid to risk an engagement which might be fatal to the defeated party. The reasons for his action are not known, but it is certain that Stilicho made a peace with Alaric, by the terms of which the West Goths were to be settled in Illyria, and Alaric receive the title of duke of Illyria (397 or 398). His attempt to find a free, independent home for his people in Greece had failed

Illyria, however, was no better adapted to the national development of the West Goths than were the lands along the Danube Alaric seems never to have thought seriously of remaining there. There were imperial mines and arsenals in Illyria which Alaric used for the purpose of supplying his troops with arms. The time spent there was one of preparation for further effort.

It is probable that Alaric made an alliance with the East Goths, who, in 38r, under Widerich, had accepted lands in Pannonia along the Save and Drave rivers. Their leader at this time was Ratger (Radageisus). At any rate Ratger's unsuctive East Goths, under Ratger, and the West cessful invasion. Goths, under Alaric, made what seemed to be a concerted attempt to break into Italy. In the year 400 Ratger passed up the Save river into the Tyrol, intending then to pass into Italy. He was met by Stilicho, who after nearly a year's manœuvering and fighting compelled him to return to his former place in Pannonia.

Alaric was somewhat more successful Setting out in 401, he reached the territory now known as Venetia Instead of proceeding at once to Rome, he stopped to besiege the Emperor in the fortress of Asti, hoping by his capture to be able to make good terms and thereby end the war. Asti, however, was very strongly

fortified and resisted every assault This delay enabled Stilicho, after having driven the East Goths back into Pannonia, to come to the relief of the Emperor At Pollentia, probably at Easter, 402, a battle was fought It was indecisive, however, for each army was successful on one wing. Both generals resorted to manœuvering, but neither one was willing to risk another battle Finally, in the year 403, at Verona, Stilicho gained an advantage over Alaric, who was planning to retreat into Gaul But Stilicho seized the mountain passes, and Alaric was compelled to make peace and return to Illyria (403) His second attempt to establish his people in an independent position had failed

In the summer of 404 Ratger again led an army of 200,000 East Goths out of Pannonia into Italy In the spring of 405

The East Goths, he reached Florence, to which he laid siege.

Stilicho hastened to its rescue, compelled Ratger to withdraw, and in the neighborhood of Fiesole gained a decisive victory over him. His division of the East Goths was scattered, large numbers were slain, and many perished in the mountains, 12,000 took service in the army of Stilicho and 10,000 were made prisoners and sold as slaves

Closely connected with this invasion of Ratger is that of the combined Alani (a non-German people), Vandals, and Suevi ınto Gaul Some of these were in northern Alani, Vandals. Pannonia, and others lay north of the Danube and Suevi cross the Rhine, 406-7. and some distance east of the Rhine. It is impossible to follow them accurately, but it is probable that the Alani and the Vandals began the movement and were joined on the way by the Suevi. In the winter of 406-7 they crossed the Rhine, which was frozen over, and spread throughout Gaul There were no troops to resist them, and they quickly took and plundered Mainz, Worms, Speyer, Strassburg, Rheims, Amiens, Tournai, Arras, Terouanne, and many other towns in the in-In 400 the advance-guard reached the Pyrenees and passed over into Spain. The usurping Emperor, Constantine, at first opposed them, but without success, and soon made treaties with them. They were still living by plundering, and had apparently made no preparations for settling as permanent residents.

Alaric had returned in 403 into Iliyria where we soon find him in league with another Gothic leader, named Athaulf (Adolf), who was at the head of some mixed peoples in southern Pannonia. How Athaulf had obtained this leadership is He was, however, an able man, and must have had a strong force under him He had given his sister to Alaric Taking advantage of the troubles in Gaul and Spain (the Vandals, Alani, and Suevi were there, besides the usurping Constantine), Alaric moved with all his people into Noricum and sent ambassadors to Stilicho to say Alaric in Noricum. that he would keep the peace if Noricum were given him with four thousand pounds of gold Stilicho went to Rome and laid the matter before the Emperor and the Senate As it was impossible to refuse, his proposition was accepted.

Stilicho was the only man in the Empire whom Alaric He was both a statesman and a warrior, valiant, able, and devoted to the interests of the royal family In spite of his faithfulness, his opponents at the court suc- The West Goths ceeded in bringing him into disrepute with the Emperor, who foolishly ordered him to be seized and put to death (408) For Alaric this was most opportune once sent ambassadors to the Emperor and demanded more money and the cession of Pannonia to his people mands were refused, and Alaric prepared to invade Italy. crossed the frontier with a large army, and without stopping marched directly to Rome, to which he laid siege early in the By guarding the Tiber he cut off the city's supply year 400 of provisions Hunger quickly brought the people to terms Large sums of money were to be paid the Goths, an offensive and defensive alliance made between them and the Empire,

and hostages given The Emperor confirmed a part of the agreement and Alaric raised the siege. The Emperor thought only of gaining time and set about getting his troops together He scon refused to proceed with the negotiations and Alaiic again besieged Rome He had sent for Athaulf, who now entered Italy in the north with all his people, and in spite of some opposition soon joined the army of Alaric Honorius now asked Alaric to come nearer to Ravenna that they might discuss the conditions of peace. For the second time Alaric raised the siege and yielded to the request of the Emperor vain, for the Emperor refused the terms which Alaric offered. In great anger he put his army in motion, hastened to Rome, and compelled the inhabitants to revolt from Honorius, and make Attalus, the prefect of the city, Emperor in his stead He now overran the north of Italy and brought nearly the whole of it into subjection. A quarrel with Attalus led Alaric to depose him and make another attempt at reconciliation with But the Emperor refused to have any dealings with him, and for the third time he laid siege to Rome On the 23d of August, 410, the city was delivered into his Rome sacked. hands by the treachery of Gothic slaves and was plundered by his troops Much booty was seized and some parts of the city burned, but, on the whole, the sack was far less destructive than was expected Alaric was avenged for the haughty treatment he had received at the hands of the Emperor

The Barbarians moved to the south, for Alaric meant to invade Africa At Rhegium he collected a large fleet of boats which a sudden storm destroyed As the winter was coming on, Alaric pitched his camp at Cosenza, intending to renew the invasion of Africa the next year. He was seized, however, in a few days, with Italian fever, and died after a brief illness According to a legend his grave was prepared in the bed of the river Busento by Roman slaves, who were afterward killed in order that his last

resting-place might be unknown, and consequently never be desecrated. Alaric was probably the greatest of all the German leaders in the period of invasions. It was his great service to his people that he kept alive in them the idea of a free, independent, national existence. But for him they would have been lost in the peoples along the Danube. He is one of the great German heroes.

Alaric was succeeded by his brother-in-law, Athaulf, who was in many respects his equal Athaulf had already fallen in love with Placidia, the sister of the Emperor, who with her mother had been taken prisoner in Rome It was probably in part due to her influence that Athaulf gave up his hostile attitude

Athaulf and Walia establish the kingdom of the West Goths.

toward the Emperor and made peace with him. Gaul and Spain were assigned him on condition that he should drive out the Alani, the Suevi, and Vandals, and put down the usurper Constantine. In 412 he led his people over the mountains into southern Gaul. Many of the Vandals and Suevi had already passed over into Spain Athaulf quickly conquered southern Gaul as far as the Loire, and the noitheastern part of In 414, at Narbonne, Athaulf married Placidia, who had been kept a prisoner by the West Goths Orosius (vii. 43) has reported a saying of Athaulf which shows him in his true greatness It had long been his desire, Athaulf is made to say, to destroy the power and name of Rome and establish in its place the kingdom of the Goths The Roman Empire was to be replaced by Gothia But he had, at length, seen that his people were too untamed to submit to the necessary laws and discipline of a state He had chosen, therefore, to be rather the preserver of Rome than its destroyer. These words show him to have been a man of deep insight and excellent judg-It was impossible, however, for him to keep peace with ment Honorius, who listened to the slanders of the intriguers at He revolted, and again set up Attalus as Emperor, who court was soon afterward taken pusoner and put to death by the Emperor's forces Athaulf himself was murdered in 415, and was succeeded by Walia, who made peace with the Emperor. Walia carried on a bitter war against the Alani, Suevi, and Vandals The Alani were wholly subjected, the Suevi pushed into the northwestern part of Spain, and the Vandals were driven to the south. He succeeded in firmly establishing the kingdom of the West Goths on both sides of the Pyrenees, with Toulouse as his principal residence

Boniface, the governor of the province of Africa, was in trouble with the Emperor and asked help of the Vandals. In the The Vandals in year 429 their king, Geiseric, with about a hundred thousand of his people, passed over the strait into Africa. He soon quarrelled with Boniface and took his revenge by overrunning and ravaging the country. By the year 439 Carthage was taken and the whole province was in his hands. The north of Africa, one of the richest provinces in the Empire, now became the kingdom of the Vandals.

The kingdom of the Alamanni was composed of fragments of many German tribes, who established themselves in the territory now known as the Black Forest, and the northern part of Switzerland, where their dialect is still spoken in the rural districts. They spread out, and in time occupied the eastern bank of the upper Rhine and a part of the valley of the Main and the Neckar They were probably a loose confederation of tribes without a central government.

The Burgundians left their home between the Oder and the Vistula about the middle of the third century, and in a few years

The Burgun— we find them on the Rhine and the Main. The dians. territory about Worms was granted them in 413.

After various fortunes the Emperor's officer, Aetius, transferred them to the territory south of Lake Geneva, on both sides of

¹This dialect can claim something of a literature, e.g., Hebel's Allemannische Gedichte.

the Rhone (c. 443). Here they gradually extended their power till, in 473, they had reached the Mediterranean. The scene of many parts of the "Nibelungen Lied" is laid in and about Worms, and contains the Burgundian traditions of that period

After taking possession of southeastern Europe in the last quarter of the fourth century, the course of the Huns to the west was temporarily checked. They seem not Attila and to have remained long united, but broke up into the Huns. groups, some of which went into the service of the Empire After a while a new leader appeared in the person of Rugilas (also called Ruas, Roilas, and Rugas), who did much to bring them together again. His capital was in Pannonia, which had fallen into his hands. At his death (435) he was succeeded by two nephews, Bleda and Attila, who ruled jointly till about 444, when Attila caused Bleda to be assassinated that he might become sole ruler.

By diplomatic means, as well as by force, Attila united all the peoples, of whatever race, between the Volga and the Rhine With an army composed largely of Huns and Germans he more than once ravaged the Eastern Empire He even crossed into Asia and carried the war into Armenia, Syria, the valleys of the Tigris and Euphrates, and threatened Persia. Constantinople was in danger from him, and was compelled to pay a heavy ransom.

At length, in 450, he turned his attention to the west. With an immense army he crossed the Rhine, ravaged northern Gaul, and was moving toward the south when his march was stopped by the defence of Orleans Aetius, the commander of the imperial army in the west, gathered together all the forces possible and went to assist the city Attila withdrew to the "Catalaunian Fields" (the exact location of which is unknown), where he was defeated (451) in a great battle. He retreated to his capital in Pannonia, a village near the modern Tokai, on the Theiss river. The next summer he invaded at &

ravaged all northern Italy, but was compelled to retreat, because of the fever which broke out in his army and the approach of the army under Aetius. He died in 453, in a drunken stupor. The story of the embassy of the Bishop of Rome, Leo the Great, to Attila, is probably legendary. Frightened at the approach of Attila, many of the people about the mouth of the Po escaped to the islands and made a settlement, which was the beginning of the city of Venice.

Though a Barbarian, Attila was by no means a savage He practised the arts of diplomacy, often sent and received embassies, and respected the international laws and customs which then existed His residence presented a strong mixture of barbarism and luxury. His small wooden houses were filled with the rich plunder carried off in his many invasions of Roman territory. He despised Rome and her civilization, and hoped to erect an empire of his own on her ruins. He had among his following several Greeks, through whose written accounts of him, his conquests, and his kingdom, he hoped to become immortal. He may be compared to the great oriental warriors Ghengis Khan and Timourlane.

At his death his Empire fell rapidly to pieces. His son, Ella, attempted to quell the revolting tribes, but lost his life in battle, 454. All the German and Slavic peoples which had obeyed Attila and added to his strength now became independent again.

Before tracing the history of the East Goths further it is necessary to look at the condition of Italy Rome had been condition of steadily declining ever since the change in the Italy. form of government Much of the prestige that had once been hers now belonged to the Emperor, the government was in his hands. It was no longer possible for the Emperor to reside at Rome. He was needed on the frontier, where nearly all the difficulties were The government could no longer be administered from Rome Nor was it possible for one man to fill the office of Emperor. His duties and difficul-

ties were too great He was needed in too many places at once, and there were too many dangers constantly threatening It was necessary for him to have assistance of some kind. During the first two centuries it often happened that the Emperor chose his successor and joined him with himself in the government, a plan which seems not to have worked successfully Diocletian was the next to attempt a solution by making two Emperors and two Cæsars, but this plan also failed In the last half of the fourth century there were Two Emperors two Emperors, who were in all respects equal and but one Empire. exercised the imperial power jointly There was no thought of dividing the Empire There was one government carried on by two Emperors At the death of Theodosius (395) the power passed to his two sons, who were to rule, Arcadius in the east, and Honorius in the west

The question of a capital or residence had to be settled. Arcadius fixed his court at Constantinople, which had been fortified and beautified by Constantine It was Rome no longer apparent from the first that Constantine had the Capital. wisely chosen Byzantium for a residence in the east. lay on the confines of Asia and Europe, was convenient to the seats of war, Persia and the Danube, and was altogether a strategic position. Moreover, it was easily defended, being a natural stronghold It commanded the sea, a fact of the utmost importance for both war and trade. Its beautiful curved harbor, the centre of the world's commerce during the Middle Age, has been properly called "the Golden Horn," because of its shape and the wealth it has brought to the city As a residence of the Emperor in the east, Constantinople was without a rival Honorius for awhile kept his court at Rome, but finally removed it to Ravenna This was a further step in the humiliation of Rome Her glory was rapidly departing

The fifth century was a period of wars and anarchy in the Empire. The Emperors were weak, profligate, and often vicious. They thought only of their own pomp and pleasure

and were utterly regardless of the interests of the state. They shut themselves up in their castles while wave after wave of barbarian invasion swept over the unhappy land. Anarchy in the The ruling power was with the army, which was west, especially in Italy. itself largely composed of Barbarians, principally Herulians, Scirians, and Turcilingians If their wages were not promptly paid, as was often the case, the soldiers plundered the nearest village or town. Their insolence and violence increased as they saw the helpless condition of the country. Learning of the success of the other Barbarians who had seized various parts of the country, they began to demand that one-third of the soil be given them At this time Romulus Augustulus, a mere boy, was Emperor He had been raised to the throne by his father, Orestes, who had been one of Attıla's followers, but was now the leading man in Italy. He was the power behind the throne, controlling everything in the name of his son. He refused to grant the request of the German troops

Odovaker, a German from Pannonia, had some time before this come into Italy to seek his fortune. It is not known to what tribe he belonged. He is made by different writers to be a Rugian, Herulian, and Scirian. He was a man of courage and ability, and had made a great reputation in the army as a warrior. He now called the mercenaries to his aid, promising to get for them by force all that had been denied them if they would but rally around him. With the large numbers that immediately joined him he besieged Orestes in Pavia. As the city was about to be taken Orestes fled, but was caught and put to death in the neighborhood of Piacenza.

The little Emperor, Romulus Augustulus, was compelled to come before the Senate and resign, and was then banished to a — 11a near Naples — At the command of Odovaker the Senate sent the imperial insignia and standards to Zeno, the Emperor in the east, and informed him that there was no need of an

Empe or in the west since one Emperor was able to protect both the east and the west. They gave their consent to the removal of the capital from Rome to Constantinople and renounced the right of electing the Emperor. Emperor. They asked him to confer the honorary and indefinite title of Patricius on Odovaker, and to invest him with the administration of the government of Italy. In accordance with the hesitating policy of the eastern Emperors, the request was neither granted nor refused. Zeno rebuked them for some things, praised them for others, and treated Odovaker as Patricius without actually committing himself.

Odovaker was not in the least disturbed by this He assumed the title of Patricius and was called king of the combined Barbarians in Italy He now gave one- Odovaker govthird of the lands to his troops. He ruled Italy erns Italy. well, restoring the office of consul in Rome (482), and renewing and preserving the institutions and laws of the city. Theoretically his government was under the control of the eastern Emperor, but practically he was almost independent. He put down a revolt in Dalmatia and punished some barbarian invaders along the Drave and Save rivers. He restored peace, enforced the laws, and gave Italy an excellent govern-Prosperity was rapidly returning and Italy was beginning to recover from the long period of misrule and violence His success led to his downfall In 487 he attacked the Rugians in Pannonia, defeated them and carried off Frederick, the son of their king Feletheus Frederick, however, quickly made his escape and fled to the East Goths and begged their king Theoderich to avenge him The East Goths had not laid aside their hostility to the Empire. They ravaged its territory whenever they wished to demand more tribute or compel some of their requests to be granted. Theoderich the About 462 they invaded Illyria, but were bought Great. off by the Emperor Their king, Theodemir, sent his son Theoderich, then about seven years old, as hostage to Constantinople, where he remained till he reached his eighteenth year. This long residence in the capital had a great effect on the young barbanan prince, and its influence can be plainly traced in his later years. After returning to his people he became king at the death of his father (about 475). The Emperor Zeno favored him and his people in many ways, but was often compelled to fight against them.

When the young prince Frederick fled to him, Theoderich asked permission of the Emperor to go into Italy, promising to drive out Odovaker and restore the country to the Empire There was no reason why Zeno should be dissatisfied with Odovaker, but he wished to get rid of the troublesome East Goths Accordingly he gave an ambiguous reply, which seemed to authorize Theoderich to proceed, and yet might later be explained satisfactorily to Odovaker if he should overcome Theoderich

During the summer of 488 Theoderich gathered his people together at Nova, where his residence was, and in the autumn set out for Italy The hostile Gepidæ and other Fast Goths invade Italy. tribes opposed their passage, which had to be won after hard fighting A part of the winter was spent in camp, and in the spring of 489 they pushed on by way of the In the autumn of the same year they entered Italy and defeated Odovaker in various engagements battle at Verona. Odovaker was driven from the field derich, although an Arian, gained the support of the orthodox bishops, and by their help established himself in the north of Italy. In another battle, near Mılan, Odovaker was again defeated, and then shut himself up in Ravenna After a three years' siege Odovaker made terms with Theoderich by which both were to rule in Italy During the celebration of the peace thus concluded, Theoderich had Odovaker basely murdered Being now without a rival, Theoderich took full possession of the country, assigned land to his people, and established them in fixed residence The government was in his hands, and he ruled as king of the East Goths

Around the lower Rhine there were several tribes, such as the Sugambri, Chamavi, Attuarii, Ampsivarii, Chatti, Teucteri, Bructeri, and others, who in some way came to be called Franks. The origin and meaning of the name are unknown. The most important divisions were known as the Salians, near the mouth of the Rhine, and the Ripuarians near Cologne. During the fourth and fifth centuries they gradually spread by conquest to the south. They were not yet united, there being several independent kings among them, each ruling over his own group or tribe

About the middle of the fifth century a tribe of Salian Franks comes into notice under their king, Childeric Several other kings are mentioned, among them, Meroveus, from whom the later dynasty takes its names, but all these are probably legendary At the death of Childeric, 481, his son, Chlodwig (Clovis, Louis, Ludwig), suc ceeded him and began a remarkable career of conquest which ended in the union of all the Franks under his sceptre. The kingdom of the Franks may be regarded as beginning with the accession of Chlodwig to the throne

The territory between the mouth of the Rhine and the straits leading into the Baltic was occupied by several tribes, the most important of which were the Friesians, the The invasions of Britain. Century these peoples began to ravage the coast of Britain, and eventually, probably in 449, made the beginning of a settlement on the coast of Kent After a bitter struggle, which lasted nearly twenty-five years, the whole of Kent fell into the hands of the Jutes

Almost all of the south shore, west of Kent, was seized by Saxons, who settled in groups known as the South Saxons, the West Saxons, and the Middle Saxons There was also a settlement of Jutes on the Isle of Angles in Wight, and one in the neighborhood of Southampton The East Saxons occupied the territory north of the

mouth of the Thames. The district between the Stour and the Wash, now known as East Anglia, was seized by Angles, who were divided into two groups, the North Folk, and the South Folk Other Angles made settlements all along the east coast, as far north as the Firth of Forth.

Reenforcements were regularly received for a long time, and the invaders were engaged in constant warfare with the Romanized Kelts of the country, who were gradually driven back. In about two hundred years the Kelts were practically confined to Wales and Cornwall. Many of the Kelts who were driven out by the Saxons crossed over to Gaul and settled in the ancient Armorica, to which they now gave the name of Brittany. The various Germanic kingdoms thus established in Britain were for some centuries to struggle for the leadership, until finally the West Saxons should succeed in making themselves master of all the territory

Besides the German tribes, thus far mentioned, there were others, still uninfluenced by the Romans, occupying territory other German outside of the Empire Such were the Thurintribes.

Bavarians, the Lombards, Saxons, Danes, and others, all of whom were yet to play an important part in the history of Europe

The results of this period of invasions may be summed up as follows. North Africa was now occupied by the kingdom of the summary of Vandals, who, in the year 406, under the leader-results. Ship of Geiseric had left their home in central Europe and passed through Gaul and Spain. In the north-western part of Spain was the kingdom of the Suevi, who had made the same journey as the Vandals. In northeastern Spain and southern Gaul was the kingdom of the West Goths, who, under the leadership of Alaric, Athaulf, and Walia, had made the long journey from the lower Danube through the Balkan peninsula and Italy to their final home on both sides of the Pyrenees. The Burgundians had established their kingdom in the Rhone valley, after leaving their settlement about Worms,

on the Rhine The kingdom of the Alamanni was in northern Switzerland and the Black Forest, and was composed of several confederate tribes. The East Goths, under their king Theoderich, held a large part of Italy. Northern Gaul was now the kingdom of the Franks. Butain was occupied by several small kingdoms, and there were other German tribes, still untouched by Roman influence, dwelling east of the Rhine. Certain other once powerful tribes, among them the Gepidæ, the Herulians, the Rugians, and the Scirians, had now wholly disappeared, having been destroyed in the long struggle with each other and with Rome. The great stretch of territory between the Elbe and the Vistula which had once been full of Germans, was now occupied by Slavic peoples who had moved west and taken possession of the territory deserted by the Germans.

This was also a period of unification. The many small tribes, or Gaue, lost their independent existence and were united under one head who was now called king. The kingship was developed during the migrations. By electing a king the Germans gave expression to their national consciousness. They thereby differentiated themselves from the Romans and put themselves into direct opposition to them.

But'the German invaders had not taken possession of all the west. The Emperor still had his officers in southern Italy, in Ravenna, in some places in Spain, and in the valley of the Seine and the middle Loire.

CHAPTER III

THE HISTORY OF THE NEW CHRISTIAN GERMAN STATES

1. THE GENERAL CONDITION OF THE GERMANS IN THEIR NEW HOME

BEFORE settling on Roman soil all the German tribes except the Franks had become Christian. Little is known of the process of Christianization Captives, exiles, trad-The Germans and Arianism. ers, soldiers, and missionaries, all probably had something to do with it, but we cannot follow the work step Ulfilas worked north of the Danube, among the West by step Goths, from 340 to 348, and was then transferred with his following to Mœsia We have no further record of his activity among the Germans north of the Danube But Christianity spread rather rapidly among all the Germans who had left their homes. Their worship was local, and intimately connected with groves, caves, trees, and other great or mysterious natural objects. These, of course, could not be taken with them on the march, consequently, when they left their homes, they left their religion behind them While in this condition they came into contact with Christianity, and being naturally strongly religious, accepted it apparently without much diffi-It was quite different with the Saxons and other German peoples in the north, who never left their homes, and consequently did not lose their attachment to their local gods Unfortunately for their future development, they all took the form of Christianity known as Arianism, which was destined, by the progress of doctrine in the Church, to be regarded as The question at issue was in regard to the person of Jesus and his relation to God.

There were many things to prevent the quick fusion of the Germans with the conquered Romans among whom they The Romans still had much of the ancient contempt for Barbarians, and the Germans repaid this feeling with interest because of the degeneracy and cowardice of the Romans To the German, personal courage was so great a

Relations between the Germans and Romans. Hindrances to fusion.

virtue that he could have no respect for those who were without Added to this was the fact that they stood to each other in the relation of conquerors and vanquished The Germans took on the airs of conquerors, which, of course, made the position of the Romans more galling, since they were in this way constantly reminded of the presence of foreigners whose only right was that of conquest*

Wherever the Germans settled they took at least a part of It cannot always be clearly made out just how much of the land was taken, nor what the methods of appropriation It was customary among the Romans and distribution were to quarter soldiers upon the inhabitants The house (not the land), was divided into three parts, of which the owner took the first choice, the soldier then chose one of the other two parts for himself, and the remaining part fell to the owner The soldier thus quartered was euphemistically said to be the guest of the proprietor. This custom probably served in part as a model for the division of the soil between the Romans and the Germans Odovaker took one-third of the land in Italy for his army, and Theoderich seems to have taken this same one-third and given it to his East Goths. Probably also some other lands were seized by him In Africa, Geiseric appropriated to himself many of the large estates, making royal domains of them Others he gave to his army and left in the possession of the Romans only the poorest lands The West Goths took two-thirds of the land, or even more, and the Burgundians claimed one-third of the tillable soil and all the woods. Anglo-Saxons probably took all the soil, at any rate they

took the best and as much more as they wished. This seizure of land was felt by the Romans to be robbery, and of course it embittered them.

Heavy taxes were assessed on the Romans, while the Germans refused to pay them because they appeared to them as a mark of servitude The burdens thus put on the Romans were very grievous, and the injustice of them kept alive the hatred of their oppressors.

One of the greatest hindrances to the free intercourse and fusion of conquerors and conquered was the difference in religion. All the Germans except the Franks were Arians, the Romans. Satholic. Undue weight was attached to the creed, and the orthodox provincials hated their heretical masters. The two peoples did not have the same priests and did not attend the same services.

And yet it was inevitable that they should in time mingle As the Germans became more civilized and more or less imitated their neighbors, the differences between Vet fusion the two races would tend to disappear lived together the good qualities of the one would become more apparent to the other The compulsory military service of the Germans was sometimes extended to the Romans, and the good comradeship thus produced between them quickly diminished the hostility. Besides, in a few years nearly all the Arians, thanks to the efforts of the Popes and the orthodox bishops, were converted to the orthodox faith. The most serious obstacle to their association was thereby removed. They now frequented the same churches and were cared for by the same priests common faith forbade their being enemies and reminded them constantly that they were brethren Intermarriages became more frequent and inequalities of all kinds began to disappear.

One of the most important differences between the two races was their fundamentally different legal conceptions. The Romans expected the government to do everything for them. The regularly appointed officers of the state must enforce all

laws, punish offenders, and preserve the peace. Law belonged to the state But among the Germans law was a private The German inherited his law from possession Legal differences. his father, and it was impossible for him to No matter where he went he took alienate this inheritance this law with him, and demanded that he be tried not according to the laws of the country in which he was, but according to his own tribal law. Each people had its own body of laws which differed in some respects from those of the others. The East Goths in Italy, the West Goths in Spain and Gaul, the Vandals in Africa, insisted on having justice done them according to their own laws. This conception is known as "personality of law" as opposed to "territoriality of law"

Any one who was accused of a crime might clear himself by swearing that he was innocent and bringing a certain number of persons who would swear that they believed he was telling These were called his compurgators No account was taken of witnesses or testimony. If an accused person could find no compurgators, the trial took the form of an ordeal. There were many kinds of ordeals, such as the The German wager of battle, a kind of duel in which the victor was decided to have won the case, the boiling caldron. from which the accused must take an object with his bare hand without sustaining any injury; the cold-water ordeal, in which the water having first been blessed and thereby made holy would, it was believed, refuse to receive any guilty person; accordingly if the accused sank he was regarded as innocent. ordeal of red-hot irons the defendant must either walk over them or carry one of them in his hands a certain distance without receiving any injury The ordeal by lot was also practised, and somewhat later the ordeal of the euchanist which it was supposed the guilty would be unable to swallow. The Church endeavored constantly to control more and more the legal forms and introduced many such "judgments of God" offences, even for murder, there was a fixed fine. A man's

value was estimated according to his rank, and any violence done him was finable in the same ratio. The man's estimated value was called his Wergeld, or man-money. The laws went into the minutest details and named a fine for an injury done to any part of the body.

The laws of the Germans were unwritten, and consequently would be easily influenced by the customs of the people among whom they settled. When they observed that changes and uncertainty were stealing into their legal forms and Leges Barbarorum. principles, and perhaps also influenced by the fact that the Roman laws were written, they were gradually induced to put their laws to writing. Some of these barbarian codes have been preserved and are important sources of our knowledge of the conditions that prevailed among the Ger-There are two important collections of Frankish laws, the Lex Salica, and the Lex Ripuariorum; others were the Lex Wisigothorum, the Lex Burgundionum, the Lex Frisionum, the Lex Saxonum, and the Edictum Theoderici of the East Goths These were all written in Latin Of all the Germans who settled on Roman soil, only the Anglo-Saxons preserved their nationality and their language; they reduced their laws to writing in their mother tongue.

The Edictum Theoderici is almost wholly Roman, and not German The edicts of the Lombard kings are very slightly influenced by Roman law The Lex Burgundionum and the Lex Wisigothorum tried to distinguish between their laws and those of the Romans, while the laws of the Saxons, Friesians, and Anglo-Saxons bear little or no trace of Roman influence. As the invading and invaded peoples united, there was also a fusion of their laws, so that in the end there was practically but one law for all. The Church also gradually influenced the legislation, introducing new customs and destroying or modifying the old.

During the wanderings we have seen that the kingship was developed among the German tribes, and also that the small

leaders of the Gaue disappeared. The many fragments were united into one people ruled over by a king This led to the formation of a court with a new kind of nobility Kingship, court, Imitating the Emperor, the king surrounded nobility. himself with a good deal of ceremony. He had a "major domus," who became his prime-minister, a chamberlain, a marshal, and many other officials These were probably at first regarded as servants, but the dignity of their master was transferred to them, because of their close connection with In the end they were enrolled among the nobility. There were two other ways of entering the ranks of the nobility through the Church and by the possession of much land. The Gefolge, or immediate followers of the king, were generally recompensed by means of large tracts of land, and sometimes also by being made officials
In either case they were almost sure to obtain a position among the nobility. As the Church grew, many bequests were made, especially to the monasteries and the large churches of the cities. These lands were controlled by the abbots and bishops, who thereby were reckoned among the great land-holders. They had even more power, because of the sacred character which they held in the eyes of the people, and because of the learning, of which they may be said to have had a monopoly It was very natural, therefore, that the high officials of the Church should also rank with the nobles, serve the king with their counsels, and hold high places of trust at his court It is not uncommon to find clergymen holding the highest offices in the state. So thoroughly did they become a part of the nobility that they were soon known as ecclesiastical princes.

2. THE VANDALS (429-533)

In 429 the Vandals went into Africa, and ten years later, when Carthage fell, the province was in their hands They numbered less than a hundred thousand, of whom about thirty

thousand were probably fighting men Geiseric at once made himself master of a fleet and quickly had all the islands of the western Mediterranean in his possession King Geiseric. attacked the coast of Italy, and in 455 he even took and sacked Rome, carrying off as prisoner Eudoxia, the daughter of the Emperor Valentinian III. She was later marned to his son and successor, Hunneric Geiseric was a wily diplomat as well as an able commander. He often entered into diplomatic relations with the Emperors and also with Odovaker, and succeeded more than once in securing treaties with them, which confirmed him in his possession of Africa and the islands. Being an Arian, he bitterly harassed and persecuted the orthodox Roman provincials He won their further hatred by oppressing them financially After having seized their best lands he compelled them to pay heavy taxes Fearing revolt, he dismantled the walls of all the important places except Carthage, where he himself resided He died in 477, and was succeeded by his son Hunneric (477-84), who had all his father's vices without any of his virtues and ability. During his reign the Moors regained much territory on the south. made himself more odious than even his father his successors. by his persecutions of the Catholics, many of whom he put to death or mutilated At his death his nephew, Gunthamund (484-96), was made king He did not perse-The Moors overran much cute, but his reign was disastrous of his territory, and during the reign of his brother, Thrasamund (496-523), they reconquered the coast from Tangiers to Thrasamund made an alliance with Theoderic the Great, receiving his sister, Amalafrida, in marriage foreign relations he was controlled by Theoderic, while at home he renewed the persecutions of the orthodox Christians with much severity

His cousin Hilderic, the son of Hunneric, succeeded him (523-30). Hilderic had been brought up as a Catholic, and therefore favored the orthodox. His Arian subjects were

thereby alienated Amalafrida led a revolt against him, but was taken prisoner and put to death (526). His inglorious reign was ended in 530 by the successful revolt of Justinian interhis cousin Gelimer, who made the king prisonferes. End of the kingdom. er and afterward put him to death Tustinian. who had recently come to the throne in the east, now determined to reconquer the west. He began with an attack on the kingdom of the Vandals In 533 he sent his general, Belisarius, with a small force, which in less than a year destroyed the flower of the Vandal power, took Gelimer prisoner, and reduced Africa again to the position of a province of the Em-Gelimer was taken to Constantinople and given a large estate in Galatia, where he spent the rest of his life. Many of the Vandals perished in the war. Those who were left either migrated or were lost in the population about them.

3. THE SUEVI (419-585)

The kingdom of the Suevi embraced a large amount of territory in northwestern Spain, with Braga as the residence of its kings. The Suevi mingled very little with the provincials and the religious hatred between them was strong. A weak king-The kingship was elective, and there were fredom. quent civil wars between the candidates for the crown nearly a hundred years we scarcely know more than the names of the kings Under Recchiar (448-57) orthodox Christianity was confessed, but a later king brought the people back to Arianism Theodemir (d 573) again became Catholic and made war on the West Goths. He was for awhile successful. the provincials receiving him gladly, because of Rade subject to their desire to escape from the rule of the heretical the West Goths. West Goths; but he was finally compelled to do homage to Leovigild, king of the West Goths His successor, Miro (573-85), revolted, and under the usurper Andeca (585), Leovigild invaded the kingdom, took Andeca prisoner, and made the Suevi a part of the kingdom of the West Goths.

4. THE WEST GOTHS (415-711)

It was the work of Athaulf (410-15) and Walia (415-19) to establish the West Goths in southwestern Gaul and northeastern Spain By conquest and treaty they Fæderati. soon acquired the territory as far north as the Loire, as well as a large part of Spain. They were regarded as "fcederati," and were, therefore, supposed to be at peace with the Empire, but there were revolts whenever their interests clashed with those of the Emperor Theoderic Many able kings. I (419-51), after a long reign lost his life in the battle against Attila. His son Thorismund succeeded him, but was murdered on his return to Toulouse The power of Theoderic II (453-66) was sufficient to set up a new Emperor, Avitus, who was recognized in Gaul, but was unable to get control of Italy. Theoderic II was murdered by his brother Euric (466-83), who then became king He atoned for his crime by giving the West Goths the best rule they had ever had, extending their territory and collecting their laws Euric was, during the last years of his life, the most powerful king among the Barbarians

Euric gradually extended his territory in both Gaul and He had a body of Roman laws (the so-called Breviary of Alaric) drawn up for his Roman subjects, the West Gothic laws were collected for his German subjects Additions were constantly made to these latter, and successive editions of them appeared till, in 693, their character was very largely changed. They were no longer personal, but territorial By that time the process of fusion of the two peoples was almost complete. Euric's wisdom in allowing each people to live according to its own laws is seen by the fact that there was little friction between them The Germans and the provincials lived quietly side by side, with little to vex their peace, except the religious Very naturally the West Gothic clergy were all in favor of the orthodox Franks, and in the time of the strug-

gle did all they could for their success. It is hardly too much to say that the clergy were the real cause of the downtair of the barbarian kingdoms No government could Opposition of exist without their assistance, and, on the other the Catholic clergy. hand, it is clear that the Franks owed much of their success to the support which they received from The Frankish kingdom was growing, and it was the Church. inevitable that there would be a struggle between the Franks and the West Goths for the possession of Gaul. This began in 507, and in about four years the West Goths had lost nearly all their land north of the Pyrenees Although they regained a small part of it temporarily, in the end the West Goths were driven out of Gaul, and it was clear that their future was to be For nearly one hundred years they made very ın Spain little progress because of intestine wars In 531 the last member of their royal house died, and the The crown elective. crown became wholly elective The nobles fought much over the honor of the election, and often the king was murdered by someone who wished to usurp the power about a hundred and eighty years there were twenty-five kings, seven of whom were murdered, and eight deposed Revolts and violent struggles were common and prevented the growth of the kingdom.

In 572 Leovigild became sole ruler, and began his remarkable reign. He had been associated with his brother Leova in the government and had already done good service against the troops of the Emperor, who now held some territory on the southeastern coast of Spain After reducing the imperial officers to a line of fortresses from Lagos to Carthagena, he repelled the advance of the Suevi and made their king his vassal. In many parts of his kingdom there were local revolts among the provincials which he vigorously quelled. His rebellious nobles were next punished. He was then master throughout his realm. He chose Toledo as his residence and began to imitate the Emperor in the splendor of

his court and in all the insignia of royalty. As if to mark his independence, he began to coin money in his own name with that of the Emperor, but he soon omitted the latter years were troubled by the revolt of his son Hermanegild, who became orthodox and headed a revolt of the Catholics against Hermanegald made an alliance with the Suevi and his father with the Emperor's officials, and was supported by most of the orthodox provincials Leovigild, however, met the revolt in a masterly way. He attacked the insurgent forces before they could unite, took his son prisoner, conquered the Suevi, deprived Sueviconquered. them of their king, and made them a part of his Hermanegild had married a Frankish kıngdom The Franks defeated. princess, and in his struggle with his father had the help of the Franks These now undertook to avenge his death, and invaded the kingdom of the West Goths defeat only added to the glory of Leovigild, The West Gothe although he died from the effects of the hard become orthodox. winter campaign, in April, 586 His son. Reccared (586-601), saw that the fatal weakness of the kingdom was the religious difference which made it impossible for the king to count on the faithfulness of his subjects accordingly, after holding several councils of both Arian and Catholic bishops, declared himself a Catholic. His example was followed by his nobles and people in large numbers. small minority, however, remained Arian and conspiled against him, but was easily put down

Reccared based his state upon the support of the bishops. There were about sixty bishops in Spain who were now invited to meet the king. They outnumbered the nobles the clergy. Who were in the council The latter were not so well educated, and not so closely attached to each other as were the bishops. Added to this were the spiritual powers and prerogatives of the bishops, which put them in the position to dictate to the king and to determine his policy in all things. That the legislation was greatly influenced by them is

The catholic clergy of the kingdom was rich, powerful, and hostile to Arianism As a matter of course, the king, although an Arian, had a part in the church government. Religious opposition. calling the church councils, confirming their actions, and controlling to a certain extent the appointment of The orthodox bishops resented this, and as soon as the king of the Franks became Catholic, they looked to him as the coming deliverer from heretical rule. Chlodwig himself attacked the Burgundians and reduced them to a kind of vassal-The struggle was continued in 523 and 524 with little suc-But in 532 the war was begun again, and cess. Conquered by the Franks, 534. ended in 534, with the extinction of the Burgundian kingdom Their last king, Gundomar, disappeared, and Burgundy 1 was made a part of the Frankish kingdom.

6. THE ALAMANNI

The kingdom of the Alamanni attained to no great importance because of its lack of organization as well as because of subjected by the its short existence. For some years there was Franks, 496. much hostility between them and the Franks, who were pressing eastward. In the year 496 Chlodwig gained a decisive victory over them and reduced them to subjection. Their territory became a part of the Frankish kingdom.

7. THE EAST GOTHS (493-554)

The history of the East Goths is practically that of their great king Theoderic (d 526) The agreement made between Zeno and Theoderic before the invasion had been vague. After his success Theoderic took the title of king, and attempted to get an official recognition of his position from both Zeno and his suc-

¹See Bryce's Holy Roman Empire, Appendix A, for the different meanings of the word Burgundy in the Middle Age.

cessor, Anastasius. It was not until 497 that his ambassador, Festus, succeeded in appeasing the Emperor and securing a sort of recognition for Theoderic Anastasius sent him the "ornaments of the palace which Odovaker had formerly sent to Constantine" It is probable that the official title of Theoderic was "King of the Goths and Romans in Italy" There was always some vagueness and uncertainty about his rights and title, because the eastern Emperor hoped to be able some time to regain full control of the west, and consequently was as non-committal as possible. Practically Theoderic was king, and exercised all the powers of a king

The East Goths were unlettered, and therefore Theoderic was compelled to use Romans to fill all the offices of state. It was to his credit that he was able to appreciate talent for such work and kept himself surrounded with the best men Cassiodorus, a Roman of noble birth, became his prime-minister, and served him well, both as counsellor and historian. We owe much of our knowledge of the period to his literary remains

Theoderic developed an activity of the very widest range The aqueducts and walls of many cities were restored, roads repaired, marshes drained, mines reopened, fac-The rule of tories built, existing temples, and public build-Theoderic. ings repaired and new ones built, agriculture promoted, the strictest justice administered, peace preserved, and laws en-He gave Italy a new period of prosperity. this Theoderic shows the deep influence which Rome had had He wished to perpetuate the Roman state, and consequently preserved the old Roman offices and machinery of government as nearly intact as possible His legislation is represented by the "Edictum Theoderici," which is thoroughly Roman It seems that he tried to compel his Germans to live according to the Roman law. While he appreciated and admired the Roman civilization, he believed that it brought with it effeminacy. He was, therefore, unwilling that his

Goths should have any part in it. The education of the schools and the use of the pen were for the Romans, the practice of war, for his people.

In religious matters he had a singularly clear mind. Although an Arian, he refused to persecute the orthodox, and Religious free- even gave the Jews protection against their dom. Christian persecutors. He declared that no compulsion should be used in matters of faith, and that "to assume control over the beliefs and consciences of others was to usurp the prerogative of God." But toward the end of his reign he was guilty of persecution, though this was more for political than for religious reasons Boethius and Symmachus, two of his trusted officials, were put to death for what was supposed to be treasonable correspondence with the Emperor at Constantinople Some of the orthodox suffered, but it was really because of their own turbulence and fanaticism

Toward his barbarian neighbors in the west Theoderic had what may be called a consciously German policy. He felt that the future belonged to the Germans, if they His "German" would but unite and not destroy each other policy. Accordingly he attempted to bring them all into close alliance, hoping thereby to prevent all German wars. He gave his sister Amalafrida to the Vandal king Thrasamund; one of his daughters to Alaric, king of the West Goths, the other to Sigismund, the heir to the throne of the Burgundians; he himself married the sister of Chlodwig He also received embassies from the Thuringians and other German peoples in the For several years during the minority of the king he was the regent of the West Goths, and ruled them well. He did all he could to preserve the peace between his German neighbors, and endeavored to keep the balance of power and German leadership in his own hands.

The last years of Theoderic's life were unhappy His sister in Africa was imprisoned because of political reasons; he was not able to prevent the extension of the territory of the Franks

at the expense of other German peoples; the Arian faith seemed to be losing ground, and his kingdom was disturbed by political intrigues and religious turmoils. He had no son, and the husband of his daughter, Amalasuntha, had died, leaving a mere boy to succeed him in his difficult position. He died in the year 526. His tomb is still shown in Ravenna, though it is possible that this building was not erected till 530 by his daughter

His grandson, Athalaric, was made king, and Athalaric's mother, Amalasuntha, regent Cassiodorus still served them as prime-minister Athalaric was only ten years old His mother brought him up in the strictest way, giving him a Roman education. This was very displeasing to his Gothic subjects, who remonstrated with her, and finally secured the freedom of the young king Abusing his newly found liberty he gave himself up to vices which ended his life in 534 Since the Goths would not submit to the rule of a woman. Amalasuntha invited her cousin, Theodahad, to share her throne with her accepted, but basely imprisoned her and allowed her to be put to death by some of her enemies Justinian, the Justinian inter-Emperor, took this as a pretext for reconquering feres in Italy. Italy, and sent his general, Belisarius, who quickly overran the souther, part of the peninsula, occupied Rome, and captured Ravenna Theodahad had in the meanwhile been deposed, and Witiges made king, but the latter was captured in Ravenna and carried to Constantinople, where he afterward died Italy was again in the hands of the Emperor, but his officers abused their power to such an extent that all wished again for the Gothic supremacy This led to the election of another king. He was secure in the fortress of Pavia, though his army was small At this juncture Belisarius was called away to war against the Persians Ildibad was at once successful in a battle, and all the northern part of Italy fell into his hands. He was soon afterward assassinated, as was also his inefficient successor, Eraric Totala (his German name seems to have been

Balduma or Baduila) now became king (541), and in less than three years again had all of Italy in his possession was sent to Italy a second time, but without adequate troops or supplies, and was finally recalled He was replaced by Narses, who was almost his equal in all the arts of war defeated and slain in battle, and Narses was soon master of Teias was the last king of the East Italy End of the king-Goths, but his reign was brief He also was dedom of the East Goths, 553. feated and slain by Narses, and Italy was again made a part of the Empire (553) The remaining East Goths, who were unwilling to submit, were allowed to withdraw from In the autumn of 553 they left the country, marching to the north, and nothing more is known of them. Narses was made Exarch of Italy, with his residence at Ravenna. His victory over the East Goths had been greatly facilitated by the treachery and ambition of the Franks, who during this war had repeatedly invaded and devastated the northern part of Italy.

8. THE ANGLO-SAXONS (449-802)

The effects of the invasion of Britain by the German peoples from the coast north of the Rhine were great and various island had been pretty thoroughly Romanized. Effects of the There were cities with fine temples, baths, and German Invasion of Britain. public buildings; good roads bound all parts of the country together; the people had been Christianized; in the cities, at least, Latin was spoken, and they were not without the graces of Roman culture, art, and literature The invasion changed all this. The cities were deserted and fell into decay, for the Germans lived in the country; the roads were left to take care of themselves, the Christian Kelts were driven out, and Woden and Thor were worshipped, the invaders were still heathen, both the Latin and the Keltic languages disappeared, and only a German dialect was spoken. The civilization of

the Romans was utterly destroyed, and Britain fell back into the barbarism of the German forests.

The various settlements made were entirely independent of each other and were ruled over by "kings" The number of kingdoms thus formed varied from time to time There was constant warfare among them, and the leadership passed from one to another in rapid succession Eventually the struggle was confined to the three strongest kingdoms, Northumbria, Mercia (the March or Borderland), and Wessex. The victory fell to Ecgberht (802-30), the king of the West Ecgberht, 802-30 Overlord of He placed members of his own family all England. over Kent, Sussex, and Essex, while Northumbria, East Anglia, and Mercia were allowed to retain their kings, who acknowledged Ecgberht as their overlord. Out of this overlordship was to be developed, within two hundred years, the kingship of all England.

These Anglo-Saxons were Germans, and established in Britain a pure German state The Roman civilization was gone, and, consequently, there was nothing to prevent their England refree development along the lines peculiar to mains German. This is seen especially in their language and their Their Anglo-Saxon dialect developed into a literary language almost uninfluenced by Latin It was spoken everywhere, and as early as 680 Cædmon had sung the "Song of Creation" in his mother tongue, and parts, at least, of the heathen poem Beowulf were already in existence The laws of the people were also written down in Anglo-Saxon, not in Latin, as were the laws of all the Germanic kingdoms on the continent. laws show that the government, legal ideas, and customs, which the people had had on the continent had not been influenced by Rome and her civilization The result of this was that England now has the purest Germanic law of any country In Germany itself, owing to the later connection between that country and the Empire, Roman law prevailed over the Germanic.

The Anglo-Saxons parcelled out their lands to groups probably of about a hundred warriors The land which such a group received was then divided among them " Ham " and and they settled in villages Their residences were called after the name of the family, with the addition of "-ham" or "-tun" (English, "home" and "town," German, "Heim" and "Zaun") "Ham" had the meaning of "dwelling," and "tun" signified the wall or fence which enclosed the village or place of defence. The affairs of each township were managed by all the freemen of the Democratic government. village, who met to discuss and decide all public In the same way all the freemen of the Hundred met matters and determined all questions that concerned the welfare of the There was a still higher court, composed of all the freemen of the whole tribe. This was assembled whenever questions that concerned the whole tribe were to be decided or disputes between the Hundreds were to be settled. While this was the theory, it is probable that it was early found to be impracticable to get all the freemen together as often as was This led to the introduction of a kind of represendestrable tation A small number of men were sent from each township to the Hundredmoot, and the same number sent from each Hundred to the Folkmoot The same social distinctions were perpetuated as had existed among them on the continent were three classes the noblemen or ealdormen, the freemen or ceorls, and the slaves The comitatus was, of course, quickly modified, the followers of a leader being called thanes as soon as they got lands and left the immediate presence of their leaders

Christianity was driven out of Britain with its Keltic following, and replaced by the worship of the German gods. The relations between the Kelts and the Germans were so hostile that little or nothing was done by the former to Christianize the latter. The Christian Kelts of Wales seem to have had no missionary spirit, but those of Ireland were just now setting for themselves the task of converting the world. In the fifth cen-

tury Ireland had been Christianized, probably by Saint Patrick, and the church received a sort of episcopal form of government Being largely cut off from intercourse with the churches on the continent, the church of Ireland did not have the same development as they Therewere tianizes Scotland. differences as to the time of observing Easter. the form of tonsure, certain parts of the ritual, and the headship of the Bishop of Rome The Irish Church had great About 570 Saint Columba with twelve commissionary zeal panions was sent to the island of Iona on the Irish missionsouthwest coast of Scotland Columba founded aries in England. a monastery on the island, which became the religious capital of the north Through the efforts of these men Scotland (then called Caledonia) was Christianized, as well as the northern part of England Other missionary bands of thirteen members went to the continent, especially to Friesia, Belgium, France, and Germany In Burgundy, in the Apennines, and in the Alps, Keltic mis-On the Continent. signaries from Ireland established monasteries which became centres of light and learning. It seemed for awhile as if these Irish missionaries were about to realize their dream of Christianizing Europe

On his accession Oswald (634–42), king of Northumbria, having once been sheltered in the monastery of Iona, sent to its abbot for missionaries. Among others Saint Aidan came, who, by his wise moderation and sweet character, met with great success in his missionary efforts. It seemed for some time that the Church of Ireland would extend itself over the whole of Great Britain. Saint Cuthbert carried on the work of Aidan with good success, and many monasteries and churches arose. Lindisfarne was the mother monastery which furnished many missionaries, and became the centre of the religious activity for all Northumbria.

But there was another stream of missionary activity beginning now to move to the west, which had its source in Rome.

In 596 Gregory the Great. Bishop of Rome, sent a monk, Augustine there there there was soon as they should meet. They came face to face with each other in Northumbria. The struggle between the two Christianity as soon as they should meet. They came face to face with each other in Northumbria. The struggle between the two divisions of the Church became so bitter that the king and were face to face with each other in Northumbria.

Whitby, 664. England becomes Roman Catholic. called a council at Whitby (664) to discuss the questions at issue between them. The authority of Saint Peter outweighed that of Saint Columba, and the king decided that he would follow the

faith and party of the orthodox Church — It was an important decision, for it affected all the future of English history— It brought England into close connection with the continent, and especially with the Bishop of Rome—Through the Church the influence of Rome in England was assured—Roman law, usages, and modes of thought, in short, the remains of Rome's civilization, were imported into England, greatly to her advantage—Later these advantages were to become smaller and the disadvantages very much greater; but for the present it was highly beneficial to England

Theodore of Tarsus, a learned Greek, came to England as Archbishop of Canterbury (669–90), and by virtue of his high One Church, position organized the English Church around one kingdom. Canterbury as the centre and head. He divided all the territory into bishoprics, and introduced the parish system. Of course, the whole was bound to the Bishop of Rome. The Church organization did not follow the boundaries of the kingdoms, but all were impressed with the fact that the

Church could recognize no political or national lines. The idea of the unity of the Church had great influence on the political ideas, and helped prepare the minds of the people for the idea of the political unity of the whole country

The learning of the monks of England was considerable. While Greek was utterly unknown in the west of Europe, it was mastered by many of the pupils of Theo- Monasticism and The monasteries contained many monks learning. Bede. who were excellent scholars Most famous of all was Bede, known as the Venerable Bede (673-735), a monk of Jarrow. He had for his pupils the six hundred monks of that monastery, besides the many strangers who came to hear him He gradually mastered all the learning of his day, and left at his death fortyfive volumes of his writings The most important of these are, "The Ecclesiastical History of the English," and his translation of the Gospel of John into English His writings were widely known and recognized throughout Europe oned all dates from the birth of Christ, and through his works this method became common in Europe. Owing to the large number of monasteries and monks in Northumbria, that part of England was far in advance of the south in civilization.

CHAPTER IV

THE REACTION OF THE EMPIRE AGAINST THE GERMANS

ALTHOUGH there was more or less friendly intercourse between the various Germanic kingdoms and the court of Constantinople, the situation was far from pleasing to the Emperor. The Barbarians had invaded were invaders his territory; they were unwelcome guests whom he must entertain because he did not have the power to drive them out. Of this weakness they took advantage, and ruled with such independence that their lands were practically cut off from the Such a loss of territory was regarded as a great disgrace, which could be removed only by the reconquest of the lost provinces. In an absolute government everything depends on the ability of the monarch The anarchy and violence of the fourth and fifth centuries were possible because of the weak Emperors and the internal feuds and dissensions rulers of these centuries were followed by a succession of able ones, chief of whom was Justinian In him the reaction against the Germans reached its highest point. Under Zeno (474-91), Anastasius I (491-518), and Justin I (518-27), the Empire slowly gathered strength, and the way was prepared for the brilliant activity of Justinian (527-65) period of helplessness and weakness was followed by a great revival of strength, in which the palmy days of the Empire seemed to return The imperial arms were again victorious, and large parts of the lost territory were reconquered and again united to the Empire.

Justinian has been called Great, though his right to the title has often been questioned. He was exceedingly versatile and

clever, and his interests were of the widest range terested in building and architecture, in law and theology, in commerce and manufactures, in war, diplomacy, and the art of governing. He was able to select men of ability to fill the highest positions and to work for him, he was inflexible in will and persisted with the greatest determination in a policy which he had once adopted.

His attention was soon called to the condition of the laws. They had never yet been collected and thoroughly sifted and codified. There were many inconsistencies and contradictions among them; consequently the administration of justice was very difficult. To remedy this, Justinian apcodification of pointed a commission, with Tribonian at its head, to collect, harmonize, and arrange the laws of the Empire. This was done in such a way that all the earlier collections were made useless, and hence were soon destroyed. The collections of Ulpian, Paulus, Gaius, and others, were unfortunately lost in this way, greatly to our regret

The laws themselves were gathered into one collection which has ever since been called the Codex of Justinian seems to have used the utmost freedom in his treatment of the text of the laws. Many changes were made in order to reduce them to harmony He has been much blamed for this, but the practical ends in view probably made it necessary Besides the laws, the opinions, explanations, and decisions of famous judges and lawyers were collected As in the practice of law to-day, much regard was had for precedent and decisions in similar cases. To facilitate the use of these, they were brought together from all quarters This collection was called the Pan-For the use of law students, a treatise on the general principles of Roman law was prepared, which was called the Institutes Justinian himself carefully kept the laws which he promulgated, and afterward published them under the title of " Novellæ"

This collection of the laws was Rome's greatest legacy to

after times. It was the ripe product of centuries of legal development. It was essentially pagan. It had been influenced by Christianity for only a comparatively short time. Although it was pagan, yet it was adopted by the Christian nations, just as the pagan philosophy had been adopted by the Church. It never became the law of England or of America, but all the other nations of Europe are still governed by it.

Immense sums of money were necessary to carry on the work which Justinian wished to do. Many churches were built by him, the most famous of which is Saint Sophia. Taxation. The walls and numerous forts with which he sought to protect the Empire cost much money Much fraud was practised in the administration of the army and in the collection of the taxes. Tustiman was lavish in all his expenditures, and the court was extravagant beyond measure. money for all these things had to be collected from the people, and consequently the taxes were so increased that the financial ruin of the people was only a question of time Justinian did much to foster commerce, but it seems that he also did it great damage by the heavy duties which he laid on both exports and imports. The Emperor himself held the monopoly in the silk trade

Under Justinian Byzantine art took on its final form. A fixed style of church architecture was developed, the principal characteristics of which are the cupola and the round arch. The churches were decorated with mosaics and paintings. In painting, also, certain types were accepted and forms established from which there could be no departure. They became orthodox and the Church would suffer no variation from them. These types and forms therefore existed for centuries without any change. In fact they are still observed and practised in the religious art of Russia and Greece

Justinian regarded himself as the final authority in all Church matters, both in doctrine and polity. He himself was orthodox, and believed that it was the duty of the state to put down all heresy Heretics were persecuted and deprived of the rights of citizenship. The question which agitated the Church of his time concerned the dual nature of Jesus. The Justinian and orthodox party declared that he had two natures, the human and the divine, while the other party maintained that he had but one The Empress Theodora had been won over by the latter party, which she secretly did much to favor Justinian's reign was filled with contentions about these questions. He treated the Bishops of Rome as his officials. When they displeased him, he ordered them to come to Constantinople, and he reprimanded, imprisoned, and even deposed and exiled them, as it seemed best to him

What may be called "home mission work" was carried on by some of the bishops at the command of Justinian There were still large numbers of pagans in the Empire. Nearly all the peasants were pagan, and even in Constantinople there were many to be found These were sought out and forced to accept Christianity or suffer great persecution Many were mutilated and their goods seized All pagans as well as heretics were excluded from the rights, privileges, and duties of citizenship

The greatest university of the world was, in this period, at Athens Its professors were wholly pagan So great was its fame, however, that even the Christian youth The University were sent there to be educated Some of the at Athens greatest of the Church fathers were trained in that university. Saint Basil the Great and Gregory Nazianzen studied there; the Emperor Julian the Apostate was a student there at the same time. In 529 Justinian closed the schools of Athens, and forbade heathen philosophers to teach. They were practically exiled. Many of them fled to Persia, where they hoped to find the fullest liberty. In this they were disappointed, and after enduring persecutions there, they returned to the west

The worst foes of the Emperor were the people of Constantinople, who, because of their turbulence, kept him constantly in fear of a rebellion and rendered it impossible for him to give his undivided attention to the weighty affairs of the state. There were two great factions in the capital, each of which had Factions in its partisans throughout the Empire These factions tions were divided on all questions, both political and religious Their most common place of meeting was the circus, where each party railed at the other and endeavored to win the favor and the patronage of the Emperor From the colors of the charioteers in the races the factions were known as the "Greens" and the "Blues" The Blues were orthodox and devoted to the house of Justinian, while the Greens were heterodox and secretly attached to the family of Anastasius

Probably religious differences were the cause of the deepest hatred and at the bottom of all the trouble, although ambition played a prominent part in it all The specula-Discussion of tive character of the Greek mind is a welltheological questions. During the long period in which known fact Christianity was fusing with the philosophy of the Greeks, and the dogmas of the Church were being developed in accordance therewith (that is, during the first eight centuries, although the highest activity was reached from the third to the sixth century), the Greek world was in a state of the greatest fermentation and discussion Even the humblest would have his say about the highest questions, and the greengrocer, the barber, and the cobbler were more interested in discussing metaphysical' questions with their customers than in serving them 1 The questions at issue were purely speculative in regard to the person of Jesus and his relation to God Arianism declared that Jesus was not God, and had not existed eternally but had been He occupied, however, a much higher place than created Orthodoxy was content with no other form of statement than one which would declare that Jesus "was the Son of God, begotten of the Father, before all the world, Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one sub-

¹ See Gibbon, chap. xxvii , where he quotes from Jortin.

stance with the Father "Furthermore, if Jesus was God, how was he at the same time man? What kind of body did he have? Did he have two natures, the divine and the human? How were these united? Did he have two wills, one the divine will, the other human? What was the relation between them? These and other similar questions were discussed, not only in the Church councils, but at the court, in the streets, in the places of business, and, indeed, wherever people came together. Their discussion and study absorbed the attention of the best talent of the day

Still worse, they were fused with politics, and every political question was at the same time a religious one. It was inevitable that such a combination should add to the mutual Theology and politics. hatred, intrigue, and treachery Justinian's ambition made it impossible for him to submit tamely to the tyranny of these factions For some years he found no means of overcoming them, but was compelled to suffer many indignities In 532, in consequence of a riot, Justinian at their hands seized some of the leaders of both factions and ordered them to be put to death. Two of them, however, were rescued by the Both parties now united to resist Justinian and besieged him in his palace They created a new Emperor, Hypatus, a nephew of Anastasius. Justinian lost courage and wished to flee, but the Empress Theodora refused to go with him, and persuaded him to stay and fight for his throne imperial guard under Belisarius was sent to attack the rioters, who had taken possession of the circus The The factions mob were taken off their guard, and the troops destroyed. of Belisarius quickly put them to flight. The exits of the circus were soon choked up Belisarius put thousands to death, among them all the leaders, and the power of the factions was broken. The city was now helpless in the Emperor's hands, and he was consequently free to turn his attention to the larger policy on which he had already set his heart

This policy was to recover all the lost provinces and restore the Empire in all its extent This necessitated the destruction of the German kingdoms, and Justinian The Emperor's turned his attention to the west anti-German policy. In 523 Hilderic had become king of the Vandals, and, having been brought up as a Catholic, put an end to the persecutions of the orthodox. His Vandal subjects were still Arian, however, and after a stormy reign The Vandals. he was deposed and Gelimer put into his place. Gelimer was Arian, and he proceeded to persecute the Catho-Justinian used this as a pretext for interference, and lics sent Belisarius with an army to reduce Africa He landed in December, 533, and in a few months reduced the whole province to subjection. Gelimer was made prisoner, carried to Constantinople to grace the triumph of Belisarius, and was then exiled to Galatia. The kingdom of the Vandals, once so feared, came to an end Their king having vanished, many of the Vandals joined the army of Justinian Africa again became an imperial province governed by one of the Emperor's officers

The East Goths of Italy next claimed the Emperor's atten-Amalasuntha, the daughter of Theoderic the Great, tion succeeded her father (526) as regent of her son The East Goths. In culture she was a Roman and Athalanc labored to civilize her people Her conciliatory attitude toward the Empire offended her people On the death of her son (534) she was in danger of losing her commanding position, if not her life, through the vengeance and hostility of her subjects. She made a closer alliance with Justinian, and associated with herself in the government her cousin Theodahad Relying on the opposition to her, Theodahad permitted her to be assassinated and seized the government This violence gave Justinian the opportunity to interfere in Italy, as the avenger of his faithful subject Amalasuntha Belisarius was sent thither with an army Sicily at once fell into his hands, Naples was taken by stratagem, and Rome surrendered a little later In the meantime

the East Goths accused Theodahad of treason, deposed him, and elected as their king Witiges, a brave warrior but an unsuccessful general—Both he and Justinian called on the Franks for help, who accepted the gifts of both, and fought for one or both, or neither, as their own interests demanded. In this way the Franks got possession of Provence, and for some years held a large part of northern Italy—Witiges, it seems, encouraged the Persians to attack the Emperor in the east, but through the treachery of Belisarius was unable to profit thereby. Ravenna was given up to Belisarius, and Witiges made prisoner and sent to Constantinople

The East Goths, however, were far from conquered. They made Totila king, and he set about the reconquest of Italy. The imperial army was beaten in several engagements and Rome were retaken, and Sicily and Corsica were again over-Totila then assumed the offensive and carried the war He took some of the islands on the coast across the Adriatic of Epirus (540-52) Belisarius had been sent back to Italy, but because of court intrigues he was without sufficient troops or support In 549 he was recalled in disgrace, and succeeded by Narses, who in 552 came to Italy with a large army At the battle of Taginæ (June, 552), Totila lost his life and his army was destroyed Teias was made king by the East Gothic remnant, but he was also defeated and slain The Franks had been called on for aid, but their help came too late Gothic power was totally destroyed, and Italy was again actually a part of the Empire, though it had been ravaged and ruined by the long contest The blame of this was attributed by the Catholic Italians to the Goths, rather than to the ambition of Tustinian.

In Spain also, among the West Goths, there was civil strife over the succession One of the aspirants to the crown sought the aid of Justinian, who made use of the opportunity to further his anti-German policy He attacked. sent an army to Spain under the patrician Liberius (about 551),

who succeeded in reconquering a strip of territory on the southeast coast, with several ports. The West Goths, however, soon united and checked the progress of his arms, but failed to regain the lost territory.

Not content with destroying the Germans who had already settled on Roman soil, Justinian intrigued with the tribes who Lombards and were still north of the Danube, and fomented their quarrels. For years he kept the Lombards and Gepidæ fighting, hoping that in the end they would mutually destroy each other and thus free him from two dangerous tribes that were likely, at any moment, to invade his territory. At any rate, so long as they were warring with each other, he felt that he was safe from their attacks.

There can be no doubt that Justinian thoroughly hated the Germans, and that it was a part of his well-defined plan to re-

Other enemies engage the attention of Jusduce or destroy them all and to restore their lands to the Empire We have seen his success in Africa, Italy, and Spain, but his policy was destined to fail He was unable to carry it to

an issue because of the wars which he was compelled to wage with the Persians, the Slavs, the Avars, and the Bulgarians

Tustinian's wars with Persia have but little interest for us in themselves. They have importance principally because they hindered Justinian from carrying out his Wars with Persia. anti-German policy But for these wars with the Persians and Barbarians, he certainly would have been able to give much more attention to affairs in the west, and it is very probable that he would have greatly weakened, if not entirely destroyed, the Franks also While occupied with his wars in Africa and Italy, Justinian was compelled to buy peace of Khosres I. (531-39) At the instigation of Witiges, in 540, the Persians broke their agreement and overran Syria sarius was recalled from Italy and sent to the east, but was again recalled and sent back to Italy before he had brought the war to a successful close In 545 a peace of five years was made between them, and this was renewed in 551 But hostilities were more than once renewed, and Justinian was compelled to pay heavy tribute, besides losing some of his territory. Worst of all, his best generals and troops had to be sent to Persia at a time when he needed their help in the west, in his efforts to destroy the German kingdoms and restore the Empire to its former boundaries and greatness.¹

The Slavs now began to make their entrance into history. As the Germans left the territory south of the Baltic and west of the Niemen, the Slavs followed them and The Slavs. pressed on to the west till they had occupied all that part of Prussia which lies east of the Elbe. The Bavarians, once known as the Marcomanni, either migrated or were driven out of Bohemia, and that territory was also occupied by Moravia and many parts of modern Austria were Slavs. settled by them. More than once they crossed the Danube and ravaged the provinces of the Empire In 547 they reached Dyrrachium on the west coast of Illyria In 549 they overran many provinces and even threatened Constantinople. Almost every year they made themselves felt by making an invasion of some part of the Empire From this time on the Empire was never free from them Their invasions continued, until finally they settled in the territory which now forms the many Slavic provinces in southern Austria and the northern part of the Balkan peninsula In the following centuries they moved south in still greater numbers Greece became very largely Slavic; the Peloponnesus was called Slavonia The beginning of this invasion or migration took place in the time of Justinian. and cost him a great deal of trouble, preventing him from carrying on his conquests in other parts of the Empire.

The Bulgarians were originally a Ural-Altaic people, but they came into Europe and settled among some Slavic peoples

¹ For a full account of Justinian's reign vide J B Bury's History of the later Roman Empire, from Arcadius to Irene, 2 vols, 1889. It contains a good account of these Persian wars.

and were absorbed by them Nothing but their name was left, which came to be applied to the Slavs among whom they settled. They lost their language, customs, and nationality, and became thoroughly Slavic Year after year this mixed people invaded the Empire and devastated many of its fairest districts. Perhaps their worst invasion was in 539. In that year they ravaged the Balkan peninsula as far south as the Gulf of Corinth, taking and sacking many cities. They returned beyond the Danube with, it is said, a hundred and twenty thousand prisoners.

In 558 the Avars (the Cotrigur Huns) invaded the Empire from the east After doing much damage they finally settled on the middle Danube, and established the kingdom of the Avars, which later was destroyed by Karl the Great.

Luckily at the cry time of Justinian's opposition to it, the Germanic element in the Empire was strengthened by the formation of the great tribe of the Bavarians, the settlement of the Lombards in Italy, and the growth of the tribes

Franks (which latter will be described in the succeeding chapter)

The Bavarians appeared as a strong, vigorous, and warlike people, occupying the territory which still bears their name, and from which they were never afterward removed

After various wanderings the Lombards had settled in Pannonia They had become allies of the Empire, and at the instigation of Justinian had made war on the Heruli, and then on the Gepidæ Justinian had feared them, but did not live to see their invasion. In 568, probably at the invitation of Narses, they entered Italy, and quickly overran the northern part. After his successful completion of the war with the East Goths, The Lombards. Narses had been made Exarch of Italy, with his residence at Ravenna. To avenge his ill-treatment at the hands of Justin II, the successor of Justinian, he is said to have invited the Lombards to invade Italy, promising not to interfere with them. They came under their king

Alboin, bringing fragments of other tribes with them The valley of the Po was soon in their hands Pavia became their capital They moved to the south, and, after overrunning a large part of Italy, they established the duchies of Benevento and Spoleto Alboin was soon murdered, and a leader named Cleph was made king Cleph ruled less than a year, meeting with the same fate as his predecessor. For about ten years the Lombards existed without a king They broke up into bands and groups, each under a duke or Herzog The kingship was not yet thoroughly developed, and they felt that a king was not necessary to their existence. They consequently reverted to the forms of government which they had had before entering the Empire It is said that there were thirty-five such dukes reigning among them at one time They were surrounded by enemies, and their divided condition was a cause of great weakness About 580 they became convinced that they needed a king and elected Authari. But the dukes had already become too powerful and Authari was never completely master The duchies of Benevento and Spoleto were only nominally obedient, and he often found it difficult to maintain his position The territory wrested from the Empire was firmly held, but the Lombards could not conquer all Italy. Ravenna, the extreme southern part, and the duchy of Rome still remained in the hands of the Emperor Unlike all the other Germans, many of the Lombards settled in the cities and Some of the land was seized, but often the Lombards required one-third of the produce of the land to be paid to The old Roman municipal form of government was destroyed, or thoroughly changed, and the Romans were compelled to live according to the laws of the Lombards, that is, the Lombards did not recognize the existence of the Roman Their urban residence undoubtedly had much to do with the early development of the Italian cities, the mediæval grandeur of which was due, in part at least, to the German blood of their citizens

CHAPTER V

THE FRANKS (481-814)

It must be remembered that "Frank" is a collective name. embracing a large number of independent tribes, each governed by its own king In 481 Chlodwig succeeded Chlodwig. 481-511. his father, Childeric, as king of a small tribe of Being ambitious he overcame by force or fraud Salıan Franks one after another of the petty kings about him, and slowly gathered the Franks under his sceptre In 486 he defeated Syagrius, the Roman official who was then governing a large district between the Loire and the Seine Syagrius fled, but was taken prisoner and delivered to Chlodwig, who put him to The territory thus conquered was made subject to Chlodwig, but it was probably not settled by Franks power of Chlodwig was by this success extended to the Loire. His work of uniting the various tribes of Franks now went on much more rapidly In 493 he married Chlotilda, an orthodox Christian princess, of Burgundy Chlodwig seems to have been tolerant of all religions He even permitted Chlotilda to have their first child baptized, but when it died a short time later he reproached her for it In 496 a war broke out between him and the Alamanni, the cause of The Alamanni conquered. which is unknown. The decisive battle was fought probably in Alsace (the statement that it was fought at Tolbiac or Zuelpich, near Cologne, is without foundation). The Alamannı were conquered and their king was taken from them and replaced by Chlodwig himself. The Franks did not settle among the Alamanni, at least in the southern part of That part of it, however, that lay between the their territory

Main and the Neckar soon became thoroughly Frankish, and received the name of Franconia or Francia. Later it became one of the most important duchies of the Empire. It is now known as Upper, Lower, and Middle Franken.

With this war against the Alamanni is connected the conversion of the Franks to the orthodox faith All the other Germans had taken the Arian form of belief, The Franks which had come to be considered heretical hecome orthodox Christians. Gregory of Tours tells us that during the battle Chlodwig called on Jesus for help, and vowed that if he were successful he would become a Christian After his victory he went to Rheims, was instructed in the faith, and baptized with three thousand of his warriors The bishop of Rheims, Remigius, performed the rite Chlodwig was addressed as a second Constantine and David, and was at once told that it was his duty to protect, defend, and extend the Church. This conversion of Chlodwig and the Franks to the orthodox faith was the foundation and beginning of the famous alliance between the Bishop of Rome and the Frankish kings Its importance "It made possible that complete cannot be overestimated fusion of Romans and Franks which was impossible among the West Goths, East Goths, and Burgundians; it made intermarriages between the two peoples permissible and facilitated the conquests of Chlodwig over the heretical German tribes, it closely allied the Merovingian kingdom with the papacy, and prepared for the alliance of the Karlings with Rome, it paved the way for the united missionary activity of the Frankish rulers and the Roman clergy to convert the heathen, as well as reform the sadly degenerate Church, to give it a compact form of government and to attach it to the Bishop of Rome, it gave the Frankish rulers, especially the Karlings, an ecclesiastical character, and led to the establishment of the Holy Roman Empire "

After a brief struggle with the Burgundians, which resulted in the subjection of Gundobad, their king, Chlodwig attacked

The alleged cause of this war was the fact the West Goths that the West Goths were Arian, Chlodwig could not endure that these heretics should possess such fair lands, The West Goths driven back. and, encouraged by the orthodox clergy, he declared war against them During the years 507-11 he overran nearly all the territory south of the Loire, and drove the West Goths over the Pyrenees. Only the extreme southern part of Gaul (Provence), the territory about Narbonne (Septimania), and Vasconia (the later Gascony), remained in the hands of the West Goths The Garonne river was the boundary between Franks and West Goths, but it was already evident that the Franks would soon extend their rule to the Pyrenees

The Christianity of Chlodwig and his Franks was superficial. and had little effect on their character and life It seemed, if anything, to give them greater license Chlodwig and the other Frank- years of Chlodwig's reign were filled with the ish kings. most ruthless crimes, which, however, greatly increased his power, and helped bring about the complete union of There were still many petty independent kings, especially among the Ripuarian Franks These he destroyed, often by the foulest means, and put himself at the head of all Gregory of Tours recounts these things, apparthe Franks ently not imagining that such deeds might be worthy of blame, for he adds, "Thus every day God put down the enemies of Chlodwig and increased his kingdom, because he The Franks the chosen people. walked with a heart that was right before God and did that which was pleasing in His eyes " Owing to the teaching of the clergy the Franks early regarded themselves as the chosen people of God, it was only natural and proper that He should care for them. They had a proud self-consciousness which assured them a great future, and their intimate relations with God made it impossible for them to do wrong chosen people of God were privileged to destroy their enemies in any way they might choose Their chronicles, even from the first, are full of the accounts of miracles which God was

supposed to have wrought in their behalf They even rival the miracles which God was believed to have performed for His ancient people, the Jews In 588 the city of Angoulême was besieged by the Franks, and, after some fruitless efforts to take it, God interposed in their behalf and the walls fell down before them, as they were believed to have fallen at the taking of Jericho.

Chlodwig died in the year 511, after having divided his kingdom among his four sons, Theuderic, Chlodomer, Childe-Theuderic received that bert, and Chlothar Division of the kingdom, 511. part which was still most thoroughly German, that is, the Rhine valley from Cologne to the south, with large tracts on both sides of the river, and also the eastern part of His possessions were, therefore, not compact, but Aquitaine broken into two parts separated by a great distance. ital was Metz. Chlothar received the territory between the Seine, the Oise, and the lower Rhine, with Soissons as his cap-Childebert obtained the valley of the lower Seine and Armorica (Paris, Chartres, Le Mans, and other towns), while Chlodomer got the lower Loire. The principles on which this division was made have been much discussed, and there are many theories about it. The most probable one is that it was the division, not only of the territory, but also of the royal authority. There was little or no conception of this kingdom as a whole which ought not to be divided. It was rather an estate to be partitioned among the king's heirs. After the division the brethren lived independently of each other, and attended each to his own affairs only. There was no common central government They made war on one another whenever there seemed to be a prospect of success, or assisted each other when sufficient inducements were offered. The mutual relations existing between the brothers were such as one might expect to find among utterly barbaric princes Each attempted to extend his own territory, indifferent whether at the expense of one of his brothers or of foreign kings.

first years of his reign Theuderic was called on to repulse the invasion made by the Norsemen into the territory along the Rhine. He then attacked the Thuringians, a strong tribe that lay to the east of his lands. After calling in the aid of his brother Chlothar, he succeeded in conquering them and adding their territory to his kingdom.

Childebert and Chlodomer, in the meanwhile, were making war on Burgundy. Chlodomer was slain in battle, and Childebert and Chlothar invaded his lands, put his children to death (one escaped, but was made a monk), and divided his territory Childebert then attacked the West Goths between themselves along the Pyrenees with good success, and after-Conquest of the Burgundians. ward invaded Burgundy. In this latter undertaking he was assisted by Chlothar. They were entirely successful, and Burgundy was added to their territory. Theuderic died in 533, leaving his kingdom to his son Theudebert, an able ruler, but quite as unscrupulous as the other Merovin-Childebert and Chlothar tried to seize his lands, but were defeated, and even compelled to give him a share of Burgundy, which they had just conquered. Theudebert then invaded Italy, attacking or assisting the East Goths, or the armies of the Empire, according as it best suited his own inter-In 530 he devastated the northern part of ests Theudehert Italy and carried off much booty. For the first time in the history of the Franks he made gold coins, bearing on one side not the image of the Emperor, but his own likeness, with the inscription, "Dominus Noster Theudebertus Victor" would even seem that he thought of claiming the title of Emperor, since on some of his coins he is called, "Dominus Theudebertus Augustus." This is further supported by the fact that he planned to invade the Eastern Empire and wrest Constantinople from the hands of the Emperor. Nothing could be more characteristic of the conquering spirit of the Merovingians than this. He also extended his power among the German tribes to the east and south, subjugating among others the Ba-

He died in 548, and his son Theudebald succeeded varians Theudebald continued his father's policy and tried to րու He sent his army under two Alamanobtain lands in Italy. man dukes, Buccelin and Chlothar, to invade Italy, but it was utterly destroyed by Narses. He died in 555, and his greatuncle, Chlothar, inherited all his possessions. Chlothar now began to carry on war against the barbarian Germans to the east, but he was defeated and driven back. His eldest son. Chramn, revolted against him, with the help of his uncle, Chil-Before hostilities were begun Childebert died (558), and Chramn repented and was pardoned by his father. rebelled again, however, in 560, was caught, and with his whole family was put to death by burning at the command of his father.

On the death of Childebert (558) Chlothar became sole king of the Franks, and ruled over the territory which Chlodwig had once had, as well as all that had since Frankish terribeen conquered by his brothers and nephews tory reunited, The whole kingdom of the Franks was united 558, but again divided, 561. under him But when he died in 561, the kingdom was redivided among his four sons Gontran (561-93) received Burgundy, Orleans was his capital Chambert (561-67) took Paris for his residence and Aquitaine Chilperic (561-84) received Soissons, and Sigebert (561-75), the eastern and more German parts of the kingdom. followed a chaotic period of civil wars, treasons, and new di-Each king sought to bribe the principal followers of the others, and endeavored to gain an advantage in the most unscrupulous ways

Charibert soon died (567), and his lands were divided between his surviving brothers. He is remembered because he was the father of Bertha, the wife of Aethelbehrt of Kent, who helped forward the Christianization of England by her protection of the mission of Augustine

The civil wars of this period are connected with the names

of two women-Brunhilda, wife of Sigebert, and Fredegonda. wife of Chilperic Chilperic had many concubines, whom he put away in 566, when he married Galswin-Civil wars, tha, daughter of Athanagild, the king of the West Brunhilda and Fredegonda. Goths, and a sister of Brunhilda. But one of these concubines, Fredegonda, had won and retained the king's He soon brought her back to the court, greatly to affections. the anger of Galswintha, who declared that she would return to At this Chilperic had Galswintha murdered, and in a short time married Fredegonda He thereby incurred the hatred of Brunhilda, who made it the one work of her life to avenge her murdered sister. For nearly forty years there were constant wars, intrigues, plots, and murders, all caused by these two queens

Although this period is chaotic and full of profitless struggle, it, nevertheless, is important because of two great changes which took place during it. the permanent division between the East and West Franks, and the development of the office of the mayor of the palace (Major Domus)

In the west the population consisted of two classes, the Franks and the Romanized Kelts, the latter being far more numerous But in the east the Franks than the former Austrasia and Neustria. greatly outnumbered the Keltic population, and consequently they had remained much more German and harbarous than the Franks in the west. The west had become pretty thoroughly Romanized and civilized, while the east was essentially German and untamed. This difference, coupled with the fact that the two districts were under different kings, who were for many years bitterly hostile to each other, caused them to receive different names, and helped perpetuate and in-The eastern part, under Sigebert, was crease the differences called Austrasia, or the Eastern Kingdom, while the west, under Chilperic, was called Neustria. This division was to become permanent, Neustria forming the basis for the kingdom of France, and Austrasia for that of Germany.

For some years after the death of Galswintha the brothers, Sigebert and Chilperic, were kept very busy defending the southern frontier against the invasions of the Lombards After overrunning the valley of the Po. the Lombards turned to the north and devastated the south They were driven back only with the greatest diffi-Soon after began the wars between Austrasia and Neustria, stirred up by Brunhilda Sigebert was at first victorious, but in 575 he was basely murdered by two men who had been hired by Brunhilda to do the deed. They pretended to have a message for the king, and when admitted to his presence stabbed him Brunhilda and her son Childebert, now four years old, were seized and imprisoned, but she let the boy down from her window, and he was carried away by a faithful fol-The imprisoned Brunhilda by her charms so infatuated Merovech, the son of Chilperic, that he released and married her. The ceremony was performed in the cathedral at Rouen by the bishop, Praetextatus Chilperic was greatly angered at this, and came to Rouen to seize them Brunhilda escaped to Austrasia, but Merovech was taken and compelled to become a monk. He attempted to escape to join his wife in Austrasia, but was overtaken and murdered on the way

The people of Austrasia had recognized the four-year-old boy, Childebert, as king. Since he was unable to rule in person, a struggle at once began between Brunhilda and the Austrasian nobles for the possession of the boy and the office of major domus

The origin of this office was as follows. As the king grew in power and importance his household increased accordingly. Over this household he placed a chief servant who was responsible for its management; he was called "major domus" or "mayor of the palace". This position, at first servile, soon took on a political character, the major domus always had the ear of the king; all access to the king was through him, his influence over the king was

therefore very great. Gradually he became the king's principal adviser and the original character of his office was entirely changed. The nobles early tried to control the appointment to this office but the king successfully resisted them and the office remained in his own power. Under the boy king, Childebert, and his youthful successors, the nobility got possession of the office and appointed one of their own number to it. Since the king was a child, the mayor had every opportunity of increasing his own power. From this time on the power of the king declined and that of the mayor increased accordingly. In the struggle between the king and his nobility for power the latter had practically won the victory, although the royal house never ceased making great efforts to recover its independence.

For the present Brunhilda was still very powerful made an alliance with her brother-in-law, Gontran, king of Burgundy, who had no children, and persuaded him to declare the young king, Childebert II, his successor Consequently he took up the war against Chilperic, which continued until 584, when the latter was murdered. Chilperic left but one son, Chlothar II., an infant four months old His other children had either died a natural death or had been put to death for ambitious reasons by Fredegonda. Gontran now assumed the guardianship of both his nephews, and for several years there was peace in the land. He turned the armies of the Franks to the south, against the West Goths in Spain, and the Lombards in Italy, but without any permanent success Unfortunately he died in 593, and Brunhilda and her son, Childebert II, who inherited all of his uncle's possessions, at once took Neustria, hoping to complete their vengeance on Fredegonda and her son, Chlothar II, they were defeated, and the war dragged along without any definite result till, in 596, Childebert died, at the age of twenty-six, leaving two sons, both mere children. Theudebert became king of Austrasia and Theuderich king of Burgundy Brunhilda again assumed the regency, but was

compelled to struggle with the nobles for the leadership, who wrung concession after concession from her, and in the end, established their own power by destroying that of the king

It was the turn of Fredegonda to attack Brunhilda Neustrians were victorious in a battle near Laon, and a large strip of territory, as far as the Meuse, was added to Neustria But this victory was followed by the death of Fredegonda, and for several years her son, Chlothar II, continued the struggle with his two cousins. Theudebert of Austrasia and Theuderich of Burgundy. The armies of the latter were for some time successful, but soon war broke out between Austrasia and Burgundy The nobles had exiled Brunhilda (599) from Austrasia and she had fled to Burgundy, where her grandson espoused her cause. This led to the war between Austrasia and Burgundy, in which the Burgundians were successful, and Theudebert of Austrasia was taken prisoner and finally put to death In the following year the king of Burgundy died, and Brunhılda agaın assumed the regency and proclaimed her greatgrandson, Sigebert II, king, and compelled the nobles to recognize him as such Chlothar II, however, invaded Austrasia, won the nobles to his side, captured Brunhilda and Sigebert II, and put them both to death (614) Brun-Death of hilda seems to have been, according to the ideas Brunhilda of her time, a religious woman. She built churches, monasteries, and hospitals, and was a friend of some of the leading churchmen of her day She was also in many respects a good She cared for the fortresses and defences of the governor kingdom and for the roads, some of which are still called "Chausées de Brunehaute." If she was utterly unscrupulous, the same is true of her contemporaries, and her character should be judged from the point of view of those times and not from that of to-day.

Chlothar II now became king of Austrasia and Burgundy, as well as of Neustria (613-28), but the real power was by this time in the hands of the mayors of the palace of those coun-

tries These officers were from now on to hold their office for life, and consequently became of far greater importance than ever before. It is to be noted that each of these three countries, Austrasia, Burgundy, and Neus-Franks, 613-28. tria, had its own mayor of the palace. Another check to the power of the king was now invented. A body of men, consisting of the highest ecclesiastical dignitaries and the most important nobles, was associated with the king in all legislative matters. From this time on the king's acts are signed or, at least, sanctioned by these men. Without their consent the king could do nothing.

Chlothar II did not engage in war except when attacked by the Slavs who lived east of the Elbe A certain Frank named Samo and the Samo had gone among them to seek his fortune, and having succeeded in acquiring power over several Slavic tribes, united them under his own rule He then led them against the Germans to the west, and was for a time victorious The last years of Chlothar II, as well as the reign of his son Dagobert, were troubled by the invasions of Samo and his Slavs

In 622 Chlothar II made his son, Dagobert, king over Austrasia His mayor of the palace was Pippin, the lord of Dagobert, king of Austrasia, from the latter of which he received the name of Pippin of Heristhal The bishop of Metz, Arnulf, was also the adviser of the king and a friend of Pippin. Arnulf was married, as were many of the clergy at that time, and his son Ansegisil married Begga the daughter of Pippin. From this union sprang the line known as the Karlings, from their greatest representative, Karl the Great.

In 628 Chlothar II. died and Dagobert succeeded him as king of all Frankland For two years, however, he shared the power with his brother Charibert, whom he made king of Aquitaine His brother died in 630, leaving a small son, who also shortly died, and then Dagobert ruled alone. He spent most of his time in the west, because in Neustria there was no

strong mayor of the palace, and consequently he himself was more nearly absolute there In 629 bishop Arnulf withdrew from active life and spent the rest of his days as Dagobert, king of a hermit in the Vosges mountains, where he won all Frankland. 628-38, the reputation of a saint. His son Ansegisil and Pippin now controlled affairs in Austrasia It made little difference who was king. Dagobert is much praised for the character of his reign, but if judged by absolute standards he would be worthy of much blame Like nearly all the Frankish kings, he practised polygamy, and was unjust and rapacious. He was, however, better than the kings who immediately preceded him. In 632 he established his young son, Sigebert III, as king of Austrasia. Pippin was his mayor of the palace, and Ansegisil one of his best advisers The Slavs under Samo were causing much trouble, ravaging Thuringia and Bavaria. In 638 Dagobert died, leaving Sigebert III. king of Austrasia, and Chlodwig II. king of Burgundy The next year Pippin The office of died and his son Grimoald tried to make the mayor becomes hereditary in office of mayor of the palace hereditary by asthe family of suming the position left vacant by his father's Pippin The Austrasian nobles refused to acknowledge him. but after a civil war which lasted three years Grimoald was victorious, and the office was henceforth regarded as an hereditary possession of this powerful family The king Sigebert never acquired independence and was entirely in the hands of the mayor He is generally regarded as the first of the donothing kings (rois fainéants)

Sigebert II died in 656, and Grimoald seized his son Dagobert II. and sent him to Ireland. He then put up his own son Childebert as king of Austrasia But the nobles Attempted usurwere not yet ready to desert their line of kings Grimoald and his son were put to death All the kingdoms were then united under Chlodwig Kings.

II. of Neustria, but he died in the same year, leaving three infant sons. These and their successors are of no importance,

however, for from this time on the real rulers were the In Neustria. Ebroin was elected by the nobles to mayors. this office, but he used his powers and opportuni-Ebroin in ties only for his own advancement. Neastria Ambitions and unscrupulous, he finally became master in Neustria, though at the cost of much blood and violence. He spared no one who opposed him, not even Saint Leger, the bishop of Autun, who suffered the most cruel persecutions and mutilations at his hands. After he had thoroughly reduced Neustria to his power he attacked Austrasia, which was practically governed by Pippin of Heristhal (the younger, grandson of Pippin the elder, and of Arnulf, bishop of Metz) In 680 Ebroin was victorious over Pippin, but was assassinated the next year, and the leadership which he had acquired for Neustria was at once Pippin continued the war with Neustria. Pippin of Herisand in 687, at the battle of Testry, utterly dethal, mayor of all Frankland. stroyed the Neustrian army Pippin became mayor and practically ruler in both countries, although he named a separate mayor in Neustria Henceforth there was to be but one king over all the territory, with his residence ın Neustria.

The year 687 begins a new period in the history of the Franks. The leadership passed definitely to Austrasia, the more German part of the kingdom, and the ruling power to the family of Pippin. The struggle between the Romanized The beginning. Franks of the west and those of the east, who of the Karlings. had remained less influenced by the Roman civilization, had ended with the victory of the latter. At the same time a vigorous and able family assumed the government are everything except the royal name; it is the beginning of the reign of the house of Karl the Great.

The Franks had conquered an immense territory; and subjugated many peoples; they had tried to conquer the barbarians the east of them, Spain, Italy, and even Constantinople. The Church, more particularly the Bishop of Rome, had built

his hopes on them, and had encouraged them to go on with their conquests. These Franks had made a first attempt to reorganize the society of western Europe, but had failed. Their royal line had lost its power. Another family had taken the position of honor and responsibility, and was preparing to make a second and a more successful attempt to reorganize and gather together into

one the scattered fragments of the society of western Europe

We have seen that the Franks in their original home, like all other German peoples, had not possessed a central government, but were broken up into small groups or tribes, each with its own local self-government These tribes united in time of war under some leader, who was elected for his known ability time of peace he had no more authority than would naturally attach to a man who had successfully served his people. But during the migrations of the German peoples and their wars of conquest the kingship was developed The Roman Kelts were accustomed to an absolute ruler, and the In theory the king's power was greatly increased by the large king is absolute. possessions which he obtained by the conquest The situation was favorable to the establishment of an absolute king Consequently we find that from Chlodwig on the king of the Franks is, or claims to be, absolute. The ancient diet, at which all the freemen were expected to be present, was replaced by a meeting, at which only the highest nobility and the leading clergy were present The people had very little voice in the conduct of affairs, but there are a few traces of such influence Eventually, and after a long struggle, the nobles' secured some check on the king's actions in the mayor of the palace, and the body of councillors with whose consent he issued all his edicts and laws. This change in the kingship brought Progress in orabout a change in the life of the king He sur- ganization of the government rounded himself with a court, that is, with certain officers and servants, and fixed forms of ceremony. of these officers was the mayor of the palace, who, as we have

seen, eventually overtopped the king and was destined to usurp the place made vacant by the inefficiency of the Merovingian Other officers of the royal household were the marshal, the count of the palace, the treasurer, and the secretary. Besides these there were, of course, others of lower rank, and a large body of servants. All these offices were at first of menial character, but because of their connection with the king they came to be looked upon as positions of honor, and their occupants made use of their influence to increase their power and wealth. They were regarded, not as servants of the public, but of the king, to whom alone they were responsible There was besides this royal household a system of government for the provinces The larger divisions, such as Austrasia, Burgundy, and Bavaria, developed from among themselves an officer or leader who was called "duke" This duke always represented the local aspirations for indepen-Dukes. dence Coming from the midst of the people of the duchy, he was of them and felt himself to be bound to Their interests were his; consequently he was always opposed to the interests of the king, who labored to bring about a central government and to break down all local independence of the duchies

To counteract this local desire for independence and the influence of the duke the king appointed many officers with the title of count (Graf, comes), and set them over smaller districts. He made them responsible to himself. Generally the count was put over the original tribal territorial unit (Gau, pagus). The count was always supposed to represent the interests of the king, being appointed by, and responsible to, him The duties of the count were, among other things, to administer justice and collect the tribute or taxes for the king In the county, that is, the district governed by the count, there were still smaller divisions named "the hundred," which were under the eye of a centenarius or hundred-man.

The old nobility was gradually reenforced by the entrance into its ranks of many who either through association with the king as officers or followers, or the accumulation of wealth were regarded as worthy of this honor. The highest clergy, principally bishops and later the abbots, by virtue of their sacerdotal character, their landed possessions, and their civil and political authority were also reckoned among the nobility, and became a part of the council of the king

In regard to the disposition of the lands it is impossible to make a single statement which would apply to all the kingdoms.

It is a vexed question It is certain that the The soil. Franks obtained most of their territory by conquest and not by settlement The northern part of Gaul was probably colonized by them, but other parts contained relatively, at least, a very small number of Franks In the north it is probable that one-third of the lands or more was taken from the Romans and distributed among the Franks. In the other parts there was no systematic partition of the soil, but the king, it seems, became possessed of large tracts of land. The imperial domains or possessions of the other kings whom he destroyed and perhaps other lands which he forcibly seized, probably composed his possessions These he then gave out as rewards to his followers, warriors, and favorites to have been forgotten by the recipients that these lands had been gifts, for the heirs generally asked the king to confirm them in their possession

One of the greatest changes among the Franks was their conversion to Christianity. The Church, in fact, became a part The clergy as the of the State The bishops were appointed or confirmed by the king, and were, indeed, his officers. With the royal sanction they served as judges and exercised other civil and political functions. By virtue of their ecclesiastical character their moral influence was almost unbounded. The whole of this influence they were required to exert in the cause of law and order, that is, for the benefit of

the king. The clergy were used very often as ambassadors and sent on the business of the king. The assemblies were attended by them, and they had great weight in the royal councils. It should be remembered, however, that the conversion of the Franks had been a thoroughly superficial one. The Church was regarded most nearly as a convenient piece of machinery to be used in the work of governing. The moral and political condition of the people had been very little affected by Christianity. Furthermore, during the fierce internal struggles the close connection between the Franks and the Bishops of Rome had been interrupted. The time was now approaching when this was to be renewed, and the real Christianization of the people to be begun in earnest by Roman missionaries supported by Pippin and his descendants. They regarded this as one of their principal duties as rulers of the people.

Pippin (mayor, 687-714) began a policy which was to be followed by his successors and to bear its legitimate fruit in the kingdom of Karl the Great During the long Pippin's efforts period of civil strife all the frontier had taken to unite all the conquests. the opportunity to revolt The Friesians, the Thuringians, the Bavarians, the Alamanni, and the people of Aquitaine had, one after another, thrown off the Frankish yoke and refused to pay their tribute In a long series of campaigns Pippin succeeded in reducing them all more nearly to a state of dependence, although the disposition to revolt was ever there and waited only for an opportunity to show itself. His whole reign was given to the effort to consolidate his vast territories, to bring them under one central government, and to make this government as nearly absolute as possible. His reign is marked also by the beginning of a remarkable missionary activity, which will be discussed in a later paragraph.

At the death of Pippin only two of his descendants survived him, a bastard son, Karl Martel, and a grandson, Theudoald, only about five years old. He had been guilty of the folly of naming as his successor this boy. The Franks were to have a boy king and a boy mayor as well Pippin's wife, Plectrudis, assumed the regency in the name of her grandson and cast Karl Karl Martel, into prison. But the nobles of Neustria revolted against her and invaded Austrasia They were aided by the Friesians, and at the same time the Saxons crossed the Rhine and ravaged the eastern territory Plectrudis was defeated and compelled to grant the demands of the Neustrians, but in the meanwhile Karl escaped from his prison and was recognized as mayor by the majority of the Austrasians He at once took up arms, and although not immediately successful, he in the end succeeded in putting down all those who had made war on Austrasia.

Karl's whole reign was full of wars. The Neustrians were conquered and compelled to recognize Karl as mayor, the

Friesians were more than once chastised (719, 722, 733-34), the Saxons likewise in 718, 720, and 738; the Bavarians in 725 and 728, the Alamanni were subjected and more closely united to the central government than ever before. The work of centralizing all the power and uniting the peoples with the kingdom went slowly on

In 711 the Arabs crossed into Spain from Africa and in less than nine years overran nearly all Spain and entered into southern Gaul (720) Duke Eudes, of Aquitaine, drove them out of his territory at first, but in a later invasion made peace with them and gave his daughter to Othman, the general commanding the Arab forces He wished to make himself independent of Karl Martel; but Karl came in 731 and defeated the duke, whose alliance with the Arabs thus came to nothing. The Arab general, Othman, revolted against Abderrahman, the governor of Spain, but was defeated and killed Abderrahman refused to be bound by Othman's alliance with Eudes, who now found himself deserted and between two enemies that he would fare better at the hands of Karl, he chose to throw himself on his mercy Karl forgave him, and after collecting all the forces possible, went to meet Abderrahman.

The battle was fought near Poitiers, in 732. The losses of the Arabs were very great, among others Abderrahman was slain. They consequently refused to continue the battle on the following day and withdrew They were not, however, at once driven out of Gaul, but held some of the southern portions for several years and continued to cause the Franks trouble Yet their power was broken Karl Martel had made it impossible for them to extend beyond the Pyrenees

The next years were spent in the south, where he had to contend with revolts in Burgundy and Aquitaine. He was everywhere victorious, and the last years of his reign were peaceful. The whole kingdom was quiet in his hands. His authority is shown by the fact that in 741 he divided his power between his two sons without any opposition from the nobles. Karl received the east and Pippin the west. He died soon after this division was made (741). During the last four years of his reign there was no king. Theuderich IV. died (737), and Karl did not try to place another Meroving on the throne. The king was in no way missed

Karl Martel had steadily followed one policy throughout his whole reign. His sons and successors continued it, and his grandson, Karl the Great, was to perfect it and bring his house to the acme of its glory. This policy of Karl was that of centralizing the power and binding all the parts of the kingdom close together. It was but natural that all these men, true to the traditions of their great ancestor, St. Arnulf of Metz, should be interested in the Church and do much for its spread, in fact, they all regarded this as one of their most important duties.

Karl's reign is coincident with a serious crisis in the Church throughout the kingdom of the Franks. There were two sets of missionaries at work there, and the fate of the kingdom depended to a great extent on which of them should be victorious.

The Christianization of Ireland is veiled in much obscurity, but it seems probable that St. Patrick (his date is very uncertain, it cannot be determined whether he Christianity in ireland. died in 465 or 493) was the first missionary who met with very much success there The whole island became Christian, and in the next centuries won so great a reputation for its piety that it was called "The Isle of Saints" was, however, a low state of civilization there. The Church of Ireland was entirely independent of Rome, and differed in several respects from the Church on the continent, especially in matters of ritual and government (the time of celebrating Easter, the dress and tonsure of the monks, etc) The type of Christianity established there was thoroughly ascetic and monastic The island was covered with monasteries. The ascetic zeal of the Irish for the Church led them to try to convert the world to their form of Christianity. It was not so much what is now called the "missionary spirit," as the desire to undergo hardships of all kinds. To travel in foreign lands as a missionary (peregrinare pro Christo) was, because of its Irish difficulties, a meritorious work Accordingly the Missionaries Irish Church engaged in such mission work with great zeal accordance with their ascetic ideas, they settled not in the cities but in the wilds ¹ Their first settlements were in Scotland. 563 St. Columba (or St. Columbaille) sailed with twelve fellowmonks to Scotland, where the island of Iona was given them. They were occasionally reenforced by other monks from Ireland. From this island of Iona they carried on their work on the mainland They labored not only in Scotland, but also among the Anglo-Saxons of Britain Lindisfarne, on the east coast of England, was occupied by them, and for a long time was a centre of missionary activity among the Angles The Irish sent missionaries also to the Continent. St Columbanus was the

¹ The Legend of St Brendan shows this clearly He went north to find a place where there were no people. (See Matthew Arnold's poem on this subject.)

first to go, with twelve companions, about 590 (the exact date is unknown) He went first to Burgundy, where king Guntran received him with great favor He was very St Columbanus ascetic, laid down the severest rules for all who on the Continent. joined him, rebuked the kings and the nobles for their evil lives, but, in spite of all this, his success was very great When it seemed that he could not be sufficiently rigorous there because of the crowds that came to him, he withdrew into the Vosges Mountains, where he established four monasteries, the most important of which was that of Luxeuil But because he rebuked Brunhilda and the king, he was exiled. went first to Neustria, where king Chlothar II, received him kindly. After a short time he went into Austrasia, but the king. Theodebert II, persuaded him to go to the Alamanni on Lake Constance He spent some time at Bregenz, and then passed over into Italy and founded the monastery of Bobbio, where he died in 615. St. Gallus, one of his followers, Bobbio and St Gall. went into northern Switzerland and founded the famous monastery which bears his name This monastery became the centre of the missionary work in that part of Europe. An excellent school was established there, in which the missionaries were trained. Many Irish missionaries came to it and labored among the Germans there and elsewhere. The 1mportance of this monastery for the Christianization and civilization of northern Switzerland can hardly be over-estimated.

Among other groups of Irish missionaries the following may be mentioned. St. Kilian, with twelve followers, at Wuerzburg in Franconia (680), St. Eloquius, with twelve, in Belgium, about the same time, St. Rupert, with bands. twelve, in Bavaria (about 700); St. Willibrord, in Friesland (about 690); Sigebert established the monastery of Disentis in the high Alps; others went to Bavaria, Thuringia, and other parts of the kingdom. The result of their presence and labors was the slow Christianization of the people about their settlements, and their advance in morals. Towns sprang up about

nearly all of their monasteries, and they became prominent centres of business, learning, and culture.

But these monks could not win a definite success. They were They wished to turn all the kingdom of the Franks too ascetic into one great monastery, and the people were not the proper material for monks. They were also very weak Roman opposiin organization. They did not attempt to unite all the churches under one head and bring them under one gov-There was large local independence They differed, also, as has been said, in several points, from the Church of Rome There was danger that they might bring about a free national Church among the Germans. Such a thing would be very detrimental to the interests of the Bishop of Rome. therefore carried on a counter-movement among the Germans in a systematic way, which, in the end, was successful wished to Christianize, organize, and subject the Frankish people to himself. The Irish missionaries were compelled to retreat, and at last lost their popularity and gave up their work The Bishop of Rome sent out an army of missionaries, the first of whom was Boniface, who labored not only to Christianize, but also to place their converts under the direct control of their master at Rome Thus all the Germans were attached to the Bishop of Rome through the efforts of these Roman missionaries.

To Karl Martel belongs some of the honor of Boniface's success. He supported him and gave him all the help and protection he could The reorganization of the famous missionary was carried on in part with the aid of the sword wielded by Karl Martel This warrior would undoubtedly have been in great honor in the Catholic Church, but for the fact that he was a bastard, that he made and unmade bishops, filling the highest Karl and the offices in the Church with his favorites, and Church lands that he compelled the Church to invest some of his followers with vast tracts of her lands These lands were not entirely secularized. They still continued to be regarded

as lands of the Church, but the Church had no practical control over them. She received only, or was entitled to receive, a certain amount every year in return for their use. Many bishops and abbots were deposed by Karl Martel and his warnors were put into their places, not, of course, with the expectation that they would perform the duties of such offices, but simply that they might enjoy the incomes, which were very large, in return for their services to Karl and the kingdom. Since Karl was poor, but under the necessity of rewarding his warriors, he made use of the lands of the Church. The clergy forgetting his great services to the cause of Christianity, because of these things placed him under the severest condemnation. Without the work of Karl Martel, however, the greatness and glory of Karl the Great would have been impossible. He laid the foundations for the great empire of the latter.

Before his death (741) he made his sons his successors; Karlman became mayor in the east, and Pippin, surnamed the Short, in the west. They were on the best of Karlman and terms as long as they ruled together, and carried Pippin. on their work in the greatest harmony. The first seven years of their reign were filled with wars, caused by the repeated revolts of the Aquitanians, the Alamanni, the Bavarians, the Slavs, and the Saxons, all of which were successfully put down. Besides, their young half-brother, Grifo, revolted and tried to wrest a full share of the territory from them. He was, however, taken captive and imprisoned Escaping later, he caused his brothers a great deal of trouble for several years, because of his ambition and unwillingness to be contented with what was given him

In order to strengthen their position with the people the two brothers soon hunted up a member of the Merovingian family, Childerich III, and made him king. This was The last Merodone simply for the sake of giving themselves a vingian king. greater show of legality The king, of course, never took any part in the affairs of the government He was destined to be

the last of the Merovingian kings. In the year 747 Karlman abdicated in favor of his brother, and made him the guardian of his children. Karlman went to Mount Soracte, near Rome, and established himself as a hermit. But he was greatly disturbed by the large number of visitors who came to see him, and soon became a member of the famous Monte Casino monastery. The cause of his abdication we do not clearly know.

Pippin was now sole mayor, and more than ever began to think about becoming king in name as well as in fact sent an embassy to Rome to ask Pope Zacharias mayor, becomes who should be king, the one who had the title king. and no power, or the one who had the power but not the title. Since Zacharias was at this time in need of help against the Lombards, who were trying to unite all Italy under their sway, he replied that the one who had the power should be king He favored the ambition of Pippin in order to secure his help against the Lombards. Accordingly, in an assembly held at Soissons, in the autumn of 751, the change was made Childerich was not formally deposed, but his hair was shorn, and he was sent into a monastery Pippin was declared king and anointed by Boniface The rite of anointing a king was something new among the Germans, but through the influence of the Church it was now introduced, principally with the idea that it legalized and strengthened the title of the one who was anointed.

The Lombards had crossed into Italy in the year 568, and in a few years had taken possession of all the northern part, together with the large duchies of Spoleto and Benevento. Unfortunately their ruling house was not able to hold the throne the Lombards continually. Of the twenty-one kings who ruled over them during their two hundred years of existence, eight belonged to other families. All the kings had practically the same policy in regard to Italy; they all wished to unite and rule over the whole of it. Unfortunately for Italy, they were not able to do so. The Greek Emperor held

Ravenna, which was the residence of his governor, the Exarch; also nearly all the extreme southern part and the district about Rome commonly called the duchy of Rome. The chief officer in the latter was the Bishop of Rome, who was subject to the eastern Emperor.

Gradually the Lombards became Catholic Theodelinda, a Catholic Bavarian princess, became the wife of Authari (583-590), and at his death, of Agilulf (590-615) At the death of the latter she was for some years the regent for her son She labored hard for the conversion of her adopted people, and with some success Under Rotharis (636-652), a Catholic king, Arianism practically disappeared This greatly facilitated the fusion of the two peoples, which was almost complete at the end of the seventh century But The union of this conversion to Christianity and fusion with Italy. the people of Italy did not in the least diminish the desires of the kings of the Lombards to rule over all Italy. Under Liutprand (712-743) it seemed that the time had come for the Lombards to wrest all Italy from the Emperor. The king of the Lombards would then have become the ruler of Italy, and Rome would naturally have been his residence Gregory II (715-731) had quarrelled with the Emperor and the Church of the east about the use of images in the churches There was great disorder throughout all Italy, and Liutprand thought this a favorable opportunity to carry out his schemes. In 727 he attacked the Exarchate, and in a short time suc-Liutprand's ceeded in taking almost the whole of it. The plans and conquests. district known as Pentapolis was also taken, and Liutprand then thought of taking Rome But this the Pope was determined he should not do. The Pope knew that if Italy should fall into the hands of the Lombards, his own great power would come to an end. He feared nothing more than the domination of the Lombards He therefore made great preparations to resist them Fortune favored him was checked by the Emperor's forces, which retook Ravenna,

and the dukes of Spoleto and Benevento now revolted against Liutprand and joined the forces of the Bishop of Rome. An alliance was then made between Liutprand and the Exarchate of Ravenna, the latter to take Rome and the former to reduce the dukes of Benevento and Spoleto Liutprand was successful in his undertaking, and then went to Rome, but being unwilling that the imperial power should be so strengthened in Italy, he acted the part of a peace-maker. The Bishop of Rome professed to submit to his former master, the Emperor, and the Exarch returned to Ravenna

Gregory II was succeeded by Gregory III (731-741), who at once began an open opposition to the Emperor and the Rome and Church of the east The Emperor fitted out a Constantinople. fleet to invade Italy, to reduce it to subjection again, and to bring Gregory III a prisoner to Constantinople But the fleet was destroyed by a storm, and Greek control in Italy was never again possible. The Bishop of Rome was thoroughly alienated from the Emperor, and the future of Italy depended upon the outcome of the struggle between the Bishop of Rome and the Lombards for supremacy

This struggle did not again break out until 738, when the duke of Spoleto rebelled against Liutprand, but was defeated Gregory III, and and fled to the protection of Gregory III When Karl Martel. Liutprand demanded his surrender the Bishop refused, whereupon Liutprand invaded his territory and Gregory III feared that he was about to be threatened Rome made a subject of the Lombard king and hastened to ask Karl Martel to rescue him He sent Martel the keys to the grave of St Peter and gave him the title of Patricius, which, up to this time, had been conferred only by the Emperor His letters are full of the most violent abuse of the Lombards, the only cause of which was the fact that the Lombards threatened to deprive him of the political independence which he was enjoying But Martel was on friendly terms with Liutprand, and having many difficulties at home to contend with, refused to help the

Bishop Martel and Gregory III both died in the year 741, and the latter's successor, Zachariah (741-752), made a twenty years' peace with Liutprand, who restored all that he had taken from the duchy of Rome and gave the Pope many gifts But the danger of the Lombard conquest of Italy was not removed, it was only put off, and consequently the Bishop of Rome was in as precarious a position as ever. His hatred and fear of the Lombards, therefore, constantly increased.

In 743 Liutprand died, and his nephew, Hildebrand, succeeded him He was, however, very soon removed for incompetency, and Rachis, duke of Friuli, was elected king (744-749) Rachis kept the treaty made with Liutprand till 749, when he attacked Perugia, a dependency of the Emperor. Zachariah went to visit him and to persuade him not to break the peace He was so successful that Rachis not only desisted from the war but even resigned the crown and entered a monastery Aistulf, his brother, was now made king, and at once took up the policy which the previous kings Aistulf's vigorof the Lombards had followed He invaded the Exarchate and attacked Ravenna Benevento was then reduced and Aistulf moved toward the duchy of Rome with the intention of taking possession of it He sent ambassadors to the Pope, Stephen (752-757), and demanded the acknowledgment of himself as his master The Pope was helpless, for his threats of excommunication had no effect on Aistulf. now remembered that he had done Pippin a great service by sanctioning his seizure of the throne, and resolved to ask his help and protection against the Lombards He left Italy (753) and went to visit Pippin, who received him gra-The Pope asks ciously and listened to his complaints and enaid of Pippin. treaties In an assembly at Cérisy-sur-Oise, in April, 754, Pippin agreed to restore to the Pope the patrimony of St Peter, which had been seized by the Lombards These were the estates which had been acquired by the successive Bishops of Rome and had become the property of the office rather than

of the individual Bishops These estates were very numerous in Italy, and many of them had been taken by the Lombards. Pippin's first promise was to restore to the Pope all these possessions He must, of course, first conquer them

But the Pope was also concerned for his independence The second part of the agreement was that Pippin should defend the people of Rome and of the Province (the duchy of Rome), that is, the people of the diocese of the Bishop of Rome The high position of the Pope in the duchy of Rome, his practical political independence, was thereby secured

The Pope remained several months in Gaul and gave his personal attention to many questions which were just then under discussion, such as the relations between slaves The Pope in Frankland and freemen, marriage laws, baptism, and the control of the clergy Most important of all, he reanointed and crowned Pippin king of the Franks at St. Denis, and gave him the title of Patricius The reason of this is unknown. This rite had already been performed on him by the Frankish clergy It is difficult to see why it should have been repeated. It is probable that it was for the purpose of confirming Pippin in the possession of the crown, and that it was supposed to increase the legality of his claim to the throne. His wife, Bertrada, and his two sons, Karl and Karlman, were at the same time anointed and crowned, and the nobles were required to take an oath never to choose their ruler from any other family. This was, therefore, a consecration of the family to the kingship, the establishment of a new dynasty While Pippin reaped many advantages from it, it was of far greater benefit to the Bishop of Rome, for it secured him the assistance and gratitude of the Frankish kings, and later he claimed the right to make and depose kings, basing his claim on the alleged fact that he had raised Pippin to the throne of the Franks

Meanwhile the Frankish army poured over the Alps into Italy Aistulf was quickly shut up in Pavia, and, seeing his helplessness, proposed terms of peace, which were accepted at

once by Pippin He agreed to make the restitutions to the Pope which Pippin demanded, and to do homage to Pippin for his kingdom Seeing that the whole matter had been Pinnın twice in Italy. settled Pippin returned to Gaul But Aistulf had no thought of keeping his promise. He did not restore anything to the Pope, but in the winter of 755-6 suddenly at-In a letter which professed to be written by St. tacked Rome. Peter himself, Pippin was again called on to protect the Church of God, which, in the eyes of the Pope, was almost identical with his independent possession of Rome Crossing the Alps a second time, Pippin compelled Aistulf to raise the siege and Again peace was made, but on shut himself up in Pavia harder terms' Pippin demanded tribute and hostages for himself and the restitution of all his conquests to the Pope Ambassadors were present from the Emperor to demand that the Exarchate be restored to him, but Pippin said that he had not fought for the advantage of any man but for the salvation of his own soul, he would therefore give these lands The Pope's possessions. to St Peter He had no desire to hold lands in Italy himself, and the Emperor had, by his heresy and inefficiency, lost all claim on the west. There remained only the Pope to whom the lands could be given Accordingly the Pope found himself possessed of the Exarchate, the Pentapolis, and the duchy of Rome This is the beginning of the temporal possessions and sovereignty of the Papacy

The rest of Pippin's reign was occupied with wars, mostly on the frontiers. The whole of Septimania and Narbonne was taken from the Arabs and the Frankish frontier extended to the Pyrenees

In 760 Waifer, duke of Aquitaine, revolted against Pippin, and a war was begun which lasted, with short interruptions, for seven years. Waifer was able, treacherous, and subjection of determined to achieve his independence. But Aquitaine. Pippin's forces were far greater than his, and all his territory was finally taken from him. His followers, despairing of suc-

cess, killed Waifer and submitted to Pippin (768) For the growth of France, this conquest of Aquitaine was of the highest importance. The history of France would have been very different if Aquitaine, Narbonne, and Septimania (the whole southern part of France) had been allowed to form an independent state. Pippin at once reconstructed the government of Aquitaine by putting it under a large number of his own officers, called counts. There was no longer a duke of Aquitaine. Pippin paid great attention to the Church in Aquitaine, and took special measures to regulate it and its property

Duke Tassilo of Bavaria also revolted (763), and the Saxons made a few invasions of the eastern frontier, but Pippin was able to check them all In his last years he held Death of Pippin. the first place in the west in the eyes of all the His court was thronged with embassies and crowded peoples The Emperor frequently sent ambassadors to his by quacks court, once to negotiate a marriage between his son and the daughter of Pippin. The Khalif of Bagdad tried to secure an alliance with him for the purpose of putting down the revolt in Spain, which was led by Abderrahman, a prince of the Ommeiad He was the central figure in the west September 24, 768, after having divided his lands between his two sons. Karlman received the southern, and Karl the northern half of the kingdom. The exact line of division is not known, but it is clear that Karl had the more powerful because the more warlike portion

For some unknown reason the two brothers thoroughly disliked each other, and war was more than once on the point of breaking out. The custom of dividing the king-tween Karl and dom between the sons of the king seemed about to wreck the power of the Franks, but at first the dowager-queen, Bertha, proved a peace-maker and succeeded in keeping Karl and Karlman from actual hostilities.

Immediately after the death of Pippin, Aquitaine revolted. Hunold, the father of Waifer, had been for some years in a

monastery, but now left it to head the revolt Karl summoned Karlman to assist him, but the latter refused Karl, however, was successful The revolt was quelled, Hunold again sent to a monastery, and the country placed under counts from the north Karl forgave Karlman for his refusal to help him, but determined to put as many checks on him as he could It seems that it was on this account that he made an alliance with Desiderius, king of the Lombards, and married his daughter Desiderata, the sister of Gerberga, the wife of Karlman He also made an alliance with Tassilo of Bavaria, principally for the purpose of using him against Karlman

The Pope had most bitterly opposed the marriage of Karl and Desiderata because it seemed to him to endanger his own position If Karl and Desiderius were on good Karl and the Lombards. terms, he feared that he could not count on the help of the Franks against the Lombards His fears, however, were quickly removed, for in less than a year Karl repudiated his wife and sent her back to her father because, as he said, she From this time there was implacable hatred bewas barren tween Desiderius and Karl. Karlman was on the point of taking up the cause of his sister-in-law when he died (771). His widow, Gerberga, fled with her infant son to her father, who espoused her cause, but the nobles and bishops of Karlman's territory went to Karl and acknowledged him as king The whole kingdom of Pippin was again united in the hands of Karl

Asstulf, king of the Lombards, died in 756, shortly after he had submitted to Pippin His successor was Desiderius (756–774), duke of Tuscany He made many alliances, as we have seen, with Karl, Karlman, and also with Tassilo of Bavaria, to whom he gave one of his daughters When Karl repudiated Desiderata, Desiderius appealed to the Pope and asked him to recognize his little grandson as king the Pope. of the Franks. Pope Hadrian (772–795) refused to do so He was a Roman and thoroughly hated the Lombards. Being

ambitious of increasing his power, he demanded Faenza, Imola. Ferrara, Ancona, and Osimo, on the ground that they were a part of what had been promised him by Pippin Desiderius. thinking that Karl was too busy at home to in-Karl interferes in Italy. terfere in Italian affairs, refused to give up the cities, and at once began a war against Hadrian He invaded the Pentapolis with success, and then prepared to besiege Rome Hadrıan hastıly summoned Karl to his help, but the latter could not come at once, being engaged in the Saxon war dered Desiderius to give up all the cities which belonged to St Peter, and on his refusal invaded Lombardy (773) and shut Desiderius up in Pavia, where he held out for several months Karl spent Easter of 774 in Rome, and is said then to have renewed the gift of Pippin, but as the territory was not yet subdued, it is possible that this was not done till 781, during Karl's second visit to Rome In the summer of 774 Pavia surren-Desiderius was sent to France and put into a monastery. while his son Adelchis fled to Constantinople, where he died several years later.

The kingdom of the Lombards was now at an end Karl was proclaimed king of the Lombards, but made little change

Lombardy subject to the king of the Franks. in the government except that some of the Lombard officers were replaced by Franks In 780 he placed the government of Lombardy in the hands of twenty Frankish counts and put

the hands of twenty Frankish counts and put over them his son Pippin, whom he had crowned king of the Lombards. The Pope received the Exarchate, the Pentapolis, the duchy of Rome, and a few cities in Tuscany At this time we find traces of the existence of a famous document, known as the Donation of Constantine. It may have existed before this, but it was now certainly used as an argument to convince Karl that he was only restoring those territories to their rightful owner. According to this donation the Emperor Constantine had been smitten

with leprosy, but on appealing to Silvester, Bishop of Rome, for

baptism, he had come out of the font healed of his disease. Out of gratitude he determined to withdraw from Rome and make Constantinople his residence, because it was not proper that the secular and spiritual rulers of the world should occupy the same city. He accordingly gave the Bishop of Rome "The edict proceeds to grant to the Italy and the west Roman pontiff and his clergy a series of dignities and privileges, all of them enjoyed by the Emperor and his senate, all of them showing the same desire to make the pontifical a copy of the The Pope is to inhabit the Lateran Palace, to imperial office wear the diadem, the collar, the purple cloak, to carry the sceptre, and to be attended by a body of chamberlains ilarly, his clergy are to ride on white horses and receive the honors and immunities of the senate and patricians" (Bryce, Holy Roman Empire, chap vii)

This document is one of the famous forgeries of the Middle Age. Its author is unknown, but it must have been made at Rome and by one acquainted with the papal court, perhaps by the Pope himself, or at least with his connivance ¹

The war with the Lombards was of the very greatest importance because it brought Karl into the closest relations with the Papacy. Equally important for other reasons Importance of were his wars with the Saxons (772-804). the Saxon Conquest. Germans were now broken up into two great hostile camps All those west of the Rhine had been Christianized and were becoming civilized Those east of the Rhine were largely heathen and barbarian It was of the utmost 1mportance for the future of the Germans that these two divisions should be united. Karl accomplished this in his wars with the Saxons, and therefore made possible the greatness of Germany.

Both Martel and Pippin had had trouble with the Saxons and had reduced some of them to subjection The boundary between the Franks and the Saxons was not yet fixed and there

¹ A translation may be found in Henderson's Documents of the Middle Ages, page 319 ff

was a good deal of border warfare between them Karl made his first campaign against them in 772 Invading their territare. The Saxon tory he reduced the Engrians to submission the Irminsul (probably a holy tree or pillar), which was near there A Christian church was established in the Eresburg The chiefs took the oath of fidelity and gave hostages. Garrisons were left in the Eresburg and elsewhere, and Karl returned to the west.

But while Karl was in Italy engaged in reducing the Lombards, the Saxons revolted, retook the Eresburg, drove out the garrisons, ravaged the country as far as the Rhine, sacked the famous monastery of Fritzlar, and destroyed all the churches they could find Karl came back in 775, defeated the Westphalians, and received the submission of the Eastphalians and the Engrians Nearly all of Saxony had now done homage to Karl, but it proved to be little more than a form.

This is in general the course of all these wars Karl would invade the lands of the Saxons, and after a few skirmishes rather than battles, receive their submission, leave garrisons behind, build churches and monasteries, establish priests General character of the war. and monks among them, and withdraw to his The Saxons would pay the heavy fines which he imposed upon them, mostly in cattle, take the oath of obedience and fidelity, and then break it as soon as he was gone were not able to meet his armies in the field, but fled to the forests and swamps Karl generally found it very difficult to They had, however, few strongholds, no cities, follow them and their villages were not worth defending. When he had harassed them for a while, the least courageous ones would come to him and submit, while the more stubborn ones would retreat before him These considered themselves in no way bound by the agreements made by their less defiant companions sequently they did not hesitate to revolt when the opportunity presented itself.

In 776 Karl started to Italy to chastise the dukes of Friuli and Benevento, and the Saxons thought the time had come for a revolt. They retook the Eresburg, put the garrison to the sword, and tried to get possession of all the places held by the Franks. When Karl heard of this he at once set out for the scene of the war, and travelled so rapidly that he took the enemy wholly unawares. Frightened by the rapidity of his movements and being unable to meet him they submitted and promised to be-But one of the Westphalian "Mavfield" at come Christian chiefs, named Widukind, refusing to yield, fled Paderborn, 777. to the north. Karl now determined to impress the Saxons with his great power, and for this purpose held a diet, or "Mayfield," on Saxon soil, at Paderborn, in the spring of 777 It was largely attended by both Franks and Saxons Many of the latter now became Christian and promised loyalty to both Karl and the Church. While at Paderborn, Karl received ambassadors from some Arab chiefs in Spain, promising to do homage to him for their lands if he would come into Spain and protect them against the Ommerad Abderrahman, who, having come west from Damascus after the de-Invasion of struction of his house there, had now conquered Spain. nearly all of Spain Huesca, Barcelona, and Gerona still held out against him, and it was the officers of these towns who now sought help of Karl. The offer was a tempting one, for it gave Karl an opportunity to extend his boundaries and to make a stronger frontier between the Saracens in Spain and himself. He accordingly set out with his army for Spain in the spring Sending his troops forward in two bodies, he brought of 778 them all together before Saragossa Although unable to take this city, he nevertheless established the power of the Saracen chiefs who had called on him These did him homage and he returned. While crossing the Pyrenees his rear-guard was attacked by the Basques, who were supposed to be friendly, and sustained some loss Among others Roland, one of his noblemen, a count of the mark of Brittany, was slain. Absolutely

nothing more is known of him, but he must have been a famous man among the people, for in a short time the popular imagnation took hold of him and made him the hero of a great many songs and poems. These were finally brought together and are known as the "Song of Roland". The invasion of Spain brought no permanent gain with it, for Abderrahman soon conquered almost all the territory south of the Pyrenees.

During the absence of Karl in Spain, Widukind returned to the Saxons and persuaded them to break their oath and revolt. Almost all Saxony came at his call, the east bank of the Rhine was plundered, churches and monasteries especially were sacked and destroyed Hesse and Thuringia suffered much

Karl contented himself with putting an end to the depredations and waited till the summer of 779 to punish the rebellion. The campaign of 779 was like those that had preceded it. Widukind and his followers fled, and those that remained behind surrendered and renewed their oaths. Karl made an ecclesiastical division of the land into districts and put monks and priests to work among the people to Christianize them. Christianity was now forced upon them, and many of them were baptized at the point of the sword. They were often driven into the rivers and baptized in droves.

A little later the whole land was divided into counties, over each of which was placed a Frank or a Saxon on whom Karl thought he could depend About 780 he issued Capitulary of 780. a capitulary about the surrounding territory, which was intended to arrange all affairs of Church and government in the newly acquired land. The Church was to play the most important part there in the work of subduing and civilizing the Saxons. Consequently Karl endowed it with great liberties and powers The churches were to have the right of asylum, that is, criminals who fled to a church could not be taken by the officers Any injury done to a church or slight put upon it or any of the services, was declared to be punishable with death. To offer human sacrifices, to kill a clergyman, to refuse to be baptized, to burn instead of burying the dead, to disregard the rules of the Church in regard to fasting, all were offences to be punished with death. Sunday must be observed, no courts were to be held on that day The churches were endowed with large tracts of land and the payment of the tithe enforced

Again, in 782, Widukind returned, and in his presence the Saxons forgot all their oaths and attempted to drive out the hated Franks An army was sent against them Widukind. which was led into an ambush by Saxon leaders and totally destroyed near the Suentel Mountains, a range of hills not far from Osnabrueck. Karl again marched among them and pitched his camp at Verden He now demanded that all the principal offenders be delivered up to him About four thousand five hundred were thus brought to him, and to punish them for their perfidy he had them all massacred. Enraged at this the Saxons again revolted, and for two years there was constant fighting. Karl overran almost the whole of their territory He took many prisoners whom he settled in various parts of Gaul Widukind himself, seeing that the war was hopeless, surrendered, and was settled at Attigny, in Gaul, where he was baptized. Nothing more is known of him. although he found a place in the legendary literature of a later period. The work of the Church and of the counts was again begun in Saxony The conquest was now practically over, but there were still to be a few revolts There was one (792-794), which threatened to become serious for a while, but Karl put it down after three years of fighting. Another outbreak took place in 796 The last revolt occurred in 804, among the Nordalbingians, a tribe just north of Hamburg. This was ended by the deportation of about ten thousand of the people. Their lands were given to vassals, counts, priests, or monasteries The conquest of the Saxons was at last complete. They had been Christianized by means of the sword, perhaps not the most proper way of conducting missionary work, but

in less than one hundred and fifty years the Saxons were the most thoroughly Christianized of all the Germans In the Final conquest middle of the ninth century, there was produced of the Saxons. among them the "Heliand," a great religious folk-song or poem, which shows how thoroughly Christianity had taken hold of them.

One of the most important measures taken by Karl was the division of the land into bishoprics. Bishops were establishment of lished at Minden, Paderborn, Verden, Bremen, Bishoprics. Osnabrueck, and Halberstadt. Other bishoprics, such as Muenster and Hamburg, were added later as the country became more Christian These soon became prominent centres of life and civilization. Around these churches many came to settle, because they were, on the whole, the safest places to be found. In this way cities grew up about them, roads were built to connect them and to faciliate travel and trade.

One of the causes for the obstinacy of the Saxons is to be found in the fact that they were asked to give up their religion and take a new one. This was not at first understood by them, but when they came to perceive what the conquest meant they resisted more bitterly than ever. Of all the German tribes the Saxons held most firmly to their native religion. The principal cause of this was, that their religion was local and connected with objects of nature. Unlike the Goths, the Lombards, and other German tribes, the Saxons never migrated, and consequently were never weakened in their attachment to their objects of worship

The duchy of Bavaria was practically an independent state occupying the territory between the Inn and the Lech. It was independent in having its own dynasty, its subjected. where the state own ecclesiastical organization, with the archbishop of Salzburg at the head, its own laws and officials, and its separate foreign relations. Its duke owed allegiance to the king of the Franks, but this was merely nominal, since he had

his own assemblies and controlled all matters, both political and ecclesiastical. Tassilo had more than once been guilty of trying to throw off the bonds of the Frankish king, but every time had been defeated. His wife was a daughter of Desiderius, king of the Lombards, and it was but natural that she should do all in her power to keep him in hostility to Karl In 782 Karl called him to Worms to an assembly where he renewed his oaths of fealty, but later, in 787, there were evidences that he was planning to revolt again Karl forestalled him by sending his armies against him. Seeing that his case was hopeless, Tassilo gave up his duchy to Karl, resigned it into his hands, and received it back again on declaring himself his man But in less than a year he was again charged with desertion, and this time the sentence of death was passed upon him. Karl spared his life, but compelled him, and every member of his family, to enter a monastery Bayaria was then added to the Frankish kingdom. Karl soon divided it into counties which he put into the hands of his Frankish followers.

The Avars, a Turkish tribe, had settled on the middle Danube, and had a great kingdom, occupying the territory which is now the eastern part of Hungary. They held in sub-War with the jection many of the Slavic and other tribes in their neighborhood. They made themselves feared far and wide because of their many raids and pillaging incursions into the more civilized lands about them. In 788 they invaded Bavaria, which drew the attention of Karl to them Karl himself led an army of Franks down the Danube, while his son Pippin brought up an army of Lombards The first campaign was not decisive, because Karl was compelled to return to the north on account of a Saxon revolt The war dragged on for several years, but finally, in 796, Pippin took the famous rings. or walls, which surrounded the residence of their ruler (Khagan), seized the great treasure which had been accumulated by pillaging, and compelled the Khagan to submit to Karl The kingdom was now so weakened that it was unable to defend itself

against the Slavs and the Magyars, who soon occupied the territory With the treasures thus obtained Karl rewarded his faithful followers and friends.

It will be remembered that the territory between the Elbe and the Niemen (a large part of modern Prussia) was once octhe Slavs east cupied by the Goths, Burgundians, Lombards, of the Elbe. and other German tribes Following close on the heels of the Germans, as they moved to the south, came a large number of Slavic tribes, who took possession of the lands thus left vacant. One of the most interesting processes of the Middle Age is the reconquest of this territory by the Germans and the expansion of the Germans toward the east

Territorially Spain was limited, her boundaries are fixed by nature, the same is largely true of France and Italy. Germans alone had no fixed barriers, but their The expansion opportunities to expand were in the east only. of Germany to the east. They improved these opportunities by conquering, colonizing, and Germanizing the Slavs. This was a long and slow process It began in the time of Karl It is scarcely ended even to-day There is still a small body of Slavs occupying a district only a few miles from Berlin (the Spreewald). They have their own language and many of their customs have survived. There are still many persons of Slavic blood to be found in Germany Especially is this true of the province now called Saxony, where the nobility generally is tall and fair, while the common people are mostly small and dark in feature. In the days of Luther there were many people in the villages about Wittenberg who spoke Slavic. He would meet them in his pastoral visitations to the country districts and villages

Beyond the lower Elbe were the Abotrites (in Mecklenburg), the Wiltzes, probably southeast of them, and the Sorbs, probably on the head waters of the Elbe and extending to the east. At this time the Slavs seem not to have been warlike. In 789 Karl overran so much of their territory that all the Slavs west of the Oder submitted to him, did homage to

him for their lands, and gave hostages for their fidelity They remained very faithful to him, mention being made of only one revolt among them during the life of Karl, that of the Wiltzes in 812, which was, however, easily put down

Bohemia was held by other Slavs, called Czechs Against these Karl sent his army in 805 and compelled them to acknowledge his supremacy and pay him tribute Other Slavs were south of the Avars in the modern Kaernthen, and these also were compelled to pay tribute.

Toward the end of his reign the Danes began to ravage the coast of Karl's dominion as far south as the mouth of the Seine. To prevent a counter-invasion by Karl, the king Danish of the Danes built a wall across the Isthmus of Invasions. Schleswig Although Karl is said to have built a fleet and to have established forts at the mouths of the rivers, he seems not to have been very successful in conquering the Danes Their great invasions were to come later.

Karl's wars with the Saracens were not limited to the invasion of Spain in 778. His fleets conquered Sardinia, Corsica, and the Balearic Islands, and had to fight almost constantly with the piratical fleets of the Saracens

In Spain itself there was constant war between the Christians and the Mohammedans, in which the Christians were greatly assisted by the rebellions and treacheries of the Saracen officers. In 795 the territory won from them by Karl was formed into a mark and put under a Markgraf, or border count. From this as base of operations conquests were made to the south until the Ebro was reached, which, in 812, was made the boundary between the two peoples.

Karl was forced into fighting the eastern Emperor, who made many ineffectual attempts, especially in the last years of Karl's reign, to retake Italy. Greek fleets ravaged the Italian coasts and the imperial party in some of Emperor. the cities, especially in Venice, tried to assist the eastern Emperor, but their efforts came to little, and in 812 the Emperor

in the east, Michael Rangabé, made peace with Karl and recognized him as Emperor in the west.

From the foregoing brief view of the conquests of Karl it will be seen that his reign was one long campaign. Fortunate in all his wars, he succeeded in extending his boundaries in all directions. It was this series of splendid conquests that laid the foundation for the renewal of the Empire and the imperial title in the west.

In those parts of the Empire which were taken by the Barbarrans the memory of the Empire had almost died out. had been broken off from the central govern-Conditions favorable to the ment and were practically independent. But the restoration of Church in the Empire's stead soon furnished a the Empire in the west. kind of unity, with her common beliefs, ritual, practice, and organization Since the west was Christianized largely by the efforts of the Bishop of Rome, this dignitary became a kind of centre, and his authority a bond that united all the Christians of the west. While the Church thus formed a sort of spiritual Empire, she did not forget the existence of the Roman Empire, whose seat was now at Constantinople. The idea that the Empire must stand so long as the world should exist had not died out The Bishops of Rome, therefore, regarded the Emperor at Constantinople as their legitimate head and were subject to him. But in return for their obedience they received only insults and violence. The Emperor was never able to protect them, and was often, according to their judgment, too officious in ecclesiastical matters the authority of the Bishop of Rome did not extend to the east. his interests were almost wholly in the west The Emperor in his turn was almost wholly confined by force of circumstances It was but natural, therefore, that the Bishops of to the east Rome should at last think of revolting from the eastern Emperor and of seeking an Emperor in the west. The unity of the Church in the west helped keep alive the idea of political unity there. The growth of the Church (the Christianization of the

Anglo-Saxons, of the Germans, and others) and the great power of the Frankish kingdom, and its close alliance with the Bishops of Rome, were the conditions without which the revival of the Empire in the west would have been impossible.

The story of the restoration of the Empire in the west is as follows: In Rome there was a party which longed for the independence of Rome and the revival of her ancient The Roman They were beginning to dream the party hostile to the Pope, dreams which troubled the Middle Age so much, dreams about restoring the greatness of Rome and making her once more the head of the world. Forgetful of the progress that the world had made, they believed that by passing resolutions, making declarations, and reviving ancient titles, they could restore the Rome of the ancient Republic. The Pope had become the most important man in Rome and was practically its governor. Those who were trying to bring back Rome's greatness were hostile to this growing power of one man who was preventing the free and independent action of the people of Rome In 798 there was a revolt in Rome against the Pope. Leo III was maltreated, driven from Rome, and charged with perjury and adultery Fleeing to Karl, he found him at Paderborn and begged Karl to restore him. Karl sent him back to Rome under the protection of his Leo III., restored officials and he himself followed later Leo came by Karl, crowns before Karl's judges, and later took an oath that him Emperor, he was innocent of the crimes with which he was charged, whereupon Karl reinstated him in his office Christmas Day, 800, while Karl was kneeling in the church of St Peter at Rome, the Pope placed the imperial crown on his head, did him reverence, and all the people present shouted and harled him Emperor

According to Einhard (Karl's biographer), Karl afterward declared that he did not know that the Pope was intending to crown him, but was taken wholly by surprise; and furthermore, if he had known it, he would not have gone into the church

that day. There is no good reason why Einhard should have reported such a thing unless it were true. And yet we know that Karl wished to become Emperor and was at that time devising plans by which he might receive the imperial title and crown. His great power, his extensive conquests, his protection of the Church and service in her behalf, all marked him out as the proper one for Emperor.

A short time before this he had sent ambassadors

A short time before this he had sent ambassadors to the court at Constantinople to broach the subject of his marriage with the Empress Irene

There are some indications that he thought of asking the eastern ruler to recognize him as Emperor in the west, that is, to restore the ancient arrangement by which the power in the Empire was held and exercised by two persons instead of one

There were various reasons for the conduct of the Pope. The eastern Emperor had for a long time failed to give his subjects Reasons of the in Italy any protection when they were hard Pope's act pressed, as they had been by the Lombards Since he had not protected his people, but had left them to shift for themselves, they might justly transfer their allegiance to the one who had preserved them. It was the special duty of the Emperor to defend and extend the Church, but this work had been neglected by the Emperors at Constantinople, while Karl had been most energetic in her service. He was, indeed, doing the work which was supposed to be peculiarly that of the Emperor.

The west was shocked that for the first time in its history the throne was held by a woman. It seemed that such a position could be filled only by a man, and they were unwilling to recognize a woman as Empress. Not only was the sovereign a woman, but the woman was guilty of inhuman cruelty. Irene had deposed, imprisoned, and blinded her son, Constantine VI. Such a criminal as this must not occupy the high position of protector of the Church

There was also heresy in the east. During the eighth century

there had been a great struggle there about the worship of images. The court and the learned generally opposed their use, while the monks and common people had refused to be deprived of them. In 787 a council had declared that images might be used. The action of this council had been approved by the Pope but rejected by Karl. But in any event the Church of the east was heretical. It was a shame that the orthodox west should be subjected to the east, thus defiled with heresy.

Just at this time there was a feeling in Rome that Constantinople was an upstart city. Rome had always had the right to elect the Emperor, and was also the capital of the world; but these dignities had been usurped by Constantinople. The time had now come for Rome to claim her rights and reassert herself, and again become the head of the world. She could do this by rejecting the ruler at Constantinople and electing an Emperor in the west. There was a very strong feeling in favor of the independence of Rome.

There were very good reasons, too, why the Pope should wish to have Karl elevated to the position of Emperor His attitude toward the Church was well known, and there was no one else in all the west so well able to preserve order and peace. As Emperor, it would be more than ever his duty to protect and extend the Church and preserve the peace But the Pope had also a selfish motive His position in Rome was no Personal longer sure. He had been driven out once, and he knew that the "Republican" party in Rome would ever be Without the help of Karl, without his troops hostile to him at his back, he could not hope to be able to hold his place in Rome He believed that by making Karl Emperor he would also make him more than ever responsible for the preservation of peace and order in Rome He knew that Karl would not tolerate the independence of Rome, nor allow the principal bishop in the west to be driven from his place.

It is probable that the Pope had consulted with some of Karl's followers who were in Rome, and with some of the lead-

ing citizens, perhaps the so-called "Senate." It is hardly probable that he would have taken so important a step without first assuring himself that he would be supported by the people. Having satisfied himself of this, and knowing that Karl wished to become Emperor, he believed that his action would be acceptable to Karl, and so crowned him There are three possible reasons why Karl was dissatisfied with what the Pope did.

Karl displeased. In the first place, it cut across his plans and interrupted his negotiations with the court at Constantinople; he may have been displeased that the coronation should have taken place without sufficient preparation and ceremony; and he probably was angry that he had been crowned by the Pope instead of being permitted to crown himself.

Karl was surprised, and at first scarcely knew what to do. He soon sent off ambassadors to Constantinople to inform the court

Karl seeks confirmation of his title at Constantinople, that he was now Emperor. This was done apparently to see what effect it would have on the eastern court—Irene and her successors stormed at Karl and refused to acknowledge him as Em-

peror. Karl knew, moreover, that he was not legally Emperor; his coronation had been an act of rebellion. There was but one thing that could make him a legal Emperor and that was the consent of the Emperor in the east. He therefore determined to secure this at any price. He did not resent the many insults offered him by the eastern Emperor, but preserved a conciliatory attitude, and was at last successful (in 812) in obtaining the recognition which he so earnestly desired. In that year he was greeted as "Imperator" and "Basileus" by the ambassadors of the eastern court. The defect in his title was thereby removed, and Karl seems not to have troubled himself any further about the eastern Emperor.

Karl evidently thought that he was Emperor in the west only. He never disputed the existence or the legality of the Emperor in the east. He did not regard his coronation as the deposition of Irene, but, on the contrary, continued to have

diplomatic relations with Constantinople, and sought recognition in order to make his election legally valid. But the people of Rome thought differently. To them it One or two was a revolt. They believed that they were de-Emperors? posing the eastern line and restoring the supremacy of the west In their lists of Emperors the name of Karl follows directly after that of Constantine VI It was, and was meant to be, a Karl was, indeed, willing to keep the crown thus given him, but felt that he must also have the recognition of the Emperor in the east, but the Romans did not wait for They believed that they had made Karl the successor of the great Augustus, of the Antonines, and Constantine

The Bishop of Rome crowned Karl because he was the most important personage in Rome By virtue of his office he was the most suitable person to perform the act, and The coronation certainly no one else could have so thoroughly persuaded the people that the act was a proper one But it was, nevertheless, a revolt The Pope gave a crown that was not his to give, and only his high position and the general feeling in the west that the change was a good one, kept the people from questioning his conduct. The people felt that they were crowning Karl through their representative, the Pope act was thoroughly irregular and illegal, and therefore, at the time there was no attempt made to give a legal explanation of it. But three hundred years later there were three theories about the coronation of Karl The imperial party declared that Karl had won the crown by his conquests, and was indebted to no one for it but himself This theory was Three theories. based on truth, for Karl had conquered great territories, and but for this would not have been even thought of for Emperor The Papal party said that the Pope, by virtue of his power as successor of the apostle Peter, had deposed the Emperor at Constantinople and conferred the crown on Karl This was based on the fact that the Pope actually crowned Karl; but at that time no one supposed for a moment that the

Pope was crowning him by virtue of any such power. Such an interpretation was not thought of till long after. The people of Rome also advanced a theory to the effect that they had elected Karl, and that they had revived their ancient right of electing the Emperor. This theory had in its favor little more than the fact that the people had sanctioned the action of their leader by their shouts and acclamations.

Such was the famous restoration of the Empire in the west, a most important act, because of the great influence it had on the later history. It bound Italy and Germany Effects of the restoration. together in a union which, while it had its compensations, was, on the whole, ruinous to both, at least politically. In consequence of this coronation of Karl, for seven hundred years the German Emperors were unable to free themselves from the idea that they must rule Italy. They were, consequently, continually wasting their strength in useless campaigns in Italy, instead of extending Germany to the east, the only direction in which there was possibility of success Emperors wore themselves out in Italy, but were never able to unite Germany. The best days of her best Emperors were spent on Italian soil The political unification of Germany was thereby made impossible until our own times.

The coronation of Karl greatly increased his prestige, and, indirectly, his power Emperor was far more than king, and Karl's conceptor brought with it many duties and obligations tion of his office. Which were unknown to the king Karl regarded himself as much exalted by the new office. At this time the Emperor was supposed to hold his office directly from God, to whom alone he was responsible for everything he did. This is apparent from some of Karl's measures for governing. Shortly after his coronation he compelled all his subjects to take a special oath to himself as Emperor. The peculiarity of this oath was that all were required to swear that they would live not only as good citizens, but also as good Christians. The Emperor was responsible for the Christian lives of

his subjects. This is characteristic of the way in which Church and State were mingled under the Karlings.

For carrying on the government of his vast territory Karl had to invent new forms and adapt old ones He held Mayfields according to the old German custom, but Karl's governit was impossible for all his subjects to attend Large numbers of them came, however, especially because the campaigns were planned in these meetings, and it was expected that the armies would proceed at once to the He divided his territory into counties and Counts. placed over each a count (Graf) In the west the cities with their surrounding country formed these counties, in the east they were formed by the old tribal boundaries, while on the frontiers new districts were organized (marches or Markgrafschaften) and placed under border counts counts were held responsible for the administration of the government in their counties They seem to have held the office for life, but could be deposed for cause. There was a tendency also to make the office hereditary The counts were by no means independent, but were Karl's assistants

The dukes and duchies of Aquitaine, Alamannia, Saxony, and Bavaria disappeared, because they were too strong a menace to the unity of the Empire. Only the dukes of Benevento, Brittany, and Gascony remained. Those who bore the title of duke were simply Karl's officers and not independent.

In order to put a check on all the officers of his realm, and to control them, Karl sent out special commissioners, called "Missi Dominici," or royal messengers, whose duty it was to oversee all that was done by the local officers They were to inquire into the conduct of all officials, and of the clergy as well. Appeals were made to them, and any misconduct on the part of any officer was reported to them. They were generally sent out two by two, one of them being a clergyman. They looked after the condi-

tion of the army, the collection of the taxes, the state of the churches and schools, the morals of the clergy, and the administration of justice as well as of things in general. In this way Karl was kept fully conversant with the affairs of both Church and State throughout his kingdom. The clergy were also regarded as officers of the state, and they had certain civil duties. They and the counts were supposed to work together in harmony, and mutually to assist each other. Karl was greatly troubled, however, by the constant friction between the clergy and the counts, as well as between the bishops and the heads of the monasteries. There were at bottom the same unsettled relations between the clergy and the counts as between the Emperor and the Pope; the authority, rights, and duties of each were not clearly defined.

Karl himself by his own personal efforts gave unity to the whole government and did much of the actual work. He was Karl's personal busy moving from one part of the realm to government. another, fighting, administering justice, conducting trials, settling difficulties, and, in general, keeping the machinery of government in motion. Without his restless activity the heterogeneous provinces which composed his kingdom could never have been held together.

His military system did not differ from that of his predecessors. At his summons all his free subjects were supposed to this military come prepared to begin a campaign. But the frequency of his wars and their great distance from home made them very burdensome. Many now began to try to escape military service. A compromise was effected by which a certain number of men were allowed to equip one man and send him as their representative. Karl also built a fleet to guard the coast, and especially the mouths of rivers, which latter he often fortified.

As a lawgiver, he was also active, although there is little that is remarkable in his legislation. He tried to preserve the old German laws and customs, which he now caused to be reduced

to writing. His own laws are a curious mixture of German, Roman, and biblical elements. Since his Empire was Christian, the Bible was the very highest authority, and all legislation was to be in harmony with it. It did indeed color much of his legislation.

As a builder Karl achieved a great reputation. He built many churches, the principal one of which was the church at Aachen, in which he was finally buried. He built a great palace for himself at Aachen, another at Ingelheim, near Mainz, and another at Nijmegen. He also built a bridge over the Rhine at Mainz, but it was destroyed by fire before his death. His architects were mostly Italians. Many pillars and other building materials were brought from Italy at incredible expense and labor. The style of his architecture was undoubtedly a derived Byzantine, for the buildings of Ravenna were his models.

Probably the most remarkable of all Karl's activities was his educational work. He drew to his court some of the most learned men of his day, among whom were His attitude town Alcuin, Paulus Diaconus, Peter of Pisa, and others He formed his whole court into a palace school (Scola Palatina), all the members of which assumed either classical or biblical names Karl called himself David. The sessions of this school were held mostly in the winter, because in the summer Karl was engaged in his wars. His learned men gave lectures, and there were many discussions of the subjects broached The clergy of the Empire was, on the whole, very ignorant Karl complained that although their letters to him were good in sentiment they were bad in grammar Many of the clergy were too ignorant to preach To remedy this, Karl caused a volume of sermons to be prepared for their use. established cathedral schools, the most prominent of which were at Rheims and Orleans, and monastery schools, such as those of St Gall, Tours, Reichenau, Fulda, Hersfeld, Corvey, and Hirschau. These were especially for the clergy, but they were

open to all who might wish to enter.' In fact, Karl had thoughts about a state system of public instruction A reaction set in under Ludwig the Pious In the year 817, laymen were excluded from schools in the monasteries, which were declared to be for monks only. In these schools Latin was studied with The Latin Bible (the Vulgate) and a great number great zeal of Latin authors, from the classical and post-classical periods, were read Among others especial attention was given to Virgil, Horace, Ovid, Sallust, and Juvenal. Seneca also was a favorite, and, because of his supposed correspondence with St Paul, was regarded as a Christian. Karl was also greatly interested in the study of music He asked the Pope to send him priests who could give instruction in the style of singing practised in Italy. Two schools of music were established by him, one at Metz, the other at Soissons The organ was introduced into Gaul about this time and was cultivated by Karl.

This manifold activity amounted to a real revival of learning which bore fruit in the great disputations in the ninth century about foreordination and transubstantiation, as well as in the literature of that period " Revival of Learning." great emphasis placed on classical Latin had some very important effects In the first place, it purified the Latin of the Church, but at the same time widened the chasm between the spoken and the written Latin. The spoken Latin had now become a dialect, very different from the written language and impossible to purify This vulgar speech was the beginning of the French language, and its development and use as a literary language was hastened by the revival of classical Latin. Not only the French but also the other Romance languages were gradually developing. The interest in the classics led to the multiplication of manuscripts and the preservation of the works of Latin authors which would otherwise have perished It also determined that the Latin should be the learned language and that all the education of the Middle Age should be in Latin.

But Karl's authority over the Church extended still further. He claimed to have the right to determine the polity, ritual, and even the doctrines of the Church. In 787 Karl and the Pone. the Empress Irene called a council to meet at Nicæa which should settle the question of the use of images in the churches This council, under the protection of Irene, declared in favor of their use and sent its decrees or decisions to Pope Hadrian (772-795). Hadrian was pleased with them and sanctioned them, for he had all the time favored the use of images. He sent them to Karl and asked him to publish them, but Karl was of a different opinion Calling a council of his bishops in 794, he caused the action of the council at Nicæa to be refuted The refutation (the Libri Carolini) was sent to Pope Hadrian with a reprimand, and a command that in the future he should wait in all such matters until Karl had given his consent. In another letter he reminded the Pope that it was his special duty to pray, and not to interfere in the affairs of state, which belonged to the Emperor alone Karl's authority over the Pope is seen even more clearly in the case of Leo III. who was compelled to clear himself before Karl of the charges made against him Karl undoubtedly was, and was regarded, as the highest authority in the west, and distinctly superior to the Pope in all political matters, and practically so in ecclesiastical affairs. There was no legal determination of the mutual relations and powers of the Emperor and the Pope, because the theoretical question was not yet broached. The relation was settled practically, but never discussed There was no struggle between the two dignitaries in this period, because of the high position and power of Karl, and because the ambition of the Popes was not yet awakened Both Emperor and Pope made claims which were mutually opposed and conflicting, but there was no theoretical treatment of the question of their respective rights and authorities The Pope claimed to be the successor of St. Peter, the Bishop of the whole Church, and therefore he must have authority over the whole Church. But Karl was the

Christian Emperor, the ruler of the world with absolute authority. The adjustment of these claims was not to be settled till after centuries of struggle for supremacy

In Karl is found that peculiar fusion of German, Roman, and biblical elements which characterizes the Middle Age. In his dress, speech, manners, and sympathies he was a German, but judging him by his notions and practice of government he was a Roman, largely affected by biblical conceptions and ideas. He was a Roman Emperor who attempted to establish a theocracy. He was absolute master of the west, and his reputation was so great that his friendship was sought even by the great Khalif, Haroun-ar-Raschid, of Bagdad, who wished to see his rebellious Saracen subjects of Spain punished

His counsellor and private secretary, Einhard, has left us a lively picture of Karl ¹ Without doubt he was one of the greatest men of all time. No one else has more Einhard's thoroughly taken hold of the imagination of the Biography. people For centuries after his death the popular imagination was busy with his name and deeds. The impression which he made on the world found expression in a vast cycle of legends, all of which were confidently believed during the Middle Age.

He died January 28, 814, at Aachen, from pleurisy, and was buried the same day in the great church which he had built. "A gilded arch was erected above his tomb, with his image and an inscription. The words of the inscription were as follows. In this tomb lies the body of Karl the Great and Orthodox Emperor, who gloriously extended the kingdom of the Franks and reigned prosperously for forty-seven years. He died at the age of seventy, in the year of our Lord 814, the seventh indiction, on the 28th day of January" "2

¹A good translation of this is published by Harper & Bros. in their School Classics

² Emhard, page 71.

CHAPTER VI

THE DISMEMBERMENT OF THE EMPIRE

KARL had indeed acquired a vast Empire and by his great personal ability governed it well. But he could not in so short a time make the various peoples who composed his realm homo-A common religious faith and a common government were not sufficient to overcome the differences which existed in race, tribe, temperament, customs, and language. As soon, therefore, as Karl's commanding personality was removed, these differences began to show themselves. Karl had made a brilliant attempt to reorganize society after the model of the Roman Empire He failed, and his kingdom went to pieces because of the weakness of his successors, Causes of disinunder whom lands, office, and authority were usurped by their officials; another cause was the actual partition of the Empire among the sons in the royal family; the Empire was regarded as a private possession and divided among the heirs, the disintegration was further caused by the racial differences that existed in the realm, by the disintegrating forces set in operation by the invasion of the Barbarians, and by the growing conflict between the Church The Germans were intensely ambitious and Individualism was one of their most prominent char-In the then existing state of society the only acteristics legitimate exercise of ability and ambition was in the practice Since this was the only way to rise, it is not surprising that we should now come upon a period of violence and lawlessness in which might determined everything Karl's realm went to pieces, during his reign its various parts had all been subjected to influences without which their future could not have been what it was

The dissolution of the Empire made rapid strides under Karl's son Ludwig the Pious (814-40), a prince who lacked all the qualities which made his father great His edu-Ludwig the cation had been entrusted to the clergy with Pions. most unfortunate results He was better fitted for the monastery than the throne, and more than once actually wished to lay down his crown and enter the cloister His conscience was abnormally developed and thoroughly morbid. Petty faults he magnified into great sins, and he was continually doing penance when he should have been attending to the affairs cf He altogether lacked the sterner qualities necessary for governing in a time of violence and barbarism. Being without will and purpose he was the slave in turn of his wife, his clergy, and his sons Karl the Great, about six months before his death, had crowned Ludwig as his His threefold successor. On his accession Ludwig repeated coronation. the coronation, placing the crown upon his own head. 815 Pope Leo III died, and the people of Rome at once elected his successor, Stephen IV., without asking the consent or sanction of Ludwig This insult and infringement of his prerogatives the Emperor did not resent The Pope followed up the advantage thus gained, and told the Emperor that his coronation was invalid because it had not been performed by the clergy, and proposed to come into France and recrown him. Again Ludwig yielded, and was crowned a third time by Stephen IV., at Rheims (816-17) Another precedent was thereby established for the claim made by the Popes that they alone had the right to crown the Emperor

There was some dispute about the relation of the monasteries and their lands to the Emperor Ludwig's regard for the Church and her institutions led him into the grave mistake of freeing nearly all the monasteries of the realm from all duties to the state except that of praying for the welfare of the Emperor,

his children, and of the state. Only fourteen of the largest monasteries were bound to render both military service and Ludwig and the contributions in money to the crown. Sixteen others were freed from the military service, but were compelled to pay certain sums of money, while all the other monasteries of the Empire were freed from every duty to the crown. Since there were many monasteries which were rapidly growing rich in lands, the income of the crown was thereby greatly reduced.

Most of the legislation of the early years of his reign con-Until this time if a serf could get cerned ecclesiastical affairs himself ordained to a clerical position, his master His legislation. thereby lost all claim upon him and he became The owners of serfs protested, and the freeborn clergy despised and abused those of servile birth. Ludwig protected them, however, but was forced to allow the former lord some compensation for the loss of his serf He permitted the monks to undo much of the good work of Karl the Great by closing their schools to laymen (817) Education became, thereby. more and more the prerogative of the clergy He was lavish in his gifts to both monasteries and churches and was surrounded all the time by monks and priests.

In consequence of an almost fatal accident in the year 817, Ludwig determined to divide his realm among his three sons.

Division of the His eldest son, Lothar, was destined to succeed his father as Emperor, and therefore received Italy because it contained one of the capitals, and on his father's death was to inherit Neustria and Austrasia, with the other capital, Aachen. Aquitaine was given to Pippin, the second son, and Bavaria to Ludwig, known later as Ludwig the German.

The younger sons were required to recognize the over-lordship of Lothar and honor him as Emperor. In this way it was thought the unity of the Empire could be maintained. The division at once caused trouble. Since the death of Pippin, son of Karl the Great, in 810, Italy had been regarded as the

possession of his son Bernard. In 817, however, Ludwig made no provision for Bernard, and the latter at once revolted, raised a large army of Lombards, and seized the passes to prevent an invasion of Italy. He was persuaded, however, to come into France to discuss the matter, and was promised that no violence should be done him. But in spite of this he was seized and treated as a criminal. The case was finally settled at Aachen, and Bernard was sentenced to death. At the command of the Emperor, however, the sentence was commuted to blinding, but Bernard died while the punishment was being inflicted upon Ludwig was soon overcome with remorse for his base hım His wife. Hermengarde, it was said, was the real cause crime She had hated him because he stood in of Bernard's death the way of one of her sons. Her death, which occurred the next year, was regarded as an act of divine judgment upon her. Ludwig now shut himself up to pray and do penance, paying no attention to the affairs of state He even wished to enter a monastery, but his ministers would not listen to such a proposal They insisted that he should marry again Relying very largely on their choice, he married Judith, a Suabian princess, who bore him a son who received the name of Charles (822) and afterwards became king of France and known as Charles the Bald

Burdened with remorse, Ludwig tried to make amends to all whom he had injured. The friends of Bernard, who had been blinded with him, were now set free and sent back to Italy. The councillors of Karl the Great, whom he had dishonored and shut up in a monastery on his accession in 814, were restored to power. His kindness was ill-timed, for they never forgot the injury done them and were the cause of much of the trouble of his later humiliated years. Not content with this, he called a great council of the clergy and princes at Attigny (828), and in the garb of a penitent, came before them to confess all of his sins and to do penance for them in their presence. This well accorded with

the increasing ambition of the clergy, who thus found the Emperor at their feet, willing to perform whatever penance they might prescribe. It was an opportunity to show and to increase their power, which they did not lose, for they ordered him to build churches, to give alms, to scourge himself, and to fast and pray

Ludwig wished to provide for his youngest son Charles, and therefore gave him the duchy of Alamannia and some of the Swiss lands which lay south of it. Lothar and Provision for Pippin thereupon revolted and formed a wide his favorite son conspiracy Ludwig was deserted by nearly all of his people, who were displeased with him because of his public confession and penance at Attigny, which seemed to them unworthy of an Emperor. He and his small army were surrounded by the troops of his sons and taken prisoner confined to wait the decision of the national council which was to be called, and Judith was compelled to enter a monastery. The council was called to meet at Nijmegen, in the heart of the German territory, where the people were most sincerely attached to the Emperor A reaction in his favor had followed the success of his sons The council therefore restored the Emperor, who now pardoned and restored all those who had rebelled against him Lothar returned to Italy and Pippin to Aquitaine, angered that their plans should have miscarried 832 they again revolted, and persuaded their brother Ludwig the German to join them Pope Gregory IV went with the army of Lothar to assist him in his revolt The armies met near the town of Colmar (about forty miles south of Strassburg), but the Emperor was unwilling to fight until he had first tried to make peace with his sons. There followed a period of Gregory IV went to the camp of the Emperor, intrigue apparently as a mediator, but, in fact, for the The "Field of Lies." purpose of bribing his followers time the Emperor was almost deserted, and seeing that he could not resist any longer, told his few remaining followers to go

also to his sons, that no one might receive any injury on his account. The place was from this time called the "Field of Lies"

Ludwig then fell into the hands of his sons and was imprisoned by them Since Lothar wished to get full possession of the Empire, he called the bishops of Gaul together and persuaded them to depose the Emperor Ludwig, however, refused to submit to this indignity, and was again put into prison. If was now apparent that Lothar meant to usurp I othar's amthe place of his father His brothers were dissatisfied with this, for they preferred the rule of their father to that of their brother. They turned again to the support of their father, and he was reinstated as Emperor (834) Scarcely had this been done when Ludwig again attempted to provide his son Charles with a kingdom at the expense of Lothar Another war would have broken out but for the invasions of the Northmen. Friesia was overrun by them and Utrecht sacked They came up the Rhine as far as Nijmegen, sacking cities and towns and ravaging the country (836) Ludwig was compelled to put off the division of the Empire to defend it against the Barbarians from the north In 837 he added to the possessions of Charles a large strip of German land which he took from Ludwig the German War again broke out, for Ludwig the German was unwilling to give up his possessions About the same time Pippin of Aquitaine died, and left his lands to a young son Emperor refused to acknowledge his grandson and gave Aquitaine to his favorite, Charles, on whose account he had already stirred up so many wars The people of Aquitaine rose in behalf of their young ruler and began to invade the territory of the Emperor. Ludwig the German invaded Suabia, and the Northmen appeared again on the Rhine.

In his great extremity the Emperor determined to make peace with Lothar, and secure his help against his enemies and his protection for Charles.

Lothar was very desirous of succeeding his father as Emperor, and was therefore easily persuaded. In 839

he met his father at Worms, and the Empire was again divided. Ludwig the German was to retain only Bavaria, Charles Aquitaine and Neustria, and Lothar all the rest.

Ludwig the German and Pippin II. of Aquitaine were both opposed to this division and war once more began. Ludwig the Pious showed uncommon energy and got his army to-He quickly overran Aquitaine and Bavaria. gether at once and compelled Pippin and Ludwig to flee before him sickness seized him toward the end of his campaign in Bavaria and he died on his way back to Aachen (840). Death of Lud-His reign had been inglorious, the last eleven wig the Pious. 840 years of it had been filled with civil war because of the favoritism shown to his youngest son; the clergy had more than once exalted themselves at the expense of the state by exercising authority in temporal matters, even their spiritual authority threatened the independence of the Empire, since they had abased the Emperor by imposing the heaviest penances upon him; the people seemed to be growing more barbarous and violent; the Normans were ravaging the north, and the Saracens Italy and the islands of the Mediterranean.

On the death of his father, Lothar set himself up as Emperor and showed that he was determined to rule over his brothers.

Ludwig the German and Charles against Lothar and Pippin

oaths.

each other

Frightened at this, Ludwig and Charles made an alliance to resist him By promising to leave Pippin in the possession of Aquitaine he succeeded in obtaining his help and marched with him against his brothers. A decisive battle was fought at Fontenav¹ (probably southwest of Auxerre), in which Lothar and Pippin Lothar withdrew to Aachen, and Pippin fled II were defeated. to Aquitaine. The next spring Charles and Ludwig renewed their alliance. They met near Strassburg, and, in the The Strassburg presence of their armies, took an oath to support Ludwig took the oath in the language of Charles's people, and Charles repeated it in German. The oaths have been

preserved, and are among the earliest specimens of French and German Their united armies now drove Lothar out of Aachen. He retreated as far south as Lyon, where he begged his brothers to make peace, offering to divide the territory with them.

After many delays the treaty of Verdun was finally made, in August, 843 According to the terms of this famous treaty, Lothar retained the imperial crown. As Em-Verdun. peror he must have the two capitals, Rome and Aachen He therefore received Italy and a strip of land extending from Italy to the North Sea This strip was bounded on the east by the Rhine, but at Bonn the line left the river and ran north to the mouth of the Weser The western boundary line began some miles west of the mouth of the Rhone, but reached that river near Lyon; it then followed the Rhone and the Saône to the source of the latter; thence to the source of the Meuse, which seems to have formed the boundary as far The line then ran to the Scheldt, which it as the Ardennes followed to its mouth. Charles received all the territory west of this strip, to the exclusion of Pippin, who was now deserted by Lothar. Ludwig obtained all the land to the east, with the dioceses of Mainz, Worms, and Speier, which lay west of the Rhine.

Charles and Ludwig had the best of it in this division, because their territory was compact and each was master of a single nationality The subjects of Ludwig The beginning were all German, while those of Charles were of France and Germany mixed, indeed, but rapidly becoming homo-The German element was being assimilated by the But Lothar's subjects were of many nationalities. Besides, his territory lay in such a way that it could not easily It is significant that his kingdom could be named be defended. only after himself and not after any people. It was known as the kingdom of Lothar, while Charles was called king of the Franks, and Ludwig king of the Germans The history of Germany and of France as separate nations begins with 843

kingdom of Lothar was destined to go to pieces. Geographically and racially it was impossible that it should hold together. The Alps broke it into two parts; Italy might perhaps be made into a nation, but the narrow strip along the Rhine, from the Alps to the North Sea, was fated to be broken into many fragments and fought over for centuries by the French and the Germans

The rest of the ninth century was one of the most chaotic periods in the Middle Age. The rulers themselves were weak and inefficient, and allowed the power to slip from their hands.

There was need of a strong hand to keep the Chans of machinery of government in motion. the ninth century. were unequal to the task. Feudalism was growing rapidly. The Missi Dominici, or palace counts as they were now called, were no longer sent out Some of those who had held this position remained in the provinces to which they had been sent and exercised their authority as far as they could They became entirely independent and in their own name were in no way attached to the court of the Emperor counts, who had been especially the officers of the Emperor, now made their office hereditary, and consequently became independent They served themselves rather than their former The more powerful nobles oppressed the weak and all united to enslave the freemen. The Church, however, was there to resist them. She did this in part by assuming the guardianship of all who appealed to her for protection were compelled to surrender the title of their lands to the Church, but received them back as fiefs In this way the Church grew rapidly rich and powerful. She attempted also to free herself from the control of the state. Up to this time all clergymen of whatever rank had been subject to the laws of the land. About the middle of the ninth century two series of documents were forged, one of the purposes of which was to re move the clergy from the jurisdiction of the state and make them amenable only to the laws of the Church Only clergymen were

to be competent to try clergymen One of these forgeries is known as the Capitularies of Benedictus Levita; the other is the Pseudo-Isidorean Decretals Benedictus Le-Benedictus Levita, a deacon in Mainz (died 847), forged a vita and the large number of capitularies and attributed them Pseudo-Isidorean Decretals. to Pippin, Karl the Great, and Ludwig the Pious, the gist of all of which was that the clergy, because of their spiritual office, were superior to secular officers, and, therefore, were not to be tried by the ordinary laws of the land These rulers were made to say that the clergy were to be tried and punished only by the clergy The Pseudo-Isidorean Decretals contain much material that is authentic besides a large number of forgeries Among the latter are sixty spurious decrees of the Bishops of Rome, beginning with Clement, the supposed successor of St Peter, the donation of Constantine, and thirty-five decretals attributed to various Bishops of Rome from Silvester I. (died 335) to Gregory II (died 731). Much of the work of Benedictus Levita is also embodied in them The aim of these forgenes is to exalt the clergy far above the laymen, to make them an inviolable caste with peculiar supernatural powers and privileges, subject to no earthly tribunal, to set as the highest authority over them the Bishop of Rome, who is called Universal Incidentally the power of the archbishops, who had been growing autocratic and were practically independent of the Bishop of Rome, is reduced by giving the bishops the right

Violence reigned everywhere in the ninth century and the

later.

of appeal to the Bishop of Rome ¹ The Church was rapidly developing her system of government and growing in independence. She was preparing for the long struggle with the state for supremacy, which was to come two centuries

¹ The Pseudo-Isidorean Decretils were probably forged in the diocese of Rheims between the years 847-53. Their author is unknown, though Ebo, the Archbishop of Rheims, has been suspected of the deed. It was more probably done by some bishop of his diocese.

royal power was unable to check or punish it The Norsemen continued their invasions in the north The Moors harassed

The Emperor the coast of the Mediterranean, the Greeks ravaged the shores of Italy, the Slavs, and, toward the end of the century, the Magyars, devastated the eastern marches. The government was powerless

Oppressed with the burdens of his office and deserted by his followers, Lothar divided his lands among his three sons,

Division of resigned the imperial crown to his eldest, Ludthar's wig II., and retired to the Monastery of Pruem,
where he died soon afterward (855). With the
imperial title Ludwig II. received only Italy, while Charles
took Provence and Burgundy, and Lothar II Friesia, Austrasia,
and all the remaining lands north of the Alps His territory
later received the name of Lotharingia (Lorraine, Lothringen)

The civil wars of the successors of Chlodwig were now repeated by the descendants of Karl the Great. was almost constant war between the Emperor Ludwig II. and Lothar II. Ludwig II and his two brothers till 863, when Charles died. His territory-Provence and South Burgundy -was then divided between his remaining brothers Lothar did not have all that he could attend to, he entered into a struggle with the Church, in which he was defeated, and the Bishop of Rome scored one of his most signal successes over the temporal power Lothar II divorced his wife and married one of his concubines This brought on a contest with the Bishop of Rome which lasted for years. In the end Lothar was compelled to yield, and the Bishop of Rome had one more victory over the temporal power placed to his credit

Ludwig the German and Charles the Bald, king of the West Franks, also fought with varying success against each other and against the Vikings and the Slavs. The reign of Ludwig the German was also disturbed by the revolts and intrigues of his three sons—Karlman, Ludwig, and Karl the Fat—whom

he had united with himself in the government He succeeded, however, in putting them all down by force Charles the Bald had the same trouble with his sons, Charles tried to make himself independent king of Aquitaine, and Karlman plotted against the life of his father, for which he was imprisoned and blinded.

The Norsemen, the Saracens, and the Slavs continued their depredations, the officials everywhere usurped local authority, and the rulers paid no attention to the government and defence of their lands. On the contrary, the rulers gave themselves up to mutual plots and intrigues to deprive each other of their possessions.

With the death of Lothar II. (869) began the long struggle between the Germans and the French, which has lasted to our day, for the possession of the lands in Austrasia The middle and Lotharingia, called the middle kingdoms. kingdoms. After a year of struggle Charles the Bald and Ludwig the German concluded to divide the territory between themselves. By the treaty of Meersen (870) Ludwig received all Austrasia that lay east of the Meuse, containing Utrecht, Nilmegen, Aachen, Koln, Trier, and Strassburg. Charles received that part of Austrasia that lay west of the Meuse and all of Burgundy that had been in the possession of his nephew Lotharingia was, in fact, divided, the eastern part going to Germany, the western to France. In 875 the Emperor Ludwig II. died With his death the family of Lothar I came to an end, for neither he nor his two brothers left a male heir A struggle at once began between his two uncles, Charles the Bald and Ludwig the German, for the possession of the imperial title and Italy Charles the Bald was, however, the first to Charles the reach Italy and easily outwitted the sons of Lud-Bald, Emperor. wig the German, who had been sent thither by their father to support his claims Charles was crowned king of the Lombards at Pavia, and a short time later Emperor by the Pope at Rome, who thereby further increased his own prestige.

Ludwig the German was unable to take the field in person against his brother. He was old and feeble, and death overcame him the next year (876) His long reign, although greatly disturbed by the revolts of his sons and the invasions of the Northmen and Slavs was, on the whole, fairly successful It was of the very highest importance that the The Reign of various German tribes should be brought to feel Ludwig the German. their unity and that a national feeling should be produced among them. It was owing to his long reign that the East Franks (Franconians), Saxons, Suabians, and Bavarians came to feel that they were much alike, and the they differed from the Franks of the west. He extended his aries by chastising and reducing the rebellious Abotrites and Sorbs, Slavic peoples to the northeast, and a great many of the Bohemian and Moravian tribes He was successful in punishing the Northmen and resisted their invasions, although he could not prevent the destruction of Hamburg, which Ludwig the Prous had made the seat of an archbishop In consequence of this calamity the archbishopric of Hamburg was added to that of Bremen.

Regarding the kingship as his private property, Ludwig had followed the traditional custom of dividing his kingdom among his three sons, Karlman, Ludwig, and Karl the Fat uncle, Charles the Bald, immediately invaded Lotharingia, hoping to wrest their possessions from them; but Ludwig with his army of Saxons and Franconians met him at Andernach (near Coblenz on the Rhine) and utterly defeated him Charles returned to Liège, where he heard that the Danes were coming up the Seine. He at once sent them a large sum of money and asked them to invade the territory of his nephews hastily gathering a handful of men he set out to Death of Italy to defend his crown, which was endangered Charles the by a revolt. At Pavia he heard that his nephew. Karlman of Bavaria, was coming to meet him with an army of Bavarians and Lombards. Fearing to risk a battle he began

to retreat toward France, and died almost suddenly at the foot of the Mont Cenis Pass The favorite son of his father, he had been the cause of the wars that filled the last years of Ludwig the Pious Ambitious and grasping, he had begun several wars during his reign for the purpose of unjustly depriving some of his relatives of their possessions. In striving to extend his boundaries he had neglected what he already possessed. His officials ruled as they pleased, and the Northmen and Saracens ravaged his territory almost unhindered. He more than once tried to buy peace of the Danes and altogether did little more than squander the resources of his kingdom.

His Louis II, the Stammerer, succeeded him, but after a short, though promising, reign, died (879), leaving two sons, Louis III. and Karlman, and a posthumous son Charles, afterward known as Charles the Simple Both Louis and Karlman were crowned king. Their reign was a most disastrous one Danes came every year, and in spite of their defeat near Saucourt in 881, by Louis III, the country suffered greatly from them. The young kings were forced to cede Count Boso of western Lotharingia to Ludwig of Saxony, and Vienne king of Arles. their brother - in - law, Count Boso of Vienne, compelled them to make him king of Arles (the Rhone Valley from Lyon to the sea, also called lower Burgundy; the old name, Provence, also stuck to it) Louis III. died in 882, and his brother Karlman in 884. The only Karling left in France was Charles the Simple, as yet only five years old Rather than trust to a mere child, the nobles offered the crown to Karl the Fat, who, by the death of his brothers, was then king of the Germans (Karlman died 880, and Ludwig the Saxon Karl the Fat, **Emperor of East** Karl the Fat had been crowned Emand West Frankperor by the Bishop of Rome in 882, and now, land.* in 884, was called to rule over the whole of the territory once governed by Karl the Great He was, however, not equal to the task. He was afflicted with a chronic headache and corpulency, which incapacitated him from both thought and action. During his reign the Northmen besieged Paris, which made a stout resistance under count Odo and Bishop Gozelin. In 886 Karl collected an army and marched to the relief of the city. Meeting with a slight resistance he feared to attack the Northmen, and, in a most craven manner, offered them a large sum of money and the privilege of ravaging Burgundy if they would leave Paris in peace.

There was still one Karling of ability, Arnulf, an illegitimate son of king Karlman by a Slav concubine. Probably, in 876, his father had established him over Carinthia (Kaernthen), and, at the death of his father, his uncless had allowed The general dissatisfaction with Karl the Fat him to retain it led Arnulf to aspire to the crown In 887 he Arnulf king of conspired with the east Frankish nobles, and be-East Frankland, or Germany gan to lead an army against his uncle. Karl was deserted by his men, and being utterly helpless he sent the crown to Arnulf, resigned all claim to the throne, and begged only for the necessary food for subsistence Arnulf gave him some crown lands in Suabia He died a few months later at Neidingen, and was buried in the church of the monastery on the island of Reichenau in Lake Constance

With the deposition of Karl the Fat the Empire of Karl the Great broke up into its component parts, never again to be reunited The four great German stems-Saxony, The Empire in pieces. Franconia, Suabia, and Bavaria-were united un-Lotharingia for some time passed back and forth der Arnulf from France to Germany. The Franks had been more and more assimilated to the Kelts of northern Gaul, among whom they had settled. They had by this time lost their nationality. They had given their name and their vigor to Count Odo king those whom they had conquered, but they were of West Frankland, or France no longer Germans They may from this time be called French. The French nationality was slowly developing. Charles the Simple was still a boy only eight years old, and therefore not to be thought of as a candidate for the

French crown. Two others claimed the throne count Odo of Paris, who had so gallantly defended the city against the Vikings, and Guido (Wido), duke of Spoleto, a grandson of the Emperor Lothar The popularity of Odo won for him the election by the nobles.

Count Boso of Vienne had been made king of Lower Burgundy in 879. The power in Upper Burgundy was now seized by count Rudolf, one of its governors, Two New Kingwho succeeded in getting himself crowned king.

His kingdom was bounded on the west approximately by the Saône and by the Aar, and extended from Basel to Lyons These two little kingdoms remained separate until 934, when they were united The kingdom of Burgundy, or Arles, thus formed, continued its separate existence until 1032, when its royal line became extinct and the Emperor Conrad II united it to the Empire.

In Italy still another kingdom was established. Berengar, Margrave (Markgraf) of Friuli, was elected king by the Lombards and crowned by the archbishop of Milan.

This kingdom of Italy, however, was destined to of Italy. have a short separate existence. In the struggles that arose for the possession of the crown the kings of Germany were invited to interfere. By doing so they obtained the crown of Italy, and at the same time the imperial crown. The political history of Italy, therefore, is from this time very closely bound up with that of Germany.

CHAPTER VII

POLITICAL HISTORY OF FRANCE, 887-1108

Ono, the newly elected king of France, was on the whole the best choice that could have been made by the Frankish odo king, nobles. Of all their number he had the largest landed possessions. He surpassed them all in valor. He was noted for his just and upright character. His popularity was greatly increased by that of his father, Robert the Strong, who lost his life in resisting the invasion of the Northmen (866). But his position was not safe because he was only one of several great nobles, all of whom regarded themselves as practically his equal. Although elected and crowned he could hardly be regarded as the legitimate king of France so long as a member of the Karling family still existed.

Under the weak successors of Karl the Great the counts who had been the king's officers had greatly increased their independence, and had made their office hereditary. The great fiefs. In this way there arose the powerful counts of Flanders, Poitou, Anjou, Poitiers, Gascony, Paris, and many others, whose lands came to be called the "great fiefs." cause of the imminent danger they had elected Odo, one of their number, as king, but it was hardly to be expected that they would tamely submit to him if he should attempt to exercise to the full his royal rights The Northmen continued their invasions, but Odo was not always so successful in repelling them as he had been. From 893 on he had also to contend against the oft-renewed conspiracy of some of the strongest nobles to restore Charles the Simple to the throne So long as

he lived he successfully defended his title He was at last worn out with the struggle and died (898) after having named as his successor, not his brother Robert, who was his heir, but Charles the Simple (898–929) Robert did homage to Charles, and received the duchy of France (the strip of territory which included, among other cities, Paris, Tours, and Orleans).

Charles the Simple was in many respects an able ruler. His too ready confidence in the promises and loyalty of his subjects often brought him great trouble and loss, and charles the Simwon for him the title of Simple. The invasions ple, 808-020. of the Northmen continued without abatement, and many of their bands now spent all of the winter in France, having taken possession of some of the districts about the mouth of the Seine and elsewhere In qii Charles offered their principal leader, Rolf (Rollo), the valley of the lower Seine and his daughter in marriage if he would settle there and Settlement of become a Christian He also required of Rolf to the Northmen on the Lower Seine. leave the rest of the country in peace. They met at Clair-sur-Epte and the agreement was made. It proved to be a wise measure, for it was to the interest of Rolf and his people that the invasions should cease. The various bands of Northmen were soon gathered together under Rolf, and the new bands of invaders were repulsed. The district thus assigned to them received from them the name of Normandy. True to his promise, Rolf was baptized, and received Robert as his baptismal name

Charles was successful in adding Lotharingia to his possessions. In 911, on the death of Ludwig the Child, the people of Lotharingia refused to submit to Conrad I of Franconia, who was elected king by the other to France for a Germans, and transferred their allegiance to Charles Feudalism was now in its most chaotic state, and the great fiefs were well established in France as elsewhere The vassals of the French king were almost as powerful as their lord. They paid little or no regard to his wishes and were prac-

tically independent At length Robert of France repented that he had refused the crown in 800, and with two other great nobles conspired to overthrow Charles and make himself king. In 923 they met the king's forces near Soissons and defeated them, but Robert himself was slain. His son Hugo was unwilling to claim the crown, and the nobles, therefore, elected the son - in - law of Robert, Rudolf of Burgundy, king. treachery they got possession of the person of Charles and imprisoned him. His wife, however, escaped with her son to England, where she was received by her father, king Edward the Elder. For twelve years Rudolf held the title of king, although during the first years of his reign his authority was very limited; many of the great nobles refused to obey him. A quarrel with some of his nobles finally led to a brief restoration of Charles but he was again imprisoned, and died soon afterward of starvation (929) During these internal troubles the Magvars (Hungarians) invaded France from both Italy and Germany, and escaped with large booty after committing great depredations. Lotharingia refused to accept Rudolf, and again became a part of Germany.

Rudolf died (936) without children, and Louis IV (d'Outremer, Transmarinus) was recalled from England and made king Duke Hugo of Paris was still unwilling to risk all for the sake of a title which brought with it great difficulties but little authority He preferred to be the favorite adviser of the king, for he could thereby greatly increase Louis d'Outremer, 936-54. his possessions. He was lord of Neustria, duke of Francia, and suzerain of Blois, Champagne, Chartres, Anjou, and many other counties Louis d'Outremer married the sister of Otto I, king of the Germans, with whom he was generally on good terms, but their relations were disturbed by another attempt of Lotharingia to change its lord. More than once he was compelled to wage war with his great vassal Hugo He showed a good deal of military ability. His sudden death in 954 placed the crown on the head of his eldest

son, Lothaire (954-86), a boy only eight years old. The support of Hugo was bought with the duchies of Aquitaine and Burgundy, but he died before he had made him-Lothaire. self master of Aquitaine His two sons, Hugo 054-86. Capet and Otto, inherited his vast possessions Hugo Capet also followed the policy of his great father and tried to gain possessions in the south of Gaul He succeeded finally in obtaining Poitou and a partial control of Brittany. Lothaire was a man of good ability, but he made two fatal mistakes. quarrelled with the clergy, especially Adalberon, archbishop of Rheims, and he set his heart on gaining Lotharingia, which was now a part of Germany Consequently he was continually at war with the kings of Germany. Otto II. carried the war into France and even threatened Paris. Taking advantage of these hostile relations, Hugo Capet obtained the friendship of Otto When Lothaire turned to Germany for help he found an alliance existing between his great vassal, Hugo Capet, and Otto TIT Lothaire died before the revolution came, and his son, Louis V, succeeded him in 986 His death, however, took place the next year, and there was but one Karling left, Charles, duke of Lower Lotharingia. He was, however, without power and could not hope to obtain the votes of the great vassals Hugo Capet had the support of Otto III. of Germany. He was allied by marriage to some of the most powerful counts clergy and the monasteries were on his side, because he had taken special pains to win them by rich donations The archbishop Adalberon of Rheims and the bishops of the whole country called the nobles together for the purpose of electing a king, and after a clever address, in which Adalberon proved that Charles was not the most suitable person for king, Duke Hugo and that the crown was not hereditary but elec-Capet elected king. tive, he proposed the duke Hugo Capet and recounted his virtues and qualifications. The duke was unanimously elected and crowned as "King of the Gauls, Bretons, Danes, Normans, Aquitanians, Goths, Spaniards, and Gascons."

In this way the crown came into the possession of the Capetians, a dynasty which was to rule France in the direct line for more than three hundred years (987-1328). The crown was thereby declared to be elective, but soon became hereditary in this family. It was of the greatest influence on the history of the line that there was never lacking a male heir, generally of mature years, able to take up and carry out the policy of his predecessors. There were, therefore, no disputed successions, no disastrous regencies, no troubled elections. The history of the kings of Germany offers a strong contrast in this respect to that of the kings of France.

The position of the new line of the Capetians had its points of strength and weakness. Both the Merovingians and the The position of Karlings had been consecrated by the Church the king. and were therefore regarded as legitimate rulers. The Capets, upon being hailed by the Church, were accepted by a large part of the nation as the true successors to those great houses The king thus became for the majority of the people an absolute sovereign, a power ordained of God to rule, to preserve order, and to administer justice. But there was another class, composed mostly of the nobility, which at this time was living in accordance with feudal customs and ideas, and to them the king was by no means absolute. His authority over them and his lord. demands on them were limited. They were themselves kings in their domains and exercised royal prerogatives. feudal ideas and customs the Capets were forced to recognize. The royal power was greatly limited by them, and it was only by following a consistent policy and by the greatest good fortune that the Capets were able in the end to triumph over feudalism and to establish a strong central government this was a long and slow process For more than a hundred years the disintegration of power and of territory went on. The Capets were not able to keep their officials from making their offices hereditary, and their family possessions, as well as

the royal domain which they had inherited from the Karlings, were greatly diminished by constant usurpations. Their weakness was greatest in the eleventh century. The twelfth century brought a change in their fortunes, and their power from that time on steadily increased

The reign of Hugo Capet (987-96) was quite as successful as could be expected under the circumstances. He was generally recognized by the great vassals, and maintained an independent attitude toward the German Emperors and toward the Papacy. Under him there was a distinct growth in the feeling of nationality which helped increase the separation between France and her neighbors, already caused by the differences in language and customs.

His son and successor, Robert II. (996-1031), was surnamed the Pious, because of his humble and upright character and his regard for the truth. He was also a Robert II, warrior of ability, fighting vigorously for Lotharingia and adding by conquest several cities and districts to his estates.

The reign of Henry I. (1031-60) was very disastrous for the royal power, although the king himself was both brave and active. He was continually engaged in a struggle with the great vassals whose territories surrounded his own. These were especially the counts of Blois and the dukes of Normandy.

Henry I., 1031-60, and the great vassals.

The only outlet from his estates to the sea was the Seine, the lower part of which was in the possession of the Normans, whose numbers and warlike qualities made their duke a dangerous neighbor of the king. Henry I appreciated the situation and made every effort to make himself master of Normandy. He met, however, with two severe defeats (at Mortemer, 1054, and Varaville, 1058) at the hands of duke William the Bastard, (1035-87), afterward known as William the Conqueror, the first Norman king of England (1066-87). Henry had to admit that he was beaten, but he had fought with valor for the

increase of his power. His influence was great, but he was unable to carry out his schemes of aggrandizement. In fact he lost several of the royal possessions.

Philip I. (1060-1108) followed the policy of his father in regard to Normandy and the other great fiefs. He was too young to prevent duke William from making his Philip L. 1060-1108. conquest of England, but he did all he could to weaken him by fomenting quarrels in the family of William and by endeavoring to keep Normandy and England as independent of each other as possible. This policy he handed down to his successors, who eventually were successful in it. ried on in a creditable manner several wars with other great vassals, and was successful in adding certain lands to his possessions He refused to go on the first crusade, resisted the claims of Gregory VII., and treated that part of the clergy of France which supported the Pope with a good deal of severity. Such conduct, now regarded as specially creditable to him, brought upon him the disfavor of the chroniclers who have generally painted him in the darkest colors According to them he was guilty of gluttony, laziness, debauchery, highway robbery, and many other vices and crimes Some of the things with which he is charged may be true, but many of them may safely be set down as inventions or exaggerations of a hostile clergy his later years his activity was greatly limited by his abnormal obesity, which amounted in his case to a disease however, was not without its achievements, although the growing feudalism of the country daily diminished the actual power of the king. Feudal castles and strongholds were everywhere, and the king met with resistance on all hands The famous castle of Montlhéry was at the very gates of Paris, and the king was actually in danger of being taken prisoner by his own brigand subjects and held for a ransom if he ventured outside of his city without a strong guard His authority had to be enforced Safe in his feudal castle, the vassal could with impunity refuse obedience to the king. The chaos and anarchy

of feudalism were now at their height, but the reign of Louis VI (1108-37) brought a change. Under him the power of the king increased, the lawlessness of the times was checked. order was reestablished, at least in part, and feudal customs became more fixed, thereby greatly diminishing the violence that had been so prevalent and increasing the general security condition of the country was by no means perfect, but it was of the greatest importance that a large amount of stability was introduced into the customs and practices of the government and of society. The kings of France possessed a great advantage over the kings of Germany in that they were allowed to retain all fiefs which became vacant, while in Germany the great dukes compelled the king to relet all fiefs within a year after they had become vacant. The kings of France, therefore, had an excellent opportunity to increase their possessions, while the kings of Germany were cut off from that advantage.

CHAPTER VIII

GERMANY AND ITS RELATION TO ITALY (887-1056)

The deposition of Karl the Fat (887) left Arnulf in possession of the German crown. He at once assumed that as successor of Karl the Great he had the right to a certain sovereignty over all the rulers of the west. He therefore demanded and received an acknowledgment of his supremacy from the kings of Burgundy, Italy, and the West Franks. The Northmen continued their invasions, until in 891, near Louvain, Arnulf almost annihilated their forces, whereupon for a few years the land was not disturbed by them.

Under its duke Swatopluk, the Moravian kingdom had gained great strength, and included nearly all of Hungary, Bothe Stavs and hemia, and other lands both south and east of Hungarians. the latter In 892 Arnulf attempted to reduce Swatopluk to subjection, but after two unsuccessful campaigns was obliged to desist; not, however, until he had persuaded the Magyars (the modern Hungarians), a Finnic people of the lower Dnieper, to invade the Moravian kingdom. This was most unfortunate, for the Magyars were not satisfied with what they obtained among the Moravians, but continued their depredations, and for many years overran Italy, Germany, and even France.

Berengar of Friuli had been elected and crowned king of Italy in 888, but Guido of Spoleto, after being expelled from Guido of Spoleto, King and Emperor afterward Pope Stephen V., his devoted friend, gave him the imperial crown. Guido soon quarrelled with

the next Pope, Formosus, who sent to Arnulf and begged him to come and deliver him from the power of the tyrannical Guido. In 894 Arnulf went into Italy, drove Guido out of the territory north of the Po, and established Berengar in his former possessions.

As soon as he had withdrawn from Italy, Guido again began his operations against the Pope, and Arnulf was again summoned to come to Italy and was promised the Arnulf in imperial crown as a reward. Rome. When he reached Rome he found it in the possession of the widow of Guido, who closed all the gates against him. Arnulf took the city by storm and was crowned Emperor (896). He was unable, however, to settle matters in Italy or to satisfy any party. Hated by all, it soon became necessary for him to leave Italy, which continued to be the prey of contending factions. Arnulf was the first German king who made a journey to Rome expressly to obtain the imperial crown. The Pope had claimed and maintained the exclusive right to crown the Emperor.

When Arnulf died (899), the nobles of the Empire chose his son Ludwig, a child only six years old, for their king. The government was in the hands of archbishop Ludwig the Hatto of Mainz, assisted by Adalbert of Augschild, 899-911. burg, and Solomon, bishop of Constance The reign of Ludwig the Child (899-911) was brief but fateful. Power was everywhere usurped, and the great duchies were established during these troubled years. Archbishop Hatto labored hard to preserve the royal power and to keep the various provinces from becoming independent. His opposition to local independence caused him to be heartily hated. The popular disfavor showed itself in many ways, especially in the formation of legends. He is the bishop of the famous legend of the mouse tower in the Rhine.

The dukes, counts, margraves, and possessors of great fiefs thus formed a powerful aristocracy, whose interests were, in common, opposed to those of the king. Much of the history of Germany is the account of the struggle between the king and these nobles Feudalism was thoroughly established during this period Offices and lands were made hereditary and It was in this period that the power usurped Establishment great duchies became established. In Franof the great duchies. conia count Conrad succeeded in gaining the power and assumed the title of duke. In Suabia a comes palatinus, or missus dominicus, Erchanger by name, usurped the ducal title; Otto, the Ludolfinger, obtained the power in Saxony, while in Bayaria Arnulf, the son of Liutpold, who had the title of Markgraf, obtained the ducal power. Under Ludwig the Child, the four great original duchies of Germany were fully established—Saxony, Franconia, Suabia, and Bavaria. In Lotharingia, or Lorraine as it may now be called, Reginar (Rainier) usurped the ducal title and gave in his adhesion to the king of the West Franks.

Besides these duchies there were also some marches (marks), or border counties, which had been established for the purpose of resisting the invasions of the Barbarians The marks. The east march (Pannonia), the beginning of Austria, and the marches of Carinthia, Bohemia, and Thuringia were for defence against the Magyars, Moravians, and Slavs. The Danish march was on the frontier toward Denmark. differences between the people of these duchies and counties are not geographical, nor administrative alone. They are, if not racial, at least tribal The languages of the various duchies were not wholly the same, and were rapidly growing Each district had chosen the lord to whom it clung with the greatest tenacity These and other differences were great hindrances to the unification of the Germans. (German writers speak of this attachment of the people to the narrow interests of their little province as "Particularism") The dukes were practically kings in their duchies; in fact the duchies were generally spoken of as kingdoms.

At the death of Ludwig the Child (QII), the nobles of Sax-

ony, Suabia, and Franconia elected Conrad, duke of Franconia, king, but his authority was small. The family possessions of the Karlings were now changed into crown lands Conrad I , of and given to the king to use during his lifetime Franconia, king, At his death it was expected that they would be passed on to his successor. Although Conrad was a man of excellent ability, brave, active, and ambitious to rule well, his success was small. The feudal times were against him, and he wore himself out in trying to make good the traditional rights and authority of his office. The Danish invasions continued, and he was embroiled with the other great dukes. In 912 Otto the Illustrious, duke of Saxony, died, and Conrad tried ineffectually to wrest some of his power from his son Henry. In Suabia he succeeded in getting possession of duke Erchanger, and put him to death, but the ducal power was soon after usurped by a noble named Burkhard. Conrad met with only indifferent success in his struggles with Arnulf of Bavaria. made a close alliance with the clergy, and used them in his struggle with the dukes At a council at Altheim (916) the clergy threatened with the ban all who should resist the king. Political disaffection was to be regarded as heresy and punished in the same way. Tust before his death (918) Conrad is said to have advised his brother Eberhard, who was also his heir, not to claim the crown, but to carry the royal insignia to his most powerful rival, Henry of Saxony, who alone was able to perform the duties of the high office

The nobles of Saxony and Franconia came together in Fritzlar and elected Henry king (called the Fowler, also the Builder of Cities, 919–36). He was a practical man, who saw all the difficulties of the position and was line, Henry I., persuaded that a feudal kingship was the only kind now possible. The days of the Karlings were gone forever The power of the dukes was not to be broken. He must therefore rule over and through them. Their independence in their own territory was not to be questioned. They were to be

held responsible to the king only for the feudal duties which they recognized as due him. The king could rule only indirectly. Between him and the people were the dukes, on whom he must rely. This feudal conception of the kingship was new, and radically changed the attitude of the king toward the clergy and the dukes. He meant to be friendly with the dukes. and therefore did not need the special help of the clergy. did not wish to rule the kingdom by setting one party against another After his election, the archbishop of Mainz, as Primate of the kingdom, wished to anoint him, but Henry refused, saying that the election alone was sufficient. He did Henry I. and. the Church. not care to have that prestige which would come from the performance of such a religious rite. He did not base his kingship on the Church and its sanction. He was content to be a feudal king. It is quite in accordance with this conception of his office that he should devote himself almost wholly to the lands which he held as duke. For the internal defence and government of the other duchies he was in no way responsible. In accordance with these ideas he dealt with the dukes. first marched into Suabia, whose nobles had not been present at his election. Duke Burkhard was compelled to recognize Henry as king, and was left wholly independent in the work of governing his duchy. Henry, however, reserved The duchies. the right to fill all vacant bishoprics, and, of course, retained control of the crown lands in Suabia. Bavaria duke Arnulf was able to preserve a little more independence. He recognized Henry as king, but retained the right to name the bishops and to coin money in his own name. He dated all documents after the year of his own reign, not after that of the king Henry tried to obtain possession of Lorraine, but was for a long time unsuccessful. However, when Charles the Simple was taken prisoner and Rudolf of Burgundy made king of the West Franks, Henry succeeded in conquering Lorraine and attaching it to his crown. To its duke, Giselbert, he gave his daughter Gerberga as wife, and so

strengthened the ties which bound it to Germany. For the administration of the affairs of the kingdom he held diets and councils, at which the highest nobles and the clergy (archbishops, bishops, and abbots) were expected to be present The clergy of Bavaria, however, had their own councils.

In 924 the Magyars invaded Saxony and laid almost the whole land waste Henry was unable to meet them in the field, and therefore made a nine years' treaty with them, paying them a heavy tribute These years Henry used to put his country into a good state of defence and to improve his army. His preparations are described by Widukind (i., 35) as follows. "He first chose one out of every nine soldiers who were living in Progress in the country and compelled him to live in a Germany. city (urbs) in order that he might build dwellings for the other eight and lay by one-third of all the grain produced, while the other eight should sow and harvest for the ninth. In these cities, on the construction of which they labored day and night. the king ordered that all trials, meetings, and festivals of whatever sort, should be held, in order that the people in times of peace might become accustomed to what would be necessary in time of war (i e., to living together in close quarters)" Towns are mentioned which he fortified, such as Merseburg. Meissen, and Quedlinburg. It is said that he also founded other cities (cæteras quoque urbes fabricavit), although it is not known what ones are to be attributed to him. There were. of course, walled towns before his time, but most of the Germans lived in open, straggling villages Henry gave a great impulse to town life, and it was due to his activity that the German towns now became more numerous, and that in the next century there was a large and important citizen class. Commerce was also thereby greatly promoted, for without it people cannot exist together in large numbers. During these years of peace Henry also developed a good army. All who did military service were trained in the use of arms by military sports, and a cavalry troop was formed. The Saxons, it would seem, up to this time, had fought only on foot. The new mode of fighting was soon to become common, since it was generally those who had some means who were called on to follow the king on his campaigns. The poorer people nearly all now sunk to the position of serfs or slaves and so escaped military service.

Some time between 924 and 929 Henry made a successful campaign against the Slavs. He first attacked those on the Havel and took their stronghold, Brandenburg. He then followed up the Elbe into Bohemia, conquering the people as he went. He took the town Jahne after a siege of twenty days, and then marched against Prague, which quickly fell into his hands. The king submitted to Henry and paid him tribute. On his return he reduced several tribes of the Slavs to the north of Brandenburg.

In 933 Henry felt that he was able to meet the Magyars. He therefore refused to pay the tribute and the Magyars at The Hungarians once invaded Saxony. They were repulsed, however, with great loss in several battles. The superiority of the improved method of defence, the walled towns, cavalry, and the trained army was now apparent.

In 934 Henry made a successful campaign against the Danes, who were compelled to cede to him the land between the Eider and Slie, which later was formed into the march of Schleswig. In 935 Henry is said to have planned to go to Rome, but the purpose of his journey is unknown. It is supposed, however, that he thought of asking for the imperial crown. He was prevented from carrying out his purpose by a stroke of paralysis. Fearing that his end was near, he called a council of all the nobles of the kingdom at Erfurt and had his son Otto recognized as his successor. He died not long afterward (936), mourned by the whole people. He had done a great work and had laid the foundation for the greatness of his son. Without the work of Henry the success of Otto would have been impossible.

Otto I. (936-73) came to the throne with entirely different ideas about his office from those of his father. His character was also very different Henry was noted Otto I., 936-73. for his modesty and humility He was practical and never strove for the impossible He clearly recognized that he could not destroy the power of the dukes, and was therefore willing to recognize their independence Otto, on the contrary, was proud and ambitious He had high ideas about his royal rights and prerogatives and was determined to enforce them. He was not content with the position of feudal king, but regarded himself as the successor of Karl the Great. The sacred character of the king, acquired by anointment and by the peculiar relations existing between him and the clergy had been neglected by Henry, but Otto revived it at once. dukes had been his father's equals Otto determined to make them his officials Henry had not relied on the clergy, because he was determined to be on friendly terms with the dukes. Otto, on the other hand, needed the help of the clergy to strip the dukes of their power. The events connected with his election and coronation show the difference between his ideals and those There had been some dissatisfaction with Henry of his father because of his simplicity, and there was now a desire that the traditions of Karl the Great should be revived. His coronation. In accordance with this wish, Aachen, the ancient

capital, was appointed as the place for the formal election of Otto All the dukes and the highest nobility were present, and Otto was anointed and crowned with great pomp Afterward he partook of the coronation banquet, at which he was served by the dukes. Duke Giselbert of Lorraine was his chamberlain, i.e., he had charge of the palace, Eberhard of Franconia was his steward or dish-bearer, Hermann of Suabia his cup-bearer, and Arnulf of Bayaria his marshal

The work of Otto may be best treated under three different heads: His struggles in Germany, his foreign policy, especially his wars with the Barbarians to the east, and his relations with Italy. Henry I. at his death left four sons. Thankmar, the eldest, was a bastard, and therefore it was not probable that he would be considered as a possible candidate Otto L. and Germany. for the throne. Otto had been born before Henry had been made king. His two younger brothers, Henry and Bruno, were both born in the purple, but Bruno had been destined for the Church. Since Henry had been born after his father had been made king, it was claimed by some (among them his mother Matilda, whose favorite he was) that he should be king instead of Otto Otto's haughty treatment of the dukes of Bavaria, Franconia, and Lorraine, angered them and they intrigued with Henry to place him on the throne. Thankmar, discontented because his estates were withheld from him, also joined them. The struggle lasted till 941, and during its course Thankmar was slain. Arnulf of Bavaria having died (937), his sons were defeated and their duchy taken from them. Eberhard of Franconia and Giselbert of Lorraine lost their lives and the duchies came into the hands of Otto. Henry at last submitted to Otto, and having received his pardon was forever after faithful to him. His policy toward the duchies was to break their independence by giving them either to members of his own family or to those who were devoted to him. His faithful friend, Berthold, a brother of Arnulf, was invested with Bavaria, but at his death, in 947, Otto gave it to his brother Henry Franconia he gave to his son-in-law, known as Conrad the Red. His son Rudolf he had married to the only daughter of the duke of Suabia, and when that duchy became vacant, in 950, he invested him with it. Otto further limited the power of the dukes and kept a constant check on their movements by appointing palatine counts, i.e., palace counts to reside in the duchies, manage his royal estates and represent his own interests. Otto now ruled as the head of a great family through its members. It was a personal administration without any great number of laws or capitularies. had the whole of Germany well in hand, and had no further

trouble at home until he went to Italy. As protector of the Church it was Otto's duty to enrich it and make it powerful. As a counterpoise also to the power of the nobles, Otto strengthened the clergy. The Church was enriched and the feudal power of the clergy greatly increased. He chose many of his officers from the clergy. They formed, indeed, a large and powerful nobility. This policy proved to be a most disastrous one, for in the struggle which came later between the Emperor and the Papacy the clergy of Germany turned against their benefactors and helped destroy them. They were the Pope's most efficient helpers ¹

From the very first, Otto claimed a certain headship over all the west although he had only the title of king. He assumed a sort of lordship over the king of the Franks.

He allied himself to both Louis IV. and Hugo

the Great, by giving them his sisters in marriage. He interfered in the affairs of France and declared in favor of Louis in his struggles with Hugo. Conrad, the thirteen-year-old king of Burgundy, was about to be deprived of his crown (937) when Otto assumed the protection over him, and as his guardian and regent ruled in Burgundy until Conrad was old enough to govern in his own name.

The Hungarians renewed their invasions in 937. Germany, France, and Italy were overrun by them They even reached Naples in their marauding tours, and Rome was threatened by them It was not till August 10, 955, that Otto won a decisive victory over them on the Lech River, near Augsburg. Their power was completely broken and they consented to settle in the lands offered them (practically the same territory that they still occupy). This was the end of their invasions

Against the Slavs the contest was long and bitter The defence in the northeast was entrusted to two margraves, Gero and Hermann Billung. The territory was wrested from the Slavs

¹ See Bryce's Holy Roman Empire, Chapter VIII

little by little by the most desperate fighting. Otto's brother Henry, duke of Bayaria, carried on the struggle with vigor against the Bohemians. In 950 Otto himself The Slavs. led a campaign against them and compelled their king, Boleslav, to recognize him as his suzerain defence against those peoples a system of marches was thoroughly developed. Almost the whole east border of Saxony was under the margraves Gero and Hermann The marks. Billung. About 965 Gero died, and his march was broken up into several others, known as the north march. and the marches of Merseburg, Zeitz, Lausitz, and Meissen. South of Bohemia Otto restored the eastern march, which was the basis of the later Austria. Between the Germans and their enemies to the east there was then an unbroken line of marches which were to serve as a defence. While conquering these peoples Otto attempted at the same time to Christianize them. Hamburg was again made the seat of an archbishop and the central mission station for the north From that city missionary bishops were ordained and sent into Denmark, and later into the Scandinavian peninsula. Magdeburg was made the religious capital of the Slavs by establishing there an archbishop Mission work was vigorously carried The Slavs Chris- on among them, and for this purpose Otto estianized and Ger- tablished the bishoprics of Havelburg, Branmanized. denburg, Merseburg, Zeitz, Meissen, and Posen. German missionaries were also sent to the Magyars. Monasteries arose everywhere, and the monks became not only the missionaries but also the teachers and civilizers of these barbarian peoples. German colonists went with the monks and clergy, and the process of Germanizing the Slavs was begun. To Otto the Great belongs the honor of having pointed out the direction in which Germany should expand. The way to the west was closed, but to the east there were extensive territories which could be conquered and Germanized If these peoples could be kept dependent on Germany for their civilization and

He died a few days later, and his people, rather by the Pope than submit to Berengar, offered the crown to Louis, king of Burgundy (son and successor of Boso). Louis Louis of Burcame, and in gor was crowned by Pope Benedict gundy. The struggle between him and Berengar continued until 905, when he fell into the hands of Berengar, was blinded and This, however, he found had been sent back to his kingdom. After ten years more of struggle seized by the duke Hugo. Berengar was finally crowned Emperor (915) by Berengar made Pope John X. The struggle for the kingship Emperor. The enemies of Berengar offered of Italy continued, however. the crown to Rudolf of Burgundy, but he was unable to defend it. Duke Hugo of Provence was crowned Rudolf of Burgundy. king of Italy in 926 and held the office till 946, when he was driven out and his son Lothar set up in his Lothar married Adelheid (Adelaide), stead. Hugo of Provence, Lothar. the daughter of Rudolf of Burgundy. At his death, in 950, Berengar, margrave of Ivrea (a town and province about thirty miles northeast of Turin), seized both the crown and Adelheid, with the purpose of com-Berengar of Ivrea. pelling her to marry his son Adalbert liking the union, the young widow appealed to Otto I. of Germany to protect her.

During this period the Papacy fell on evil times. The magnificent claims of Leo the Great to be the Bishop of the whole Church were now entirely forgotten in the chaos of parties in Italy, and especially in Rome The noble families of that city were divided into factions, each of which strove to make one of its number Bishop, in order to enjoy the authority which that office possessed The duke of Spoleto had a party, as did also Berengar and the other phantom kings who displayed their weakness rather than their strength in the unfortunate peninsula. There was also a German and an anti-German faction, one of which was in favor of, the other against, the king of Germany, and objected to any

interference on his part. The rage and violence shown by these factions is almost incredible. In 891 Formosus, a friend of Arnulf of Germany, was made Pope Throughout his pontificate he was known to be an ally of the German Emperor, and the bitterness against him was intense Before his election he had been bishop of Poito His election to the bishopric of Rome was therefore contrary to the rule which forbade that a bishop of another diocese should be made the Bishop of Rome 1 After his death Boniface VI succeeded him, but died fourteen days after his election The faction of Spoleto elected Stephen VI one of their partisans His hatred of the Germans was so great that he had the remains of Formosus exhumed in order to go through the forms of a trial The body of Formosus was clothed in pontifical robes, placed on a papal throne, and charges made against him, in a synod called together for this purpose. The verdict was, of course, unfavorable, and his body was mutilated and thrown into the Tiber.

From 896 to 903 there were no less than eight Popes. The power of the feudal aristocracy is shown by the fact that the Papacy then fell under the power of Theodora, the wife of Constantine, a Roman senator, and her daughters One of them, Marozia, it is said, was the mistress of Pope Sergius III (904–11), to whom she bore a child, who was later Pope John XI. (931–36). In 914 Theodora raised one of her former lovers to the Papacy, who ruled as John X. (914–28). Italy was troubled by invasions of both Saracens and Magyars, and John X showed his ability by valiantly resisting both enemies.

Marozia had now become the wife of Alberic, margrave of Camerino He quarrelled with Pope John X, but was unable to conquer him. At Alberic's death she married Guido, margrave of Tuscany, and continued the struggle with the Pope. She was now more

¹ According to a law made by Stephen III, 769, only the Cardinal Presbyters and Cardinal Deacons of Rome were eligible to the office of Bishop of Rome.

successful. John X was overcome and died in prison, 928. After setting up two weak Popes, Marozia then elevated her son, John XI, to the papal throne (931-36). On the death of her husband Guido, she married Hugo, who had recently been crowned king of Italy. Her son Alberic, however, resented this marriage and succeeded in driving Hugo out of Rome and making himself the real master of the city with the title of "Princeps atque omnium Romanorum senator." Until his death in 954 Alberic held the power in Rome, not only over the city but also over the The writings of the times contain many invectives but few charges against Alberic. As a governor he had much ability He restored order and enforced the laws. to ally himself with the eastern Emperor, and he was interested in the Cluniac reform to such an extent that he asked bishop Odo of Clugny to restore the discipline in, and reform the monasteries of, Rome. His only offence, a great one to be sure in the eyes of the churchmen of his age, was that he kept the Papacy thoroughly under his control and used the Pope as one of his officials. During this period all Bishops of Rome seemed to forget the high claims that had been made by many of their predecessors. They were no longer bishops of the whole world, but simply of the city of Rome, and their energies were expended in the local political struggles. The Popes were The Papacy to be- chosen not for their fitness and by the clergy and come hereditary. people, but by the noble families who held the power. Albenc wished to make the office hereditary in his family. His son Octavian, a boy of sixteen years, succeeded him in authority, and a year later was made Pope. He took the title of John XII. (955).

When Adelheid appealed to Otto I. to rescue her from the threatened marriage with Adalbert, the German king hastened at once to relieve her. In October, 951, he reached Pavia, took possession of the city, and a few days later married the princess, to protect whom he had made the long journey. He was in-

tending to go to Rome, but revolts in Germany compelled him to return quickly to the north His subjects at home resented his interference in Italy Otto was able, how-Otto's first ever, to quell the disturbances. He did not dejourney to prive Berengar of his crown, but compelled him to come to Germany the next year, take an oath to him as his lord, and cede several marches in the northeast of Italy (Verona, Aquileia, Istria, Friuli, and others) to Henry, the brother of Otto. Berengar, however, on his return, continued his resistance to Alberic, and after his death to his son, John XII This boy Pope had shocked the city with his mad pranks and open debauchery. Being in danger from Berengar, he is said to have appealed to It is, at any rate, certain that the bishops and nobles of Lombardy asked for help from the Emperor against the encroachments of Berengar In August, 961, Otto crossed the Alps, and after having been crowned king of Italy at Pavia, proceeded to Rome. Before entering the city he took an oath that he would respect the rights of the Pope, who, on his part, bound himself by an oath never to aid Berengar or his son. February 2, 962, Otto was crowned Emperor by the Otto's second Pope, and a few days later an agreement was eniourney and coronation. tered into by them; the Emperor confirmed the donation already made to the Pope (Rome and its duchy, the Exarchate, some parts of Campania, and certain other territories, such as Naples and Gaeta) "if the Pope should, by the help of God, make himself master of them." On the other hand, it was agreed that no Pope should thereafter be consecrated until he had first taken an oath of allegiance to the Em-The Pope was regarded as the Emperor's man.

Otto soon set out for the north of Italy, but as soon as he had left, John XII repented that he had acknowledged him as his lord, and began to intrigue with Berengar. He tried to make an alliance with the eastern Emperor, and sent to ask the Magyars to invade Germany. Otto

Revolt of John XII. returned to Rome, which he took by force, called a senate,

which deposed John XII., and elected in his stead a Roman nobleman, who was a layman, Leo VIII. He then compelled the Romans to swear that they would never elect a Pope without his consent. But as soon as he left the city the people revolted, drove out Leo, and received John XII. back. Again Otto returned, took the city without a siege, restored Leo, and carried away many persons, among them Pope Benedict and the ex-king Berengar.

The next year Leo VIII. died, and fearing the vengeance of the Emperor, the people of Rome applied to him before electing John XIII. But soon the nobility of the Italian journey.

Crossed the Alps (966), and put to death the leaders of the opposition For nearly six years Otto remained in Italy, ruling both city and Church as an absolute master.

Being desirous of allying himself with the eastern Emperor, Otto sent an embassy to Constantinople and asked for the hand of the Greek princess Theophano for his son Otto.

Nicephorus Phocas, the Emperor, not only refused the alliance, but would not even recognize Otto as Emperor This led Otto to attack the Greek possessions in the southern part of Italy. In the meanwhile Nicephorus Phocas was assassinated and John Zimisces crowned in his stead. The latter was less obstinate, another princess bearing the same name was offered, and the marriage was celebrated at Rome (972).

In the same year Otto returned to Germany after an absence from that country of six years. In 973 he celebrated Easter at Quedlinburg, and held there a great assembly. His Death of Otto I. commanding position in Europe is seen from the fact that he there received embassies from Rome, Constantinople, from the Hungarians, Bulgarians, Russians, Slavs, and Danes. The dukes of Bohemia and Poland came in person to do him homage. A few days later he died at Memleben, and was buried in Magdeburg, his favorite city.

The reign of Otto the Great is an important one in the

history of the civilization of Germany. It has already been stated that he allied himself with, and strengthened, the clergy in order to resist the dukes While using the clergy in this way, Otto did not lower the tone of the clergy. bishops and archbishops were all men of abil-Importance ity and genuine piety. In fact his reign is of his reion. noted for a revival in both religion and learning Several members of his family occupied high positions in the Church, Bruno, his brother, became archbishop of Köln One of his sons, William, was archbishop of Mainz, his uncle, Robert, bishop of Trier; other relatives became prominent bishops, abbots, and abbesses. All these performed their duties to the Church as well as to the Emperor without any conflict At the court itself no immorality was tolerated. Being a model in its morals, the court of Otto differed very much from that of Karl the Great. He surrounded himself also with learned men, and his age is marked by great literary activity Many of the great monasteries kept chronicles. Some important biographies were composed, poems and comedies were written Among the most important Latin compositions were Liutprand's History of Otto, the Annals of Ouedlinburg, Hildesheim, and St. Gall, Widukind's Res Gestæ Saxonicæ, Ekkehard's Walthari Lied, and the historical poems and dramas of Hrotsuitha, a nun in the monastery of Gandersheim Her "Lapsus et Conversio Theophili" is regarded as the oldest poetical treatment of the Faust legend of the Middle Age In the monasteries, Terence, Horace, Virgil, Sallust, and Cicero were read. The Scola Palatina of Karl the Great was also imitated by Otto His brother Bruno was with him continually, and a kind of court school was kept up. Otto He never became able, however, himself tried to learn Latin to speak it well The German tongue also became a literary language during his reign. A harmony of the gospels was composed in German and a great epic poem written called the

Heliand (The Saviour). It is a life of Jesus put into a German

setting. It is full of German customs, manners, and ways of thinking and is one of the most important sources of our knowledge of the condition of the German mind in those times.

Otto believed that he was restoring the Empire of Karl the Great, and this was essentially the case All the west lived in the idea that there must be an Emperor, that Karl the the world could not exist without one. Great and Otto I an essential part of the social order. According to the ideas of the times, therefore, Otto was restoring the Roman Empire. But there were some very important differences between his Empire and that of Karl the Great. Karl had passed his Empire on to his son Ludwig without any question. The imperial crown was treated as if it were hereditary in his family, but in the time of Otto it was regarded as wholly elective, because it was connected with the kingship of Germany, which was itself elective. Karl himself had crowned Now the Pope made good his claim to be the only one who had the right to confer the imperial crown. He was indeed bound to crown as Emperor the man who held the German crown, but it was his exclusive privilege to do so. extent, too, it was not the same Empire. Karl the Great had ruled over the whole west, but now France, Spain, and England were thoroughly separated from the Empire and were going their separate national ways. Otto held only Germany, Italy, and, later, the guardianship of the young king of Burgundy. The west was irrevocably lost to the Empire. To indemnify itself for this loss it must look to the territory of the Barbarians who lived to the east Otto inaugurated on a grand scale a policy which would, if properly carried out, have led to the conquest and Germanization of the numerous Slavic peoples and Magyars who bounded Germany on the east. indirect effects of Otto's reign on the west were very great. He was in sympathy with the Cluniac reform, and he did the Church an incalculable service in putting an end to the Pornocracy, reforming the Papacy, and reminding the Popes that their office was universal and not local.

Otto has been severely blamed for seeking to restore the Empire and for taking the crown of Italy. Certainly some of its results were disastrous to Germany. Otto Effects of the and his successors were constantly drawn into restoration of the Empire. Italy and compelled to spend their best energies in keeping control of that country while their presence was greatly needed at home. In trying to rule Italy they governed Germany badly or not at all. In their absence, dukes, counts, archbishops, abbots, and bishops were able to seize land and power, and in many ways to limit the authority of the Emperor. As the nobles of Germany became more and more powerful and independent, the power of the central government declined. In claiming Italy the Emperors were drawn into the long and fatal struggle with the Papacy which ended so disastrously to the Hohenstaufen line. The best powers of Germany were not used in building up a strong central government, but were squandered for a mere bubble in Italy. While in England and France the monarchy gradually overcame feudalism and centralized states were formed, in Germany the feudal states became sovereign and there could be no political unity. Germany was not a nation, not a great political power as were France and England and Spain, but the battlefield of Europe. These evils were undoubtedly due to the restoration of the Empire.

But Germany also profited by her connection with Italy. She received much in the way of art, learning, and culture from beyond the Alps. She suffered much from the Papacy, but thereby gained an intimate acquaintance with the papal system. The result of this was that she had such a reformation as no other country had. The political disintegration of Germany seems to have been necessary for the success of Luther's reformation. If Germany had no political unity and no central power, she was at least spared the absolutism of the

Tudors and Stuarts of England and the Louises of France. She escaped the horrors of a revolution A still greater advantage has accrued to Germany from the lack of a central government in the number of cities which she possesses In both France and England the towns were greatly limited in German cities. their freedom by the king, while in Germany they preserved their freedom and became little republics, forming leagues among themselves for mutual defence and commerce. Besides this, every principality, whether large or small, had its Since the princes were generally too weak to engage in politics and war, they often turned their attention to other things, such as the fostering of art and literature and learning. In this way their capitals became important centres of culture and civilization The best talent of the country went to other occupations than the practice of politics. Germany is rich in important cities, while up to this century France and England had perhaps not more than a half dozen towns which would at all compare with those of Germany. It would not be difficult to make out a long list of German cities each of which has a history of which any community might be proud.

During the lifetime of his father, Otto II. (973-83) had been not only recognized by the German nobles, but even crowned of the II. 973-83 as Emperor by the Pope Although a man of no mean ability, his reign shows that a period of decadence had begun. In Lorraine there were disturbances, and in 978 Lothaire, king of France, invaded that duchy. Otto II. had to flee from Aachen, which fell into the hands of Lothaire Otto, however, quickly collected a large army, reduced Lorraine, and even threatened Paris In the peace that was made (980), Lothaire gave up all claims on Lorraine.

Otto's cousin, Henry the Quarrelsome, had been duke of

Bavaria and the eastern frontier.

Bavaria since 955, and now tried to get control of the appointment of bishops, and, in general, to increase his independence. He tried also to stir up the dukes of Poland and Bohemia against Otto. He was

finally driven from his duchy and fled to the Bohemians Otto then made a campaign against them, got possession of Henry, and kept him in close confinement. The Danes troubled the northern frontier and were put down with great difficulty.

In 980 Otto II went to Italy, with the desire of extending his power over the whole peninsula. He planned a campaign to drive the Saracens out of Calabria and the Otto II. in Greeks out of Apulia. They united their forces, however, and totally destroyed Otto's army (982). At the same time the Slavs invaded the marches on the eastern frontier and destroyed the bishoprics of Havelberg and Brandenburg. About one-half of the diocese of the archbishop of Magdeburg was reconquered by them and remained heathen for nearly two hundred years. Hamburg was pillaged and burned. The whole march system broke down under their attacks. Overcome by the sad news, Otto died in Rome, in December, 983.

Otto III (983-1002) was but three years old when his father died, and a struggle at once arose for the possession of the king and the regency. Henry the Quarrelsome at first Otto III, and the eastern seized the child and tried to make himself frontier. king. He was compelled to yield, however, but retained the duchy of Bavaria. Under the regency of Theophano the nobles were able to usurp many privileges and increase their power. The clergy also greatly enriched themselves at the expense of the crown The political disintegration of the Empire made great progress Toward the Magyars and Slavs the policy of the regency was especially bad Geiza, duke of Hungary, was permitted to receive the title of king from the Pope, to whom he did homage for his kingdom. The Pope thus became the feudal lord of Hungary At the same time the Hungarian Church was freed from the control of the German archbishop and made In the same manner the Church of Bohemia was independent separated from the archbishop of Magdeburg and put under its own bishop of Prague, and the Church of Poland, under the archbishop of Gnesen. Otto III, in this way, himself did much to establish independent states on the eastern frontier of his territory, whereas he should have striven to make them integral parts of the Empire.

Through the influence of his mother and his two teachers Bernward, bishop of Hildesheim and Gerbert, Otto's thoughts were all centred on Rome. He dreamed of Otto III.'s Rorestoring that city and making it again the man Imperial Ideas. capital of the world and the residence of the Emperor. In 996 he went to Italy at the invitation of the people of Rome, who were again in wretched plight from the factions of the nobles. He made his cousin, Bruno, Pope (Gregory V.), and drove out Crescentius, who was the most powerful man in the city Being unable to remain in the south because of the effect of the climate on his health, he returned to Germany. The city at once revolted, and Gregory V. was driven out while Crescentius again became leader. The factions prevailed and the city was full of violence. In 998 Otto III again came, took the city without a siege, and put to death Crescentius and his anti-German Pope. Otto III and the Papacy. John XVI. On the death of Gregory V. (February, 999) he elevated his teacher, Gerbert, the most learned man of his age, to the Papacy, under the name of Silvester II With the accession of Gregory V. and Silvester II the Papacy ceased to be a city office, and again took up the ideas of Gregory the Great, Nicholas I, and the Pseudo-Isidorean Decretals. The high claims of the Papacy over rulers were now made against Robert II of France. The Pope claimed also the right to create kings. The influence of Silvester over Otto III, was very great. The ancient titles and formulas were revived, and Otto believed that he was restoring the ancient Empire. He modelled his court after that of Constantinople, introducing a great many pretentious forms and ceremonies.

While Otto was occupied with chasing this and other such shadows, the imperial power was rapidly growing less. He neglected Germany, and his reign was, for the imperial power as

for Germany itself, a most disastrous one In the last years of his life he was much given to a kind of ascetic mysticism. He fasted and wore next his body the hair shirt of a penitent A third time he crossed the Alps into Italy (1001) and took up his residence on the Aventine Hill The people of Rome, for whom he was so enthusiastic, did not understand his wild dreams and troubled him with their revolts. He died January 23, 1002, at the foot of Mount Soracte, and was buried in Aachen.

Otto left no children, and the struggles that now arose over the possession of the crown only increased the political confusion. Ekhard, margrave of Meissen, Hermann, duke of Suabia, and Henry, duke of Bavaria, 1002-24. were all candidates for the throne. Fortune favored the latter and he was crowned as Henry II (1002-24).

On the east frontier Henry II strove in vain to undo the mischief of Otto III. and to destroy the independence of the Slavs, who were the enemies of the Germans

From 1003 to 1018 he struggled bravely against

pendent. the Polish duke Boleslav, but the latter was victorious and took a large amount of territory from the Empire and added it to his own duchy. The beginnings of German culture and domination there were destroyed.

In Burgundy king Rudolf, an uncle of Henry, had lost all his power to the nobles Since he had no children he made Henry his heir. It was not till 1032, however, Burgundy. that Burgundy was actually made a part of the Empire.

In Germany revolt was everywhere and the king was constantly busy struggling against it Baldwin, count of Flanders, tried to make himself independent, and two condition of campaigns were necessary to reduce him. The Germany turbulent counts of Luxemburg were a source of great trouble to the king When the duchies, counties, and marches, and even other offices fell vacant, Henry found it impossible to fill them according to his own choice. He had to yield much to the hereditary principle. Every such office was looked upon as a

fief, and fiefs were now hereditary. He enriched the high clergy to offset the power of the nobles. He favored the Church very much, but it was for the purpose of having her on his side and to use her in the work of government.

Otto III. had wished to make Italy the seat of his government. Henry II, on the contrary, based his rule on Germany. He, indeed, went to Italy three times, but he Henry II. bases spent little time there. To him Germany was his power on Germany. the more important of his possessions. death of Otto III.. Ardouin, margrave of Ivrea, had made himself king in Pavia, while at Rome the son of Crescentius was made Patricius of the city, and controlled the Pope, John XVIII. In 1004 Henry went to Pavia and had himself crowned king, but almost lost his life in an attack which a mob of the city made on him. The troubles on the frontier compelled him to hasten back to Germany, and Ardouin was left in undisturbed possession of northern Italy.

In 1013 he was again called to Rome to settle the quarrel between John Crescentius and the count of Tusculum. The latter had set up an anti-Pope, Benedict VIII. Henry decided in favor of Benedict and was crowned Emperor by His coronation. him (1014). He left imperial officers in Rome to keep order and defend his interests. Again, in 1020, Henry went to Italy to make a campaign against the Greeks and Saracens in the south. He returned without accomplishing anything. In Germany Henry did much to put an end to the local private wars. He went from province to province, and with the help of the Church proclaimed peace for each district. compelling all the people to take an oath not to engage in private war nor to do any violence to anyone. Henry enriched the Church and the monasteries, and was always surrounded by clergymen who served him as councillors. He was indeed devout, but he had also a political purpose in this. He hoped to make the clergy a counterpoise to the nobles. In following out this policy he often went so far as to invest abbots and bishops

with rich fiefs, such as cities, counties, and other territories, thus making them equal in rank as well as in power to the secular nobles. He was in sympathy with the Cluniac movement and brought about a thorough reform in the monasteries. Although Henry was noted for his piety he was by no means the monk he was later represented to have been. His rich gifts to the monasteries and the churches caused all the clergy to praise him inordinately and magnify his devotion into asceticism. He was afterward canonized.

At his death, since he left no heir for the throne, it is probable that Henry II. designated Conrad of Franconia as his suc-Germany was again threatened with a period of vio-Since there was no one to punish, murder and robbery raged through the land. There was pressing need of united action, and the nobles of all the Empire soon came together and camped in the valley of the Rhine, between Worms and Mainz. to elect a king. Conrad of Franconia was elected and taken to Mainz to be anointed and crowned. He at Conrad II.'s once proceeded to Aachen to take his seat on ideas and policy. the royal throne of Karl the Great. He held a diet and regulated the affairs of Church and State. This showed that he regarded himself as the successor of Karl the Great, and meant to follow in his footsteps as far as it was possible for him to do so He made a journey through the Empire to settle matters and set the machinery of government in motion. Everywhere it was his policy to attach the people to himself that he might have their assistance in his struggles with the high nobility. While he denied the hereditary character of the duchies he affirmed it of the possessions of the smaller vassals. He brought it about that the fiefs of the knights, soldiers, and freemen should be hereditary and pass from father to son according to the principles of feudalism.

Against the nobles and the bishops, who had become too powerful for the crown, he now had the enthusiastic help of the smaller nobles and the people. He further diminished the power

of the great lords by decreeing that the vassals owed him military service directly, and must come at his call if he gave them the command to do so a sufficient length of time in ad-To the five original duchies of Germany several others had now been added. It was Conrad's policy to get possession of these. Franconia was already his. On the death of the respective dukes of Bavaria, Suabia, and Kaernthen he gave these duchies as fiefs to his son, who was to succeed him After some difficulty he inherited the kingdom of Burgundy (1032), but his authority there was very much limited by the bishops and In Germany itself, however, he greatly increased the royal power by attaching the smaller nobility to himself and by getting possession of the duchies. On the east he succeeded in reducing Poland and Bohemia to partial subjection, but his campaigns against the Magyars were without success. He also ceded the territory north of the Eider (the march of Schleswig) to king Knut of Denmark. Although by this act the Empire lost some territory, it seems to have been a wise step on the part of the king since he had no further trouble on that frontier.

Under Conrad II. the character of the clergy was much changed through sumony. He sold church offices openly to the highest bidder, regardless of his qualifications or character. Conrad II. made two journeys into Italy. Conrad II. in Italy. 1026 he was called into Lombardy to settle quarrels and restore order He was crowned that year king of Italy at Mılan, and the next year, Emperor, at Rome. He continued his expedition to the south to extend his power over the whole peninsula Benevento and Capua with other places fell into his hands, and he is said to have given possessions in the south of Italy to some Norman knights, as Henry II. had done, on condition that they fight against both Saracens and Greeks In 1036-37 he made a second campaign into Italy but without much military success. At a Mayfield held in the Valley of the Po, however, he issued an edict making the smaller fiefs in Italy also hereditary.

Conrad II by increasing the territory of the Empire and strengthening the frontier, by attaching the smaller nobility to himself and getting full possession of the duchies, gradually strengthened the imperial power in Germany and laid the foundation for the greatness of his son, Henry III., who succeeded him (1039–56)

Henry III had been admirably trained by his mother along both intellectual and religious lines. He was deeply interested in the Church as a divine institution and did all Henry III, in his power to keep her up to her high calling. 1039-56. He was called to make three campaigns against the Hungarians, in the first two of which he was successful. He added a small strip of Hungarian territory to the Empire and compelled king Aba, and later king Peter, to acknowledge his suzerainty. But in 1051 king Andrew I. regained his independence and Hungary was lost to the Empire.

He was equally unsuccessful in his contests with the Slavs. The duke of Bohemia tried to add Poland to his territory, hoping to unite all the Slavs in one great state.

In 1040 Henry undertook to punish him, but was unable to do so. The eastern frontier and Saxony were more than once invaded by Slavic tribes and suffered much from their depredations. The king was unable to punish or hold them in check

In Germany Henry III. met with much opposition from the nobles, and found it necessary finally to yield to the wishes of the people and give up the duchies which he held. Taking advantage of the invasions and revolts of the Slavs and Magyars, many of the nobles conspired against him and caused him much trouble.

According to feudal principles everyone had the right of private war. If violence were done to anyone, the injured person had the right to gather as many to secure troops as he could muster and avenge himself on the offender. The results of such a custom were chaotic in

the extreme. Following the example of their brethren in Aquitaine, the clergy of Germany tried to enforce peace by the authority of the Church alone. All violence was forbidder under heavy ecclesiastical penalties. But here, as in France, the time had not come when such a complete peace could be brought about; a compromise had, therefore, to be effected. Henry added the authority of the state to that of the Church, and ordered that from Wednesday evening till the following Monday morning all private feuds should cease and no acts of violence be done. Henry III. attempted to enforce also a general peace throughout the whole land, but with only partial success. He was very severe with those who broke the peace

The simony which had been practised by his father so openly he opposed with all his might. He still continued to appoint both bishops and abbots, but he chose Church reform. always the best men, and never received any bribe or showed favoritism. Only the most learned and pious men were advanced to positions in the Church. He endeavored to reform the clergy also. It was customary for them either to marry or to keep a mistress Henry took up the idea of the Cluniac reform and endeavored to enforce celibacy among all the clergy of whatever rank To effect this he held many councils and had the assistance of some of the Popes as well as that of the Cluniac reformers. He labored hard to improve the morals of the clergy and bring about a genuine religious awakening among them. The monastery schools were fostered, and besides the schools for the clergy there were others for the laymen, especially for the children of the nobles. The plan was even entertained of making it compulsory on all the rich, at least, to place their children in these schools. Henry made two journeys into Italy (1046 and 1055), only the Henry III. first of which is of any importance. and the Papacy. pacy had again become a local city office in the hands of the factions of the nobles. Each party elected a Pope whenever its needs seemed to demand such action

Henry reached Italy (1046) he found three Popes claiming the In councils at Sutri and Rome he deposed all three, office assumed the title of Patricius, and declared it was his right to name the Bishop of Rome Thereupon he elevated to that position Sudgar of Bamburg, who took the name of Clement II. During the rest of his reign he three times filled the office, always with excellent men. In Italy he opposed simony in all its forms and refused to take bribes from the candidates for the papal throne The Cluniac ideas were rapidly gaining ground, and, since Henry was in hearty sympathy with them, he did all he could to establish them. He worked harmoniously with the Popes and other reformers to make the Church what she should be In 1047 he was crowned Emperor at Rome and soon after went into southern Italy to settle the quarrels of the Normans who had established themselves there and were rapidly increasing their power.

Henry III wished to be an absolute master and rule in an autocratic way. He was, therefore, constantly involved in wars with his great vassals who longed for independence treatment of them was especially distasteful to them, and at his death in 1056 the opportunity was offered them to regain their much coveted power He left a son, Henry IV, only six years old, who was no match for the discontented nobles. The Emperors, Henry III. not least of all, had done everything they could to make the Church great and powerful, believing that the clergy would always be grateful and true to their benefactors and support them against the nobles the critical time, however, when Henry IV was a mere boy and more than ever needed their help, they basely deserted him and supported the high pretensions of a foreigner, the Bishop of Rome The Emperor had claimed and exercised the right to appoint the Pope The tables were now to be turned and the Pope was soon to claim the authority to make and unmake both kings and Emperors The fatal struggle between the Papacy and the Emperor for the supremacy of the world was about to begin

CHAPTER IX

ENGLAND AND THE NORSEMEN (802-1070)

THE struggle for supremacy, which lasted for three hundred years, among the small kingdoms of England, was practically ended during the reign of Ecgberht, who Ecoberht. 802-830. ascended the throne of Wessex in 802. thumbria and Mercia, the two great rivals of Wessex, were worn out with the long wars, so that Ecgberht found it comparatively easy to make himself the over-lord of all the country spent thirteen years in exile at the court of Karl the Great, and had no doubt learned much and had his ambitions quickened by what he saw of the successes of the great Frankish king. Karl the Great had for some time given much attention to the affairs in Britain; he was in frequent communication with the various English kings, received the numerous political exiles from all parts of England, and showed his friendship for the English monasteries by making them rich presents, he cherished the hope that he might reunite Britain to the Empire after its four hundred years of isolation In his government Ecgberht showed wise consideration. In order to conciliate the people of Northumbria and Mercia, who were Angles, he determined in a council (826) that the land should be called, not Saxony, although he himself was a Saxon, but Anglia

The supremacy which Wessex now enjoyed might have been as ephemeral as that of some of the other kingdoms but for the fact that for nearly one hundred and fifty years after Ecgberht the throne of Wessex was occupied by able kings who wisely secured the assistance of the clergy in all that they did. The

fusion of the kingdoms into one people was also hastened by the great common danger which now threatened them from the Northmen. As early as 787 the eastern coast of England had been attacked by pirates from the continent. Their ravages became more and more frequent, and the king invasions of the found it difficult to defeat them or to derive any solid advantage from a victory over them, no matter how great it was. During the reign of Ecgberht they harried all the country incessantly. His son and successor, Aethelwulf (839–858), was unable to stem the tide of invasion. In 851 they were bold enough to spend the winter on the island of Thanet. Till this time they had withdrawn to their home in the late autumn. Shortly after this a large band of them came up the Thames and sacked Canterbury and London.

Aethelwulf was succeeded by his four sons in the order of their age: Aethelbald (858-860), Aethelberht (860-866), Aethelred (866-871), and Aelfred the Great (871-901). The task of defending the country against these barbarian invaders became more difficult as great numbers of them began to settle on the east coast. In 866 the Danes began the work of conquest and settlement in earnest Northumbria was quickly overrun and subdued by them. East Anglia and the Fen were next attacked and conquered, their famous monasteries were burned and the king of East Anglia, Eadmund, was slain was later canonized, and over his remains there was built the great abbey of St Edmundsbury Mercia was not yet attacked, but in 870 its king paid the Danes tribute and acknowledged their leader as over-lord Back of this submission was not only fear of the Danes, but also dislike of the West Saxon supremacy.

King Aethelred was left with only the territory south of the Thames, since all north of that river was in the hands of the Danes. Even Wessex was now invaded by them, and for some time it seemed that all England was to be conquered by the Northmen. They pushed up the Thames and out into Wessex,

and Aethelred was unable to drive them back. In the midst of the war he died, leaving his crown to his brother Aelfred, Aelfred the Great, who tried in vain to repel the invaders. After several defeats, in which his army was destroyed, he was compelled to buy the withdrawal of the Danes, hoping that in the meantime he might be able to put the country into a proper state of defence Reënforcements continued to come from Denmark and Scandinavia, and in 876 Guthrum, the Danish king of East Anglia, attacked Wessex For two years the struggle was severe, but it ended in favor of Aelfred by the treaty of Wedmore (Chippenham) in 878, Wedmore, 878. Guthrum accepted Christianity and was ceded the eastern half of England north of the Thames This territory was called the Danelaw The conquerors settled as lords of the soil, and for a long time kept themselves separate from the conquered English. The fusion of the two peoples, however, came eventually.

During the remaining years of Aelfred's rule he had peace with the Danes, except in 886, when he was successful in wresting from them London and the surrounding districts, and again in 803, when he was also successful in his defence. The condition of his territory at the peace of Wedmore was Churches and monasteries had been wretched in the extreme burned, the clergy slain or driven out, law and Aelfred's Gov= ernment. order destroyed, and there was great want and desolation everywhere His first care was to train up an army to have it ready at his call The country was divided into five districts, each of which was bound to furnish a certain number of men with provisions and equipment Every town also was required to do the same. Half of the troops raised in this way were required to be ready to go whenever called, while the others were to remain at home as a guard A threefold duty was laid on every landed proprietor. He must serve in the army, and contribute to the support of bridges and fortifications (trinoda necessitas). Aelfred created a fleet which patrolled the coast and kept off the invaders Aelfred restored order, punishing severely and impartially all offenders. As on the continent, so in England, everyone had the right of private war, but Aelfred enforced peace. This was called the king's peace. The king's justice also took the place of the local justice. He carefully controlled the decisions of the lower courts, and changed them if they were not according to his ideas. The independent legislation of Aelfred was probably not very great, but he had the laws of the Anglo-Saxon kings and peoples collected and reduced to writing in the Anglo-Saxon language.

Aelfred labored hard to restore learning in his kingdom. Late in life he began the study of Latin, and mastered it so well that he was able to translate from it into his Learning mother tongue. He surrounded himself with scholars, most of whom he brought from the continent, and established a court school very much like that of Karl the Great. His own translations, however, were of most value to his people. From the Latin he translated the "Consolations of Philosophy," by Boethius; the "History of the World," by Orosius; the "Ecclesiastical History of the English," by the Venerable Bede; and the "Pastoral Rule," by Gregory the Great was under his direction, also, that the "Anglo-Saxon Chronicle" was compiled and continued. While all these works, except the latter, are translations, they contain also many additions from the pen of the king himself Because of his moral greatness, and because of the fact that he regarded himself as the servant of his people, he has been given the well-earned title "Great"

The task that devolved on the successors of Aelfred was to prevent, if possible, any further migration from the continent, to reconquer the Danelaw, to hasten the fusion of the Danes with the English, and to keep down the tribal revolts and make England really one. Fortunately his successors were all able men (Eadward the Elder, 901-925; Aethelstan, 925-940; Eadmund, 940-946, Eadred, 946-955), who carried on the

Eadwig (955-959) was a mere boy and his reign work well was troubled by quarrels among the nobles But with the accession of Eadred (946) a new power in the per-Dunstan. son of Dunstan had come in. He was the first of that line of remarkable ecclesiastical statesmen which England has produced Under Eadred, Eadwig, Eadgar (959-975), Eadward the Martyr (975-979), and Aethelred the Redeless (979-1016), until his death in 988, Dunstan was much of the time the power behind the throne Commerce with the continent was fostered, order was preserved, and the Church and monasteries thoroughly reformed The old slavery was disappearing, but in its stead the feudal rule was becoming established. The power of the king greatly increased and he was looked upon as king of all England and not simply of the West Saxons The influence of the Church in uniting England was very great The king now developed a court composed of his friends and officials, who formed a new nobility over against the old nobility of blood The king took possession of the folk land, that is, the land which had been left for the common use, and enriched his servants by dividing up much of it among them. At the same time the Folkmoot, the meeting of all the freemen, ceases, being replaced by the Witenagemot, the meeting of the wise men (i e, the officials, with the highest clergy).

The reign of Aethelred the Redeless (ie, without council; not the unready) was very disastrous. Utterly incapable of ruling he involved England more and more deeply in ruin and misery. In 991 the Danes began to invade England again, and he bought a truce of them

and allowed them to settle in East Anglia Other invasions followed, led by Olaf of Norway and Swein of Denmark Frightened at the danger which now threatened him, he tried to secure the assistance of Normandy by allying himself to its duke, whose sister, Emma, he married. Goaded to frenzy by the presence of the Danes who had recently come,

the English planned to massacre them. In 1002 they rose and put to death all the Danes among them. Among the slain was Gunhild, the sister of king Swein, who now swore to avenge her death by taking England from her king. From 1003 to 1007 he overran England, plundering and burning. Aethelred bought a truce of him, but he went on preparing for a larger invasion In 1013 Swein came back, and soon had Swein, the Dane, all England in his power, while Aethelred was King of England. compelled to flee to Normandy. But Swein's rule was of short He died the next year, and the Danish warriors chose his son Knut as his successor, while Aethelred returned from Normandy and was recognized as king by the English. The struggle between him and Knut for the possession of England began at once, but he died (1016) and his son Eadmund Ironside succeeded him. He, too, died in a few months, and Knut was left master of all England. He reigned Knut. 1016-35. from 1016 to 1035 with a strong hand and wisely over his newly acquired realm. Under him the old kingdoms lost more and more of their character as kingdoms and became known as earldoms. He became a Christian in character as well as in name, and allied himself to the clergy for the purpose of having their assistance in the government. He made a pilgrimage to Rome, where he attended the coronation of Conrad II, and made an alliance with him. He tried to make the people forget that he was a foreigner by renewing the laws of his predecessors and preserving English customs. He further strengthened his position by marrying Emma, the widow of Aethelred. He brought England peace, for, during his reign, the land was free from disturbances Denmark, however, profited most by this conquest of England, for she was thereby brought into close contact with a nation far more civilized than herself. The work of Christianizing Denmark and the other countries of the north was greatly hastened by her union with England. The Danes differed from the people in England very little in blood, language, customs, and laws, and their

settlement in England may be regarded as a reënforcement of German blood and a strengthening of the English character.

At the death of Knut (1035) he was succeeded by his two sons in turn, Harold (1035-40) and Harthaknut (1040-42). They were, however, thoroughly barbarous and unfitted in every way to rule England was again given up to The English line violence, and as the people disliked them there restored, 1042. was general joy when Harthaknut died and Eadward the Confessor (1042-66), son of Aethelred and Emma, came back from Normandy and was acknowledged as king Tired of foreign rulers the people expected great things of Eadward, who was in blood an Englishman. But most of his life having been spent in Normandy he was far more Norman than English. He returned with a large following of Normans, whom he placed in high offices, both secular and ecclesiastical, greatly to the disgust and anger of the people.

The real power in England, however, was in the hands of the great earl, Godwine of Wessex, whose earldom consisted of all the land south of the Thames. Eadward himself had little ability and less energy, and was content to pass his time in quiet. The two great earls of the north, Siward of Northumbria, and Leofric of Mercia, were kept busy with the affairs of their earldoms, so that Godwine had ample opportunity to carry out his plans. These were concerned with increasing the power of his own family. For his sons and other relatives he obtained small earldoms, and in ro45 strengthened himself by giving his daughter Eadgyth to the king in marriage. Soon after this, however, the earl incurred great popular disfavor by defending his son Swein, who had been guilty of gross crimes.

Count Eustace of Boulogne visited his brother-in-law, King Eadward in 1050, and on his way home demanded of the people of Dover quarters for his attendants. The people refused and a riot ensued in which some of the count's men were slain. When the king demanded that the inhabitants of Dover

be punished, Godwine declared that they should first be tried. Leofric and Siward, who were jealous of Godwine, supported the king, and in the end Godwine and his family were ban-Seeing that his case was hopeless, Godwine withdrew to Flanders (1051). The next year, however, the English were glad to see him return, because the king had, in the meanwhile, shown even greater favor to the Normans In 1051 William the Bastard, duke of Normandy, visited the William visits childless Eadward and is said to have received England. from him the promise of the crown of England. The court was filled with Normans, but on the reappearance of Godwine they hastily fled to the continent. Among them was Robert of Jumièges, who had been made Archbishop of Canterbury. his flight the high office was given to an Englishman. action offended the Pope, for, according to the papal claims, no Church official could be deposed except by ecclesiastical authority. Godwine died soon after, and was succeeded in the leadership by his son Harold All the earldoms of England except Mercia gradually fell into the hands of Harold and his brothers.

Since Eadward was childless, it was necessary to determine who should succeed him. Although not of the royal line, Harold was the only possible candidate. His Harold promised earldom was the largest in England. He was the crown. the right-hand man of the king, and he had shown the greatest ability both as a ruler and warrior. There was nothing to do but revive the old German custom of electing the ablest man king. It was accordingly agreed that Harold should succeed his royal master.

During his last years Eadward became even more inactive than before. The management of affairs was wholly in the hands of Harold who put down a dangerous revolt in Wales, maintained peace and order throughout the kingdom, and administered justice equitably. In England there was but one family which could contest the crown with him, that of Leofric of

Mercia. This family he conciliated by making Morkere, the brother of Leofric, earl of Northumbria, in the place of his own brother Tostig, against whom the Northumbrians had rebelled. On the death of Eadward, January 5, 1066, Harold was at once elected and crowned without opposition.

The German tribes of Denmark, Norway, and Sweden were almost entirely free from Roman influence till the ninth century. Christianity had certainly gained no hold The Northmen. They had scarcely even heard of upon them They lived in small independent tribes, without any cen-But during the ninth century several leaders tral government. arose in various parts who united many of the tribes, much as Chlodwig had united the Franks in the fifth century. During this time three kingdoms were established, known respectively as the kingdoms of Norway, Sweden, and Denmark. Since the leaders and nobles of the conquered tribes were too proud to submit to a conqueror they turned to the sea, hoping to preserve their independence. At first they played the part of pirates, attacking the coasts of Gaul, Germany, northern Spain, and even Italy. Ascending the rivers for many miles they robbed, plundered, and burned all the towns they could. They singled out monasteries and churches for special attack because of the treasures which they were known to contain At first these raids were made in the summer, and the pirates returned to their homes in the north for the winter Gradually, however, they began to spend the winter also in the countries which they were plundering. They seized the land and settled upon it, and these winter settlements became permanent As their success became known at home they were joined by large numbers of their fellow-countrymen who were eager to have a share in their prosperity Terms were made with the lord of the land and these unwelcome guests made themselves at home and quickly identified themselves with the country in which they settled. It was plainly to their interest that not too many Norsemen should join them, since the own portions would be

thereby diminished. They therefore resisted all further immigration as well as piratical invasions by their countrymen.

These Norsemen possessed the German characteristic, adaptability, to a marked degree Wherever they settled they quickly adapted themselves to the customs and life of the people about them. In France they became French-Their character. men, in England, Englishmen, in Russia, Rus-They did not, however, lose their individuality preserved their courage, their genius for governing and their bodily vigor, their love of war and their thirst for fame the Goths, when they migrated they left their religion at home, but not their religiousness. In common with all the other Germanic peoples they were strongly religious. They accepted Roman Christianity with a heartiness which soon made them the champions of the Papacy They at once rebuilt the burned monasteries and churches and soon became the most zealous pilgrims of all Europe. They had the greatest regard for holy places and persons From pirates they became Christian knights. They began their piratical raids in the eighth century, and for about two hundred years they were the scourge of the more civilized portions of Europe. Germany suffered greatly from their depredations They passed up the Rhine and other rivers, desolating even the interior, until in 891 Arnulf met them in Lorraine and punished them so severely at the battle of the Dyle that they never again seriously threatened Germany.

The lands to the east of the Baltic were attacked by them in the same way. About the middle of the ninth century they began to make settlements on the coast, and their The Norsemer. leader, Rurik, succeeded in uniting the tribes of in the east. Finns, Lapps, Letts, and others who were scattered over what is now western Russia. He and his successors extended their power into the interior. Novgorod, on Lake Illman, and Kiev, on the Dnieper, became their most important centres. For more than seven hundred years the family of Rurik held the kingship and ruled over a large part of what is now Russia. In

their raids to the east and south they came into contact with Constantinople, from which they received Christianity and the rudiments of civilization In the tenth century a large body of Norsemen sailed down the Volga and raided a part of Persia. All the way from the Baltic to the Black Sea the Norsemen made settlements along the rivers, and thus was opened up a great route of travel and commerce between the Scandinavian countries and Constantinople and the East In Sweden there have been found many coins of Bohemia, Hungary, and Constantinople, and even of the Khalifs of Bagdad large number of these we must infer that this commerce was very considerable. Christian pilgrims from the north regarded this as the most convenient way of reaching Palestine, because they found some of their countrymen all along the route. the eleventh century many Norsemen went to Constantinople to seek their fortunes and offer their services to the Emperor. He enrolled large numbers of them in his body-guard.

About 800 they began to settle in the Hebrides, Orkneys, and Shetland Islands, which up to this time were occupied only by Irish monks and hermits. From these islands they spread to the mainland of Scotland. In the course of about a hundred years all these settlements were united into one kingdom. They took possession of Iceland in the ninth century, which soon became thoroughly Norse. There the Norse customs and traditions were preserved in greater purity and for a longer time than in their original home. In the tenth century they settled in Greenland. These colonists kept in constant intercourse with their mother country till the fourteenth century when they disappeared. From what cause is unknown.

About the year 1000, Norse sailors discovered the coast of America, and several efforts were made to plant colonies there, but without success. On the east and south coasts of Ire-

¹Cf the Eddas and Sagas of the Norsemen, which were written in Iceland.

land they also made many settlements, some of which continued to exist till far into the twelfth century. Their invasions of England have already been recounted, as well as those of France The settlement of Rolf in the valley of the lower Seine (Normandy) resulted in the establishment of a powerful duchy which soon put an end to

the invasions from the north Duke Rolf (911-927) and his successors (William Longsword, 927-943; Richard the Fearless, 943-996, Richard the Good, 996-1027, and Robert the Magnificent, 1027-35), ruled with a strong hand, and Normandy was soon one of the strongest as well as best-governed duchies of France. The laws were enforced, order preserved, and the vassals kept in subjection. In 911 Rolf had agreed to accept Christianity, and in spite of occasional backslidings these pirates became devoted adherents of the Church. Normandy was noted for its churches, monasteries, and schools. The abbey of Bec was known throughout Europe because of its founder, Lanfranc, and its great prior, Anselm. Robert the Magnificent, at his death, in 1035, left only a bastard son,

William, seven years old, to succeed him. When William attained his majority and attempted to rule independently many of his subjects revolted. There was a bitter struggle, but William proved

William the Bastard, Duke of Normandy, 1035-87.

himself master of all his enemies and administered the affairs of his duchy with as much ability and firmness as any of his predecessors

Eadward the Confessor is said to have promised his crown to William, who was his cousin. Another story of still more doubtful authenticity relates how Harold was shipwrecked on the coast of France and fell into the hands of William, who compelled him to take an oath that he would support William's claim to the throne. When the English crown, 1066.

William he fell into a great rage and began at once to pre-

William he fell into a great rage and began at once to prepare to invade England and make good his pretensions to the crown. He is said to have called on Harold to keep his promise, but Harold paid no attention to his summons. He sent to the Pope certain charges against Harold, and promised, in return for the papal support and sanction, to put the Church of England under the control of Rome. Alexander II, probably at the advice of his counsellor, Hildebrand, gave William his blessing on these terms and sent him a consecrated banner with a ring containing a hair from St. Peter's head. The Pope further assisted him in his negotiations with the Emperor and the king of Denmark. William, in the meantime, built a fleet and collected his troops from every possible source.

King Harold was threatened with a double danger on his accession to the throne His brother Tostig had revolted and fled to Harold Hardrada, king of Denmark, whom he urged to invade England Harold also learned of the preparations of William, but was uncertain when these attacks would be made. He collected an army and patrolled the coasts, but since no enemy appeared his men gradually left and went to their homes Suddenly Harold Hardrada and Tostig landed on the coast of Yorkshire, defeated the troops of the earls Edwin and Morkere, and took the city of York. Harold Hardrada was at once proclaimed king. King Harold hastened to the King Harold at stamford Bridge. north, met the invaders near Stamford Bridge and utterly defeated them, September 25th. On the same day William landed, unhindered, near Pevensey, with an army of about fifty thousand men, and began to ravage the country. By forced marches Harold now hastened to the south to meet this new foe. Although deserted by the Earls of Mercia and Northumbria, Edwin and Morkere, he nevertheless determined to risk a battle without first collecting new troops and allowing his army to recuperate On a hill, known The battle of Hastings later as Senlac, he took up a strong position with his men and awaited the attack of the Normans. His centre was composed of the best Anglo-Saxon troops, his house Car's (or huscarls), while both wings consisted largely of peasants

padly equipped and badly trained. William made all his attacks against the centre. Charge after charge was made up the hill, but Harold and his men held their own and repulsed the Normans with heavy loss. More than once the day seemed lost for William, but he succeeded in rallying his troops and leading them again to the attack. At last by a ruse, a pretended flight, the troops of Harold were drawn out of their position to pursue the retreating enemy, when William's cavalry fell upon them and cut them to pieces before they could recover themselves Taken at this disadvantage they could no longer withstand the heavy charges of the Normans fence was broken down and the battle raged around Harold, who was surrounded by his body-guard Harold fell by an arrow which pierced his eye His guard was cut down to a man, and the rest of his army fled. William had won the day, and with it the crown of England

William's first care was to get possession of Kent and Sussex, the inhabitants of which were frightened into submission by his violence toward those who resisted him. He London. marched toward London and hoped to overawe the city by burning Southwark The gates, however, were closed against him and the people elected as their king Eadgar the Aetheling, a grandson of Eadmund Ironside. The Earls of Mercia and Northumbria, Edwin and Morkere, were present at the election, but when William crossed the Thames and threatened their territories they withdrew from the city to look after their own interests Seeing that resistance was hopeless the people determined to offer the crown to William. He entered the city, and on Christcrowned, 1066. mas-day, 1066, was crowned in Westminster by the Archbishop The crown was his by right of conquest, but he was Ealdred also formally elected by the people of London, and now by the action of the Archbishop in his coronation the Church set its seal upon his title and supplied what was lacking in the legitimacy of his claims.

Thus far only the southeastern portion of England (bounded by a line from the Wash to Dorsethead) was actually in Will-1am's hands. To secure London he built a strong fortress, which afterward became the famous tower. The earls of Mercia and Northumbria submitted to him nominally, but in fact were still independent. In order to justify the seizure of whatever lands he might desire, William declared that the The land forfeit to William. election and acknowledgment of Harold as king was an act of treason, punishable with forfeiture and death. All England was, therefore, guilty, and all the land was forfeited to William. He at once seized the possessions of all those who had borne arms against him, the others being permitted to retain their lands on the payment of a fine. Otherwise there was for the present little change. William apparently wished to rule in accordance with the laws and customs of the land. which undoubtedly did much to quiet the people and persuade them to submit to the new king

In 1067 England had become so quiet that William returned to Normandy, leaving the government in the hands of Odo, bishop of Bayeux, now earl of Kent, and William Fitz-Osbern, earl of Hereford These, however, were untrue to their trusts and allowed the English to be op-The English pressed by the Norman nobles. The English endured this oppression for only a short time. The people of Kent called on Eustace, the count of Boulogne, while those in the west sought aid of the Welsh William returned in the same year and easily put down the rebellion. But in the year 1068 a real national uprising took place. King Swein of Denmark came with a fleet to contest the possession of England with William. On his arrival in the Humber all the northern, western, and southwestern parts of England openly revolted and the king of Scotland came to their aid hastened to the Humber and bought the withdrawal of the Danish fleet He then turned to the revolted provinces and easily overcame them since they were not united. Yorkshire

especially suffered from his anger. So thoroughly did he devastate it that a famine followed which is said to have carried off more than a hundred thousand people, and nearly a century passed before the land was restored to its former state of cultivation. The most determined of the English had fled to the Fens (the swampy district south of the Wash), and there offered brave resistance under the leadership of Hereward. Their destruction, however, completely broke down all opposition, and England was thoroughly conquered. Scotland was next invaded and its king subjected. Being now in full possession, William set himself to keep in subjection and govern his hardly acquired kingdom. The history of his reign will be considered in a later chapter.

This Norman conquest of England had great influence on the future history of England not simply because of the political changes which William introduced. He was not Effect of the only king of England, but duke of Normandy, Conquest. and a subject of the king of France He was, moreover, a devoted friend of the Papacy. It was, therefore, inevitable that England should be closely associated with the continent; the English kings, proud of their continental possessions, would be involved in the territorial struggles of the French kings; and the claims of the Popes for universal dominion would the more easily include England The conquest brought England again into intimate relations with the rest of Europe and made or her a continental power.

CHAPTER X

THE NORMANS IN ITALY

ROBERT GUISCARD, a vassal of William, had almost as great a career in southern Italy and the adjacent countries as his ducal master in England. But he was not the Southern Italy. only able Norman who sought his fortune in the south. From the middle of the ninth century the Saracens had complete possession of Sicily, and also held many places on the mainland The principal part of southern Italy, called the Theme of Lombardy, still belonged to the Emperor at Constantinople and was ruled over by his officers. On the east coast these possessions extended to the north as far as Mount Gargano, and on the west almost to Salerno. To the north of this district was a large group of independent or semiindependent principalities, such as Salerno, Amalfi, Naples, Capua, Benevento, and Spoleto. Neither the Greek nor the German Emperor had been able to attach these permanently to his interests. They spent their time in warring with one another, or with the garrisons of the Greeks or Saracens about them. They were mere political fragments, and their condition seemed hopelessly chaotic.

By accident the attention of the Normans was directed to this field which offered them very great opportunities for ad
First appearance vancement. In 1016 a band of Normans returning from a pilgrimage to Palestine was shipwrecked near Salerno, the prince of which needed help against the Saracens The Normans aiding, he was successful. He repaid them well and dismissed them, since they were unwilling to take permanent service with him. On their

return to Normandy, their accounts of their treatment in Italy, as well as of the political situation of the country and the great opportunities for making one's fortune, fired the cupidity and ambition of their fellow-countrymen From this time on we find many Norman soldiers of fortune in southern Italy, offering their services to the highest bidder. About 1027 the duke of Naples granted Aversa to a band of them, and by conquest other small territories were soon added to this. 1040 they were reenforced by three of the sons of Tancred of Hauteville-William of the Iron Arm, Drogo, The sons of Tancred. and Humphrey At first they assisted the Greeks against the Saracens, but having quarrelled over the distribution of the spoil they took their revenge by attacking Apulia. In a short time they had driven out the Greeks and Apulia taken. established themselves as masters of the province.

A kind of republic was now organized under twelve counts, with William of the Iron Arm at their head. The prince of Salerno conferred on him the title of count of Apulia and gave him his niece in marriage. On the death of William of the Iron Arm, in 1046, his brother Drogo succeeded him, was recognized by the prince of Salerno and received his daughter in marriage. The third brother, Humphrey, followed in the succession. In the meanwhile two more brothers had come from France, Robert Guiscard and Roger. As Benevento the power of the brothers increased they turned threatened. toward the north, and it soon became evident that they were going to add Benevento to their possessions.

Pope Leo IX. (1048-54) was greatly alarmed at their encroachments, and sought help in vain from the Greeks, the Lombards, and the Germans He could enlist vassals of the only a small army of Suabians, with which he pope, 1053. marched south to attack the Normans He met them near Civitate, but his troops were utterly routed and himself taken prisoner (1053) He feared violent treatment at their hands, and hence was surprised when their leaders approached him,

fell at his feet, begged for forgiveness, and offered to become his vassals. Leo was too wise to let such an opportunity slip. He therefore confirmed them in their possessions

In 1057 Humphrey died and Robert Guiscard succeeded to the title of count of Apulia Two years later he appeared before Pope Nicholas II (1059-61), gave him the Robert Guiscard oath of allegiance, and received in return the made duke. 1050. title of duke of Apulia, Calabria, and Sicily. Sicily and a part of Calabria were still in the hands of the Saracens, and the newly made duke at once set about their conquest. His brother Roger quickly con-Sicily conquered nearly all of Sicily, although the Saraquered. cens were not wholly driven out till about 1000 ruled his duchy well, and Amalfi was for awhile one of the principal commercial cities of Italy. The schools of Salerno added lustre to his name

A revolution in Constantinople gave Robert an opportunity to attempt to extend his territories to the east In 1081 Alexius Comnenus usurped the power and ex-Robert attacks pelled the Emperor Nicephorus III the Greek Emperor. tine, the son of the preceding Emperor, Michael VII, had married the daughter of Robert Guiscard ently to restore his son-in-law, but probably to secure the crown for himself, Robert Guiscard gathered an army to invade the Greek Empire He sought the support of Gregory VII, who gave him his blessing and promised to invest him with all the lands he might conquer Durazzo, on the coast of Epirus, was first besieged, and, in spite of a stubborn resistance, was finally taken. Alexius sent Henry IV. of Germany large sums of money, and begged him to make an invasion into southern Italy. He secured the aid of the Venetians by granting them great commercial privileges, such as the freedom from tolls and the possession of a Venetian quarter in Constantinople After capturing Durazzo, Robert forced his way into the interior. Towns and fortresses fell into his hands

inherited the well-known family characteristics—ambition and great ability. The struggles by which he obtained the title of king will be described in another place. This new Norman power, established in the south, was to play a very important rôle in the history of Italy and of the Papacy

We have followed the Normans in their settlements throughout Europe and shown how great their activity and importance They settled the islands far to the west were. The influence of and north, established a kingdom among the the Normans in Europe. mixed peoples of what is now western Russia. added to the stock of German blood in England, established a great duchy in France, whose dukes and nobles conquered England and impressed upon it the Norman character; Norman nobles created the kingdom of the Two Sicilies, threatened the eastern Empire, led the crusades, and established kingdoms in Asia; they were the most efficient allies of the Papacy in its long and bitter struggle with the Empire, and materially assisted in securing the Papal victory. One great movement, in which they were the most prominent leaders, is yet to be described, the crusades, the first of which resulted in the establishment of several Norman principalities in the east Although the eventually either lost their possessions or were thoroughly amalgamated with the people of the conquered country, they nevertheless left their impress on Europe in many ways, and their history is one of which any people might be proud.

CHAPTER A

FEUDALISM

FEUDALISM is the name applied to the economic, social, and political relations and conditions existing in Europe from the tenth to the thirteenth centuries. These econo-Feudalism defined. mic relations are expressed by the phrase "feudal tenure of land," the theory underlying which was that the tenant or holder of any piece of land had only the use of it, for which he must pay certain dues as rent, to the man (lord or suzerain) from whom he had received it Property in land was not absolute, but of a beneficiary nat-Economic rela ure, that is, the holder had only the benefits of tions, feudal the use of it, not the land itself. In theory the land belonged to God, who let it to the king, who, in turn, sublet it to his great vassals, and these then parcelled it out to their subjects.

The general word expressing the social relations is "vassalage," which indicates the personal relation and bond existing between the man who thus held land and the social relation, man from whom he had received it. It conveys lord and vassal. on the side of the vassal the idea of social inferiority and the obligation to perform certain services for his lord.

The political relations are expressed by the word "immunity," which means that the holder of an estate is, in the matter of its government, free from all interference on the part of his lord; that is, with the use of the land he has also received from his lord the right, within his own territory, to perform the judicial, executive, and even, to some extent, the legislative functions of government, and in the

ordinary exercise of these functions he is free from all interference on the part of his lord. He is, therefore, on his own domain, to all intents and purposes, and, within certain limitations, an independent king.

These three things—feudal tenure, vassalage, and immunity are the essential features of feudalism

This condition of affairs was the outcome of the chaos of the two centuries which followed the death of Karl the Great. Origin of feudal- Not even he had been able wholly to centralize the power, and to sustain a personal relation to all his subjects. He struggled during all his reign against the tendency to separation, and the ambitious efforts of various parts of his Empire to achieve local independence The machinery of his government was not inherently weak; it needed only a strong and vigorous man to conduct it Under his successors, in the ninth and tenth centuries, because of their weakness, and the struggles of rebellious sons and nobles, his Empire broke up into many preces [The process of decentralization made rapid progress * There was no one to enforce the laws and preserve order, since the Emperor was too weak to do so Men found that they could break the laws, therefore, with impunity (The strong oppressed the weak, seized their goods, their lands, and even their persons, forcing them into the position of vassals or serfs This is the period of violence and usurpations, or what the Germans most appropriately call "Faustrecht," or fist right; the man with the strong arm might do whatever he chose. The wheels of government stopped, and the people had, therefore, to take care of themselves Duruy ("History of the Middle Ages," Book v., chap 15) has well stated this point: "Royalty no longer performed the duties for which it was instituted, and protection, which could not be obtained from the nominal head of the state, was no sought from the bishops, counts, barons, and all powerful men " Their attempts to take care of themselves resulted in a complicated set of customs and practices, the sum of which was

feudalism. The weak man, in order that he might not be utterly destroyed by the violence of those who were stronger than he, often willingly surrendered all that he had to some bishop or count, put himself under his protection, and assumed the vassal relation. The violence and chaos of the ninth and tenth centuries produced these changes and brought about this condition of affairs. There were many customs prevalent among the peoples of Europe before the ninth century, which furnished certain elements of feudalism, but they were not what produced it. Such things as the German "comitatus," or "Gefolge," and the Gallic "commendation," undoubtedly were prototypes of some of the feudal customs, but these would not have developed into feudalism if it had not been for the chaotic economic, social, and political condition of Europe in those two centuries

Under Karl the Great the tenure of office had depended upon his will; under his successors, many of the imperial and royal officials declared that they not only held their offices by a life tenure, but that these were also hereditary in their family. These claims they were able to make good in spite of the imperial opposition. In this way, the judicial, executive, and legislative functions of the central government were usurped Karl the Great had rewarded his officials with gifts of lands Under his successors, all the holders of such lands succeeded in making their possessions hereditary in their family, while still recognizing the Emperor as the actual possessor of them 1

¹The Edict of Kiersy, which was proclaimed June 14, 877, by Charles the Bald, King of France, is ordinarily referred to as the act which established the hereditary character of all such lands The text of this edict is as follows

Si comes de isto regno obierit, cujus filius nobiscum sit, filius noster cum ceteris fidelibus nostris ordinet de his qui eidem comiti plus familiares ac acopinquiores fuerunt qui cum ministe ialibus ipsius comitatus et cum episcopo in cujus parochi fuerit ipse comitatus ipsum comitatum prævideant, usque dum nobis renuntietur, ut filium illius qui nobiscum erit de honoribus filius honoremus

Sı autem filium parvulum habuerit, isdem filius ejus cum ministerialibus

Many who held land by the allodial (freehold or fee simple) tenure were deprived of their lands by force and reduced to the position of vassals. Others, when they Freehold lands saw themselves exposed to so great danger, become feudal. bought protection by offering to surrender their lands to some lord on the condition that he would protect them and permit them, as his tenants or vassals, to hold the same lands former chapter attention has been called to the fact that under the Emperors of the sixth and seventh centuries, such a process was going on because of the heavy taxation and the oppression by the government. Previously all land had been held by the allodial tenure, but gradually this was so thoroughly changed that by the end of the twelfth century the principle was generally acknowledged that all land must have a feudal lord and be held by the feudal tenure In the thirteenth century there was very little land in western and northern Europe held in any other way Fiefs and vassalage, therefore, arose from grants, usurpations, seizures, and voluntary surrender.

Since feudalism grew out of the chaos of the times, it could hardly be expected that it would have a uniform character. In fact, the feudalism of one province differed from a system.

In the general stress and danger each one made such terms as he coald with his lord. Feudalism is not a system, therefore, it is as chaotic and ir-

ipsius comitatus, et cum episcopo in cujus parochia consistit eumdum comitatum prævideant, donec obitus præfati comitis ad notitiam perveniat nostram et ipse filius ejus per nostram concessionem de illius honoribus honoretur.

· Si vero filium non habuerit, filius noster cum ceteris fidelibus nostris ordinet qui cum ministerialibus ipsius comitatus et cum episcopo proprio ipsum comitatum prævideat, donec jussio nostra inde fiat — Et pro hoc ille non irascatur qui illum comitatum præviderit si eumdem comitatum alteri cui nobis placuerit dederimus quam ille qui eum eatenus prævidit

Similitu et de vassallis nostris faciendum est Et volumus atque præcipsmus ut tam episcopi quam abbates et comites seu etiam ceteri fideles nostri hoc erga homines suos studeant conservare

This edict, however, presupposes that all such fiefs were hereditary. Charles only insists on his rights as lord.

regular as the period in which it arose To almost every general statement about it exceptions could be found. Classifications are impossible, because of the great and numerous variations which are everywhere met with It is a misnomer to speak of the feudal "system," since by that word the idea is conveyed that it is an orderly and uniform set of customs and regulations. At the beginning of the eleventh century, however, order began to be restored. People were worn out by interminable strife and private wars. The Church was the first to try to put a stop to that state of things The clergy in southern France (at the end of the tenth century) first attempted to enforce the peace of God, that is, people were forbidden to fight, on the ground that they were Christians, and were threatened with the punishments of the Church, ban and excommunication, if they persisted in fighting. This peace of God it was impossible to enforce The times were too wild. The next step was a compromise Since the Peace of God. clergy could not secure the total cessation of hostilities, in the eleventh century they proposed the "Truce of God;" that is, from Wednesday evening to Monday morning no one should engage in war (about 1030 to 1050)

A great step toward better things was taken in the same century when Henry III. declared himself to be the guardian of the public peace, or "peace of the land," and threatened to punish all who disturbed it By this means private warfare was greatly limited. The chaos and anarchy of the ninth and tenth centuries yielded to regularity and order. The customs were more fixed and better observed. Feudalism became less chaotic, and society, therefore, more stable; violence became less and security greater; travel was possible because of the greater safety along the highways. The effect was seen at once in the steady revival of commerce, which became more pronounced as the eleventh century advanced.

The Church was completely drawn into feudal relations.

In those days of violence and rapine, the robber and plunderer had little or no regard for the property of the Church, or The Church and the lives of the churchmen. Churches and monasteries were, therefore, compelled to seek Feudalism. protection, just as individuals were. The bishop or priest, for his church or diocese, and the abbot or prior, for his monastery, surrendered the church's or monastery's property to some lord and received them back in return for the payment of certain rents and dues. Such churches and monasteries were legally feudal individuals, and were, of course, required to perform all feudal duties. \ The lands, indeed, belonged to the Church, and, theoretically, could not be alienated from the Church and ecclesiastical uses.\ As late as the eleventh century it was not at all uncommon for the clergy to marry Since fiefs were hereditary, it seemed perfectly proper that their children should be provided for out of the Church lands which they held But, unless all their children became clergymen, these Church lands would pass into the hands of laymen and therefore be lost to the Church One of the purposes of the prohibition of the marriage of the clergy was to prevent this alienation and diminution of the Church lands

The land, office, or any right or privilege granted and held as indicated above was called a fief, feud, or benefice. The lord, liege, or suzerain, was the one who granted a fief. The receiver of it was his vassal or liegeman. Subinfeudation was the regranting of a fief by a vassal to a third person, who, therefore, became a vassal to a vassal. In connection with the infeudation of a fief there were certain rights and ceremonies called homage; kneeling with uncovered head, folded hands, and sword ungirt before his prospective lord, the vassal made a set speech in which he vowed that he would become the lord's "man" and perform all the duties which this relation demanded. The lord then raised him, received his oath of fidelity, and by a symbolic act (usually the presentation of a sword, standard, sceptre, ring, staff, a bit of

earth or a twig) invested him with the possession of the fief in question.

The one great duty of the lord to his vassal was to protect The lord must avenge his vassal's wrongs, defend him in all his privileges, and secure him justice in all matters. vassal, on the other hand, owed his lord service, which might be of various kinds. Military service was, in some respects, the most important, and in accordance with the ideas Noble or military of the times was regarded as noble. Service in labor, gifts, money, and produce, was regarded as menial or ignoble. Military service in the days of Karl the Great had been required of all freemen. The army was composed of the whole people under arms As the use of cavalry was introduced and became general, and the practice of wearing armor universal, it became impossible for everyone to equip himself with the required paraphernalia Continuous and far-distant campaigns made it necessary for many people to remain at home to till the soil. Karl the Great had the right to call his army together at any time, and demand their service in any part of the empire, and for any length of time. By offering united resistance the vassals succeeded in acquiring two important limitations to this. they could be compelled to serve only forty days in the year, and only within a reasonable distance from their homes.

must first send the summons to his great vassals, with the order to appear with a certain number of men at a certain time and place. These, in turn, delivered the order to their vassals, and so the command was passed along until it had reached the end of the line of vassals. Under such conditions it is easily apparent that a feudal army was of little use, even when it was got together. Since wars must be fought, the rulers ceased to rely on their feudal levies, and engaged mercenary troops, which they kept as a standing army. Among the special duties laid upon a vassal were the following:

If in battle the lord were unhorsed the vassal must give him his own, if the lord were in personal danger, the vassal must defend him with his life, if the lord were taken prisoner of war, the vassal was bound to go as a hostage for him.

There were various circumstances under which the lord might demand money from his vassals. When he knighted his eldest son, or gave his eldest daughter in marriage, or Feudal dues. himself was taken prisoner, he might demand any sum which his vassal was able to pay Such payments were called "aids," and tended to become fixed. A relief was a sum of money paid by an heir when he entered upon his inheritance at the death of his father. Ordinarily this was the entire income of the estate for a year The same rule existed in regard to ecclesiastical offices The newly appointed bishop or priest was compelled to pay the first-fruits (the annates), which meant the income of his office for a year died without heirs, his property reverted to the lord (escheat), and might then be relet to another vassal If a vassal wished to surrender his fief to another, he had first to get the consent of his lord and pay a certain sum of money (fine upon alienation). If a vassal were guilty of treason, the lord might claim his possession by forfeiture In England the king claimed, also, certain other rights, such as wardship and marriage, that is, if a vassal died leaving only children who were minors, the king became their guardian, and managed, and had the income from, their estates until they became of age His consent to their marriage must be obtained, for which they were expected to pay well. One of the most oppressive rights of the lord was that of fodrum, that is, the maintenance of himself and retinue, or even his army; when passing through any district he might demand that its residents supply himself and his followers with food. In the same way, he might require the people along the way to furnish him a sufficient number of horses and wagons to transport him and his train from one place to another.

The rents due from the vassal were of various kinds. Generally a certain sum was due for the land, another for the house, sometimes another for the fire (chimney), and ordinarily a small tax for each head of stock (cattle, sheep, hogs, etc.). course the lord received a certain share of all that was produced on the soil, of the wheat, hav, wine, chickens, stock, honey, beeswax, and everything, in fact A charge was also made for the privilege of pasturing the stock in the forests or fields of the lord, for obtaining firewood from his forests, and for fishing in the streams which were regarded as his property. The peasants were forbidden to sell their grain for a certain length of time after the harvest, or their wine after the vintage, in order that the lord might have a temporary monopoly in these articles. They were compelled to bake their bread in his oven, grind their corn at his mill, and press their grapes in his wine-press, for all of which a suitable toll in kind was charged. The lord could also seize the grain, wine, and other; produce of his tenant, paying him what he chose, either in cash or at the end of a certain time The tenant was required to labor also for his lord a certain number of days in the year. He must till his fields, care for his crops, make his wine, furnish horses and wagons on demand, haul his wood for the fires in the house, stones for building purposes, keep his castle and other buildings in repair, build defences, repair the roads and bridges, and render a multitude of other services

The lord exercised over his tenants the power of a judge All cases were tried before him or his officers. He had the right to impose and collect fines for all sorts of offences. For every crime and misdemeanor there was a fixed fine. The administration of justice on a great domain was, therefore, the source of a considerable income. The lord held court three times a year, at which all his vassals were expected to be present; but such attendance was soon felt to be burdensome and they secured permission to absent themselves on the payment of a fee.

These are only some of the rights of a feudal lord. It was to the lord's interest, of course, to multiply them and enforce them whenever possible. The vassals did all they could to limit them and to preserve their liberty and independence. It is apparent, however, that they were subject to innumerable burdens, and if their lord or his overseer was so disposed, their lives could be made unendurable.

Feudal society may be divided into three classes, the peasants or tillers of the soil, the citizens or inhabitants of the towns, forming the industrial class, and the aristocracy, who lived from the labors of the other two classes.

The land was ordinarily divided into large estates, or domains, in the hands of what we may call great landlords, who, of course, did no work, themselves. Very often Disposition of the soil. they did not even oversee their estates but left that work to the care of a foreman or agent.\ This office of, agent often became a fief, but sometimes it was farmed out for a certain sum. The holder of it received no salary, but was expected to get his pay out of the administration of the office itself This he did at the expense of the peasants The central house, or manor of the estate, was regarded as the residence of the lord, although it often happened that he spent little time at it, especially if he possessed several domains The manor was often the residence of the agent. About the manor was often a considerable amount of land which was held by the lord and cultivated for his benefit Since all his tenants owed him a certain number of days' labor, he never had any difficulty in having this land well cultivated.

All the rest of the tillable land and meadow was divided into small lots and parcelled out among the tenants and became hereditary in the family of the one who tilled them. These tenants lived, generally, in little houses grouped together, forming a village. All the inhabitants of the country were known as peasants (rustici, villains), and may be divided into two

classes, serfs and free. But within these two divisions there were many variations.

The slavery of the early Empire had been changed into serf-The slaves had become attached to the soil which they They were no longer sold They were tılled Serfs. allowed to marry, and in accordance with the prevailing feudal customs received a bit of land. At first the lord could tax his serfs at will, but gradually limits were set to the demands which he might make. The serf paid an annual poll-tax, and if he married some one belonging to another domain he also paid a certain sum for the privilege of doing so? He could neither alienate nor dispose of his possessions by will. At his death all that he had went to the lord.) (The serf could neither be taken from his land, nor might he leave it; yet many of them ran away from their lords, and, passing themselves off for freemen, took service with other lords. however, they could be restored to their former lord; but if they could secure admission to the ranks of the clergy they thereby became free men They might also become free in They might, if their master were willing, formally renounce him, surrender all their goods, and quit the domain. On the other hand, the lord might set a serf free on the payment of a certain sum \ This became, indeed, a favorite way of raising money. The lord would set free all the serfs of his domain and demand the payment of the fee Since they became his free tenants and must remain and till his land, he really lost nothing by setting them free, but rather gained On the other hand, people might be reduced to serfdom by force. The conceptions of free and servile had become attached to the soil \ Certain parts of a domain were called free, probably because they had always been occupied by free peasants, while other parts were called servile, probably because they had always been tilled by slaves who gradually became serfs. If a free peasant occupied this servile land he thereby lost his free character and became a serf. The free peasants were more nearly like renters who pay so much each year for the use of their lands either in money or in produce. Their lands were also hereditary Being independent of their lord they could dispose of their possessions. There was nothing to prevent them from amassing a considerable amount of property

In a later chapter will be found a description of the class of citizens. The cities themselves arose after the establishment of feudalism, but were forced into the feudal relations. They were, in fact, regarded as feudal personalities and were treated much as a feudal individual. The city, as a whole, owed feudal duties. As the cities grew large and fich they resisted the feudal claims of their lords and were one of the powers that destroyed feudalism.

Sharply separated from the laboring classes were the nobility. This nobility was divided into two classes, the secular and the ecclesiastical The only occupation of Nobility. the secular nobility was the use of arms he could enter this class who had sufficient money to equip himself as a warrior and to support himself without work; for work was regarded as ignoble It is probable that for centuries the acquisition of sufficient wealth enabled anyone to pass into the ranks of the nobility. But in the thirteenth century nobility became hereditary The line was sharply draws between the noble and the ignoble families Noble birth was added to the requisites of nobility, and eventually became the only requisite. Wealth alone was no longer the passport to noble rank Intermarriage between nobles and commoners was forbidden, or at least regarded as a mesalliance. In Germany and France all the children born into a noble family inherited the title, while in England the title and wealth passed only to the eldest son. He only was required to marry within his class The younger children might marry into ignoble families without thereby forming a mesalliance, a fact which accounts for the community of interest which has ever existed in England but not elsewhere between commoner and aristocracy.

From the tenth century it became customary to fight on Whoever was able to equip himself with a horse and the necessary armor was regarded as a member of the aristocracy of arms. Only the common people still Cavalry. fought on foot From this use of the horse came the terms "chivalry" and "chevalier." Both man and horse were protected by armor in such a way that they were almost invulnerable. The knight wore a helmet, coat of mail, and a shield for defence, and for attack carried a sword and lance. Improvements were constantly made in the armor. which gradually became so heavy that the knight was almost helpless except on his horse For ordinary purposes he kept a light horse, but for battle, a strong animal was required because of the weight of the armor. Every knight was also attended by an esquire, whose duty it was to care for his horse and weapons and to serve as a body-servant

Among this great body of men of arms there grew up a set of customs and ideas to which the name of chivalry was given. It came to be regarded as a closed society into Chivalry. which, after certain conditions had been fulfilled, one could be admitted by initiatory ceremonies Every young nobleman was required to learn the use of arms by serving an apprenticeship of from five to seven years. Generally he was attached to some knight, whom he attended everywhere, serving him in all sorts of ways Such service, however, was not regarded as ignoble At the close of his apprenticeship the young man bathed and put on his armor His master then girded him with a sword and struck him with his hand on the shoulder, at the same time addressing him as knight the earlier form of the ceremony From the twelfth century on, the clergy added thereto many rites, all of a religious character. The candidate must also fast, spend a night in prayer, attend mass on the following morning, and lay his sword on the altar that it might be blessed by the priest, who then addressed him on his special duties as a knight

The warlike character of the times showed itself in the dwellings as well as in the sports of the nobility. They dwelt in forts rather than in houses. Their castles were built in the places most easily fortified and defended. Ditches, moats, and walls formed the outer defences, while the castle itself, with its high lookout tower, made a stronghold which alone could endure a heavy siege. The sports of the nobility consisted principally of hunting, hawking, and the holding of tournaments. The tournament was supposed to be a mimic battle, but it often resulted fatally. At one tournament alone it is said that sixty knights were killed.

The Church was profoundly influenced by feudal ideas and The whole clergy, the archbishops, bishops, and abbots, through their great temporal possessions, were drawn into the feudal relation The Church taught not only that almsgiving was one of the cardinal virtues, but also that she herself was the fittest object on which it might be practised. Everywhere people gave liberally to the Church hoping thereby to secure the greatest possible intercession with God from the Monasteries, churches, and colleges of canons became clergy rich from such gifts, in the course of centuries the clergy became possessors of vast tracts of land and great privileges Every bishop and archbishop was therefore a landlord on whom the care of these great estates devolved. Because of their immense wealth, as well as the high honor attached to their calling, they also belonged to the aristocratic class and ranked with the secular nobility Since they were the most learned they were also used by the kings and Emperors as counsellors and high officials. The great incomes of the monasteries and bishoprics made them especially attractive, and it early became the custom to put the younger sons of noble families into the best of such positions. These ecclesiastical lands, however, could not escape the feudal relation ruler of each country declared that all such lands owed him the

sion to the office, became the king's vassal and must take the vow of homage and the oath of fealty to him and receive from him the investiture of the temporal possessions of his office. He must therefore perform, in addition to his ecclesiastical duties, also the civil duties which were required of other vassals. This dual character of the clergy was destined to become one of the principal causes of the bitter struggle between the Empire and the Papacy. It was impossible for the clergy to be faithful to two masters, both of whom demanded the fullest obedience

Feudalism reached its height from the tenth to the thirteenth centuries and then gradually declined. The invention of gunpowder revolutionized the methods of warfare Causes of the de-Against fire-arms, the knight's armor and castle were equally useless The close of the Middle ism. Age is marked by the rapid growth of the power of the kings, who succeeded in gathering the power into their own hands. 'The nobles were deprived of their authority Out of the fragments of feudalism the king built up an absolute monarchy. The growth of the cities, also, did much to break down feudal-15m, for as they increased in power and wealth they wrested independence from their lords and threw off the feudal yoke. Various forces were at work to diminish the number of serfs and villains, such as the crusades, the great pests, and the constant wars The feudal lords were left without a sufficient number of tenants to do their work The demand for laborers created the supply, and we find at once a growing number of free laborers who work for wages without any feudal ties. Gradually feudal tenures were changed into allodial tenures. The fifteenth century saw the breaking up of feudalism, although in France and elsewhere certain fragments remained till the French Revolution, and the social organization of Europe is still largely feudal in its fundamental ideas.

CHAPTER XII

THE GROWTH OF THE PAPACY

At the beginning of our period, when Christianity was made a legal religion, the Church was already organized, though somewhat loosely. The clergy was not only separated from the laity, but within itself there was a regular gradation of rank, honor, and authority. The principal grades were deacon, presbyter or priest, and bishop. At first each congregation had had its bishop, but it had become the custom for one bishop to be at the head of all the churches of a city and its environs and to direct and oversee the work of all the clergy of his district or diocese.

The bishop had obtained this monarchical character only after a long struggle, which was practically ended by Cyprian (died 258). The question was whether the Church should be governed by a single ruler (the bishop) or by an aristocracy composed of bishop, presbyters, confessors, and others. Cyprian, bishop of Carthage, successfully resisted the last great attempt to make the government of the Church such an aristocracy.

During the first two hundred years of the Church's existence its organization was very loose. Each bishop was practically independent of all other bishops. But there was a steady development throughout the Church toward a closer union of all its parts. The magnificent political and civil organization of the Empire furnished an excellent model, which was copied by the Church almost unconsciously. Corresponding to the political head of a province, there grew up an ecclesiastical

official whose authority extended over the province and whose residence was the capital of the province; that is, there was gradually developed above the bishops of a province an archbishop or metropolitan. The civil province thus became also an ecclesiastical province. The new office naturally fell to the bishop of the capital of the province. The Church followed the organization of the Empire so closely that the ecclesiastical rank of the bishop was always determined by the political rank of the city in which he lived.

A further step in the organization was then taken eral political provinces were grouped together to form a larger division (eparchy), so also several ecclesiastical Patriarch provinces, with archbishops at their respective heads, were grouped together and formed a larger province. with an over-archbishop at its head For this officer and his diocese the word patriarch and patriarchate were used in the fourth century The capitals of these patriarchates were Jerusalem, Antioch, Ephesus, Cæsarea in Cappadocia, Heraclea (which was early replaced by Constantinople), Corinth, Alexandria, and Rome In the sixth century only five of these were recognized—Jerusalem, Antioch, Alexandria, Constantinople, and Rome It is perfectly clear that during the first three centuries the Bishop of Rome had no authority out-The authority of side of his diocese of Rome He was not offithe Bishop of cially recognized by the other bishops of the Rome limited to his own diocese. west as their primate, whose will was their law Cyprian of Carthage affirmed that all bishops were equal, but was willing to concede to Rome a certain precedence in church matters because of her size, and the fact that she was the capital of the Empire 1 The Bishop of Rome was gladly consulted in all doubtful matters, but so were many others also; he did not thereby have authority over those who consulted him

An important document is furnished by the Council at Nicæa, 325, in its sixth canon The rights of each Patriarch

¹ See his Letters, No 52

in his own diocese (the eparchy) were there defined and pro-There is not the slightest suggestion that the Bishop of Rome had any authority outside of his own diocese had no primacy over the whole church It is not at all certain that his diocese was thought to include all the west much to indicate that it was supposed to include only the territory within one hundred miles of Rome, if not limited simply to It is possible that the limits of his diocese were the city itself not fixed, and thought of only in a vague and indefinite way. At any rate, it is certain that at that time his authority did not extend over all the west As the old capital of the Empire, Rome so far overtopped all other cities in the west that there was never another patriarch developed there Besides, there was no other church in the west of any importance that boasted that it had been established by an apostle.

In tracing the growth of the Papacy there are two things to be kept clearly separate, the one is the development of the Two lines of de-Bishop of Rome as the head of the whole Church, velopment.

Bishop of Rome as the head of the whole Church, and the other is the growth of his power as temporal sovereign. These will be traced separately till the year 755, after which they will be treated together

In the fourth century the Bishop of Rome already had two offices, he was, first, the Bishop of Rome, and, second, he was also Archbishop or Patriarch over the territory about Rome. We must endeavor to discover how he added to these two a third, the office of Bishop of the whole Church. Among the influences which brought this about may be mentioned the following:

Rome was the capital of the Empire, and as such stood far above all other cities, at least in the west. It was but natural that the congregation of the capital and its bishop should be regarded with more honor than those of less important cities. Since the Church was certainly, though perhaps only half-consciously, organizing itself after the model of the Empire, the thought of having an

absolute head of the Church corresponding to the absolute head of the state was not at all strange It was, in fact, logically to be expected What more natural than Rome's influthat such an idea should occur to the Bishop of Rome, since he was at the capital and living in the atmosphere of absolutism? As early as the fourth century this idea seems to have floated, rather hazily perhaps, before the minds of some of the Bishops of Rome, as if, because he was the bishop of the Church in the capital of the Empire, he in some way owed a duty to, and possessed some right over, all the world. Rome was actually in the centre of the west and of its life. All roads led to Rome, and its bishop could easily keep more closely in touch with all parts of the Church than the bishop of any other city The advantages of his geographical location were very great, and materially aided him in securing a wide influence Furthermore, he had no competition with any other patriarch All the others were situated in the east, and the archbishops of the west never seriously threatened to replace him in universal favor
It would hardly be too much to say that Rome made the Papacy, and that afterward a religious basis was invented for it

The growth of the powers of the Bishop of Rome was greatly hastened by the invasions of the Barbarians They really broke the Empire into fragments, and tore the provinces, one after another, from the main body

All the cities of the west were much weakened in actual power. But the name of Rome was sufficient to preserve her The Germans were Arian, and generally persecuted the orthodox Christians among whom they settled Up to this time the Bishop of Rome had found it impossible to extend his authority over the bishops of Gaul, Spain, and Africa But in their distress they needed help. Under the stress of persecution they appealed to the Bishop of Rome, who made use of this opportunity to make himself champion of all the churches in the west. The Christians of all the provinces were thus brought

under his headship Persecutions resulted in the closer union of all the orthodox Christians in the west under the Bishop of Rome

The Church in Rome was large, and while not, perhaps, very rich, it was liberal — It gave freely to all the needy congrega-

The Bishop of Rome disbursed the funds of the Church.

tions, and applied large sums to the ransoming of those Christians who, in times of persecution, were condemned to exile, to penal labor in the mines, or to any other form of punishment. In

this the Bishop was the agent of the congregation of Rome. All the money passed through his hands. Much of the credit was therefore given to him personally, though he shared the honors with the congregation

The Church in the east has had a very different history from the Church in the west, this was caused largely by the differ-

The supposed orthodoxy of Rome and her Bishops.

ence in their mental make-up. The east was philosophical, inquiring, restless, and never satisfied with a truth until it had been adequately and fully stated, all the possible inferences drawn

from 1t, and 1ts exact place in their system of thought fixed Besides, the east possessed in Greek the most perfect language ever spoken It was capable of expressing the finest distinctions and shades of thought
In the great theological discussions of the third and fourth centuries the east played a far more prominent part than the west The principal question discussed was concerning the person of Tesus and his relation Was Jesus a created being, or God himself? Had he two wills, the divine and human, and how were they related? Had he two natures, a divine and a human, and how were they connected? In all these and similar questions there was much diversity of opinion, and in the east, at least, it was for a long time doubtful what would be the final belief of the Church on these questions Politics and the intrigues of the court caused constant changes in the creed, and what was declared by one council to be true was often denounced as heresy by another While the leading bishops of the east changed from one side of a question to the other, the Bishops of Rome stood firmly by the Nicene Creed, and never wavered in their adherence to the doctrines which, in the end, were recognized as the "oithodox" faith of the church. The "orthodoxy" of the Bishops of Rome brought them great honor and influence, because in consequence of it the feeling arose that they alone of all the bishops could be depended upon to maintain and preserve the orthodox creed of the Church in all its integrity

The bishops (patriarchs) of the east quarrelled not only about the creed, but also over political questions, and there was intense rivalry among them. In their disputes Appeals to the they often appealed to the Bishop of Rome, and Bishop of Rome. each one did all he could to secure his favor. He was so often made a judge between them that he could soon affirm that the position belonged to him by right. At the Council of Sardica (343) it was proposed to make the Bishop of Rome judge in all cases where bishops, who had been condemned by a council, wished to appeal to a higher power. This was an important step in the development of his universal jurisdiction.

The first steps in the development of saint worship were taken in connection with the apostles. Very little was actually known of their life and work, and therefore all the petrine the greater was the field for the imagination theory. There arose gradually an exaggerated opinion of their powers, authority, and work They were regarded as the princes among saints Great honor and authority attached to the churches which had been founded by them, and many churches whose origin was obscure now boldly traced themselves to the work of some apostle Among others the church of Rome was declared to have been founded by Peter, who was the prince of the apostles. To his successors he had passed on all his rights and dignity, so that as Peter was first among the apostles, so also were his successors first among all the bishops

of the world. The Bishops of Rome were not slow to perceive that this was a powerful argument with the people, and did not hesitate to use it on all occasions. Leo the Great (440-61) always acted in the proud consciousness that he was the successor of St. Peter, and therefore had inherited all his prerogatives along with his superiority over all others. All that had been said to, and about, Peter, he applied to himself.

The great synods also played a certain part in this matter The sixth canon of the Nicene Council (325) ordained that the bishops of the capital cities of the eparchies The Council of (Alexandria, Rome, and Antioch are named: Nicæa, 325. Ephesus, Cæsarea, and Heraclea are implied) should have patriarchal rights, that is, each of these bishops should have the oversight of all the churches in his eparchy. In all respects it is apparent that the Bishop of Rome is put on the same plane as the bishops of these other cities, he has authority in his own eparchy, but not beyond its limits. It is probable that the territory over which his authority extended was composed of the ten small provinces which politically were under the "vicarius urbis," and included the central and southern portions of Italy. The rest of the west was independent of him the fourth century the bishop of Milan, and in the fifth century the bishops of Aquileia, Ravenna, Vienne, and Arles, were independent metropolitans, owning no subjection to the Bishop of Rome

The Council of Constantinople (381) decreed that the bishop of Constantinople should have the second place after the Bishop of Rome, in honor and dignity, because Conconstantinople, stantinople was the New Rome. It is evident as from this that the new capital of the Empire had not yet fully replaced the old. This canon simply fixed a matter of etiquette; it only said that the Bishop of Rome possessed a little more official dignity and honor than the others. It did not touch the question of the relative amounts of their authority. The Council of Chalcedon (451), in its twenty-

eighth canon, admitted that the Bishop of Rome was entitled to very high honor because he was bishop in the ancient capital; but the bishop of New Rome (Constantinople) And of Chalcewas entitled to equal honor, because he was the bishop of the city in which the Emperor resided, and the Senate had its seat. In accordance with this view, the jurisdiction and rights of the bishop of Constantinople were extended Against this action Leo the Great protested He admitted that Constantinople was the capital of the Em-Len the Great pire, but declared that political rank did not (440=61) prodetermine the ecclesiastical rank of a city. is the apostolical origin of a church that entitles it to a higher ecclesiastical rank. Constantinople, therefore, had no right to equal authority and honor with Rome, because her church had not been founded by an apostle, as had been the church of Rome. The Bishop of Rome has the first place in the Church, because he is the successor of St Peter, the first of the apostles He bases all his claims and arguments on the famous Petrine theory. God had ordained that the Bishop of Rome should have the care of the whole Church, other bishops were only in part responsible for the condition of the Church, while he was called on to exercise absolute power over the whole Church (plenitudo potestatis) He spoke of the "sollicitudo quam universæ ecclesiæ ex divina institutione dependimus," and his "cura totius ecclesiæ" Leo was the first to give a full and clear-cut expression to this Petrine theory, which from that day to this has been regarded as the basis of the primacy of the Bishop of Rome. Leo's statement of it has been expanded, but not radically changed

About the year 510 AD. Dionysius Exiguus, 1 an abbot who lived in Rome, published two books, which, taken together, had a large influence on the power of the Bishop Rome He collected the canons of the various councils, and published them under the title of "Canones Ecclesiastici" His second work

¹ Dionysius was the first to reckon time from the Birth of Christ

was called the "Decrees of Former Popes" (Præteritorum Sedis Apostolicæ Præsulum Constituta), and contained such Dionysius letters, opinions, and decisions of Popes on variexiguus. Ous matters as were accessible to him These were treated by him as if they had the same authority as the action of a Council These works were afterward united into one, and widely used in the west, and had much to do with the formation of the general belief that the Bishop of Rome's authority was supreme in the Church.

The Popes were greatly benefited by the Christianization of western and northern Europe, because the work was inspired The Roman Cath- by them and carried on very largely by their olic Conquest of agents or by those who were ardently devoted the west. to them and to the Papal ideas All of the German tribes who settled on Roman soil, with the single exception of the Franks, were Arian The Popes set to work at once to convert them and were eventually successful Franks were heathen until, in 496, Chlodwig, who had been for some time married to a Catholic princess, was converted to the orthodox faith The Bishop of Rome saw the great advantages that might accrue to him from this, and did " all he could to attach the Franks to himself His efforts were very successful, and the alliance formed between the Pope and the Frankish king had a most potent influence on the course of events throughout the Middle Age After the conversion of Chlodwig the priests who preached to him addressed him as a second David, whose duty it was to protect the kingdom of God and destroy its enemies, namely, the heretics and the heathen. In all his wars among the Arian West Goths, Burgundians, Bavarians, and others he received the heartiest support of the Bishop of Rome

The Appeared Saxons in the fifth century were still heathen. The Christian Kelts who occupied the country had been driven out and Christianity had entirely disappeared. The bitter hostility engendered between the Kelts and their

German invaders prevented any successful mission work among them by Keltic missionaries The Irish monks who settled in Iona gradually began to work among the Angles. in the north, put their progress was slow. In the Pope Gregory the Great (500-604) sent Augustine with about thirty monks to England They reached the England Roman court of Aethelbehrt, king of Kent, and within a year were successful in converting him and many of the nobles about him They were ably assisted in their work by his Christian wife, who was a Frankish princess From Kent Christianity spread to other tribes, and eventually came into conflict with the Christianity of the Irish missionaries, who had begun their labors in the north of England The points of difference between the Irish and the Roman monks were trivial enough, but back of these differences was the great and important principle of obedience to the Bishop of Rome 664 the king of Northumbria called a synod at Whitby, and summoned the two parties to meet before him and present their cases He was persuaded by the champions of Rome to accept their forms, and the Irish missionaries withdrew from England.

Scotland continued to preserve its peculiar forms, which differed from those of Rome, and maintained a separate existence until the end of the eleventh century. King Malcolm the third married a Saxon wife, Queen Marguerite, who was a devoted Roman Catholic. Through her exertions the Church of Scotland was subjected to the Pope and made to conform in all respects to the Roman Catholic Church - The Irish Church also remained independent, and yielded no obedience to Rome until Henry II (1154-89) conquered a part of Ireland and brought its Church into subjection to Rome

But while the missionary activity of the English monks was limited on the north and west, it was far more spirited in another direction which was to result in even greater advantages to the Papacy English monks began to go to the con-

tinent, where they labored for a better organization of the Church, and at the same time subjected it to the Bishop of The Franks, the Thuringians, the Ba-Rome Englishmen bevarians, and the Germans of the Rhine valley had come mission= aries of Rome. indeed been converted to the Christian faith, but Many of them continued to worship other gods in name only The clergy were independent and led such lives as they Many of them were not attached to any church or monastery, but wandered about using their clerical character to gain a livelihood and as a cloak for evil lives There was no central church organization, and no one who had the authority or the power to keep the clergy under control and compel them to lead lives worthy of their calling The Christianity of Germany seemed to be rapidly deteriorating. It was the work of English missionaries to restore it and reorganize the Church, and give a great impulse to the work of Christianizing the Germanic tribes

About 680 there was born in Wessex Winfried, or, as he was later called, Boniface. He was brought up in a monastery, and was ordained a priest when thirty years old.

Soon after this he determined to go as a missionary to those parts of Germany whence his forefathers had come He went to Friesia about 715 and labored for awhile, but without success About 718 he went to Rome to visit the Pope, and received from him a commission to Christianize and Romanize all the Germans of central Europe. For nearly five years he travelled throughout Germany, from Bavaria to Friesia, in the prosecution of his work.

In 721 he again went to Rome, where Gregory II made him a missionary bishop without a diocese. Gregory compelled him to take the same oath of obedience that he required of the titular Bishops of Rome (Sabino, Porto, Ostia, etc.) It was expected that Boniface would act as bishop throughout all Germany. It would appear, therefore, that the Pope wished to regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the same of the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the same of the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the same of the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the same of the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the same of the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the same of the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the same of the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the regard Germany as part of his diocese, and as closely at the regard Germany as part of his diocese.

attached

tached to him as were the districts about Rome Boniface was now able to carry on his work with much more success his behalf the Pope appealed to Karl Martel, who gave him aid in many ways He kept himself in constant touch with Rome, and everywhere established the authority of the Pope In 732 he was made archbishop, but still without a see, and so received authority over all the bishops of Germany. They were now compelled to obey him He received supplies of both men and means from England, and was able to establish many monasteries, such as Erfurt, Fritzlar, Ohrdruf, Bischofsheim, Homburg, Fulda, and bishoprics, such as Salzburg, Passau, Freising, Regensburg, Wuerzburg, Buraburg, Erfurt. In 743 he was made archbishop of Mainz. and Eichstaedt He called councils, at which the work of organization was perfected, heresies refuted, superstitions rites and customs for-bidden, the lives of the cleren regulated, his opponents condemned, and the authority of the Bishop of Rome acknowledged.

In 753 he resigned his position as archbishop of Mainz, and went again, with a large number of helpers, as a missionarv to Friesia, where he met a martyr's death (754 or 755) not far from Groningen The principal part of his work was done. He had organized the Church throughout Germany and subjected it to Rome It was from this church of Germany, how truly dependent on Rome, that Christianity was to be carried to the remaining German tribes, such as the Saxons, Danes, and the people of Scandinavia, and to the Slavic peoples to the east of the Elbe. In this way the doctrine of the supremacy of the Bishop of Rome, which had become a part of the Koman creed, was spread throughout all Europe, and was recarded as an esential part of Christianity This movement may be catted the Roman Catholic Conquest of the West. For it was a conquest; the outcome of a policy, the full results of which could not be foreseen by the ropes of that time. But this polte, was dictated not so much by worldly considerations as by

the desire to Christianize the world in accordance with what was conceived to be the commands of Christ The effect of it, however, on the growth of the Papacy, was very great

The work of Boniface has been variously judged. He has been exalted as the apostle of the Germans and condemned as the enslaver of the German Church An estimate of his work. indeed, unfortunate in its later results, that the Church of Germany was so completely in the hands of the Bishop of Rome, but at that time the choice was, in reality, between subjection to Rome and heathenism Boniface chose the former, because it was by all odds the best thing to do The Church among the Franks and Germans was in a wretched Many of the Church lands were in the hands of condition There was little or no discipline, and no control exlaymen ercised over the clergy Each priest did what was right in his own eyes There were, at this time, many vagabond priests and monks wandering about over the country, obtaining a precarious living by imposing upon the people There was also much heathenism among the people Such a state of affairs was little better than heathenism pure and simple, and such Christianity, such a Church, would certainly be unable to maintain the Franks in the leading position they were now Boniface put an end to this disorder, He forbade all monks to leave their monastery without sufficient reason, The wandering clergymen were put under the control of the bishop of the diocese in which they might be found. Strict discipline was everywhere introduced in the monasteries. the monks were compelled to live according to the rule of St. Laymen were forbidden to hold church property. In a word, the Church was reformed, and a much better type of Christianity was established among the Franks. This was the work of Boniface and deserves praise and admiration

The growth of the temporal power of the Papacy is, in some respects, even more difficult to trace We have to discover how the Pope accurred political power, first, the civil

authority in Rome and its duchy, and, then, the temporal headship over the whole world In the first place, it should be noted that the bishops everywhere, from the The growth of time of Constantine on were entrusted with an the temporal power of the ever-increasing amount of civil power Bishops Bishop of might be called in as umpires in civil suits, and Rome. their decisions were recognized by the state as valid Since the clergy were the guardians of morals, they came to exercise the right to rebuke all officers, and even the Emperor himself. They acquired, therefore, a kind of indefinite authority in all temporal matters Justinian gave the bishops civil jurisdiction over monks and nuns, and authority to see that justice was impartially administered. They were given a legal influence over the choice of magistrates and of city officials, and might interiere with them if they did not perform their duties properly. In some cases the bishops were even made judges over They were allowed a part in the government of such officers the city, especially in the management of its finances. powers of the bishop, even in civil matters, were therefore large. and he was fast becoming the most prominent man in the towns The Bishop of Rome exercised all these powers and The Pragmatic Sanction of Justinian (554) others in addition made him, in connection with the Senate, master of weights and measures in Italy, with the right to punish all offenders evident that the Bishop of Rome, since he exercised all these rights, was easily the most important and powerful man in Especially during the reign of the East Goth Theoderic, and that of Justinian, the Bishops of Rome had many opportunities of acting with authority in civil matters.

It early became the custom to make grants of land to the Church for the benefit of the soul of the donor (in remedium animae) These grants were generally made in the name of the patron saint of the church thus enriched. Those given to the church of Rome were of course given in the name of St. Peter and were entirely man-

aged by the Bishop of Rome. They were called the patrimony of St. Peter. Several of the men elected Bishop of Rome were possessed of a good deal of wealth, which they invariably made over to St. Peter, thus increasing the patrimony It had been a patriotic custom among the Romans to make grants of land and money to the state This custom continued, but since the Bishop of Rome was practically at the head of political affairs, all such grants were placed in his hands and were added to the patrimony of St Peter. The Bishop of Rome, in the eighth century, was probably the largest landholder in Italy His possessions, however, were not confined to that country, but were to be found even in Africa, Spain, and Gaul To his power as bishop he added that of a landlord From his lands he derived a large income, and was naturally very much interested in the taxes, public improvements, and the general administration of the government There is no doubt that his great landed possessions throughout Italy formed a good basis for his claims of temporal sovereignty. It was already customary to entrust the government of a province to its largest landed proprietor

It was as a landlord that the Bishop of Rome was first brought into conflict with the Lombards. As they extended their sway to the south they seized the Pope's land, and so diminished his income. He was compelled to fight to preserve his interests. Since the Emperor at Constantinople was unable to keep a standing army in Italy, and to resist the encroachments of the Lombards, the whole work of defence fell to the Bishop of Rome. From his great income he was able to enlist and support troops, to rebuild the walls of Rome, and to restore the defences of the city

The Emperor at Constantinople claimed and exercised the ancient imperial right to rule over the Bishop of Rome. The Pope was his subject, and therefore owed him obedience Justinian more than once during his reign compelled the Bishops of Rome to yield to his will. Pope Vigilius was little more

than his tool In the seventh century a contention arose in the Church as to whether Christ had two wills or only one

The Church and court of Constantinople declared that he had but one will, and Honorius I. of Rome (625-38) agreed with them. But his successors, Severinus (638-40), John IV (640-

The Bishop of Rome and the Greek Emperor.

41), Theodore I (642-49), and Martin I (649-55), dared oppose this in spite of the commands of the Emperor. Constant II. (642-68) seized Martin, carried him prisoner to Constantinople, and exiled him to the Crimea because he refused to yield Martin's successor, Vitalianus, was compelled to accept the doctrine of one will

At the Quinisextum Council, held in 692, at Constantinople, it was again declared that the Bishops of Rome and Constantinople were equal in rank Sergius I of Rome (687–701) refused to accept this action. The Emperor Justinian II tried to seize him, but the people of Italy threatened to revolt because of their attachment to the Pope. The Emperor was compelled to yield, and the Pope scored a victory. He had shown that he could successfully resist the Emperor when the people of the west would stand by him

This incipient revolt was quickened into open and active rebellion by the struggle about the worship of images. During the seventh and eighth centuries there was a strong movement, headed by the most intelligent people of the Empire, against the use of images in worship. The Emperor Leo III (the Isaurian, 716–41) decided against the images, had them removed from the churches, and forbade their further use. This action met with a violent opposition, not only from the common people and the monks in the east, but also from the people in the west, the Bishop of Rome at their head.

Gregory II (715-31) was a vigorous defender of images. He called on the cities to arm and defend them, and the Exarch of Ravenna could not offer him effectual resistance. The

Lombards were also enthusiastic for the use of images, and at once became allies of the Pope. All Italy refused to obey the Gregory II., Emperor's orders The proposition was even made to elect a new Emperor in the west, but Gregory II, feeling that such an Emperor would be far more dangerous to him than the one at Constantinople, prevented such action

Liutprand, king of the Lombards, had long coveted the exarchate, and since the feeling throughout Italy against the Emperor was so strong, he thought it a favorable opportunity to seize it. In 726 he entered the exarchate, and within a year had obtained possession even of Ravenna. The Exarch, however, soon recovered the city, and with it most of the exarchate

The Emperor wrote Gregory II saying that he himself was both Emperor and priest, and the head of the Church Gregory replied that not the Emperors, but the Bishop of Rome, had authority over the beliefs and practices of the Church Gregory II, for the first time, drew a sharp line between the Church and the state, and so laid the foundation for the great struggle of the next centuries Gregory III Gregory III. (731-41) made the breach still greater Council held at Rome, 731, he put the Emperor under the ban The Emperor replied by seizing the patrimony of St Peter in Sicily and southern Italy, and in 732 fitted out a fleet to send to Italy to reconquer the lost territory and to arrest Gregory III. and carry him to Constantinople The fleet, however, was wrecked and accomplished nothing. The rebellion of the Pope had succeeded, and the eastern Emperor never again received his allegiance

The Pope had escaped from one master but was at once threatened by another, more dangerous because nearer at hand Liutprand, the king of the Lombards, was making strenuous efforts to conquer all Italy. The dukes of Spoleto and Benevento were first reduced, and Rome was next threatened, be-

cause it was the natural capital of the kingdom which Liutprand was trying to establish
It was the policy of the Pope to keep Italy divided. In the course of the struggle Danger from the he allied himself with the Exarch, and with the dukes of Spoleto and Benevento in turn, as occasion demanded. But he found it impossible to stem the tide against the Lombards when they laid siege to Rome (739) Gregory III. was compelled to look abroad for help. Karl Martel, the mayor of the Franks, had greatly assisted the Pope's missionary Boniface, and so the Pope might hope for further help. In 739 he sent a deputation to Karl with rich presents and Karl Martel. important relics. Among other things they carried him the keys of the grave of St Peter, which indicated that he was by that act made the protector of this shrine. Karl was besought to free the Pope from the oppression of the Lombards It was a difficult situation for him, for he was a friend and ally of Liutprand. He did not absolutely refuse, but he sent no help. Gregory then wrote him a letter, addressing him as "Subregulus," telling him of the great damage done the Church by the Lombards, and again begging his help. This letter was also without avail, and in 740 Gregory sent him a second deputation, with a letter in which he calls the people of Rome the people of St Peter, in Rome, at least, the Church and state were regarded by him as one, and he, of course, was the ruler Here for the first time we meet with a complete confusion of the ecclesiastical and the political governments in Rome. The "res publica" of Rome is the peculiar possession of St. Peter, and is entirely controlled by the But even this appeal was in vain. Karl was old, he was still troubled by the Saracens and local revolts, especially on the frontier, and he could not bring himself to break completely with the Lombards He acted, therefore, as a mediator between Gregory and Liutprand, and succeeded in restoring peace

For some years the Pope was not again disturbed by the

Lombards, although it became more and more apparent that the latter must eventually become master of all Italy, if they were to have a national existence of any great Alliance be-In 749 Aistulf conquered the Extween the Pone duration and Propin. archate and again took up the thought of conquering and uniting Italy under the Lombard rule time Pippin, mayor of the Franks, was ambitious to become king, and, since the Pope needed his help, it was altogether probable that each would do all in his power to advance the interests of the other It will be remembered that the Pope gave his sanction to the deposition of Childeric III. and the election of Pippin In 754 he even went to St Denis and anointed both Pippin and his sons In return for this, Pippin came into Italy, defeated the Lombards, and compelled them to give up their acquisitions, which he now turned over to the Pope The exact limits of the territory thus given to the Pope are not known, but it consisted of a large strip of land to the south of the Lombards This marks the beginning of the temporal sovereignty of the Pope. All allegiance to the eastern Emperor was renounced, the Pope was recognized as the political as well as the ecclesiastical ruler of Rome and its surrounding territory, under the over-lordship of Pippin who had the title of Patricius Karl the Great confirmed the Pope in the possession of these lands, and exercised throughout his reign the rights of over-lord We have seen in another place how he rebuked the Pope, and acted as if he were absolute master over him Karl regarded himself as the highest and final authority in the Frankish Church, and after his coronation in 800 he took the same attitude toward the whole And although the Pope submitted to him, there Church were certain indications that he might not do so always

It was in connection with Karl the Great, probably, that the Pope, or some of his adherents, forged the famous Donation of Constantine, which declared that Constantine had, out of regard for the Bishop of Rome, removed his capital to Constantinople, and had given to the Pope his Lateran palace as a residence, and Rome and all the provinces, districts, and cities of Italy, with the right to wear the imperial crown and robes, and to bear the sceptre Furthermore, the Pope should have supremacy over all the Church, both in the east and in the west. The effect of this forgery on the power of the Pope cannot be exactly determined, but it is certain that it was not without influence

By the act of crowning Karl the Great, the Pope, all unconsciously, established a precedent which was to be of the greatest importance for the Papal claims. The Pone have already seen that this was a revolt and an crowns Emassumption of power on the part of the Pope, perors. and that it was very displeasing to Karl But it had been done, and later Popes found it easy to interpret the act in accordance with their claims But Karl never for a moment admitted that he had received the crown as a gift at the hands of the Pope. He himself probably crowned his son, Ludwig the Prous; at any rate the Pope had nothing to do with it. later Ludwig foolishly allowed himself to be recrowned by Stephen V (816-17), thus establishing one more precedent by which the Popes might claim the right to confer the crown on the Emperor

In 823 Lothar, who had been established by his father in the imperial government, was sent to Italy to take charge of affairs there. The Pope invited him to come to him to be anointed and crowned as Emperor. Lothar did so, and the Papal claim was never again questioned.

Lothar was displeased at the way in which things were going, and in 824 published his famous "Constitutio" By the terms of this the Pope was to exercise executive authority, while the Emperor was to be Constitutio Lotharii, 824. the final authority in all appeals and in matters which the Pope could not settle. Officers were to be appointed jointly by Pope and Emperor, who should make full reports

to the Emperor on the state of affairs It is probable that it was also stipulated that no one should be made Pope until he had first received the sanction of the Emperor At any rate the rights of the Emperor as over-lord were carefully guarded.

It remained for another Emperor to acknowledge, in the fullest way possible, that the Pope was the source of imperial Admissions of authority In 871 Louis II wrote to the Embouis II., 871. peror at Constantinople, vindicating his right to the title of Emperor, on the ground that he had been anointed and crowned by the Pope The papal claims were thus fully admitted, even by an Emperor.

The Papacy needed, however, a legal basis for its claims, which were growing every day more ambitious. Since such a Pseudo-Isidorean thing did not exist, it must be invented ably between the years 847 and 853, in the diocese of the bishop of Rheims, there was made a famous forgery, known as the Pseudo-Isidorean Decretals The author of them ıs not known In the first part there are sixty forged decrees, attributed to the Popes, from Clement, in the first century, to Melchiades (314). The second part consists of the canons of the Councils down to 683, based on the work falsely attributed to Isidore of Seville The third part contains the decrees of the Popes, from Silvester I to Gregory II Then follow eighty "capitula Angilramni," thirty-five of which are forged vious forgery, made by Benedictus Levita, is one of the sources used by the author These capitula are decrees supposed to have been issued principally by Pippin, Karl the Great, and Ludwig the Pious, concerning the trials of bishops thesis maintained is that bishops, by virtue of their clerical character, cannot be tried by civil courts, but only by their fellow-bishops Not every one is competent even to make charges against a bishop, but if the proper sort of person should make the charges, they should be investigated and judgment passed, not by laymen, but by twelve bishops The Bishop of

claims of the Pope to the direct control of Bulgaria, he was, in the end, entirely unsuccessful The quarrel ended in the final separation of the eastern from the western Church

Hincmar, archbishop of Rheims, was the natural primate of the Frankish Church, and fostered the idea of a national Church, which should be free from the Pope, but subject to himself He was the opponent of the forged "The National decretals, and did all he could to fix his rule Frankish upon the Frankish Church In following out his Church." idea he seems to have been somewhat tyrannical, and to have abused his power. Rothad, the bishop of Soissons, had detected a priest in the commission of some crime, and on his own authority had removed him from his office reprimanded him for displacing one of his clergy without first consulting his superior. Rothad appealed to Nicholas, and was about to set out for Rome, when he was seized and imprisoned by Hincmar. Nicholas wrote to both Hincmar and the king of the Franks, Charles the Bald, demanding that Rothad either be restored or allowed to come to Rome to be heard Hincmar protested vigorously, but ineffectually king needed the assistance of the Pope, and hence was pliant. Rothad was restored to his office and Hincmar was thoroughly His authority as archbishop was shaken, and the humbled Pope had successfully interfered in the affairs of the national The Frankish Church was shown to be in the power Church of the Pope The archbishop of Rheims was no longer its The independence of the national Church was destroyed and replaced by the control of the Pope

Even a wider jurisdiction was claimed by Nicholas over the morals and conduct of all people, even of the kings and Empapal jurisdiction over in Lorraine king Lothar attempted to divorce his wife Teutberga, a Burgundian princess, in order to marry Waldrada, with whom he had had unlawful relations before his marriage with Teutberga. After being treated with the rankest injustice and sub-

jected to strong pressure of various kinds, Teutberga publicly confessed herself guilty of the crimes charged against her, was divorced, and sent into a nunnery. This action was taken by the king's council and the leading clergy of his land But Hincmar of Rheims became informed of the true state of affairs, and wrote an elaborate defence of the queen She also appealed to Nicholas, who, in spite of the repeated action of the clergy in Lorraine in favor of Lothar, annulled all their decisions and sent his legates to compel the king to take her Although Lothar yielded only in form, and the affair was not definitely settled at his death (870), the precedent established by Nicholas was important, and his high claims were not forgotten. Under Nicholas the Papacy possessed more influence and power than it had ever had before, and under none of his successors did it reach so high a plane until the appearance of Gregory VII.

For a while, in the tenth century, however, it seemed that the Papacy was to be destroyed by the local political factions of Rome The Pope had obtained a fourfold Roman political character; he was, first of all, Bishop of Rome, factions and the Papacy. and as such exercised the highest ecclesiastical authority there; he was the Patriarch of the duchy of Rome; he was also political head of the same territory, performing the same duties there that were performed by the governor of any other province; lastly, he had vindicated his title to the headship of the whole Church To these four offices he was vet to add a fifth, he was to claim to be the political ruler of the world There was great danger of a conflict between the duties of these various offices. In the tenth century this danger was actually realized The political character of the office made it a thing to be coveted by all the great families of Rome Factions were formed, and intrigues were common The dignity of the office was dragged through the mire of the ward politics of Rome. It was controlled by infamous women, and directed by licentious men. Its political character completely overshadowed its religious character, and the Popes forgot that they owed any duty to the outside world The first half of the tenth century is one of the most disgraceful periods in the history of the Papacy. It has been called the Pornocracy (Reign of the harlots), because of the character of the women connected with it The principal facts about it have already been given John XII, the last Pope of the period, even thought of marrying and making the office hereditary. It was the work of Otto the Great to rescue the Papacy from this slough, and remind the Popes of their universal character. As soon, Reform. however, as the imperial control was withdrawn, they were again immersed in the local political struggles III again rescued the office and freed it from the control of the Crescentian family. During the eleventh century the Papacy was well kept in mind
Its theories were being slowly worked out to their logical consequence The Cluniac reform was spreading, and its ideas were gradually taken up by the Popes, and their policy shaped in accordance with them portant questions had begun to be agitated, celibacy and simony. In the Council at Pavia (1018) Benedict VIII (1012-24) forbade the marriage of the clergy The reason of this prohibition was not so much religious as financial and political. It had been customary to provide for the children of the clergy out of the church lands There was danger that such lands would be alienated from the Church and her income thereby greatly reduced; hence the marriage of the clergy was forbidden

The Pope found another obstacle to his absolutism in the fact that the Emperor had control over the election of bishops

The election of bishops and abbots.

The clergy generally were not so much elected as appointed, either by the Emperor, the king, or the leading noble of the diocese, who claimed to unworthy men, but all who received an office in such a way owed allegiance and yielded obedience, not to the Pope, but

to the patron or lord The Popes began to see clearly that they could never control the clergy until they could control their election Simony, the obtaining of office in any other way than by a canonical election, was therefore forbidden.

Henry III. made and unmade Popes, and treated them as Toward the end of his subjects who owed him obedience reign, however, Leo IX (1048-54) exhibited a spirit of independence in his government which indicated the coming storm He was appointed by Henry III, but documents of a later date (perhaps forged) declared that he refused to accept the office until he had been elected by the people and clergy of Rome He travelled incessantly throughout Italy, France, and Germany, holding councils, settling disputes, and regulating affairs with a vigor and independence born of his authority as Pope. He went one step further in the question of simony. Every bishop in the Empire was not only a clergyman, but also, by virtue of his office, a kind of political official of the Emperor That is, he was compelled to perform certain civil duties He was, besides, a feudal subject of the Emperor, and as such owed him homage for the church lands which he held The Emperor, of course, received certain taxes or income from all the lands in the Empire, whether owned by the Church or by laymen No bishop could be inducted into his office until he had taken an oath of allegiance to the Emperor and been invested by him with the episcopal The Pope had no part either in his election or his investiture or induction into office Leo IX. was the first to see the disadvantages of this to the Papacy, and in The question of

the disadvantages of this to the Papacy, and in the Synod of Rheims (1049) asserted the right of the Pope to invest the bishops with the insignia of office. He made no attempt, however

The question of investiture broached.

signia of office He made no attempt, however, to enforce it Henry III permitted Leo IX to act with great authority. It never occurred to him that the Papacy could or would make claims which would conflict with his own power. He did not foresee the coming struggle.

Gradually the Papal theory was working out into all its log-The Popes were slowly perceiving how vast ical conclusions. were the opportunities offered them The vision of universal dominion floated dimly before them The questions at issue between the Papacy and the Empire were being The conflict at stated with more precision The conflict was hand. There were wanting only the opportunity ready to break out. The opportunity came when and the man to make use of it. Henry III. died, leaving a boy only six years old to succeed him, and the man was Hildebrand, a Papal officer, but already at Henry's death the power behind the throne. As fate would have it, the Pope was made the guardian and protector of the boy-king.

CHAPTER XIII

THE STRUGGLE BETWEEN THE PAPACY AND THE EM-PIRE (1056-1254)

THE early death of Henry III gave the Papacy a great opportunity, which it was not slow to improve Victor II (1055-57) was following the policy of Leo IX, holding synods and acting as the master of the Church. .Henry III. had indeed acted with a good deal of short-sightedness in allowing Leo IX to exercise so much power, but he did so because he needed his help, and was, therefore, glad that the papal authority was unquestioned He never dreamed that there could be a conflict between the Empire and the Papacy His confidence in the friendship of the Pope is shown by the fact that he entrusted his child to Victor's care The king was only six years The German nobles, tired of Henry III 's heavy rule, were ready to revolt, and the German Church was split into Under these circumstances it looked as if the two factions Pope might do as he pleased.

At the death of Victor II. nothing was said to the regent of the young king about the election of a successor, but a coalition to elect an anti-German Pope was formed between the various parties in Rome and the Tuscans. They agreed on the brother of Godfrey, duke of Lorraine, and he stephen X hoswas made Pope. This act betrays a distinctly tile to Germany. hostile attitude on the part of the papal party to Germany. The new Pope, Stephen X, was a member of a Lorraine family

which was the hereditary enemy of the Frankish kings Stephen was wholly controlled by Hildebrand during his short reign. At his death (1058) the noble families of Rome put forward one of their number, and, in spite of opposition, elected him debrand was not in the city at the time, but he hastened at once to undo their action Calling on Godfrey for help, he succeeded in placing the bishop of Florence on the throne as Nicholas II., 1050- Nicholas II. (1059-61) Nicholas' reign is noted 61, and the Nor- for two events which are of the greatest importmans. ance the Normans in southern Italy became his feudal subjects, as has been told above, and the famous edict fixing the mode of the election of the Pope was published. By accepting the oath of fealty of Robert Guiscard, and making him duke of Calabria and other parts of Italy, the Pope secured an ally who was to render him the greatest assistance in his struggle for supremacy

The recent action of the nobility of Rome in setting one of their number on the papal throne, showed Hildebrand how insufficient were the laws concerning the election of the Pope The first work of the new pontiff, Nicholas, was to make laws to safethe Election deguard the papal elections In a council (1059) cree, 1059. The promulgated a decree to the effect that the seven cardinal (or titular) bishops of Rome should in the future have the sole right to nominate Popes, and their nominee must be accepted and elected by the clergy of Rome The Emperor probably had the right to confirm, but not to reject, the Pope thus elected

"Cardinal" was a title given to the clergy attached to the oldest and most important churches of Rome and its vicinity

"Cardinal."

The churches in Rome itself were all under the Bishop of Rome, and were ministered to by presbyters and deacons. There were cardinal presbyters and cardinal deacons. These were, of course, attached to the principal churches. There were seven cardinal bishops, who formed a kind of council to the Bishop of Rome, had charge of the

affairs of the diocese when he was absent from the city, and assisted him in all great functions, such as the coronation of the Emperor To these seven the sole right of nominating the Pope was now confided They were the bishops of Palæstrina, Porto, Ostia, Tusculum, Candida Silva, Albano, and Sabino. This was the beginning of the formation of the College of Cardinals The decree was an important step in the process of freeing the Papacy from all temporal control. It made the Papacy self-perpetuating, and made it almost impossible for the laity to interfere in the elections.

This decree was received with the greatest hostility in A council of German bishops refused to accept it, and even deposed Nicholas The step was real-Germany oply unfortunate, for it arrayed Germany on the poses the decree. wrong side of the question of reform Empress, as regent, had the opportunity to regain the lost influence at the death of Nicholas, but foolishly failed to improve Hildebrand secured the election of Anselm of Lucca as Alexander II (1061-73) This Alexander II was filled with the ideas of the Cluniac reform, and was besides thoroughly in touch with the party in Milan known as the "patana" This was a party composed largely of the masses of the common people, who were somewhat hostile to the higher and more wealthy classes in the city. They had also im-The Pataria. bibed certain peculiar philosophical ideas as to the nature of matter which led them to regard marriage as an unholy state Consequently they were opposed to the marriage of the clergy, and in so far agreed with the Cluniac re-This party was coming to feel its power as a political factor, and was, in the hands of unprincipled and able leaders, capable of exerting much influence on the course of events was this party which was now attached to the Papacy by the election of Alexander II

The German bishops, however, refused to acknowledge Alexander II, and elected an Antipope, who took the title of

Honorius II As he was guilty of simony and lived in open concubinage, he was not a fit person to lead a reform of the Church, and consequently not acceptable to the best elements in it. With the help of the Lombard cities, however, he was able to force his way into Rome, but was soon compelled to flee. Having been deserted by the Germans who had elected him, he soon submitted to Alexander.

Meanwhile affairs in Germany had become very chaotic. There was general dissatisfaction with the regency of the Empress Agnes because of her inactivity and her procrastinating policy. Taking advantage of this, and wishing to gratify his political ambition, Hanno, archbishop of Cologne, kidnapped the young king and carried him off to Cologne. He then forced Agnes from the regency and assumed it himself. The honor was soon shared, however, with two other archbishops, Adalbert of Bremen and Siegfried of Mainz. They assumed a Germany more conciliatory attitude toward the papal party, and eventually acknowledged Alexander II. It was this change which caused the failure of Honorius II

In 1065 Henry IV. was declared of age, and took up the reins of government He had exceptional talents, and if he had received better training and possessed suffi-Henry IV. cient moral earnestness, might have had a far But he hardly appreciated his position. different history He had no thought of a reform, and spent his time in the chase or with his mistresses, to enrich whom he robbed churches and sold offices He was imperious and insolent, and the great dukes were soon alienated from him was deeply offended by his conduct and ready to revolt. last, in 1069, a crisis was reached when he proposed to divorce his wife The diet refused to consent to this, and formal complaints were made against him to Alexander II Pope excommunicated his council and summoned him to Rome The death of the Pope, which occurred shortly afterward, put an end to the strife for a brief time.

Hildebrand, who during several pontificates had been the power behind the throne, was now made Pope, it would seem by a popular demonstration Apparently the Gregory VII. decree of Nicholas was disregarded in that the 1073-85. Cardinal bishops did not nominate the candidate The people demanded Hildebrand for their Bishop and the clergy of Rome elected him. He assumed the title of Gregory VII brand was not personally ambitious, his conduct as Pope was determined by his theory of that office He was not a theologian; by defending one of his friends he almost incurred the charge of heresy. He was a practical man of affairs, as is indicated by the fact that he was first a deacon and then an arch-He had served the Curia principally by looking after its financial interests and affairs He was a diplomat and politician, obtaining by artifice or well-timed concessions what was otherwise unattainable He made use even of heretics, if they could be of service to him He could make compromises in everything except in the question of the supremacy of the Papacy His principles are expressed in the famous document known as the "dictatus papæ," the text of which is as follows:

Quod Romana Ecclesia a solo Domino sit fundata. Quod solus Romanus Pontifex jure dicatur universalis. 3 Quod ılle solus possit deponere Episcopos vel recon-Dictatus Papæ. cıliare (reinstate) 4 Quod legatus ejus omnibus Episcopis præsit in concilio, etiam inferioris gradus, et adversus eos sententiam depositionis possit dare sentes Papa possit deponere. 6 Quod cum excommunicatis ab illo, inter cætera, nec in eadem domo debemus manere. Quod illi soli licet pro temporis necessitate novas leges condere, novas plebes congregare, de canonica abbatium facere et econtra, divitem episcopatum dividere, et inopes unire. 8. Quod solus possit uti imperialibis insigniis 9 Quod solius Papæ pedes omnes principes deosculentur. 10 Quod illius solius nomen in ecclesiis recitetur II Ouod unicum est nomen in mundo. 12 Quod illi liceat Imperatores deponere. Quod illi liceat de sede ad sedem, necessitate cogente, Episcopos transmutare 14 Quod de omni ecclesia, quacumque voluerit,

15 Quod ab illo ordinatus alii clericum valeat ordinare Ecclesiæ præesse potest, sed non militare, et quod ab aliquo Episcopo non debet superiorem gradum accipere 16 Quod nulla synodus absque præcepto ejus debet generalis vocari. 17 Ouod nullum capitulum, nullusque liber canonicus habeatur absque illius auctoritate 18 Quod sententia illius a nullo debeat retractari, et ipse omnium solus retractare possit Ouod a nemine ipse judicari debeat 20 Quod nullus audeat condemnare apostolicam sedem appellantem 21 Ouod majores causæ cujuscumque ecclesiæ ad eam referri debeant 22 Quod Romana Ecclesia nunquam erravit, nec in peipetuum, Scriptura testante, errabit 23 Ouod Romanus Pontifex, si canonice fuerit ordinatus, meritis b Petri indubitanter efficitur sanctus, testante s Ennodio Papiensi Episc, ei multis ss Patribus faventibus, sicut in decretis b Symmachi P con-24 Quod illius præcepto et licentia subjectis liceat 25 Quod absque synodalı conventu possit Episcopos deponere et reconciliare 26 Quod catholicus non habeatur, qui non concordat Romanæ Ecclesiæ. 27 Quod a fidelitate iniquorum subjectos potest absolvere.

Gregory was controlled by the idea of the Kingdom of God on earth (civitas Dei) It was taken for granted that this existed For a long time the Emperor had been The Church as the Civitas Del. regarded as the representative of God and the Empire as the Kingdom of God Gregory declared against The Church must be the Kingdom of God and the Pope its head on the earth All authority belongs, therefore, to the Pope, not to the Emperor. It is impossible that the Empire should be really the Kingdom of God, because the Emperors are ambitious and tyrannical and practise injustice. Their rule is based on force On the other hand, the Church is based on righteousness She can do no wrong. Gregory's fundamental position is, therefore, that the Church is the Kingdom of God, and the Pope who is at its head has absolute authority over all the world His whole programme may be deduced from this.

But Gregory further declared that the Church must be re-

formed in accordance with the Word of God She must be really the Kingdom of God His practical genius told him that the Church must be a compact unit, thoroughly Necessity of a organized and completely under the control of central power in the Church. The unity of the Church could the Pope. be secured only by concentrating all the power in one man The Church must obey one will Gregory could say with all truth that he was the Church, because no part of it was inde-This would be possible only when one creed pendent of him and one liturgy were everywhere accepted, and Bishops take when all the clergy were bound directly to the oath of allehead of the Church, the Bishop of Rome grance to the Pope. therefore required all bishops to take an oath of allegiance to him similar to that which vassals rendered to He gave all the clergy the free right of appeal their lords. to himself, and encouraged them to make Appeals. use of it. This, of course, diminished the power of the bishops and raised his own accordingly He replaced the authority of synods by assuming the right to decide all questions, either in person or through Papal legates. his legates His legates played much the same part in his government that the missi dominici did under Karl He developed the idea of papal legates, and sent them to all parts of Europe They were to oversee for him all the affairs of the state to which they were sent, control the action of synods, and bind all the countries to the Pope were to be his hands and eyes He definitely assumed control over the Councils by declaring that he could act without the advice of Councils, and that their acts were invalid until sanctioned by him He was at once supported in this by several writers on church law, whose controlling principle was the absolute authority of the Pope They developed church law in accordance with Gregory's ideas Among these were Anselm of Lucca, and the Cardinal Deusdedit They attributed more authority to the decrees of the Pope than to the action of Councils.

Being himself a monk, Hildebrand was filled with the monkish ideas of his time. He saw that the only way to rule the world was by means of a clergy that had Celibacy of the renounced the world. Two things were there-, Clergy. fore necessary, the clergy should never marry nor receive any authority from anyone except the Pope Gregory declared at once against the marriage of the clergy. All sorts of arguments were used against this institution, but the principal reasons which Gregory urged were that the unmarried clergy could be made to lose their nationality and be attached to Rome, and that if the clergy were unmarried, there would be no danger that the church lands would be given to their children and so lost to the Church. In the synod at Rome (1075) the marriage and concubinage of the clergy were strictly forbidden. The prohibition met with much opposition, since those who were married refused to give up their wives Gregory was un-Lay investiture yielding, however, and in the end the rule was enforced The clergy must owe all their authority to the Bishop of Rome, therefore Gregory declared that no bishop should receive the investiture from the hands of a layman, whether king, noble, or common man.

Gregory surrounded himself with men of the highest morals and strictest ascetic principles. Many of them would have much preferred the quiet of the cloister, and begged Gregory to let them return to their monasteries; but their conscientiousness and stern sense of duty made them just the tools which he needed. The first two years of his reign were spent in getting the Church well in hand. He was then ready to turn his attention to the temporal powers of Europe. His principles were all included in the statement that the Pope has the right to depose Emperors, and in 1080 he said that he would show the world that he had the right to confer all temporal power and also to take it away. He was the source of all temporal power. This claim he based on the fact that he was the successor of "Blessed Peter, whom the Lord Jesus Christ

had established as Prince over all the kingdoms of the world." To prove this he made use of many forgeries. Although it cannot be proved that he made the forgeries himself, yet he made use of anything that served his purpose. His credulity in such matters is amazing. There can be no doubt that many of the forgeries were made at his own court.

From the very first Gregory put his theory into practice In 1073 he wrote to the Spanish princes that the kingdom of Spain had from ancient times been under the jurisdiction of St Peter, and, although it had been occupied by Barbarians, it had never ceased to belong to the Bishop of Gregory VII. In 1074, in a letter to Solomon, king of and the temporal rulers. Hungary, he claimed that country on the ground that it had been given and actually transferred to St Peter by king Stephen He made the same claims to Russia and to Provence, to Bohemia, Sardinia, Corsica, and Saxony. made the duke of Dalmatia his subject, and gave him the title of king. France, he said, owed him a fixed amount of tribute. He laid claim to Denmark, but its king resisted him successfully. He wished William the Conqueror to hold England as his fief, but William refused to acknowledge the Pope as his feudal lord He consented, however, to make the payment of the Peter's pence binding on England

The action of the Council at Rome (1075) in regard to simony was the beginning of the struggle. Gregory threatened to excommunicate all bishops and abbots who should receive their offices from the hand of any layman, and every Emperor, king, or temporal ruler, who should perform the act of investiture. This was a hard blow at all rulers, but especially at the Emperor, because the German clergy were his principal support and were the holders of large tracts of land. If the lope should be successful in carrying this point, the Empire would be almost destroyed. Philip I. of France made a bold show of resistance, but the condition of Germany was such as to make a decisive papal victory there

very probable Gregory, therefore, ended his struggle with Philip and gave all his attention to Germany. In a Council at Rome (Dec , 1075) Gregory had received charges of simony against five of Henry IV 's privy counsellors and had excommunicated them Henry refused to put them away Henry IV. and Gregory VII. from his court and continued to invest bishops and abbots as before. The Pope then cited him to appear at Rome and excuse himself in person, and coupled the citation with the threat of excommunication if Henry persisted in his present course. Henry regarded this as a declaration of war, At the council of Worms and answered it with defiance (Jan. 1076) he charged the Pope with having obtained the papal dignity by improper means and declared him deposed.

The war was begun. Gregory could count on the support of the Normans in southern Italy, the Pataria in Lombardy,

Matilda, the great countess of Tuscany, and her allies, the Saxons, the discontented nobles of Germany, and that rapidly increasing class of people all over the Empire who were becoming imbued with the ideas of the Cluniac reform. Henry had for his support a large number of his faithful subjects who remained uninfluenced by the action of the Pope, a large part of the clergy who were patriotic but probably guilty of simony, the imperial party in Italy, and all those who for any reason were opposed to the papal control in Italy

The historical literature of this period presents insuperable difficulties. There is scarcely an important event in all this the literature of the period one papal, the other imperial. Writers who were in sympathy with the Pope made their accounts favorable to him, while the friends of the Emperor wrote in his interests and colored everything accordingly. How much of this was due to blind partisanship, and how much to wilful falsification, it is impossible to say. The result is the same. It is often impossible to get at the exact facts.

Henry's letter of deposition to Gregory was bold and vigor-He declared that he had endured the misdeeds of Gregory because he had wished to preserve the honor of the apostolic throne This conduct the Pope counter charges. had attributed to fear, and had, therefore, dared threaten to deprive Henry of the royal power, as if this had been received from him, and not from God Henry had received his office through the Lord Jesus Christ, while Gregory had obtained the papal power without God's help The steps by which he had mounted to the throne were cunning, bribery, popular favor, and violence While seated on the throne of peace he had destroyed peace He had attacked the king, God's Anointed, who, by the teaching of all the holy fathers, could be judged and deposed by God alone The Church had never deposed even Julian the Apostate, preferring to leave him to God's judgment The true Pope, Peter, had commanded all to fear God and honor the king, but Gregory has no fear of God Let him, therefore, vacate the throne of St Peter and Henry, with his bishops, pronounces the anathema upon him Let another occupy the papal throne who will not cloak his violence under the name of religion with all his bishops, orders Gregory to vacate the throne at once

The reply of Gregory was equally imperious and vigorous He calls on Peter, Paul, and all the saints to witness that he had unwillingly accepted the papal office thrust upon him by the Roman Church This was sufficient proof that the Christian world had been committed to him Relying upon the help of St Peter and God, he therefore deposes Henry, because, in his unspeakable pride, he has revolted against the Church, and he absolves all his subjects from obedience to him. Because Henry presents in his claims and disobedience to the Pope, Gregory excommunicates him He expects that St Peter will make his anathema prevail, in order to make the world know that he, Peter, is the rock on which the Church

is built, and that the gates of hell cannot prevail against it. This was, indeed, a new language in the mouth of Gregory No Pope had ever made such claims or spoken in such a tone to the Emperor before. For the first time the claim is openly made that the Empire is a dependency of the Church ¹

This action of Gregory was answered by the imperial party in Lombaidy in a Council which again deposed Gregory. A Rebellion in German Council at Mainz (July, 1076) repeated the decree of deposition, but did nothing to enforce it. Meanwhile the rebellious Saxons, and all who were dissatisfied with Henry, made bold by the king's excommunication, organized their rebellion. They held a meeting at Tribur (October, 1076), to which the king was not admitted. The influence of the decree of excommunication had been growing in Germany, and Henry was practically deserted. It had legalized the rebellion of the German princes. The

¹ These two letters, parts of which have been freely paraphrased above, are specimens of the most vigorous Latin to be found in the Middle Age We give here the Latin text of those parts The quotation from Henry's letter to Gregory is as follows "Et nos quidem hæc omnia sustinuimus, dum apostolicæ sedis honorem servare studuimus. Sed tu humilitatem nostram timorem fore intellexisti, ideoque et in ipsam regiam potestatem nobis a Deo concessam exsurgere non timuisti, quam te nobis auferre ausus es minari, quasi nos a te regnum acceperimus, quasi in tua et non in Dei manu sit regnum vel imperium qui Dominus noster Jesus Christus nos ad regnum, te autem non vocavit ad sacerdotium. Tu enim his gradibus ascendisti, scilicet astutia-pecuniam, pecunia, favorem, favore, ferrum, ferro sedem pacis adisti, et de sede pacis pacem turbasti -Me quoque, qui, licet indignus, inter christos ad regnum sum unctus, tetigisti, quem sanctorum patrum traditio soli Deo judicandum docuit, nec pro aliquo crimine, nisi a fide, quod absit, exorbitaverimus, deponendum asseruit, cum etiam Julianum apostatem prudentia sanctorum Episcoporum non sibi, sed soli Deo judicandum deponendumque commiserit Ipse verus Papa, b Petrus, clamat Deum timete. Regem honorficate. Tu autem, quia Deum non times, me constitutum ejus inhonoras -Tu ergo hoc anathemate et omnium Episcoporum nostrorum judicio et nostro damnatus descende, vindicatar sedem apostolicam relinque! Alius in solium b Petri ascendat, qui nulla vice ntiam religione palliet, sed b Petri sanam doctrinam doceat Ego enim Henricus Rex Dei gratia cum omnibus Episcopis nostris tibi dicimus descende, descende "

It would be difficult to find a more characteristic papal writing than Gregory's reply, which runs as follows "Beate Petre Apostolorum princeps, in-

meeting in Tribur finally came to an agreement with Henry, who was awaiting their decision at Oppenheim, just across the Henry was to be present at a great The Oppenheim Council, to be held at Augsburg in February of Agreement, 1076. the following year, and submit to trial In the meantime he must remain at Speier, and make his peace with the Pope within a year and a day from the time of the excommunication (February 24-28, 1076) If he failed to do this, his subjects were to be free from their allegiance to him Henry must put away his excommunicated counsellors, lay aside all royal insignia, not enter a church, and withdraw his troops from Worms and restore the city to its bishop. If he failed to observe any of these things, the princes would not be responsible for the consequences At the same time Gregory was invited to come to Augsburg, and preside at the great German Council and settle all matters of dispute This fell in exactly with Gregory's policy, and gave him an opportunity to make good his claim of temporal supremacy

On the other hand, nothing could have been more undesirable to Henry than the presence of Gregory in Germany, especially if he was to preside over the national Council at once wrote to Gregory, and offered to come to Rome to clina quæsumus pias aures tuas nobis, et audi me servum tuum. Tu mihi testis es, et Domina mea, Mater Dei, et b Paulus frater tuus, et omnes Sancti, quod tua s Romana Ecclesia me invitum ad sua gubernacula traxit -et ideo-credo, quod placuit et placet, ut populus christianus tibi specialiter commissus mihi obediat Hac itaque fiducia fretus pro Ecclesiæ tuæ honore et defensione, ex parte omnipotentis Dei Patris et Filii et Spiritus sancti, per tuam potestatem et auctoritatem Henrico Regi, filio Henrici Imperatoris, qui contra tuam Ecclesiam inaudita superbia insurrevit, totius regni Teutonicorum et Italiæ gubernacula contradico, et omnes Christianos a vinculo juramenti, quod sibi fecere vel facient, absolvo, et ut nullus ei sicut Regi serviat, interdico Et quia sicut Christianus contemsit obedire-participando excommunicatis, et multas iniquitates faciendo, meaque monita, quæ pro sua salute sibi mist, te teste, spernendo, seque ab Ecclesia tua, tentans eam scindere, separando, vinculo eum anathematis vice tua alligo et sic eum ex fiducia tua alligo, ut sciant gentes, et comprobent, quia tu es Petrus, et super tuam petram Filius Dei vivi ædificavit Ecclesiam, et portæ ınferi non prævalebunt adversus eam "

make confession and receive absolution The Pope rejected his offer, and told him to remain in Germany and await his Gregory sets coming He set out as soon as possible for the north He feared to pass through Lombardy without an escort, and the German escort which had been promised him did not appear After waiting some time he received news which greatly disconcerted him, and he withdrew to the castle of Canossa, in Tuscany, about twelve miles from Reggio

Gregory heard that Henry had eluded the watch set over him in Speier, and after a thrilling journey through Burgundy, and over the Mont Cenis Pass, had reached Lom-Canossa. bardy, where he was received with open arms by the Lombard nobility and clergy Gregory was in doubt whether Henry had come to make war on him or to secure ab-In a few days there appeared a large number of the clergy and nobility before Gregory to intercede for Henry, but for a long time without any success Gregory said that Henry must surrender his crown into the Pope's hands, and agree to abide by his decision, and return to Germany and present himself at the meeting at Augsburg, where all questions would be After several days of beseeching, however, the Pope yielded, and Henry was admitted to the court-yard of the palace, where for three days he stood in the garb of a penitent, waiting to be admitted. On the fourth day he was received by the Pope, who absolved him, after imposing upon him many hard conditions

Henry had been deeply humiliated, but he had accomplished his purpose, he had been freed from the ban of excommunication and had thereby deprived his rebellious subjects of all show of legality, and he had robbed Gregory of the best part of his victory by preventing his coming to Germany to preside over the national assembly. Gregory had, on the other hand shown his power by keeping an Emperor standing as a penitent at his door for three days. The Emperor never wholly recovered

from this humiliation, but the Pope had in reality overshot the mark. The people thought him too severe and unforgiving Although the world regarded the immediate victory as Gregory's, it was really Henry's. For from this time on Henry's power increased and Gregory's diminished.

It soon became apparent that Henry had been insincere in his confession and promises He had plotted against Gregory even on the way to Canossa, and as soon as he reached Germany he began to plan for his self-defence He had learned a lesson from which he derived much profit There was a decided improvement in him. But his enemies, principally Saxons and Suabians, refused to yield him obedience In March they held a diet at Forchheim, deposed Henry, and Anti-kings in elected Rudolph of Suabia in his stead Germany. The struggle dragged along until, in 1080, the two parties met in battle and Henry was totally defeated The Pope now took up the matter again and excommunicated Henry anew. A change had come over Germany in the meanwhile, and the ban had little or no effect on the Emperor's followers Another battle was fought in October of the same year, and, although Henry was again beaten, Rudolph was slain The next year Hermann of Luxemburg was made king in Rudolph's stead, but he was incapable of making any effectual opposition

The second ban and the recognition of Rudolph was answered by Henry's setting up an anti-pope, Clement III Henry prepared to establish him in Rome by force of arms. He crossed the Alps with an army and was received with joy by the Lombards He marched to Rome without meeting any obstacle, but the gates of the city were closed to him The Gregorian party had possession of the city and refused to admit him For nearly three years Henry remained in Italy carrying on the struggle At last, in the winter of 1083-84, Rome was opened to him. He immediately put Clement III on the throne of St. Peter, and had himself and his wife crowned by him Gregory VII. was besieged

in the castle of St. Angelo, and was in great danger of being taken prisoner when the Normans appeared with a large force Henry IV. in under Robert Guiscard, and Henry was compelled to flee Rome fell into the hands of the Normans and suffered a fearful sack. The people of the city were so enraged that Gregory was afraid to remain there and so withdrew with his Normans to the south, where he died in 1085 at Salerno

He had made great claims without being able to realize them He had made concessions to William the Conqueror, and to Philip I, of France. They both still possessed the right of investiture. Henry IV had, in many respects, held his own His legates in Spain were abused, and he himagainst him. self died in exile But he had established the custom of sending papal legates to all parts of Europe, he had put his own authority above that of a Council, he had destroyed the independence of the bishops by giving to all the clergy the free right of appeal to the Pope; he had made the celibacy of the clergy the rule of the Church, and he had freed the Papacy from all lav interference, whether imperial or Roman, by establishing the College of Cardinals He had formulated the claims of the Papacy to absolute power and marked out its future policy There can be no doubt that he had modelled the Papacy after the ancient Empire. The Pope, according to his ideas, was to succeed to the place of Augustus Cæsar Even his times understood this, and poems were addressed to him as Cæsar. He was far more Roman than Christian stoicism was worthy to be placed by the side of that of the Scibios His last words, "I have loved justice and hated iniquity," were the product, not of the Christian, but of the Roman spirit.

His successor, Victor III (1086-87), who lived for only a short time, was hard pressed by Clement III, the imperial anti-pope He was followed by the cardinal bishop of Ostia under the name of Urban II He chose Gregory VII for

his model and carried on his policy He made war on Clement III, and after putting him down, took up his residence in He brought about the unnatural marriage Urban II between the seventeen-year old Guelf, prince of Bavaria, and the countess Matilda, of Tuscany, now forty years Bavaria had long been hostile to Henry, and was now defiold nitely enrolled among the allies of the Pope The Pope master Henry made another incursion into Italy and was on the point of securing possession of Tuscany when he was deserted by his ally, Lombardy A new impulse had been given to the reform party there, and the Pope had skilfully brought the people over to his side Even Henry's son, Conrad, was persuaded to revolt against his father and join the papal party. He was made king of Lombardy and crowned by the archbishop of Milan All Italy was now on the Pope's side and devoted to him Henry had been driven beyond the Alps.

In 1094 Urban II. undertook his famous journey to France On his way through Italy he was received with great acclamations and met with no opposition At Piacenza he The Council at held a council, at which all the clergy of Italy Piacenza, 1005. were present Philip II. of France was threatened with the ban for his sinful life, and the ecclesiastical affairs of Italy were reg-It is probable that messengers from the Emperor Alexius came to this Council and asked the Pope for help against the Turks, and that this request awakened in Urban the idea of In Lombardy Urban's victory was complete a crusade archbishop of Milan did penance for having received the investiture from the Emperor, and the young king, Conrad, held the stirrup of the Pope In France his success was even greater. All opposition was broken down before him The height of his triumph was reached at the great Council of Clermont, 1005. Clermont, where he assumed the definite leader-The first Crusade. ship of the Christian world by proclaiming a crusade and calling on all Christians to assist in reconquering the Holy Grave This movement, the product of the religious

idealism of the Middle Age, is peculiarly the creation of the Pope. The Emperor was under the ban, the king of France was in no condition to act as leader. The Pope, therefore, assumed the leadership without opposition. The first crusade resulted in acquiring possession of the Holy Grave, a success, although purely factitious, which fired the religious enthusiasm of the west to the highest possible pitch. The credit for this was given to the Pope, and lifted him to an even higher plane in the estimation of the west. The first crusade contributed much to the final success of the Pope

For several years after the death of Gregory, Henry IV was left to himself. He overcame the opposition in Germany and The last years of reigned undisturbed. The revolt of his son Henry IV. Conrad grieved him, but in 1098 he had him excluded from the succession. His second son, Henry, was afterward crowned as his successor, but in 1104 this son also revolted against him and allied himself with the hostile nobles and the Pope. In a battle the son was victorious, and Henry IV resigned his crown, withdrew from the government, and died a few days later, utterly broken by the heartrending misfortunes of his reign (1106).

Henry V succeeded to the crown At the time of his rebellion he had sworn allegiance to the Pope and had received his blessing. He had thus far been supported Henry V., by the papal party. No sooner was he estab-1106-25. lished in the kingdom, however, than he changed his policy. It was impossible for a king to remain in the papal party. took up his father's policy and his father's friends claimed the right of investiture In 1106 Paschalis II. (1009-1118) issued an edict against lay investiture. In Italy. The blow was aimed at Henry V, who now collected an army and invaded Italy. In Lombardy he met only Paschalis, finding resistance hopeless, made with submission an agreement with Henry by which all the clergy were to surrender their lands to him, but the right of investiture was to be conceded to the Pope This was wholly to the advantage of the Emperor He did not care to invest the clergy if they held no lands from him The settlement was strictly in accordance with the monkish ideal The clergy were to live according to "the law of Christ," that is, in poverty, dependent on the charity of Christians The Emperor, on the other hand, was to be en riched by the immense landed possessions of the Church.

This agreement would have ended the quarrel if the clergy had been willing to submit They refused, however, to give up their possessions Paschalis, finding himself opposed by all, cancelled this condition, but endeavored to keep the right of Henry V, however, forced him to Imperial Investiyield and (April 12, 1111) grant him the unconditional right of investiture Again Paschalis being overwhelmed by a storm of opposition and censure, repudiated the agreement and even put Henry under the ban (1112) struggle was thus renewed Henry made war on the possessions of Matilda, who died in 1115, and got them into his power. Paschalis fled before him and died in exile among the His successor, Gelasius II. (1118-19), was con-Normans stantly in flight, and an imperial Pope, Gregory VIII, occu-The cardinals, however, assembled in Clugny and elected Calixtus II (1119-24), a cunning diplomat was ready to make concessions, being tired of the war (1122) an agreement was made between the par-Concordat of ties which is known as the Concordat of Worms Worms. Its terms are as follows. The Emperor concedes to the Pope the right to invest the clergy with spiritual authority, which was symbolized by the ring and the staff, he gives up the right of appointing bishops and abbots, who are to be canonically elected in the presence of the Emperor or of his representative, contested elections shall be decided by the Emperor, the Emperor has the right to invest the clergy with their lands, and all their civil and judicial functions. This form of investiture was the same as that of the counts and other laymen. Its symbol was the sceptre—In Germany the oath of allegiance must be taken before investiture, in other lands, within six months after investiture—This was a compromise in which the Pope got the best of it—The Emperor had preserved his right to invest with the lands, but he could really no longer control the election—The effect of this was that the church lands, which up to this time had been one of the greatest supports of the throne, were now alienated and regarded as the property of the Church.—They were from this time on really in the hands of the Pope—The clergy were no longer in the control of the Emperor—They were also delivered over to the Pope, and an independent patriotic German clergy was from this time on almost impossible ¹

Against Bohemia, Poland, and Hungary, Henry V made several campaigns (1107-10), but met with little success Toward the end of his reign, however, much was done to establish the German supremacy over these states by the missionary efforts of Otto, bishop of Bamberg He labored especially

¹ The following is a part of the text of the Concordat of Worms "Ego Heinricus, Dei gratia Romanorum imperator Augustus dimitto deo et sanctis eius apostolis, Petro et Paulo sanctæque catholicæ ecclesiæ omnem investituram per annulum et baculum, et concedo in omnibus ecclesiis fieri electionem et liberam consecrationem Ego Calixtus Episcopus servus servorum dei, tibi dilecto filio Heinrico, Dei gratia Romanorum imperatori Augusto, concedo electiones Episceporum et Abbatum Teutonici regni, qui ad regnum pertinent, in præsentia tua fieri absque simonia et aliqua violentia, ut si qua inter partes discordia emerserit, metropolitani et comprovincialium consilio vel judicio saniori parti assensum et auxilium præbeas Electus autem regalia per sceptrum a te recipiat, et quæ ex his tibi debet, faciat, exceptis omnibus, quæ ad Romanam ecclesiam pertinere noscuntur. Ex aliis vero partibus imperii consecratus infra sex menses regalia per sceptrum a te recipiat et quæ ex his jure tibi debet, "etc

"Regalia" was defined as follows "Regalia, id est civitates, ducatus, marchias, comitatus, monetas, teloneum, mercatum, advocatias regni, iura centurionum et curtes, quæ manifeste regni erant, cum pertinentiis suis, militiam et castra regni" That is, the Emperor has the right to appoint the governors of cities, dukes, border counts (marquis), counts, control the coining of money, the collection of taxes or tolls, the establishment of markets, appoint royal advocates, the holders or overseers of imperial farms, etc

among the Slavic peoples in Pomerania, and met with great success. This was another step in the way pointed out by Otto the Great to extend the German frontier to the east.

The eastern to the his father, Henry V had to meet with much opposition from the nobles of his land. He sought to win the favor of the cities of his Empire, which were rapidly growing rich, in order to set them over against the nobility. He seems to have recognized, in a dim way, the power and importance of the citizen class, and endeavored to make it his ally.

Henry V was childless, and, when nearing death, tried to persuade the princes to choose as his successor his nephew, Frederick of Hohenstaufen They feared, how-Election of ever, that he would continue the policy of Henry Lother of Saxony, 1125-38. IV and Henry V, with regard both to the They hoped to find some one who Church and to the princes would interfere less with them and their independence. A meeting was called by the archbishop of Mainz, for the purpose of electing a king Ten representatives from each of the great duchies were chosen to nominate a candidate. was found that they could not agree on one person, they presented the names of four, Lothar of Saxony, Frederick of Hohenstaufen, Leopold of Austria, and probably Karl of Flanders. Frederick claimed the crown by the right of inheritance, but his manner was so overbearing that after a short time Lothar The papal party voted for the latter because they was elected believed him devoted to the interests of the Church agreed not to interfere with the election of the clergy, and to allow the Pope, in accordance with the Concordat of Worms, to invest all bishops and abbots with the ring and the staff, but stipulated that he himself should invest them with the Lothar also wrote to the Pope asking him to confirm his election as Emperor

Frederick of Hohenstaufen and his brother Conrad, angered that the crown should have gone to Lothar, were ready to revolt They at once laid claim to all the private lands of Henry V,

and also to all those which had been added to the Empire by conquest or otherwise during his reign. The princes, however, refused to recognize the justice of these claims and a war broke out between the Hohenstaufen and Lothar, which lasted for nearly ten years. In 1128 Conrad was set up as king, and succeeded in getting a firm hold in Lombardy and in being crowned at Monza. Not till 1135 did the Hohenstaufen yield and make peace with Lothar. In this struggle Lothar was greatly helped by the Guelf family of Bavaria, with which he made an alliance, giving his daughter in marriage to Henry the Proud.

On the eastern frontier he carried on the policy of Otto I. He made a few campaigns against the Bohemians, and acted as The eastern arbitrator between the opposing claimants for frontier. In his reign missionary work among the peoples of the east was carried vigorously forward. Magdeburg was the place from which the work proceeded at this time

In 1130 a double papal election took place, which seriously threatened to disrupt the Papacy Innocent II (1130-43) was elected by a part of the Cardinals, under the in-Disputed papal election. fluence of one of the factions among the people of Rome. The rest of the Cardinals, a majority of them, under the influence of another noble family, chose Anacletus II (d. 1138). In the struggle which followed between them Innocent II appealed to the king of France, and, after having won the favor of Bernhard of Clairvaux, found it not difficult to draw both France and Germany to his side Lothar went to Italy, established Innocent in Rome, and received in return the imperial crown The Pope also invested him with the lands of Matilda, and he became thereby the Pope's feudal subject The Pope evidently wished to make his victory over the Emperor seem as great as Lothar as the possible, and, taking advantage of Lothar's yielding disposition, caused a picture to be painted representing the Emperor kneeling at his feet and receiving the imperial

crown at his hands. It was intended that this picture should express the idea that the Emperor was receiving the imperial crown as a fief from the Pope It was provided with the following inscription

"Rex venit ante fores jurans prius urbis honores, Post homo fit papæ recepit quo dante coronam."

Lothar was compelled to leave Italy (1133) before he could conquer the anti-pope, but in 1136 he again set out for Italy with a large army. He met with little or no active resistance in the north of Italy, but sevsouthern Italy. eral cities kept aloof from him. He determined to punish Roger of Sicily, who, in return for his support, had received the title of king from the anti-pope Anacletus, and had been invested by him with the Norman possessions in southern Italy Lothar's invasion of Roger's territory was entirely successful for the moment, but, after his troops were withdrawn, Roger succeeded in retaking all that he had lost Soon afterward Roger transferred his allegiance to Innocent II, on the condition that his title of king be confirmed, and that he be left in possession of southern Italy. In this way the Pope again obtained an excellent ally in the south

In the tenth century the church in southern France had undertaken to put an end to the private wars which were waged so constantly that little or no progress could be Lothar renews made in any way It was a movement origithe peace of the land. nated and controlled by the clergy Under pain of excommunication they forbade all private warfare This was known as the Peace of God But the Church was not strong enough to enforce this sweeping prohibition, and a compromise was made. Under the same penalty all fighting was prohibited from Wednesday evening until Monday morning This was called the Truce of God As the desire for peace spread, the kings took up the idea, but modified it proclaimed that the peace of the land was the possession of the

king, who was responsible for its preservation; and, therefore, possessed the right to punish all who disturbed it. In accordance with this new idea Lothar proclaimed a "peace of the land," and forbade any one to break it for a period of ten years.

While on his way to Germany from Italy Lothar II died, after investing his son-in-law, Henry the Proud, with Saxony, and giving him the imperial insignia Designation of Henry the Proud hoped in this way to insure his election as king. disregarded. The princes, however, refused to be guided by this act. They already feared the power of Henry, since he held both Bayaria and Saxony. They, therefore, hesitated to increase his power by making him king. In a very irregular way Conrad of Hohenstaufen was elected. Conrad III .. 1138=52 Guelf family was angry that their head, Henry the Proud, had not been elected, and from this time on for a hundred years there was almost constant war between them and the Hohenstaufen

It was not long until Conrad III was compelled to attack Henry the Proud He first deprived him of the duchy of Saxony, which he gave to Albert the Bear. Shortly afterward he took Bavaria from him and gave it to his half-brother Leopold Henry the Proud, however, was very popular in Saxony, and at his call the Saxons rallied around his standard, and he quickly reconquered the whole of the land He was about to reconquer Bavaria when he died (1139). His nine-year-old son, Henry, afterward known as the Lion, was at once recognized by the Saxons as their duke, and the war was continued Guelf, the brother of Henry the Proud, took up arms in Bavaria, but he was besieged by Conrad in the fortress of Weinsberg and compelled to surrender.

¹ Fifty years after this event the legend of the faithful women of Weinsberg was in circulation. It was said that when the fortress was surrendered Conrad gave permission to the women to carry away all that they could on their backs. All that was left by them was to be his the men were to be prisoners of war. At the appointed time the gates were thrown open, and the Em-

Duke Leopold of Bavaria died in 1141, and his brother, Henry II, called from one of his favorite oaths Jasomirgott, succeeded him in the March of Austria A conrad III inefe ficient. peace was established by the marriage of Jasomirgott with the widow of Henry the Proud The boy, Henry the Lion, received Saxony, and Jasomirgott Bavaria These civil wars gave the Barbarians on the frontier the opportunity to revolt, and the German influence in these districts was greatly weakened. Italy was allowed to go its own way, and Rome indulged in another revolution under Arnold of Brescia Conrad seemed unable to restore order, but in spite of this yielded to the appeal of Bernhard of Clairvaux, took the cross, and led a German army to Palestine (1146) Under the enthusiasm of the religious movement a general peace was declared, and Henry the Lion agreed to wait until after the crusade was ended to settle his claims on Bayaria. account of this unfortunate crusade will be given in another Germany suffered much because of the absence of the place king Violence, private war, and political disintegration in-Conrad returned in 1149 and found Germany in a sad state of disorder Although he was unable to put an end to the violence, he began a war with Henry the Lion, but died before accomplishing anything (1152)

The princes of the Empire came together in a few days and unanimously chose Conrad's nephew, Frederick, called Barbarossa because of his red beard, as king. Conrad had recommended this, knowing that his eight-year-old son would not be equal to the task of governing the Empire. Frederick was a descendant from both the Guelf and the Hohenstaufen families. His mother was a sister of Henry the Proud. He was also a friend of Henry the Lion. It was hoped, therefore, that he might command the peror was more than surprised to see the long line of women filing out, each one bearing her husband on her back. Conrad was so impressed with the faithfulness of the women that he declared he could not break his word, and, besides, allowed the women to return and secure all their possessions.

adherence of both families, and so end the struggle between It was not the fault of Frederick Barbarossa that this was not accomplished Frederick may be said His two policies. to have had two policies, one as king of Germany and the other as Emperor of the world He tried to make Germany a state by unifying the government, and repressing all violence and oppression. As Emperor, his one ideal was to restore the ancient Roman Empire The great Roman Emperors were his models In the eleventh century there had begun a revival in the study of Roman law, and Frederick at once pressed it into his service. He surrounded himself with men who were versed in the Codex of Justinian, and from these he received the imperial ideas which he tried to realize in his These lawyers were impressed with the spirit of ab-Empire solutism in the Roman laws, and chose such maxims to lay before Frederick as would increase his feeling of sovereignty. They told him that the will of the prince was law, and that the Emperor was absolute sovereign of the world. The absolutism of Frederick was not the outcome of a lust for personal power, but the logical product of his conception of his office

In the first days of his reign Frederick was called on to decide the question of the succession in Denmark Swein and Denmark an Knut could not agree about the succession, and imperial fief. so appealed to Frederick He decided in favor of Swein, who then took the oath to him, and received his kingdom as a fief from him A little later he restored Bavaria to Henry the Lion, who had renewed his claims to it In other ways also he favored Henry the Lion, and really left him no ground for dissatisfaction, except that he was not king

In 1154 Frederick crossed the Alps into Lombardy, and pitched his camp on the famous Roncaglian plain. A diet was announced, and the cities of Lombardy ordered to send their consuls to meet him. Most of the cities did so, but Milan and some of her allies refused to obey. There was a struggle going on between the smaller cities and Milan, for the latter

had been acting with great tyranny Pavia appealed to the Emperor against Milan and Tortona Since Tortona disregarded his commands, he besieged and destroyed it In Pavia, Frederick was crowned caglian Diet, king of Lombardy Milan was not at this time humbled, since the Emperor's attention was called in another direction.

As there had lived on in the west through the chaos the idea of the universal Empire, so at Rome the memory of her headship of the world was still fresh The people Archaistic Revival in Rome. of Rome had been restless under all control, whether imperial or papal. The nobility of the city remembered in a hazy way the glory and dignity of the senate, and the common people had a faint idea of the power they had exercised in the time of the republic. It was but natural that the idea of restoring themselves to power, and the city to her former position, should have appeared among them. The idea of the political authority and independence of Rome existed still in the minds of the Romans, although it was not clearly defined and stated It had shown itself often in opposition to the growing absolutism of the Popes, many of whom had been driven from the city because they offended the people by assuming too much authority The absolutism of the Pope was incompatible with the political ideas of the Romans. these ideas took a more tangible form. The Pope was driven out of the city, and the government passed into the hands of A commune was established which was supposed to be modelled after that of ancient Rome It was a truly democratic movement conducted by the common people and the inferior nobility. The senate was restored, and was to consist of fifty-six members, who were chosen from the ranks of the common people The papal government and officials of the city were removed, and the executive power placed in the hands of a Patricius. His title seemed to indicate that his authority was Roman and not papal

Two years later Arnold of Brescia came to Rome, and was soon the most influential person in the city. He had been Arnold of Bress born at Brescia and had therefore come into CIA. contact with the ideas of the Pataria, especially in regard to the marriage of the clergy. He had been in France and had heard the theories of the great heretic Abelard, and, having adopted them, wished to put them into practice He was made a priest and drawn to Rome soon after the revo-His programme was somewhat extensive. lution of 1143 His sympathies were with the common people as against the nobility. He was filled with the idea which had cropped out at various times in the Church, and was soon to become a central reforming principle of St Francis, the sinfulness of He declared that the land should not be held by property the rich, but should be common property Everyone had the right to the use of a certain amount of land. Since individual possession is sinful, the Church, of course, should be without But he went a step further, and declared that the individual also should live in poverty. He attacked the clergy for their crimes and worldliness. It was to him a mark of the deepest corruption of the clergy that they had so great a share in the administration of civil affairs "Clergymen with property, bishops with regalia, and monks with possessions could not be saved " The Church needed a thorough reform, and he was wise enough to see that the beginning should be made with the Pope Arnold demanded that the Church give up all her possessions and live in poverty, which, he said, was the law of Christ Fired by his preaching the mob began to sack the If it was wrong for the clergy to have property, monasteries. they ought to be deprived of it at once!

Eugene III (1145-53) was able to make peace with the commune for a while, but the struggle soon broke out afresh. He was driven out of the city, and went to France to take refuge with Bernhard of Clairvaux. Eugene III was a weak and timid man and was wholly in the hands of Bernhard, who

at this time was the most prominent person in the Church. In 1148, after an absence of more than two years, Eugene III. returned to Italy Rome, in the meantime, had been controlled by Arnold

The political ideas of the Romans had undergone a change in what may be called an archaestic direction. It was determined to renew the Roman republic, but this was not the republic pure and simple The Emperor was, of course, included in the scheme, but it was not an Emperor after the model of Justinian, an absolute master, that would be incompatible with the full supremacy of Rome The Emperor was to be but the executive official of the republic All his power was to emanate from the senate and people of Rome this republic that Frederick Barbarossa, who regarded himself as a second Constantine or Justinian, had to deal with gene III. died in 1153, and his successor was an Englishman, the only Englishman, indeed, that has ever occupied the chair of St. Peter His name was Nicholas Breakspeare, and he assumed the title of Hadrian IV. He got pos- Hadrian IV. gets session of the Vatican quarter of the city and control of Rome. entrenched himself there. He placed the Romans under an interdict and could be persuaded to remove it only on the condition that Arnold should be exiled. By losing him the republic lost its best leader. When Frederick appeared in Lombardy both the Pope and the republic were desirous of winning his favor The Pope came to meet him near Viterbo and asked his help. He made charges against Arnold of Brescia and demanded his death. An embassy of the city also came to meet him, and reminded him of the fact that the people of Rome were the source of the imperial power, which they had conferred on the Germans They were willing to make him Emperor if he would swear to respect the rights of Rome and her officials, and pay them a large sum of money. Frederick was enraged at their insolence, and plainly told them that Karl the Great and Otto I had acquired the imperial title

by conquest Rome's power was a thing of the past, her glory and authority had passed to the Germans It was not for a conquered people to dictate terms to their master

Hadrian IV. was willing to make better terms with Frederick He agreed to crown him on the condition that he be restored to his place in Rome. The coronation Coronation of Frederick. took place secretly, but as soon as it was known the people formed a mob and attacked the Germans in the streets. After some hard fighting the mob was dispersed, and Frederick and Hadrian took possession of the city of Brescia had taken refuge with some of the nobility, but was now, at the demand of the Emperor, surrendered to the Pope, at whose command he was burned at the stake as a heretic by the Prefect of the city The Emperor, however, could not remain long in the south He was threatened with fever, the heat was very oppressive, and he was needed in Germany. He returned, therefore, leaving Rome in the hands of Hadrian IV. The relations between Frederick and Hadrian had not been wholly peaceable At their meeting, Frederick had refused to hold the stirrup of the Pope, and Hadrian, enraged at this, would not give the Emperor the kiss of ing. The quarrel was eventually patched up, but there could be no lasting agreement between men who were under the control of such absolutely contradictory ideas

In Germany the question of the duchies was yet to be settled, and there was trouble also on the eastern frontier. Frederick restored (1154) Bavaria to Henry the Lion, but Jasomirgott refused to surrender it till he was indemnified by receiving the title duke of Austria (1156). In the next year he marched against Poland, settled a contest over the crown, and made the country acknowledge his sovereignty. The duke of Bohemia was rewarded for his faithfulness by receiving the title of king.

The Besançon episode showed the temper of the two parties and indicated the speedy outburst of the storm. The arch-

bishop Eskil of Lund had been in Rome, and while on his return homeward through Burgundy was seized, robbed, beaten, and imprisoned Although Frederick was in-The Besancon formed of this, he made no attempt to set him episode, 1157. free or to punish those who had committed the outrage reason for this indifference was to be found in the fact that Frederick was very angry at Eskil, because he was endeavoring to free the Church of the north from the control of the archbishop of Hamburg Eskil was supporting the ambition of the Scandinavian Church to become independent. The national feeling was, of course, at the bottom of it Frederick also wished to show his displeasure with the treaty which had just been made between the Pope and William of Sicily, in which the Emperor's rights had been entirely disregarded While Frederick was at Besançon (October 24-28, 1157) two legates appeared from the Pope bearing a letter in which the Emperor was roundly rebuked for his neglect. When they first presented themselves before Frederick they delivered the greetings of the Pope and the cardinals, adding that the Pope greeted him as a father, the cardinals, as brothers. This form of salutation was regarded as strange, but was not resented by Frederick On the following day they were formally received by the Emperor, and laid before him Hadrian's letter. After rebuking Frederick for his indifference, the Pope confesses that he does not know the cause of it Hadrian feels that he has not offended in any respect against Frederick; on the contrary, he has always treated him as a dear son. Frederick should recall how, two years before, his mother, the Holy Roman Church, had received him and had treated him with the greatest affection, and, by gladly conferring upon him the imperial crown, had given him the highest dignity and "Nor are we sorry," he continued, "that we fulfilled your desires in all things; but even if your Excellence had received greater fiefs (beneficia) from our hands, if that were possible, in consideration of the great services which you may render to the Church and to us, we should still have good grounds for rejoicing "The reading of the letter produced the wildest sort of scene Never before had the Empire been thus openly called a fief of the Papacy The princes about Frederick angrily remonstrated with the legates for making such claims. To this one of them replied by asking, "From whom then did the Emperor receive the Empire, if not from the Pope?" The question almost cost him his life, for the hot-blooded Otto von Wittelsbach rushed upon him and would have slain him but for the interference of the Emperor. The legates were ordered to return at once to Italy, and were not permitted to proceed further on the business of the Pope.

Whether or not Hadrian meant that beneficium should be, understood as fief or not, is really of small consequence. The important thing was that he plainly treated the imperial crown as if it were something entirely within his power to give or withhold. This was little less offensive to Frederick than the word fief, because it was his belief that the imperial crown was attached to the German crown. The king of Germany had a right to the imperial crown. The Pope merely had the right to crown him. Toward Lothar II the Pope had acted as if the imperial crown were his to give or withhold, and no one had then remonstrated. Frederick I, however, revived the former conception, and regarded the crown as the gift of God.

Frederick then published a manifesto to his people, recounting the claims of the Pope as contained in the letter, and in The Emperor's opposition to these declared that he had remainfesto. ceived the imperial crown from God alone through the election by the princes Jesus had taught that the world was to be ruled by two swords, 1 the spiritual and the

¹ From the harmless passage, Luke xxii 38, by the peculiar method of interpretation used during the Middle Age, there was developed the famous theory of the two kinds of authority, the spiritual and the temporal One of the swords of which St Peter spoke was declared to symbolize the Empire, the other, the Papacy The great question which had to be settled was which of these two swords should rule the other.

Frederick now proceeded to Italy to settle matters with Milan, which had refused to recognize his feudal rights. For about a hundred years the cities of Italy had been left to themselves by the Emperors They had made good use of this period and had developed an independent government. They had forgotten that they owed any allegiance and duties to the Emperor. Even in the eleventh cities lose their freedom. century there had been a league against the Emperor, in which Milan, Lodi, Piacenza, and Cremona were united to defend their interests But a strong rivalry had grown up among them. Milan had assumed the leadership and made use of her power to tyrannize over her smaller neighbors Pavia headed the opposition against her and appealed to the Emperor for help. In the summer of 1158 Frederick came and laid siege to Milan. The inhabitants, finding that they could not resist successfully, made terms with him. It was agreed that the officials of the city should be elected by the city, but confirmed by the Emperor.

plevisse, sed, si maiora beneficia excellentia tua de manu nostra suscepisset, si fieri posset, considerantes, quanta ecclesiæ Dei et nobis per te incrementa possint et commoda provenire, non inmento gauderemus"

The manifesto of Frederick to his people contained the following passage. "Cumque per electionem principum a solo Deo regnum et imperium nostrum sit, qui in passione Christi filii sui duobus gladiis necessariis regendum orbem subiecit, cumque Petrus apostolus hac doctrina mundum informaverit 'Deum timete, regem honorificate,' qui cumque nos imperialem coronam pro beneficio a domino papa suscepisse dixerit, divinæ institutioni et doctrinæ Petri contrarius est et mendacii reus erit "

The German prelates, quoting the words of Frederick to them, wrote in part as follows to Hadrian "Debitam patri nostro reverentiam libenter exhibemus, liberam imperii nostri coronam divino tantum beneficio ascribimus, electionis primam vocem Maguntino archiepiscopo, deinde quod superest cæteris secundum ordinem principibus recognoscimus, regalem unctionem Coloniensi, supremam vero, quæ imperialis est, summo pontifici, quidquid preter hæc est, ex abundanti est, a malo est"

Hadrian's second letter to Frederick contains the following sentences. Hoc enim nomen ex bono et facto est editum, et dicitur beneficium apud nos non feudum, sed bonum factum."

"Per hoc enim vocabulum 'contulimus' nil aliud intelligimus, nisi quod superius dictum est 'imposuimus'"

Another diet was announced to be held in the Roncaglian Plain, and the cities were ordered to send their officials to it. It was Frederick's wish to break down the in- The second Rome dependent spirit of the cities. It was during his stay in Italy that Frederick had come into contact with the lawyers of Bologna, and learned from them the leading ideas of Roman Law Ancient customs were revived, and Frederick renewed his claims to the regalia (that is, to the duchies, counties, marches, the office of consul, the right to coin money, collect taxes, customs, duties, etc) He declared that in the future all the important officers of the city would be appointed by him and the people should approve them Representatives of all the cities helped frame the rights of the Emperor and He proceeded to put his claims into agreed to observe them. force He sent his representatives throughout the country to establish in every city his officials. In Milan this caused an uprising, and the gates were closed against the Emperor's mes-Frederick laid siege to the city (April, 1159), which held out nearly three years In February, 1162, it could resist no longer. The people tried in every Milan destroyed. way to appease Frederick, but he remained deaf to their entreaties The walls of the city were razed, the inhabitants of the city driven out, and many of the nobility kept as hostages

In the meanwhile the quarrel had broken out afresh between the Pope and the Emperor In 1159 Hadrian made sweeping demands of Frederick in regard to the possession of the lands of Matilda, the collection of feudal dues by Frederick from the papal estates, and the full sovereignty in Rome The Emperor, of course, refused these demands, and the Pope prepared for the struggle He sought help from Roger of Sicily, and the Greek Emperor, and intrigued with the cities of Lombardy In 1159 Hadrian died, and the cardinals thereupon elected the man who had acted as the spokesman of Hadrian at Besançon, Roland Bandinelli,

who assumed the name of Alexander III He now took up the quarrel and spent his time endeavoring to find allies Frederick, however, set up an anti-pope, and was so successful in his opposition to Alexander III that the Pope was compelled to leave Rome and seek a refuge in France (1161) Frederick seemed to have won the day. His officials were in all the cities; Milan was destroyed and the Pope an exile But his very success was the cause of his defeat, he had borne himself as an Emperor of the old school His absolutism was tyranny to the cities, and hence they were eager to find some way of avenging themselves. The head of the opposition was Alexander III. In 1165 he returned to Rome, excommunicated the Emperor, and released his subjects from their oath of allegiance to him Alexander was a diplomat and a demagogue; he was hostile to the independence of the Lombard cities, but because they could help him he sought their alliance. For nearly fifteen years this able man led the opposition to Frederick, and the victory over the Emperor was due in a large measure to his ability and efforts. The next year (1166) Frederick went again into Italy with a large force to punish the rebels and to put the new anti-pope, Paschalis, in the chair of St. Peter After a siege he took Rome Paschalis was established as Pope and a few days later recrowned Frederick and his wife in St Peter's. A pest broke out shortly afterward and Frederick, alarmed at the great mortality among his troops, hastened back to Germany As fast as he retreated the cities behind him revolted, and he barely escaped with his life The cities now entered into the famous Lom-The Lombard League, 1167. bard League (1167) Milan was rebuilt by the aid of them all, and assumed the leading position in the league Pavia still remained true to the Emperor, but to keep it in check the league founded a new city on the border of its territory and named it Alexandria in honor of the Pope not till 1174 that Frederick was in a position to re-enter Italy. Then the Emperor himself laid siege to Alexandria, while some

of his troops overran Tuscany and Umbria Alexandria was very strong and the siege lasted for months. Overtures of peace were made, and, as winter was approaching, Frederick withdrew to Pavia. Again and again he called on the German princes to come to his assistance Henry the Lion now thought it an excellent opportunity to humble the Emperor and refused to assist him. In May, 1176, the troops of the league attacked Frederick at Legnano, and won a decisive victory. It was even thought for a while that the Emperor had lost his life in the battle. Frederick realized the situation, he had been beaten, and was therefore ready to make peace on the cities' terms He met Alexander III in Venice (1177) and made a truce for six years. confessed his wrong deeds and begged the Pope to remove the ban from him. Six years later, at Constance, the treaty of peace was signed which granted the cities substantially all that they had demanded. The over-lordship of the The Treaty of Emperor was recognized, but it was merely Constance, 1183. The independence of the cities was practically admitted. It was a bitter humiliation for Frederick, but he could not escape it He had been defeated and had to acknowledge Besides, he was pressed in Germany by the Guelf family and needed the support of the Pope. There was nothing for him to do except to confess his fault and abide by the decision reached by the war

When Frederick came to the throne (1152) Germany was in a sad state of anarchy. Conrad III had been unable to prevent rapid disintegration. The Guelfs had Frederick I. in opposed Conrad successfully, and it was evident Germany. that no one could rule in Germany without reckoning with that powerful family. Frederick showed his greatness in the way in which he took hold of this question concerning the internal politics of Germany. After some difficulty he seemed to have settled the peace of the country By giving Henry the Lion the Duchy of Bavaria (1154) he hoped to make him

his faithful friend and supporter To indemnify Jasomirgott for the loss of Bavaria he made the March of Austria a duchy and gave it to him Guelf VI he appeased by investing him with the possessions of Matilda Henry the Lion was ambitious to be duke in fact as well as in name, and throughout his life tried to increase the power of his family He endeavored to extend his boundaries on all sides, he despoiled the archbishop of Bremen and took Lubeck from Adolph of Holstein The Slavs to the east he conquered and Christianized rin was established as a defence against them, and to assist in dominating them he invited colonists from the west to come and settle in these conquered lands, and the process of Germanizing the Slavs of Pomerania was begun He established bishops among them at Eutin, Ratzeburg, Oldenburg, Schwerin, He had many enemies who more than once and Lubeck. leagued themselves against him. Among these were the archbishops of Cologne and Bremen, and the margraves of Brandenburg and Thuringia. In spite of their opposition, however, Henry the Lion was successful, and received the support of Frederick Barbarossa After the death of Albert the Bear, margrave of Brandenburg (1170), Henry the Lion enjoyed peace and took advantage of it to go on a pilgrimage to Jerusalem.

On his return from Palestine Henry the Lion showed great anger with the Emperor; probably because the latter had been in Saxony during his absence, and had, as Henry thought, interfered in the affairs of the duchy. The quarrel soon became more and more bitter, although it is impossible to trace the reasons of it. It reached a crisis in 1176, when Henry the Lion. Henry the Lion refused to help Frederick in his struggle with the Pope and the Lombard League. Although greatly enraged at this, Frederick proceeded calmly to prepare to punish him. In 1178 he returned to Germany, and cited Henry to appear against his enemies at a diet to be held at Worms (1179). On his refusal, he was cited three times to appear before the Emperor, but, as he paid no attention to the

summons, he was banished and all his fiefs and family possessions were confiscated. Saxony was then overrun by the Emperor, and Henry was compelled to sue for mercy. Frederick forgave him and allowed him to retain his private estates, but not his duchies. Saxony was given to a son of Albert the Bear; the archbishop of Cologne was made duke in Westphalia, and Bavaria was given to Otto of Wittelsbach. Henry was compelled to leave Germany for three years. When he returned he had to live in retirement on his Saxon estates.

The power of Frederick was shown in 1184, when he held a great diet at Mainz, at which seventy thousand knights were present. Ambassadors from almost every country in western Europe Henry II. of England recognized Frederick's were there Soon after this he went to Italy and celebrated over-lordship the marriage of his son, Henry VI, to Constance, the heiress to the crown of the kingdom of Sicily. She was the aunt of the reigning king, William II, who was without children erick hoped by this marriage to add the territory of southern Italy to his possessions Frederick's relations with the cities of northern Italy seem to have been the very best at this time, for Milan begged that the marriage might take place there, and the Emperor was received in the city with every mark of joy. His son, Henry VI, was crowned king of Italy, and united with his father in the work of government

The effect of the marriage of Henry VI and Constance of Sicily was at once foreseen by the Pope, who did all he could to oppose it. Urban III determined to strike before the Emperor's power should become too great. He demanded the immediate surrender of the lands of Matilda and of the regalian rights. Other demands were made, none of which Frederick would grant. At the same time the archbishop of Cologne raised a rebellion against the Emperor and sought the aid of the Pope. Urban III. threatened Frederick with the ban, but died before it was published. Gregory VIII, who succeeded him, was an avowed enemy of Frederick, but his pontificate was brief.

In the meantime the news reached the west that Terusalem had fallen into the hands of the Saracens, and, according to the ideas of the times, its recovery was regarded as the most The Crusade of Frederick I. pressing business of the age Clement III. was willing to make almost any concessions if he could enlist Frederick for a crusade. An agreement was made in which Frederick seemed to have won the victory He was now ready to go on the crusade. He placed the management of affairs in Germany in the hands of Henry VI., who took the title of king of the Germans, and set out in the spring of 1189. Henry the Lion refused to accompany him and was banished for three years An account of this crusade will be given in another place. Frederick died by drowning in one of the mountain streams of Cilicia, June 10, 1190.

Alexander III. was victorious also over Henry II of England. Hadrian IV. had given Ireland to Henry II, but it was The Papacy and not until after the murder of Beket that he was England. able to subdue it In 1162 Henry II forced the election of Thomas Beket as archbishop of Canterbury. His purpose in this was that he might, through him, gain complete control over the trials of the clergy. Henry II was following the policy of uniting all judicial authority in his own hands, and to complete this system he wished to have the clergy tried by his judges In 1164 the Constitutions of Clarendon were published, which, on the whole, were but a restatement of the king's ancient rights. Bishops and abbots were to be elected in the presence of the king's officers and with the king's Before being consecrated to his office, the bishop or abbot-elect must do homage to the king for his lands, and hold them as a fief from the king and pay all the customary feudal Appeal to Rome was forbidden to the clergy, and they were not permitted to leave England without the consent of the The king must be consulted before any of his great vassais might be put under the ban of excommunication bishop might, indeed, try all accused clergymen, but if a clergyman was convicted, he was to be given over to the civil authorities for punishment. In the quarrel that ensued Thomas Beket found that his life was not safe, and fled to France Six years later Henry was forced by the threats of Alexander III to recall him. Thomas returned (1170), but was slain shortly afterward by four knights who were followers of the king. Alexander III now demanded the fullest reparation, and Henry II did penance, made his peace with the Pope, and annulled the obnoxious measures.

In Italy Alexander III. found that, although he had overcome Frederick, he had not won the whole victory for himself. The cities of Lombardy and the kingdom of spoils divided. Sicily secured their own advantages and went on their way of independence. Although Alexander tried to unite all Italy under his authority, he was unsuccessful. his struggle with Frederick there had been several anti-popes established by the Emperor. The schism was ended in 1178 by the surrender of Calixtus III, who found it impossible to sustain himself after the Emperor had made peace with Alexander. To guard against disputed elections in the future, it was decreed in the Lateran Synod of 1179, that whoever should receive the votes of two-thirds of the Cardinals should be regarded as the duly elected Pope There was nothing said about the Emperor's right to confirm the election, nor was any part accorded the people and clergy of Rome. matter is in the hands of the Cardinals from this time on.

Castile and Leon claimed the territory of Portugal, but Alexander III made Alphonso its king and gave him authority over all the lands which he might be able to conquer from the Saracens. This was done on the condition that Alphonso and his successors pay the Pope a certain sum of gold every year.

It is evident, therefore, that Alexander III deserves great credit from the papal point of view for the The high position work of his pontificate. His power was recog- of Alexander III. nized all over the west as that of no Pope before him had been.

His immediate successors were unable to maintain all the advantages he had won. Before the end of the century, however, the most imperial of all the Popes was to appear, and realize all that previous Popes had dreamed of, but before Innocent III. there was to be another struggle in Rome. The independent spirit of the people of the city reasserted itself, and Lucius III (1181-85) and Urban III. (1185-87) spent most of their pontificates in exile. Clement III (1187-91) succeeded in regaining the mastery in Rome, and all power was made over to him. The Pope had seldom been so secure in the city before But a new danger was threatening. The marriage of Henry VI with Constance of Sicily might, at any moment, lead to the establishment of the imperial power in the south, and the addition of Sicily and all the southern part of Italy to the Empire. The Pope would then be between two fires.

Scarcely had Frederick Barbarossa left the country in the hands of his son Henry when Henry the Lion returned and began to attack his neighbors. Henry VI. prepared to resist him, when he heard of the death of William of Sicily. order to secure the crown of that country, he made peace with Henry the Lion and set out for the south way he heard of his father's death in Asia Minor held his first diet at Mainz, and then hastened into Italy. Henry VI., Sicily, After being crowned Emperor in Rome, he and Germany. went on and laid siege to Naples, but without The heat caused great loss of life in his army, and he was compelled to return to Germany, leaving the kingdom of Sicily unconquered The people there had already elected count Tancred king, and it seemed for the moment that Henry might not be able to assert his claims The outlook was not very bright Tancred might find powerful allies of England, and the Guelf family of Germany were already in league against Henry VI, and if it came to open hostilities they would be glad to have the assistance of Tancred A conspiracy was formed in Germany against him when, by the greatest good

fortune, Henry VI found himself master of the situation Guelf VI died in 1191, and his possessions in southern Germany fell to Henry Richard the Lion Heart had been taken prisoner on his way back from Palestine by Leopold, duke of Austria, by whom he was given over to Henry VI The great coalition of Saxony and England against the Emperor was therefore impossible. He kept Richard imprisoned until February, 1194, when he set him free on the payment of a Peace between The son of Henry the Lion, also large ransom Henry VI. and named Henry, fell in love with Agnes, a Hohenstaufen princess, a cousin of Henry VI, and to win her hand he was willing to make terms with the Emperor By this marriage he inherited the Palatinate, and became the firm friend of Henry VI. Henry the Lion was now old and glad to have peace. Satisfied with the promising future of his son, he withdrew to Braunschweig (Brunswick), where he spent the rest of his days in pious works He died in 1195

In 1194 Henry VI. began his second campaign against Sicily. Tancred was now deprived of all help by the turn which affairs had taken in Germany He died the same year, and the whole kingdom fell into Henry's posses-

sion Henry punished those who had opposed him with great severity. He invested his brother, Philip of Suabia, with the lands of Matilda, contrary to the wishes of the Pope, who put him under the ban for it. Henry paid no attention whatever to this, but continued his efforts to get possession of Italy. He is said at this time to have planned the destruction of the papal state by adding it to his own territory. Henry also conceived the plan of making the Empire hereditary, and thereby putting an end to feudalism. He offered to make the fiefs of the princes also hereditary, if they would consent to this change. But the idea of the elective character of the Empire was too deeply seated and his plan failed.

Henry VI now turned to seek his fortune in the east He planned a crusade, the real object of which was first of all the

conquest of Constantinople The Greek Empire was, indeed. in a chaotic condition, and he hoped to win its crown and establish himself in Constantinople Bold plans of Henry VI. vantage-point he might easily carry on the war against the Saracens He went first to Sicily in order to put down a revolt and punish those who were hostile to him, intending then to proceed against Constantinople He died. however, after a very brief illness (1197), leaving a son, Frederick II, only three years old. His great plans and hopes were destroyed, and the Empire was at once thrown back into the anarchy caused by a contested imperial election At the same time Innocent III. became Pope, a man of strong will and great ability, full of theocratic ideas and the desire to realize them.

Innocent III (1198-1216) represents the last and highest stage in the development of the Papacy He was a jurist. trained in the schools of Paris and Bologna. Innocent III., looked at everything from the jurist's point of 1108=1216, and his programme. view, and endeavored to reduce to a legal form and basis all the claims of the Papacy He was not personally ambitious, but fully persuaded that he was acting in accordance with the best interests of the Church, and even with the plans of God in everything that he did He believed that the government of the world was a theocracy, and that he himself was the vicar of God on earth He pushed to the extreme the ideas of the supremacy of the Papacy over all rulers, and actually realized them in many respects His programme may be summed up under the following heads · I The Pope must be absolute master in Italy, which must therefore be freed from the control of all foreigners; hence the Empire must not be allowed to unite any part of the peninsula to itself, the papal state must be strengthened; the political factions in the city must be kept out 2 All the states of the west must be put under the control of the Papacy; neither king nor Emperor may be independent of the Pope, but must submit to him in all things.

The Church in the east, and the Holy Land must be recovered from the Moslems, and the Greek Church purified of its heresy and reunited to the Church of the west, all heretics must be destroyed; the law and worship of the Church must be made to conform to papal ideas.

In Sicily the young king, Frederick II, was among enemies, and when his mother died, Innocent was made his guardian. He performed his duties toward the boy with great conscientiousness. He supplied him with his ward, the ablest teachers and gave him the best education possible. He cared for his interests in Sicily, and protected him against his rebellious subjects.

In Germany Philip of Suabia, the uncle of Frederick II., was striving to procure the election of his nephew, but the princes feared to entrust the government to a The Imperial mere child, and elected Philip himself. Election. Guelf family, however, opposed this, and elected Otto IV., a son of Henry the Lion. In the struggle that ensued Philip of Suabia made an alliance with Philip II (Augustus) of France, and Otto found an ally in Richard of England Both appealed to Innocent, who claimed the right to decide between them, but reserved his decision for some time He also declared that he had the right to inquire into the character of the successful candidate, and reject or anoint him as he chose He finally decided in favor of Otto IV on the ground that he was the proper person for the office and devoted to the Church, while Philip was a persecutor, and belonged to a family of persecutors. Innocent made use of a good deal of sophistry in his reasoning, but the ground of the decision is clear The interest of the Papacy, he believed, demanded the election of Otto and the rejection of Philip. Otto took the oath that he would protect and defend all the possessions of the Papacy, and distinctly mentioned among these all the lands of Matilda and the kingdom of Sicily. Philip, on the other hand, had declared that he would defend the Empire's claims to these lands. In the civil war

that ensued between the rival kings Philip was everywhere successful. When it became evident that he would make himself master of all Germany, Innocent made proposals of peace to him. Philip was willing to listen to these and offered peace to Otto if he would give up his claims on the crown. On his refusal to do so the Pope put him under the ban and went over to the side of Philip. Unfortunately, just as it seemed that Philip was about to end the whole struggle, he was murdered by Otto of Wittelsbach, for some private reason (1208). The Pope now, and the princes of Germany as well, acknowledged Otto IV as king.

After holding various diets and regulating the affairs of Germany, Otto IV set out with a large army for Italy. In the cities of Lombardy, especially Milan, he was Otto IV., and Innocent. received with great honor. He was crowned in Rome by Innocent, after taking the customary oath not to interfere with the papal rights and possessions But he then refused to keep his oath in regard to the lands of Matilda claimed them as a part of the Empire, and demanded at the same time that the kingdom of Sicily be given over to him on the ground that it also was a part of the Empire. Innocent put him under the ban, but Otto proceeded to make his claims good by invading Sicily He conquered Apulia and Calabria, and the nobles of Sicily, seeing that resistance was hopeless, promised to submit to him. In the midst of his success he was called to Germany by a conspiracy that was forming there among the Hohenstaufen and their followers The Pope had put forward the boy Frederick II. as a candidate for the German crown, and at the invitation of some of Frederick II. made king. the German princes he set out for Germany He was received everywhere with joy, and with the help of Philip of France and the Pope he was soon recognized as king.

In Sicily Innocent reconciled the nobility with Frederick, and succeeded in making good his claims that Sicily was his

fief. In central Italy he made a league with the cities and drove out the imperial officials. He replaced the Emperor in all that district, and established his own of-Sicily and central Italy. ficials there In France there was an excellent opportunity to display his authority. Philip Augustus had married Ingeborg, the sister of the king of Den-France. Becoming dissatisfied with her he divorced her, and this action was approved by the highest clergy of France. Ingeborg appealed to Rome, but without success, until the accession of Innocent III. In the meantime the king married Agnes of Meran. Innocent took up the case, declared Ingeborg the only legal wife of the king, and ordered him to put away Agnes, and restore Ingeborg to her lawful position The king refused, and the Pope put him under For seven months the king held out, but at the interdict last the pressure became too great and he yielded to the command of Innocent. His hatred of Ingeborg led him to subject her to many persecutions, and the guarrel between him and Innocent was not wholly mended until 1213 matters Philip II. did not hesitate to speak plainly to the Pope At one time, when he was engaged in the struggle with John of England, he wrote to the Pope that he had no right to interfere in anything that took place between kings.

In Portugal the king, Sancho, acknowledged the authority of Innocent, and paid him tribute. In 1204 Peter of Aragon came to Rome and deposited his crown on the altar of St. Peter's Innocent then crowned him, and Aragon was made a fief of the papacy. Alphonso IX of Leon was compelled to put away his wife because she was closely related to him. In Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, Servia, and in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, Innocent was able to make good his claims, at least in part.

In England Innocent's victory led to his defeat The monks of Canterbury had elected their sub-prior, Reginald, archbishop This choice did not please king John, who or-

dered them to elect John de Grav, the bishop of Norwich. one of his own creatures. Both men appealed to Innocent, who, after examining into the matter, refused to Innocent and England. confirm either, but appointed Stephen Langton John refused to accept this appointment, and to the vacant see. the Pope put him under the interdict. In 1212 he even deposed John, and offered the crown to Philip II of France In the end John was compelled to yield and surrender his crown to Innocent, who gave it back to him as a fief of Rome. The anger of the English at this humiliation was so great that it led to their revolt, which in turn led to the granting of the Magna When John turned to the Pope against his people, Innocent espoused his cause, and supported him in his violence and absolutism This angered the people and alienated them from the Pope Innocent freed John from his oath, and put all those who should insist on the observance of the Magna In spite of this, however, the people Charta under the ban of England insisted upon their rights, and Innocent's power was greatly diminished there

It seemed for a while that the Papacy would get possession of all the Christian east. Innocent III forbade the fourth crusade to proceed against Constantinople, but when the city was taken and the Latin Church established there he accepted its work. From Constantinople, as a vantage-ground, he hoped to extend the papal authority over all the east. The rapid disintegration of the Latin Empire, however, was destined to blast his hopes

During his pontificate many heresies appeared in the west, the most widely spread of which was that of the Albigenses. Innocent and his successor were responsible for the crusade which was preached against them, and carried out by Simon The Lateran de Montfort In 1215, at the Lateran Council, the inquisition was established, and it was declared that heresy was a crime which should be punished with death. At the same council the doctrines of transubstantiation

and auricular confession were promulgated. The twenty-first canon of that council declared that every Christian must confess his sins to the priest at least once a year, and might receive the sacrament of the eucharist after doing so. If he did not confess, the church was to be closed to him, and if he should die, he should not receive Christian burial. "From that time forth the confessional began to be considered as the only means of obtaining forgiveness for mortal sin, which the priest, as representative of God, actually granted, and he alone could grant" The doctrine of transubstantiation, which up to that time had not been the universal belief of the Church, was adopted, and it was decreed that no one except the properly ordained priest could administer the sacrament.

This Lateran Council was planned with the express purpose of bringing together all the clergy of the west and of demonstrating the power of the Pope Four hundred bishops and eight hundred abbots and priors were present, and representatives from all the courts of Europe, and even from the east, added dignity and pomp to the meeting Innocent had announced that the council would deal with two questions, the recovery of the Holy Land and the reform of the Church. Many of the canons were really reformatory in their character, and the work of the council dealing with all sorts of questions shows the deep insight and sincerity of Innocent A great crusade was announced for the year 1217, and immense preparations made for it, but Innocent did not live to see it He died at Perugia while busily engaged in preparing for the crusade

On the surface his pontificate seems to have been a success. He had apparently won a victory in every case over the temporal powers. But he had alienated the affections of the people. The cruelty of the crusade against the Albigenses turned the whole of southern France against him. His victory over John of England, and the support he gave him in his unjust struggle against his

people, filled the English with hatred of him. In Germanv the same results were reached. The troubadours charged their songs with fearful arraignments, and Walther von der Vogelwerde lashed the Papacy for its worldliness, its greed of money, Innocent gave the fullest expression to the and its ambitions political claims of the Papacy, and did much to realize them Under his guidance some of the most important doctrines, rites, and practices of the Church were established. formation of the code of canon law, while not begun by him, was thoroughly in accordance with his ideas, and it gave a legal form and basis to what he had claimed. It would not be too much to say that he was the last great maker of the papacy. His programme was carried through with the appearance of remarkable success, but his best weapon, the interdict, was almost worn out by its too frequent use. The forces were at work which were soon to undo all that he had done. The papacy lost in spiritual power under him because he made politics the principal matter Earnest Christian pilgrims and visitors at Rome were shocked to hear nothing about spiritual matters, but to find the mouths of all the clergy incessantly filled with talk about temporal affairs Innocent III. put the Church squarely on the road which led to its religious bankruptcy in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries.

The greatest of the Popes was followed by the greatest of the Emperors In 1212 Frederick had set bravely out to take Germany from Otto IV; he renewed the alliance with Philip of France; the German princes of the Rhine valley received him with favor Otto IV. called on his allies for help John of England sent an army to the continent to unite with the count of Flanders, the duke of Brabant, and other nobles in the north of France against the French king. The decisive battle was fought near Bouvines, in July, 1214, and resulted in the complete victory of Philip II. Since his allies were thus disposed of, Otto IV was compelled to yield to Frederick without, however, surrendering his

title to the imperial crown. He withdrew to his lands, and died at Harzburg (1218).

Frederick was crowned at Aachen in 1215, proclaimed a universal peace in Germany, and took a vow to go on the crusade which Innocent III. was planning. His Frederick II. But and the Papacy next step was to secure the imperial crown. Innocent was afraid of his growing power, although Frederick had been most respectful to him in all things He feared that if Frederick should hold both Germany and Sicily, the two would be joined together and Frederick would try to control all Italy. He therefore persuaded Frederick to promise that as soon as he should receive the imperial crown he would resign the crown of Sicily to his young son, Henry, who should hold it as a fief from the Pope Frederick agreed no longer to claim the title of king of Sicily, and promised to find a suitable regent until Henry should become of age Death prevented Innocent from crowning Frederick, but Innocent's successor, Honorius III., performed the act Frederick, however, in spite of his promises retained the title of king of Sicily. Honorius III paid no attention to this breach of faith, because he was desirous that the crusade should be made, and he wished Frederick to join it. Frederick, however, always found excuses, and put off his de-He married Iolanthe, the daughter of the king of parture Jerusalem, and without any regard for the rights of her father assumed that title himself Gregory IX. (1227-41) demanded his immediate departure for Palestine. Frederick finally sailed (1227) from Brindisi, but returned three days later, and excused himself on the ground that he was ill Gregory would not listen to the excuse and put him under the ban. Frederick defended himself and revoked the grants by which he had ceded lands to the Pope. He then made fresh preparations for the crusade, but the Pope forbade his going until he had obtained Three times excommunicated. the removal of the ban But Frederick sailed again from Brindisi, June, 1228. He saw that by force it would be impossible to conquer the east By diplomacy he

gained possession of Jerusalem, Bethlehem, Nazareth, and other places for the Christians. He crowned himself in Jerusalem and returned home, having been three times excommunicated for his disobedience to the Pope.

During his absence the Pope had tried to stir up the Germans against him, and had raised an army at his own expense san Germano, and attacked his territories in the south. Some success had been achieved when Frederick returned (1229). Taken by surprise, the Pope was unable to continue the war and offered to make peace. The two came together at San Germano (1230), and by mutual concessions peace was restored.

Frederick turned his attention to Sicily. In 1231 he published the famous "constitutions of the kingdom of Sicily,"

A new governby which feudalism was destroyed there, and ment in Sicily.

a real kingship established in its stead Royal judges and courts took the place of the barons and their courts; feudal dues were replaced by direct taxes, and other changes were made which resulted in the formation of a really modern state in all that concerns the machinery of government

During his long absence from Germany great disorder had He had caused his son Henry to be made king in Aachen (1222), and much power had been granted him 1233 Henry revolted against his father, but was seized and carried to Italy, where he died as a prisoner (1242). diet at Mainz (1235) he forbade private warfare, proclaimed the peace of the land, and ended all the quarrels between him and the Guelf family by making its last representative a duke and investing him with a large duchy, created especially for him. He was at the height of his power at this time Germany and Sicily were wholly in his hands, but the cities of Lombardy were not willing to give him the obedience he desired. 1236-37 he carried on war against them and succeeded in reducing the leader, Milan, after the great battle of Cortenuova (1237).

In 1238 Frederick laid claim to Sardinia as a part of the Empire This brought on a struggle between him and the Pope, because Sardinia had been declared to be a fief of the Church. Frederick persisted in his course, with the Papacy and the Pope, from this time on, was implacable in his hatred toward Frederick The final struggle had begun. Gregory IX and his successors tried to turn the German princes and people against him, and freed them from their oath The cities of Italy were arrayed against him, and of allegiance help was sought from France. At the same time Frederick was charged with all kinds of heresy. He was reported to have said that there had been three great impostors who had deceived the world-Moses, Jesus, and Mohammed, he had reviled the clergy and the creed of the Church, he had said that nothing is to be believed which is not acceptable to the reason. Heresy was proved by the fact that he associated with both Jews and Mohammedans, and allowed the free exercise of all religions in his kingdom The Emperor defended himself with great vigor He had recourse to the Apocalypse of Saint John for his figures of speech, and called the Pope the anti-Christ, the angel that came up from the bottomless pit, and the rider on the red horse with power to destroy peace in the world. Gregory called a council, but Frederick captured the clergy who were on their way to attend it, and thus prevented its meet-Frederick overran Italy, and got possession of the territory even to the gates of Rome. After the death of Gregory IX the Cardinals were unable to elect a Pope, and for nearly two years the chair of Saint Peter was vacant. Frederick ' tried in every way to compel them to elect his candidate, but they resisted him successfully At last, in 1243, one of Frederick's friends was elected and took the title, Innocent IV (1243-54). Frederick, however, felt that the war must go on, because, as he said, no Pope could be a Ghib-Innocent escaped to France and called a council at Lyon, at which the Emperor was again deposed and put

under the ban. All were forbidden to regard him as their king, or Emperor, and the princes of Germany were ordered to proceed at once to the election of another king. Innocent said that he himself would take care of Sicily To this Frederick replied, asserting that he was a good Christian, and that he had been laboring all his life only to bring the clergy to live in the proper way and lead an apostolic life in poverty and humility; but they were too worldly. It was necessary, therefore, to deprive them of their possessions. They must give up their wealth and be content with little

Innocent IV. appealed to France, to the cities of Italy, and to the Germans, and by the greatest exertions kept the war going. He turned it into a crusade, and offered A Crusade to all who would join in it the same indulagainst the Emperor. gences and spiritual rewards as against the Sara-In 1246 he succeeded in having count Henry Raspe of Thuringia elected king in place of Frederick about to be crowned when he was defeated in battle and fled to the Wartburg, where he died in 1247 Civil war spread all over Germany The Begging Friars supported the Pope by stirring up the people against Frederick, and by collecting large sums of money from all quarters to be used in carrying on the opposition The Pope used large sums of money to persuade the electors to make William of Holland king, and in 1247 he was actually elected Frederick's son, Conrad IV., who, as king of the Germans, had charge of affairs in Germany, was unable to resist the progress of William, who was crowned at Aachen in 1248 Misfortunes thickened around the aging Emperor. Among the courtiers of Frederick a conspiracy was formed, and an attempt was made to poison him. His son Enzio was taken prisoner and confined in Bologna. One by one his friends and supporters fell in Death of Frederick II . 1250 battle. He himself was very ill, but he kept up his courage. His troops were victorious in Italy, and Rome was about to fall into his hands The struggle was far

from being decided when the Emperor died (December 13, 1250).

Frederick II was a man of the Middle Age, and belonged at the same time to the Modern Period He was full of contrasts. not to say contradictions. He was most modern His character. in that he was not controlled by religious, but wholly by political motives He was not bound by feudal ideas, but actually created an absolute monarchy in Sicily. His kingdom there is regarded as the first modern state in Europe. He persecuted heretics in Germany, but was himself very free in thought and tolerated all religions in his kingdom of Sicily. He was not a German in character, but exhibited the fusion of the German, Italian, Greek, and Saracen elements in southern Italy He spoke Latin, Italian, French, German, Greek, and He surpassed all the Emperors who had preceded him in culture and learning. He was himself a poet, and kept himself surrounded by poets and scholars He established the University of Naples (1224) He had zoological gardens, not for the gratification of his curiosity alone, but also for scientific purposes. He belonged to the class of independent thinkers, of which Abelard was also a member He preferred to live in Sicily, because it possessed far more culture than Germany. He understood the question at issue between himself and the Pope, he knew that it was for the right to rule the Empire independently that he was fighting. In the art of diplomacy he was well trained, and he won many victories by it died before the struggle was ended, but he seems to have felt that it would be decided against him and his family. His last years were made heavy by many misfortunes, but he died with unbroken spirit.

Innocent IV was wild with joy when he heard of Frederick's death, and called on all Germans to desert Conrad IV. The friars were again commissioned to preach a crusade, but this time against Conrad, and all were required to take an oath that they would no longer obey him The princes of Germany,

whose lust for money, land, and power was never greater, were glad of the opportunity to seize the royal and imperial possessions. Many of them went to Lyon to meet the Pope and agree upon a division of the spoil. The clergy were all on the side of the Pope, and it is said that the bishop of Regensburg even tried to murder the king. Conrad did all he could to hold Germany. He gathered his army together and went down the Rhine to meet William of Holland. A battle was fought near Oppenheim, just north draws to Sicily. of Mainz (1251), and Conrad was defeated. He saw clearly that he could not hope to maintain himself further in Germany, and so set out for Italy He reached Sicily early in 1252. southern Italy his half-brother, Manfred, had striven hard to retain the power for Conrad, to whom he was ardently devoted. The death of Frederick had been followed by a good deal of anarchy in the south, and the Pope had called on the people to revolt from the Hohenstaufen. His army of friars labored hard there, but at first without great success When Conrad arrived, he and Manfred went through the land establishing their authority everywhere Conrad offered to make terms with the Pope, but all his offers were rejected. Innocent IV. was implacable He had sworn that the hated race of the Staufer should be literally destroyed. He offered the crown of Sicily throughout Europe, and at length Henry III of England asked for it for his son Edmund. Conrad then pushed his claims by arms Naples was taken by him (1253), and the Pope was further troubled by a revolt of the Romans was undoubtedly far superior to Conrad in ability, but he remained true to him in every respect; but many of Manfred's friends were impatient with Conrad, and wished that he would die that Manfred might succeed him. A rupture was perhaps only avoided because Conrad died suddenly (1254), leaving his little son, called by the Italians Conradino, to the care of the Church and Manfred. The Pope, however, declared that the kingdom was forfeited to himself and continued the struggle.

For four years Manfred resisted all the efforts of the Pope and Henry III of England to gain the kingdom for prince Edmund. He held the country for Conradino, who was Manfred king in Sicily. still in Germany At length he could resist the importunities of his friends and the nobility no longer, and in 1258 he was made king, and crowned at Palermo 1262 an embassy came from Conradino and his mother demanding that the kingdom be given over to the true heir. replied that he had conquered the territory from the Pope and had been elected by the people He wished, therefore, to reign as long as he should live, but at his death Conradino should succeed him The boy, however, should come to Sicily and know the people, and become one of them, for they would never submit to the rule of a German. Meanwhile he continued to try to make peace with the Pope, but without success

The Pope now turned to France for help. He offered the crown of Sicily to Charles of Anjou, the brother of king Louis IX This Charles was bold and ambitious, but Charles of Aniou. without all sense of justice. He was utterly unscrupulous and cruel. In 1263 the kingdom of Sicily was made over to him, and he began his preparations to take posses-Manfred tried to besiege Rome and to keep Charles from landing in Italy. He was unsuccessful, however, and Charles entered Rome and was crowned king, January 6, 1266 About a month later the decisive battle was fought near Benevento, and when Manfred saw that he was betrayed by many of his troops, who, no doubt, had been bribed by Death of Manthe Pope to desert to Charles during the battle, fred, 1266 he rushed into the thick of the fight and was slain. Two days later his body was found and buried without honor people, however, carried stones and made a great heap over his The Pope declared that the ground in which he was buried belonged to the Church, and that he should not be granted lawful and holy burial Accordingly the body was

taken up and removed to a neighboring province and reinterred without any Christian burial rites

Conradino, who had spent all his life in Germany, was a genuine Hohenstaufen. In 1262 he had received the duchy of Suabia, but he was never invested with it After Manfred's death all the Ghibellines of Italy were united against the hated Frenchman, Charles of Anjou, and begged Conradino to come and put himself at their head. Although a mere lad he set out with ten thousand knights, but because of the lack of funds, many of these were compelled to turn back. He was received with great pomp in Rome (1268) Then he proceeded to the south to meet Charles. Their armies came together, August 23d, on the shore of Lake Fucino. At first Conradino was successful, but Charles came up with his reserves and turned his defeat into a victory. Conradino fled to Astura and put out to sea in a boat, but was captured End of the Hohenstaufen. and brought back, and eventually delivered over He was beheaded as a rebel in the public square to Charles of Naples

The long battle was over, and the victory was the Pope's. Not only was the power of the Hohenstaufen broken, the family itself had been destroyed. There re-The victory of the Pope. mained only one member of it, Enzio, the son of Frederick II, and he was a prisoner in Bologna For twenty-three years he was kept in durance, for a long time hoping to escape and retrieve the broken fortunes of his house. He died, however, still a prisoner in 1272, and the great Staufer family was no more With it had disappeared the Empire of Karl the Great. Not that it was destroyed, but it now underwent a radical change. The government of the world was no longer the peculiar prerogative of the Emperor, but of the Pope. The Pope had vindicated his right to the temporal as well as to the spiritual supremacy It was now possible for him to declare that he was both Pope and Emperor.

When Conrad IV left Germany in 1251, William of Holland remained in full possession The Pope did all he could to insure William's recognition throughout Germany, but for some time in vain The cities in the Rhine valley renewed the old league (1254), and within a year there were more than sixty cities bound together for mutual protection William of Holland was eventually recognized by them, and by The great internearly all of northern Germany. But becoming engaged in a quarrel with the Friesians, he was killed by some Friesian peasants (January, 1256) Although both Richard of Cornwall and Alphonso of Castile, were afterward elected king, neither of them was able to establish himself as master of the country. Alphonso, indeed, never came to Germany Richard visited the country, but never exercised any authority there The period from 1254 to 1273 is known as the great ınterregnum

During this struggle of the Staufer with the papacy, two things are to be noticed the largely increased number of principalities and the extension of the frontier Feudal principalities on the east. Instead of the five great stemities of Germany. duchies, there was now a large number of duchies, counties, marches, bishoprics, and other principalities, all striving for independence. It had been the policy of the Hohenstaufen to diminish the power of the dukes by breaking the original duchies up into many smaller political divisions and giving these as fiefs to others. The influence of subinfeudation may also be seen in this dissolution of the great political centres

A most important change had taken place in the eastern boundary. Slowly the Slavs, Letts, and Magyars, who covered the whole eastern frontier, had been conquered and were being Christianized and Germanized frontier. The eastern boundary had been carried even beyond the Vistula on the Baltic, and included the valley of the Oder; from there it extended in an irregular line to the Danube below Vienna. Germany had lost Italy forever, but had indemnified

herself in a measure by the conquest and assimilation of these barbarian lands

Great progress had been made in Germany in culture and wealth. Numerous cities were in existence, and they were now ready to make use of the freedom afforded them by the absence of a strong ruler, to establish among themselves their powerful independent leagues. They were now about to enter on their great period of free growth.

In point of ability Germany had had many first-class Emperors, but they had failed to unite the whole country into one The crown had not even become hereditary government The selfish ambitions of the princes and the fact that several times the family in possession of the crown had died out, had kept the elective character of the kingship alive in Germany, while in France and England the crown became hereditary. The feudal principle, the principle of disinte-The character of gration, had at last conquered, and Germany was the Empire changed. broken up into some hundreds of little principal-The future history of Germany is determined by this fact. The character of the Empire is from this time radically changed. The succeeding Emperors perceived that the Hohenstaufen ideal was impossible, and were therefore content to let Italy go its own way, while they labored to enrich their own family. Very few of them ever made any effort to assert their authority in Italy, and these all signally failed. Even in Germany the king is no longer what he was. He is in the hands of the great Electors, and he is little more than a figurehead. great feudal lords have made themselves independent sovereigns and the royal power is destroyed Germany, as such, can hardly be said to have had a political history from this time on; what we call the history of Germany is rather the history of a large number of separate and independent states. For six hundred years she was to have no political unity and to become the battle-ground of Europe.

The struggle between Pope and Emperor resulted in the political dismemberment of both Germany and Italy While the feudal lords of Germany had got power there, the cities of Italy were growing in independence, and the French had got a good foothold in the southern part of the peninsula. The Papacy still held its lands in the central part, but as a spiritual institution the Papacy had begun to lose ground. It was losing the religious character it had had in the days of Gregory the Great, and was now regarded more as a great political power. It had placed temporal power above its religious interests, and therefore its victory over the Empire was the beginning of its fall.

CHAPTER XIV

MONASTICISM

THE philosophic basis of monasticism is neither Jewish nor Christian The Jews had a keen delight in nature and a high conception of its holiness as the handiwork of The basis of mo-There is also much in the Old Testa-God. nasticism not Christian but ment indicative of a sound conception of soheathen. ciety and the legitimacy of all its normal relations. According to the Jewish belief, God created man for society, and therefore there is nothing contaminating in it Tesus himself was not ascetic, but, on the contrary, his habits were such as to bring upon him the charge that he was a wine bibber and a glutton He did not hesitate to associate with even the outcasts of society, and he found nothing polluting in the contact with these. He taught that sin is in nothing that is external to man, but has its seat in the heart He made no fixed rules of conduct, he laid down broad principles, and every man was expected to find his own way in accordance therewith.

There were certain philosophical ideas among the heathen which would lead logically to asceticism. There were also many customs among them which seemed to the Christians so corrupt that they must be shunned at any cost. But these customs were so inwoven in the life of the people that they could be avoided only by going out of the world. A school of philosophy had been developed among the Greeks whose fundamental idea was that matter is the seat of of evil.

[Natter the seat fundamental idea was that matter is the seat of of evil. Qvil Over against matter was set spirit. It was believed that matter had been created by the evil god, while spirit was the creation of the good one. But spirit

had been confined in matter, and redemption was the process by which it was freed from matter and brought back into union with the Great Spirit There were many schools and sects which adopted these views, some of which are known by the name of Gnostics. When the Gnostics heard of Christianity, they began to try to fit Iesus into their system of philosophy and redemption. They either rejected the Old Testament because it contained an account of the creation which differed from theirs, or they declared that the God of the Old Testament was the evil one who had created matter During the second century there was a great struggle in the Church about this question The result was a compromise The Gnostic theory of creation was rejected by the Church and the Old Testament was retained as a revelation of the true God, but it was agreed that this world was wholly given over to the devil. The prince of this world is the prince of darkness of the things of this world was, therefore, forbidden to all Christians

Jesus had, indeed, taught that there should be no abuse of the things of this world. Each one should practise the strict-He had in one case told a est self-control Christian selfyoung man to sell all that he had and give control magnito the poor and follow him Some of his disfied and exaggerated. ciples went even further along the same line of It is hardly to be wondered at, therefore, that in the second century Christianity was conceived of by many of the heathen as the "Gospel of Abstinence and the Resurrection" The fact that their minds had been influenced by the Gnostic ideas of matter predisposed them to such a conception of Chris-The apologist Tatian is a good example of this kind To him and those like him (known as the Enof Christian cratites) the majority of Christians were in far too close contact with the world They regarded asceticism as an essential part of Christianity So closely was this ascetic life connected with philosophy, and so completely was it based on philosophic

Ideas, that philosophy came to be synonymous with asceticism. The ascetic life was the philosophic life. At the same time there appeared the belief that the highest Christian life was not a practical one, but one spent in contemplation of God. So completely was the soul to be given up to this contemplation that the needs of the body and all external things should be forgotten. One should scarcely be conscious of himself, but rather pass his time in a kind of stupor, lost in the thought of God. The soul which could thus free itself from the world and become absorbed in the contemplation of God would eventually be granted the supreme happiness of the Beatific Vision and so be united to God.

From the very first the Church was in danger of becom-It was not, however, till the second century ing worldly that the progress toward worldliness became Christianity in rapid. In almost every walk of life Christians were to be found, but they were in a difficult position. practical question came up as to whether they might continue to work at trades which served idolatrous purposes Might a Christian mason work on a heathen temple? Ought a Christian shoemaker to make shoes for an actor; or might a Christian actor continue his calling; or might a Christian soldier remain in the legion, or might a Christian hold office? These and a host of similar questions were discussed in the Church and different opinions were held in regard to them 1 One party was exceedingly strict and wished to exclude all such people from the Church, while the other party wished to admit them The result was a compromise and a gradual depression of the high standard of morals of the early Christians. Imperceptibly Christianity changed from a small sect of enthusiasts to a world Church, in which the creed and the ceremonies were the important thing The Church was no longer regarded as a gathering of saints, but rather an institution for

¹ Cf. Tertullian's writings, especially "On Idolatry," "Shows," and "De Corona,"

the religious training and eventual salvation of the people. The Church began to borrow freely from the heathen philosophy and religions. Her ceremonies were the Church bevery largely adapted from the heathen rites comes worldly. as practised in the temples. In her theology were imbedded large parts of the heathen philosophy. Many opposed this change Tertullian, for instance, "was unfriendly to speculation. Philosophy, in his opinion, was the mother of heresies. Jerusalem should be completely separated from Athens, the Church from the Academy."

This fusion with the world brought on another crisis. The strictest sects, known as the Montanists, were determined to remain a thoroughly holy body, and did all they could to keep the Church in their narrow way. But they were in the minority, and the Church continued to adapt itself to the world. The success of the strict party would have been fatal to the Church If Christianity was to become a great factor in the civilization of the world, it must come into contact with the world at every point. The Church could not remain a holy sect separated from the world, but must be a leaven in the whole mass.

The Church was already modelling her organization after that of the Empire Church officers, and especially the bishop, were growing in power. Those who believed Distrust of that the Church should be governed by the free Church offices, action of the spirit of God through those whom he might choose (Christian prophets, evangelists, confessors, and others) were hostile to these officers, and opposed the formation of per-The work of organization proceeded, however, manent offices in spite of the opposition Still greater distrust of the ecclesiastical offices was caused by the conduct of the high officials in the Church The third century is full of the ambitious quarrels of the clergy, who imitated the imperial officials in pomp and ceremony As the worldliness of the Church continued to increase, the distrust among the Christians grew, and many of the most pious men refused to accept office in the Church When it was offered them they refused it and fled to the desert

The fourth century, after Constantine publicly favored Christianity, saw tremendous progress in this secularization of the Church. Thousands entered the Church because it had suddenly become popular, without any conception of the high moral life which the early Church had required What could the really religious members do but leave it? They had to flee from the world in the Church

The invasions of the Barbarians and the consequent violence, and the great decay of the Empire robbed many people of all The world, it appeared, was growing old and interest in life. the end of all things was approaching. The best people were filled with despair and longed to hide themselves away from the increasing desolation and chaos The practical Summary. Christian life was already being replaced by the life of contemplation. All these things, the misunderstood say-*ings of Jesus and the teachings of his apostles, the philosophical as well as the heathen religious ideas of the times, the tendency of which was to regard matter as the seat of evil and its contact polluting, the increasing worldliness of the Church in so many ways; and the feeling that life was not worth living in a world so full of violence, prepared the way for, and helped create, monasticism It was, however, the growing worldliness of the Church which gave the impulse to its formation The worldly Church was no longer able to satisfy those who were really in Contamination in earnest about a holy life There was but one thing for them to do, they fled from the conthe Church. tamination in the Church and went out into the desert to acquire salvation by means of meditation and prayer. character of the Church had changed It was now an institution for the salvation of the common worldly herd, and as such was to be justified; but earnest Christians could not be satisfied with such a way of salvation For them a more difficult life was necessary. They went out, therefore, to live in the desert

and find the way to God without the aid of the Church and her means of grace, these were for the common Christians. Those who would, could obtain by means of asceticism and prayer all that others received by means of the Church's sacraments. There were to be two ways of salvation one, through the Church and her means of grace, the other, through asceticism and contemplation

The beginnings of monasticism are lost in obscurity They fall very probably in the third century. When the Church was made popular by the action of Constantine, and thousands went into it without a change of heart, the religious ones began to flee in large numbers. There might have been another great crisis in the Church, but curiously enough those who fled did not attack the Church very fiercely, and the Church sanctioned the mode of life practised by them. She even declared that they were following more nearly the apostolic model. The Church herself must follow in the way of the world, but those who wished might obtain their salvation in another way.

The earliest monks were hermits They lived alone and found all the shelter they needed in a little hut or in some cave or in the shadow of some rock or tree. The move-Hermits. ment began in Egypt, where the conditions were favorable to such an outdoor life It seems probable also that it began in other places independent of the movement in Egypt. It spread rapidly throughout the east. Semi-social Organization. In order to protect themselves against impostors and other dangers, the hermits began to build their little huts close together and probably surrounded them by a wall for protection. They had a common chapel and on certain days worshipped together and partook of a common meal. but few rules. They elected a sort of superior who had the oversight of the whole colony Gradually they came to live in houses, in which each monk had his own room or cell, and so maintained a certain kind of independence. In this way the ascetic life was organized on a semi-social basis. By going into the desert, the hermit, of course, had given up his possessions and his family, and it soon came to be regarded as a matter of course that he had taken the vows of poverty and chastity. When they began to live under one roof another vow was necessary—that of obedience. They must subject themselves to the rules and interests of the house.

The descriptions of the self-tortures of these hermits seem Pain and suffering, no matter how inflicted, were incredible. thought to have a religious value. Perhaps the The Stylites. strangest form of this was that practised by Saint Simeon Stylites and his imitators Simeon, by his extreme self-torture, had won a great reputation, and in order to escape from those who resorted to him to consult him and to touch him, he built a pillar some ten feet high, on which he spent all his time. He gradually had the height of his pillar increased until it reached some sixty feet. He spent many years at the top of this, and died at last revered as a saint and was made the patron of the city of Antioch He found many imitators in the east. In the west, where the climatic conditions were so much harder, this form of asceticism never flourished. One or two persons tried it in Gaul, but had to give it up.

More and more this loosely organized cenobitic life became the common form. It retained the name of monasticism, although the monks now lived together. It is this form of monasticism that has prevailed in the Greek Church, although hermits still exist there and are regarded as leading a more holy form of life. The monks of the Greek Church have really lived for the most part separated from the world. Occasionally they have made themselves felt at the court, and they played a part in the great synods which were held from the fourth to the eighth centuries. Since that time monasticism in the Greek Church has had no

history, because it has had no life Saint Basil was the author of the monastic rule which was adopted throughout the east. The monasticism of the Greek Church has helped preserve the dead forms in the Church, and prevented any change except in the direction of enriching the ceremonies and forms of worship.

About 340 Athanasius brought two monks with him to the These were the first to be seen in that part of the world, and were regarded by the people with mingled feelings of curiosity and disgust Imitators, however, were soon found and many monasteries were established. Augustine and Jerome gave their voices in favor of monasticism, and in a short time Monasticism in the west. it had spread all over the west. There were no deserts in the west, but the sea served in their stead. On the Isle of Lérins near Cannes a famous monastery was established, and on a neighboring island was a monastery for women. Iona, a small Island on the western coast of Scotland, was the seat of another great monastery and near that was also a nunnery. Many of the islands around Ireland and Scotland were occupied by these monks, a large number of whom were hermits Some of the great churches of Ireland were connected with monastic foundations of this sort Monks kept pushing farther off from the mainland in order to find some place where there were no people to disturb them Saint Brendan became famous for his travels, and many legends sprang up It is even believed that some of these wandering about him monks reached America, because when the Spaniards came to Mexico they are said to have found there a tradition about the visit of some holy man who had come to them wearing a great beard and a mantle with crosses. This monasticism was of the early type, loose in its organization and voluntary in its discipline It would seem that there were married men among the monks, especially in Lérins and Iona. Their wives remained on a neighboring island which was given up to women of these men visited their wives occasionally, but after awhile this was forbidden.

The movement became immensely popular, and within a hundred and fifty years there were hundreds of monasteries in the west, and thousands of monks in them. It seemed for a time that this monasticism in the west would be of the same character as that in the east, and therefore would have no history and play no part in the work of the Church. But the spirit of the west took hold of it, organized it, and made of it one of the most effective tools in the hands of the Pope and Emperor to Christianize and civilize the Barbarians and extend the Church and the state. The Roman spirit of organization, of conquest and activity, would not allow the original monkish ideal to prevail. The monks had, indeed, fled from the world, but they were to be used to conquer and rule it.

At first each monastery made its own rules of discipline: each monk was allowed to do about as he pleased There were several attempts made to harmonize these rules and make one Benedict of Nurse code for all. Of all these attempts only that of Benedict of Nursia (480-543) was destined to sia, 480-543. succeed After spending several years as a monk in various places he went to Monte Casino, near Naples (528), taking with him several of those who had been with him elsewhere There he founded the famous monastery of Monte Casino, for which he prepared his rule He organized the monks into a close corporation, and forbade any of them to leave the monastery without the consent of the abbot A clear line was sharply drawn between them and the world. The occupations of the monks were fixed by him for every hour of the day and night. Periods of prayer and contemplation were to alternate with seasons of work. Strict discipline was to be enforced, and all monks must take the vows of poverty, chastity, and obedience.1

Circumstances favored the spread of Benedict's rule, and gradually it was adopted by other monasteries Gregory the

¹ Henderson, Historical Documents of the Middle Ages, p 274 ff, contains a translation of this rule.

possible. The monks were excellent tools in their hands; no better man could be found for their purpose of conquering Popes make use the world than one who despised the world and of the monks had turned his back upon it. The monks formed a kind of standing army, always at the disposal of the Pope.

The monastic life was the ideal Christian life for all the The clergy who lived in the world and labored in the care of souls was held in great contempt by Monastic life the the monks, who alone were called "religiosi." highest Roman Catholic ideal The pastor was far less holy than the monk, so perverted had the teaching of Jesus become He had taught that the highest ideal was a life of service to one's fellow-men; the monkish ideal was based on the thought that a man's first duty was to save himself Few people were willing to become priests since the monk was in so much greater honor. secular clergy, as those priests were called who did not live in a monastery, were, therefore, taken very largely from the lowest ranks in society, very often from among the serfs They were generally ignorant and superstitious and their morals were low. To remedy this, the custom arose in the eighth century, of bringing all the clergy of a diocese together, and compelling them to live as nearly as possible according to the monastic rule. In the cathedral or bishop's church large numbers of clergy were collected who lived according to this rule. Thev were therefore called canons, or the canonical clergy. had their schools, many of which became famous, these were the so-called "Cathedral Schools"

The Church used monasticism to carry on the work of converting and Christianizing the world, but the effects of this service on monasticism itself were bad. Its rules were not made worldiness and for those who were engaged in such activities, and, therefore, they gradually fell into disuse. In the tenth century the rule of Saint Benedict was so little regarded, and the life in the monasteries had so degenerated

that it almost seemed that monasticism must die out. first great reform began in *the monastery of Clugny, which was founded (910) in the hills a few miles west Clugny. Under the headship of a series of most capable and earnest abbots, Clugny achieved a wide reputation for piety. With its growing fame the number of its monks increased until it was possible to send out colonies of monks to establish new monasteries. As the spirit of reform awoke elsewhere, monks from Clugny were asked to come and introduce the new rule and ideas into other monasteries In this way this rule became common in Europe during the tenth and eleventh centuries. All the monasteries which used it were bound together by it, and were called a "congregation" The abbot of Clugny was at the head of this congregation, and, therefore, possessed immense power. The objects which this reform had in view were those which were taken up by Gregory VII. and made by him the The Cluniac programme. programme of the Papacy The monastic rule must be made more rigorous and be more vigorously enforced. The secular clergy must be made to live after this monkish rule, and the spiritual aristocracy thus formed by the monks and clergy should have complete authority over the laity in all religious matters Gregory VII, indeed, went a step farther; to the spiritual authority over the whole world he added also the political authority.

There was a great deepening of the monastic spirit in the eleventh century, so that even the rule of Clugny did not seem to some to be sufficiently severe. This led to Formation of the formation of many other orders, such as the orders. Carthusians (1084), the Cistercians (1098), the Premonstrants (1120), and the Carmelites (1156). Many others were established, but they achieved for the most part only a local reputation. The tendency to form separate orders, and the number of those who applied to the Pope for permission to establish new ones increased. Finally Innocent III. refused to listen to

any more appeals and forbade the establishment of any more orders.

The monastic revival was one of the things which made the crusades possible The great enthusiasm for ascetic ideals and The new imita- works found a vent in that movement Action of Christ quaintance with the Holy Places, however, brought about a change in asceticism and its ideals idea of the imitation of Christ was, of course, a common one in the Church even from the first, but, owing to the action of the crusades, a new meaning was given to the phrase. Christ was to be imitated by living a life of service to others, by preaching the Gospel to all, by helping all, even the outcast, by going about doing good, by living in poverty, and, above all, by following Him through all His passion, going over the road He travelled to Calvary, enduring such pain as He suffered at that time, and, at last, suffering with Him on the cross. It was the highest desire of the most enthusiastic ones to endure with Jesus all the sufferings which He under-Since this could not be done literally, it must be done by contemplation and the use of the imagination Christian enthusiasts wished to be united to Christ by a complete imitation of Him; they longed to be made one with Him in His sufferings Since it was impossible to obtain such a real union, they sought to realize it by the deepest love and sympathy. New Calvaries were erected throughout the west which were to be approached only on the knees along a new "via dolorosa."

The many new orders, the rise of heretical sects, revolts of the national churches, the resistance offered the Popes, the social movements in the cities, all show that in the twelfth century the laity were not altogether satisfied with their conclusion of the dition and position in the Church. The orthodox forms no longer supplied their religious needs. They were no longer willing to be treated as dards, but desired independence in religion. The world, although just

conquered, seemed about to escape from the Pope when a new monastic movement arose which was to result in the strengthening of the Papacy Through the friars the Popes of the thirteenth century renewed their weakening hold upon the world.

Saint Francis of Assisi, the founder of the order which bears his name (Franciscans, fratres minores, friars, Minorites), was filled with the idea of the imitation of Christ Saint Francis. and His apostles in their preaching, poverty, and service of others "Regula et vita fratrum hæc est: scilicet vivere in obedientia et in castitate et sine proprio et Domini nostri Jesu Christi doctrinam et vestigia sequi qui dicit si vis perfectus esse, vade et vende omnia et da pauperibus" The monk had not originally thought of preaching the Gospel to others, he went into the monastery to save his own soul; the world might save itself as best it could. The monk was concerned only about himself. To be sure, the monks of the west had been used in many ways to serve the world, but it was all really against their will. They would have shut themselves up in their monastery and allowed the devil to rule the world. Although they had taken the vow of poverty, they had evaded it by subterfuge, they dared not hold property, but the order might do so The great incomes of the monasteries were often used by the monks on themselves, and their lives were spent in the greatest luxury. Saint Francis would have none of this. He prescribed that none of his followers should have a home Not even the order should hold property. "The brother" should spend his life on the highway, stopping to preach and minister unto others whenever occasion offered; for his bread he should work, if work could be found, if not, he might beg; he should never receive money under any circumstances, nor more food than was sufficient for his wants for the day, he must never lay up any store in this world; he must care for the sick, visit those who were in prison, cheer the downst, recover the lost, and be to the world a Christ. The life of Jesus was to be his model in all respects; especial delight

should be taken in the contemplation of the sufferings of Jesus. "Nihil mihi tam delectabile quam vitæ et passionis dominicæ memoria" Saint Francis declared that if he went to the end of the world he would desire no other reading than the Gospels He knew their story almost by heart, and his sermons consisted largely of quotations from them and the rhetorical amplification of them During the period from 1209 to 1226 his order was thoroughly established and his rule developed and confirmed by the Pope The order, however, soon underwent a change The rule of which deeply offended him; it began to amass poverty evaded. property and build houses This did not at first hinder it in its works of mercy and love. It became one of the most popular orders and soon grew to immense proportions

Saint Dominic, a Spaniard (1170-1221), established the order of Preaching Brothers (Fratres Prædicatores, 1215) to resist the spread of heresy in the Church. They were to be trained in all the learning of the day and made equal to the task of instructing the people in the doctrines of the Church. In 1220 he introduced the rule of poverty into the order, thus modelling it after the order of Saint Francis. The two orders had much the same development. They became large, rich, and powerful. Saint Francis had not intended that his brothers should devote themselves to learning, but they quickly took up this work in imitation of the Dominicans. The two orders furnished all the great scholars of the later Middle Age.

The dark side of monasticism has been often enough painted. There were many periods of decadence in its history. The Faults of piety of the monks brought them popularity and wealth; wealth brought leisure, idleness, and profligacy. The principles of monasticism were opposed to the dignity of the family, and to the proper position of woman in society. The best human talent was frequently drawn into the monastery and hence lost to the state.

Much more might, indeed, be said against the institution,

but the good which it did far outweighs the evil. Monasticism furnished the missionaries who Christianized western and northern Europe. The monks were also the civilizers Every monastery founded by them became monasticism. a centre of life and learning, and hence a light to the surrounding country They cleared the lands and brought them under cultivation. They were the farmers and taught by their example the dignity of labor in an age when the soldier was the world's hero. They preserved and transmitted much of the civilization of Rome to the Barbarians They were the teachers of the west Literature and learning found a refuge with them in times of violence Their monasteries were the hotels of the Middle Age and they cared for the poor and the sick They were the greatest builders of the Middle Age, and many of the great churches of Europe were built by them. We owe them an immense debt of gratitude. Monasticism was an excellent thing for the world in those days. It was fitted to do a great work. But the times changed. It no longer had a mission in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. Its work was done Other forces and institutions were then at hand to carry on the work which it had begun. Proof is that in the fifteenth century it was dying out. The monasteries were no longer full, and it was impossible to keep their numbers complete The old monasticism was powerless, it was no longer adapted to the character and needs of society. A new order was created, the Jesuits (1540), but without the monastic character. It was made expressly for active service in the cause of the Church, and was organized on different lines which were better adapted to the changed condition of society Asceticism in the Jesuit order is only a means to an end stead of separating itself from the world, the new order was to live in the world and assist in ruling it. It is not essentially monastic 1

¹ See Karl Mueller, Die Anfaenge des Minoritenordens und der Bussbruderschaften, Paul Sabatier, Vie de S. François D'Assise, Harnack, Das Moenchthum, Jessopp, The Coming of the Friars

The Middle Age had two distinct ideals, the soldier and the monk Contradictory as they may seem, it is not strange Military-monkish that they fused and produced military-monkish They arose under the peculiar circumorders stances which prevailed in Palestine during the crusades. Knights of Saint John were organized (1099) for the care of the sick among the pilgrims and crusaders It was not long, however, until the military element was added, The Knights of Saint John. because being surrounded by Saracens and constantly threatened they had to defend themselves In 1119 the Knights Templars were established in imitation of the Knights of Saint John Both orders were com-Knights Templars posed of men who took all the vows of monks, but spent their time fighting They were monks and soldiers Because of their connection with the Holy Land, the two orders became very popular throughout the west and received immense gifts They quickly became wealthy and degenerated rapidly. They soon quarrelled with each other, and forgetting that they were organized to fight the Saracens, turned their weapons against each other They struggled for mastery in the Mediterranean without much regard for the Holy Places and the defense of the pilgrims

From 1307 to 1314 Philip IV of France utterly destroyed the Templars in that country, and Pope Clement V supported him. They were charged with all sorts of crimes, but their only real offense was their wealth, which the king was determined to have. The Knights of Saint John remained in the eastern Mediterranean and long did good service against the Turks. They held many of the islands, such as Rhodes and Malta, and were successful in opposing the advance of the Turks to the west.

In 1190, during the siege of Ptolemais, a hospital was established for Germans by some merchants from Luebeck and Bremen. The members of this hospital were soon afterward organized into a military monkish order in imitation of the two spoken of above. They were called Equites Teutonici Hospitals.

pitalis Sanctæ Mariæ Virginis They tried hard to get a foothold in the east, but the other orders were so much older and had been so much longer in the field that it was The German impossible. In 1226 they were invited to come Order on the Raltic. to Prussia (the territory east of the lower Vistula) to fight against the heathen Prussians Already in 1202 Albert, Bishop of Riga, had established a similar order known as the Sword Brothers (Fratres Mılıtıæ Christi sive Gladiferi), and had made use of them in conquering and Christianizing the heathen of Livonia and Esthonia. In 1237 these two orders were united, and from this time the work was carried on with more vigor It was due to this order that so large a territory east of the Vistula was Germanized and Christianized, and added finally to Germany. The order served the cause of German expansion.

CHAPTER XV

MOHAMMED, MOHAMMEDANISM, AND THE CRUSADES

To the ancient Eastern Question, which was racial and political, Mohammed added in the seventh century a religious element. In the first vigor and enthusiasm of his movement the attempt was made to force the new religion on all The Eastern Question stated. peoples As Mohammedanism developed more and more into a great empire, its political interests came into the foreground and the zeal for the spread of the religion somewhat cooled. Tribute was almost as acceptable as the reception of Mohammedanism The great industrial development in all the Mohammedan countries led to commercial relations with the Christian peoples The financial advantages to be derived from this commerce tended still further to diminish the fanaticism thus far shown Christians were tolerated in all the Mohammedan countries Christian pilgrims were welcome in the east Since the Commercial inbenefits which were to be derived from comterests again in the foreground. merce were mutual, there sprang up a strong commercial rivalry between Christians and Mohammedans, which was felt all the way from Spain to Constantinople. The Mohammedans tried to establish themselves on the mainland of Europe in France, Italy, and Greece in order to secure greater commercial advantages

Since the time of Mohammed this Eastern Question has had four phases In the first, which extends from Mohammed to the crusades, we find the Moslems aggressive and making great conquests. They threatened Europe more than once, but were checked at Constantinople

and on the field of Tours (732). In Italy the Normans succeeded in breaking their power. During the most of this period the struggle between Mohammedans and Christians is principally political and commercial. Religious interests are in the background. Christian pilgrims, travellers, and merchants were seldom disturbed.

The second phase of the question covers the period of the crusades (1096–1270) In this there is a great reaction on the part of Christian Europe under the lead of second phase, the Church against the Mohammedans. It is 1096-1270. Inspired by religious zeal for the holy places The principal objects in view were to reconquer the lands which were once Christian and to regain possession of the Holy Sepulchre. In this period the chivalry of Europe hurls itself on the east, and although its motive seems to be religious, it will be evident, from a study of the crusades themselves, that the desire to get possession of lands and to establish independent principalities in the east far outweighed the religious interests in the minds of many of the leaders

The third phase of the question (1270-1683) shows the Mohammedans again aggressive and slowly pressing on Europe from the east. In Spain, however, they lost ground. Third phase. The Greek Empire finally fell into their hands. 1270-1683. and they pushed their way up the Danube and even reached the They laid siege to Vienna, 1683, but were centre of Europe checked before its walls and driven back. Since Fourth phase. that time the power of the Turks in Europe has ' been growing less. For a long time the Turk has been "the Sick Man of Europe," and it is only a question of time when the Mohammedan rule in Europe will be entirely destroyed.

In the sixth century Christianity in many parts of the east was in a sad state. Of Christian life there was almost none All emphasis was laid on the creed. There were the most violent struggles going on about various theological questions.

There were sects constantly arising from differences in creed, each one hating most bitterly all the others. Certain rites and Mohammedanism a revolt against to which were attached supernatural powers. Everything in the Church was so colored by superstition that it lacked little of being pure magic. Image worship had been introduced, and while its theory was perhaps good, its practice was thoroughly idolatrous. The Christianity of that day was utterly degraded, and the Christians differed very little from the other peoples about them. Mohammedanism was in part a revolt against this degradation

Christianity has never fully satisfied the eastern peoples. Although it arose among an eastern and Semitic people, it has been developed by Aryans, and in the process has been so changed that no eastern people has taken kindly to it. Christianity has been always presented to them as a logical, philosophical, theological system, but they are neither logical, nor philosophical, nor theological in their mental make-up. To appeal to them a thing must have poetry and sentiment in it. The creed of the Church they cannot understand.

With Alexander the Great, the Greek domination of the east began. For nearly a thousand years, beginning with his conquests, the Orient was forced to feel and unwillingly to confess the mental and military superiority of the Occident. The kingdom which Alexander the Great tried to establish went to

pieces, but the Greek influence which he first caused to be felt in the east grew steadily for hundreds of years. Greek colonies were everywhere to be found, and the commerce was largely in their hands. So strong was the Greek influence that the western parts of Asia were thoroughly Hellenized. The Greek language was spoken everywhere; Greek theatres were to be found in all the cities, Greek coins were current; Greek customs prevailed; the Greek gods were worshipped; and Greek modes of thought modified the intellectual life of the

peoples of the east. Mohammedanism was in part a violent national reaction against this Greek domination.

The Peninsula of Arabia consists of a high table-land in the centre, which slopes down toward the sea, and in many places retains its rocky, irregular character clear to the water's edge. In other places there is a narrow strip of fertile lowland bordering on the sea. There is little rain and the heat is intense. The soil even of the table-land is not absolutely sterile. If sufficient water could be had, the cultivation of the land would be remunerative. It is conveniently situated for carrying on commerce, being on the boundary between Africa and Asia, and has the advantage of trade by sea as well as by land.

The origin of the Arabs is obscure, but it is certain they were formed by a mixture of peoples from various countries. Late in the Christian era, they identified themselves with the descendants of Abraham through

Arabs. Ishmael, and adopted the tradition as found in the Old Testament They were broken up into various tribes, and had a sort of patriarchal government. There was no such thing as a state among them. Even in the towns there was no regular government. Each family defended its own interests, and was bound to avenge any injury done to its members. Consequently there were constant feuds

The religion of the Arabs seems to have been a crass mixture of superstitions brought together from all quarters. The worship of the stars was practised, as well as that of a large number of local gods or spirits, known as genii, ogres, and demons, all of which play a great part in their literature. Greek mythology had also found a place among them. All these gods and spirits were worshipped principally by sacrifices of various kinds. To them were erected many temples and altars. Fetiches were also used. A holy month was observed in which all warfare was suspended, and no one dared do even his worst enemy an injury. During

this holy season many markets were held and the caravans moved unhindered across the country. There were also holy places in which no fighting was permitted. Because of the security of such places, commerce flourished there and wealth accumulated. Great crowds came together at these places and were often entertained by literary readings. Poets recited their productions to the assembled people, and in this way the language of the district of Hedjaz came to be regarded as the proper dialect for literary purposes. By means of this literature all the tribes were brought closer together.

Probably about the middle of the fifth century the city of Mecca was founded, although there had been a temple there a long time before This temple was called, from its Mecca. shape, the Caaba (Cube), and contained a great black stone which was probably of meteoric origin the hands of the family of the Koraischites, who had charge of all the religious rites connected with it. They were not By collecting all the idols of the country priests, however there and establishing ceremonies in connection with them, they had made Mecca the most important city of Arabia. To it pilgrimages were made from all parts of the peninsula become a national sanctuary. At the same time the various stories connected with Abraham were attached to it said that Hagar and Ishmael had come to this valley of Mecca when driven out by Abraham, and that the well Zemzem, in Mecca, was the one which the angel had pointed out to Hagar when she and her son were about to perish with thirst. It was added that Abraham and Ishmael had built the Caaba, and the angel Gabriel had brought them the black stone had been perfectly white, but it had become black from the sins of the people who had since touched it

Christianity was known among the Arabs On the southwestern coast the Christian king of Abyssinia had established a kingdom, which was, however, of short duration. His discontented subjects had called on the Persians to come to their assistance. The Christian kingdom was overthrown and the country was ruled by Persian satraps. There were also many Jews in various parts of Arabia, especially in the north. In the neighborhood of Medina there were Jewish colonies, through whom the people of Medina had become acquainted with the ideas of prophecy and the expected Jewish Messiah. These Jews had become thorough Arabs in everything except their religion. To add to the religious confusion, the religious ideas of Persia had also been introduced into Arabia.

Almost nothing is known of Mohammed's early life. was born at Mecca about 570 His father was Abdallah of the Koraischite family of the Haschim, and the Mohammed's family of his mother was from Medina early life. father died about the time he was born, and when he was six years old his mother took him with her to Medina death he returned to Mecca where his grandfather received him Two years later he died and Mohammed passed into his house into the care of his uncle Abu Talib, who was in all possible respects a father to him His uncle, however, was poor, and Mohammed had to earn his own living He was compelled to perform the most menial labor, such as attending sheep, which was the ordinary work of slaves His early journeys to Syria are probably legendary When he was about twenty-five years old he entered the service of a rich widow, Chadija. who seems to have been distantly related to him, and he served her for some He was soon elevated from his humble time as camel-driver position by his marriage with Chadija, whose wealth made him an important person

Just at this time there was a good deal of religious fermentation in Arabia, due probably to the presence of so many religions there. Some people were becoming converts to Judaism, others to Christianity, and still others were attacking the idolatry of the country with great vigor. There was apparent a tendency toward monotheism. Mohammed was so religious by nature that he must have been influenced by such things. As

he approached his fortieth year his religious interest began to predominate over all others. He retired yearly during the sacred month of Ramadan to pray and fast on a His religious fervor mountain near Mecca. He learned much from the Jews about their religion and a little from the Christians about Christ. Being of a very nervous temperament, he became subject to attacks which were both hysterical and epileptic in char-He saw visions and dreamed dreams, strange sounds rang in his ears, and he seemed to hear voices addressing him Ordinarily such things were regarded as the marks of possession by evil spirits This was Mohammed's first interpretation of them, and he was so full of despair that he even thought of Probably through the influence of some of taking his own life his friends, who saw in these attacks the signs of a great calling, he was persuaded that they were the work of the true God, who in this way was revealing His will to him. This thought filled him with strength and he began to declare the truths which he believed he had thus received. There can be no doubt that Mohammed believed what he announced God, divine truth, revelation through dreams, visions, and omens, all were to him absolute realities No doubt the thoughts which he had waking were repeated in his visions. His religious convictions were so strong that when he became convinced that God was making revelations to him, he could not but believe that he had received all his ideas from God. With so direct a nature as that of Mohammed it was to be expected that he would not hesitate in the choice of means To him the end in view was the principal thing Anything which would help to attain it would be allowable He certainly used some deceit and fraud, at least later in life. But his religion was less the work of a deceiver than of an enthusiast

In the ninety-sixth sura of the Koran there is an account of the vision which fully confirmed Mohammed in the belief that he was a prophet and so called to preach his doctrine to the people vision occurred probably about the year 610 The chronology of most of his life, however, is very much in doubt. He was constantly in touch with Jews, and learned from them much of the contents of the Old Testament, which he later announced as having been given to him in visions He appealed to the teachings of both Jews and Christians. He thought that of course one revelation could not contradict another.

For some time his success was small The members of his family were his first converts, his wife, his children, his slaves, and his friends who knew him best were the first to believe in him. This is certainly very verts. much to his credit. He labored among the people of the city, but met with violent opposition. The slaves and people of the lower classes heard him gladly, and many of them confessed their faith in him. His attacks on the idols and the religious customs of Mecca angered many, because they feared he would destroy the reputation of the city, and deprive it of its character for holiness, and thereby ruin it, for if it should lose its character as a holy place its commerce would probably be destroyed. The opposition was very bitter. Many of his followers from the lower classes were maltreated, and all of them were subjected to many kinds of unpleasantness. This led Mohammed to send as many of them as he could across to Abyssinia, where they could enjoy religious liberty. Those who remained in Mecca were in a sad plight because all the rest of the people refused to have anything to do with them They even refused to sell them the necessaries of life. For a long while Mohammed and his followers were shut off in a quarter of the city, and it seemed that they would have to yield because of starvation. Mohammed succeeded, however, in winning the confidence of some able and energetic men who were of the greatest service to him He made converts also of some people from Jathrib, who made a contract with him to protect him and his followers if he should come to live in their city. Mecca was becoming so hostile to him that it was only a question of time when he must seek another refuge. Gradually his followers were sent on to Jathrib, and, at last, Mohammed himself and his most trusted companions slipped out of

Mecca and eventually reached Jathrib (622)

This is the famous Hegira (Flight of the Prophet). Because of its connection with Mohammed, Jathrib soon lost its name and was called Medina, the City of the Prophet

The change to Medina was a wise one. Almost all the people of the city received him as a prophet, and his word was law unto them. Their intercourse with the Jews about them had prepared them to understand the teaching of Mohammed. He began to build mosques, and tried to win all the Jews of that district. He confidently believed that there was no difference between their creed and his. He met, however, with little or no success among them.

After arranging various matters concerning religious ceremonies, Mohammed turned his attention toward the humbling of Mecca. He could not, of course, carry on a war, so he confined his efforts to plundering the caravans of Mecca as they passed by. He did not spare them even in the holy month, a thing which caused great indignation in many quarters. Mohammed thereupon published a statement that it was justified because they had refused to obey the Prophet of God. War against heretics and the disobedient was permissible at all times.

Early in 624 the first decisive struggle between Mohammed and the people of Mecca took place. Mohammed set out with His first victory, about three hundred men to plunder a caravan.

624. Mecca sent out about three times as many to defend it. In the fight that ensued Mohammed was victorious. About fourteen of his followers were slain in the battle, while his enemy lost about seventy, many of whom were among the most prominent persons of Mecca. A large number of prisoners was taken, and much booty in the shape of weapons and armor fell into the prophet's hands. Most important of all was

the reputation which he had won by this victory. It had been proved that God was with him. How else could so small a force have been victorious over so large a number? Unimportant as this battle of Badr seemed, it was the beginning of Mohammed's success. All Arabia was impressed with the belief that he was a true prophet. Moreover, his followers' lust for booty was awakened, and this was an instinct to which Mohammed could now appeal with a force which was not to be resisted. In order to interest all, he ordered that the booty should be divided among those who had taken part in the battle. To encourage the formation of a cavalry he gave to each one who had fought on horseback one share of the spoil for himself, and allowed him two shares for his horse. The ransoms of the prisoners were also divided. One-fifth of the whole booty was reserved for public purposes.

Some of the Tewish tribes near the Mediterranean were next conquered. The people themselves were driven out and the spoil divided among the followers of Mohammed. For about a vear Mohammed's success was uninterrupted. His name was becoming more powerful all the time, and he hoped that he might soon have all the peninsula in submission to him. The bright outlook, however, was destroyed by the unfortunate battle at the foot of Mount Uhud (625) The Mount Uhud, 625. people of Mecca determined to avenge their loss of the year before and sent out more than three thousand men to attack Medina. Mohammed was able to put only one thousand men into the field, some of whom deserted just before the battle began The fight resulted in the total defeat of Mohammed, and the loss of many of his men This did much to destroy the reputation which he had made one year before

The next few years were spent by Mohammed in various raids against the tribes to the north and east, but no great successes can be set down to his credit. So bitter was the opposition in many quarters against him, that in 627 the people of Mecca were able to lay siege to Medina with an army of ten

thousand men, many of whom came from the hostile tribes to Mohammed had dug a great fosse around the east and north the city so that it could not be taken, and after about a month the siege was raised and the attacking army scattered years more Mohammed carried on expeditions on a small scale against the tribes in many parts of Arabia successes. successes became more marked all the time, and the booty taken was great. Large numbers of the conquered tribes accepted his religion, and Mohammed saw his power daily increasing. Gradually the idea became fixed that the faithful were bound to compel all heathen to accept the true religion. The wide task which Mohammed set for himself may be appreciated from the fact that during this time he sent messengers and letters even to the Emperor of Constantinople and to the king of Persia, with the demand that they should recognize him as the Prophet of God, and threatened them with the judgments of heaven if they refused to do so.

Finally he made a treaty with the people of Mecca, by which he was allowed to enter the city with his followers and perform his religious ceremonies The agreement was soon broken by some of the people of Mecca, who joined in a raid against some of Mohammed's allies. Since he now commanded a large force, Mohammed was glad of an opportunity to attack Mecca and punish it for its long-continued opposition to him Canture of Mecca, set out against Mecca and took the city almost 630. without resistance His natural mildness, as well as his judgment, told him that he could more easily win the hearts of the people by kindness to them than by violence. He forgave all who had wronged and resisted him, and the people were so moved by his generosity that the whole city now accepted his religion. Even the poets had nothing but words of praise for him, and they sang of his glory and his fame

The remaining two years of his life brought almost continued successes to him. Almost the whole of Arabia accepted him as a prophet, and he was able to bring together large numbers of

troops to carry on his conquests. In 632 he made his last pilgrimage to Mecca, and there performed all the religious ceremonies in the way which has ever since been regarded by his followers as the only proper one. He died, probably of fever, June 8, 632 One of his last acts was to organize and send out an army against the Greek Emperor, and so he may be said to have indicated at the very last the direction in which he wished his authority to be extended

Mohammed's great work was to found a new religion and at the same time create a new state. "He began as a mule driver and ended as both a pope and a king." By a careful study of the Koran, and the rearrangement of its suras in the order in which they were written, his life after his appearance as a prophet presents three periods In the first he was a reformer, he thought only of restoring the pure form of the Three periods of ancient religion. His principal object of attack his public life. was the idols which offended his religious sense. The language of those parts of the Koran which originated during this period is exalted and poetic. The purely religious side of Mohammed is shown in them He felt great religious truths and his soul was stirred to its depths by them. In the second period it is clear that Mohammed had come to see that his beliefs differed from those of both Jews and Christians was conscious of the fact that he was founding a new religion The suras of this period are rhetorical and his creed is as nearly worked out into a system as is possible. The exalted poetical tone is gone. Everything shows the influence of calculation. In the third period Mohammed appears as the lawgiver of the new state which he was founding. The suras of this time are all in prose. They are prolix, repetitious, and dull ligious truth has been replaced by political considerations

The Koran consists of the sayings of Mohammed uttered at various times and for various purposes. It is given under the form of a revelation. At first, Mohammed, no doubt, believed that he actually received revelations. In common with his

age, he regarded dreams and omens as coming directly from God and bearing a message from Him Later in life he retained the same form, although much of what he The Koran. then said was the result of deliberation and cal-Many of his words were written down at the time culation of their utterance on palm leaves, bones, bits of papyrus, and Others were remembered and written down later At his death all these were gathered together and arranged in a very hap-hazard fashion Even during his life his sayings had been regarded as holy and binding in their authority While Mohammed was glad to have others regard them so, he himself never hesitated to change them. Some utterances he withdrew entirely, others he modified, but from the time of his death the idea prevailed that every word was holy and should not be changed in any way Abu Bekr, his successor, collected all the sayings of Mohammed, made a careful copy of them, and then destroyed all those sayings which differed from his copy.

Mohammed's teachings were, on the whole, very simple His principal doctrine was that there is but one God, and that Mohammed himself was His Prophet. Mohammed's teachings. his reply to all opposition and criticism He never abated his claims, and much of his success was probably due to the fact that he was so certain about what he taught. In religious matters there is nothing that so convinces people, even against their judgment, as the certitude of the preacher. There are no religious secrets or mysteries in his religion According to his ideas, religion consists in obedience to the commands of God The Koran contains commands, but no doctrines, as the Christian Church uses that Mohammed did not try to teach, or make, a theology word He sought only to make known God's will and to compel obedience to it. Rewards and punishments in a future life played a large part in his teachings Obedience to God will be rewarded; disobedience punished His conception of heaven and hell, and of rewards and punishments, were crass and sensual He vigorously inculcated many active virtues Widows and orphans were to be protected, slaves must be treated as brothers, almsgiving was one of the most important virtues, to be practised at all times. There were some things which he probably adopted from the Jews, such as the prohibition of the use of pork. He forbade gambling in all its forms, and also the use of wine.

While Mohammed had many of the faults of his age, he was in many respects far ahead of it. He practised and permitted polygamy, and may seem to have degraded woman, but it must be remembered that polygamy was practised among his people long before his time. He regulated it. He did much to raise woman to a higher plane. He put an end to " the inhuman custom of exposing or burying alive female A proper estimate of his character His Character. can be formed only after a careful study of his times and a knowledge of him in all the relations of his life. Many of his most serious faults were due either to his conception of the prophetic office, or to the character of his times or people His character was full of contrasts Noeldeke compares him in this respect with King David, in whom we find the greatest vindictiveness, cruelty, and deceit joined with the most noble qualities. Morally, Mohammed was not evenly balanced. He had poor discrimination between right and wrong, at least in some respects He was simple and modest. Even in the days of his greatest success he lived in the most simple fashion. He mended his own clothes and attended to his own wants. He needed no slaves, and consequently liberated all the captives who fell to him in the distribution of spoil He was never a tyrant to his people. He was mild, gentle, forgiving, and conciliatory He associated freely with men of every rank. He was free from luxury in food, dress, and surroundings. He was true in all his friendships and deeply grateful for any kindness shown him. In common

with his age, he was superstitious and believed in the influence of good and evil spirits, and the importance of dreams and all kinds of omens

Mohammed made the Arabs into a nation and brought them into history. His influence on them intellectually may be seen from the fact that for nearly three His quickening influence on the hundred years the Arabs led the world in civili-From a large number of barbarous zation. tribes he made them into a civilized people The good parts of his work were later destroyed by the ignorant and fanatical peoples from central Asia, who came down and acquired the political power over the Mohammedan world. Under their influence all the evils of Mohammed's religion were developed and its good destroyed. Mohammed himself is not responsible for the Mohammedanism of medanism is Turkish. to-day. It is the creation of the Turkish peoples who adopted his religion and ruled it for nearly eight hundred years Turkish Mohammedanism is a very different thing from the early Arabic Mohammedanism.

Mohammed was a religious genius. It may be objected that he lacked all originality and produced nothing new and that he was indebted to the Jews and Christians for nearly all his ideas. While that is true, he nevertheless felt the power of these ideas as no one else had for several centuries. He had a strong conception of the unity of God. He saw and felt a great religious truth in a direct way. His originality consisted not so much in new knowledge as in the vigor, directness, and certainty of his religious perceptions. Others might have learned the same things from the Jews and Christians, but Mohammed alone felt their truth and breathed a new religious power into them.¹

After his death the question of a successor at once arose, and it was all the more difficult to decide since Mohammed

¹ Carlyle's Heroes and Hero-Worship, Lecture II., contains an appreciative estimate of Mohammed's character.

himself had said nothing about it. Since he was a prophet, it seemed to some that he ought to be succeeded by a prophet. In accordance with this idea many prophets arose, each one claiming to be the true successor to him. Others thought that the office should be hereditary, and wished to invest his son-in-law Ali with his power. The principle of election, however, prevailed. It was determined by his immediate following that Abu Bekr was the proper one to succeed him. He was, therefore, elected and took the name of Khalif (Successor or Vicar of him who was sent by God).

The death of Mohammed was followed by many revolts throughout all Arabia Tribe after tribe threw off the voke and refused to pay the tribute Abu Bekr was the man for the place One after another of the rebellious tribes was punished and his authority over all Arabia was soon established. army which Mohammed had prepared against Syria was sent out by him, and returned after a short time with much booty. Abu Bekr, thus firmly established in power, was ready to begin the war on both Persia and Byzantium. Within a few years Syria, Mesopotamia, all the Euphrates valley, Babylon, Assyria, and Persia were conquered. Abu Bekr died in 634, but his successor, Omar, carried on the war with Omar, 634-44. even greater vigor and success. He felt that his troops had gone far enough to the east, and determined not to try for further conquests in that direction. In 634 he planned to attack Egypt, but hesitated some time before sending his general, Amr, against it Even after the army had been set in motion Omar changed his mind and sent a legate to Amr, ordering him to return. Amr, however, did not open the letter until after he had crossed the frontier, and so continued his march In a short time all Egypt was in his hands, and thence he proceeded further to the west He overran northern Africa as far west as Tripoli Omar thought this was far enough and ordered his troops to proceed no further.

But Omar was unable to put a limit to the spread of Mo-In unabated vigor it was carried to the conhammedanism fines of India and to the Oxus river Soon the Turkish tribes in central Asia were reached and became Mohammedan. As if wearied with its efforts, there now came a period in which Mohammedanism made little progress There were many dissensions among the leaders and it seemed that the great conquests could hardly be held together. In 644 Othman ould hardly be new together.

othman, 644-55.

was elected as the successor of Omar Alı, Mohammed's son-in-law, was particularly dissatisfied because he wished the office himself The ambitions of many began to show themselves and Othman could not count on general support. He was finally slain by a party of rebels Ali. 655-61. and Alı was proclaimed his successor (655-61). The reign of Alı was full of civil strife Moawijah, grandnephew of Othman, got possession of Syria and resisted Ali vigorously. In 661 Ali was murdered and Moawijah established himself as Khalif, at Damascus. A rival Khalif, Abdallah, was set up in Arabia, and for a while controlled Egypt, Arabia, and a part of Syria and Persia. A bitter war followed, in which the holy places, Mecca and Medina, suffered terribly. Abdallah was finally defeated The Ommeiades and the Ommerades, as the family of Moawijah at Damascus. 661-750. was called, held nominal sway over all the Mohammedan world. They had to contend, however, with constant revolts and rebellions. During the first decades of the eighth century this Ommeiad dynasty was able to take up the work of conquest again and push it with vigor. Under Owalid I. (705-15), his general, Hedjadj, who was governor of Persia. crossed the Oxus and conquered Bokhara, Samarkand, and other provinces and cities, and even reached Kashgar and the Chinese frontier The Indus valley was occupied by another army, and the Punjab invaded. Armenia and Asia Minor were attacked, but without success Moawijah had conquered some of the Greek islands, and in 672 he laid siege to Constantinople The Emperor Constantine Pogonatus resisted him successfully by the aid of Greek fire, and after seven years the siege was raised. In 717 the city was again besieged, but without success

During the last years of the seventh century the Ommeiades kept up a war in Africa, all the western part of which was in the hands of the Greeks and Berbers. Gradually the territory was conquered, and by the year 708 all of northern Africa was in the hands of the Mohammedans, except the port Ceuta, on the strait, opposite Spain. It is said that Count Julien, who held Ceuta, induced them to attack the king of the West Goths and assisted them in crossing the strait. In 711 they began the invasion, and within a few years almost all of Spain was in their hands. Fortunately for Christian Europe, the able general, Mousa, who had accomplished the passage, was disgraced and removed from the command. Consequently, Progress in Eufor several years the war was not carried on with rope checked; In the meantime Karl Martel battle of Tours. so much vigor was preparing to receive the invaders, and in 732 met them before Tours and decisively defeated them They were, however, not driven entirely out of France until 759 The brave resistance of Constantinople and the victory of Karl Martel set limits to their progress in Europe

The Ommeiad dynasty continued to meet with great opposition. The descendants of Ali were scattered here and there, and were still regarded by some as the legal heirs of the Khalifate. Some of its members revolted, but were overcome and put to death. Other members were bitterly persecuted. Another family now appeared and claimed the Khalifate. They were the descendants of Abba, an uncle of Mohammed. They laid their plans well, and began a revolt which ended in 750 in the destruction of the Ommeiad dynasty. Only one of that family escaped, Abderrahman, who fled to Spain, where he was received with honor. The Abbassides, the descendants of Abba, now usurped the Khalifate. About 760 they founded

the city of Bagdad on the Tigris river and made it their residence. This removal of the capital to Bagdad was a mistake and hastened the political dissolution of the Mus-The Abbassides sulman Empire. The religious supremacy of the at Bagdad, 750-1258 , revolt of Khalifate of Bagdad was hardly questioned, but

the west.

it was impossible to rule Africa and Spain from These western provinces now practically a city so far east broke away from the Khalıfate and followed a development of their own. It was not, however, until the tenth century that this political separation was consummated by the establishment of two other Khalıfates, one at Cairo, in Egypt, and the other at Cordova, in Spain.

The Khalifate of Bagdad reached its height about 800 under Haroun-al-Raschid. The political dismemberment of it began Disintegration of soon afterward. The emirs, or governors of the Khalifate. provinces, began to make themselves independent of the Khalif and ruled practically as kings. The Mohammedan Empire passed through something very like feudal Europe. Khorassan, Persia, Mesopotamia, and Syria gradually detached themselves from the Khalif At the same time the Turks began to come down from their home in central Asia, and gradually established themselves in many provinces. The power of the Khalif grew less and less Some time before the downfall, when it became apparent that he could not rely on the faithfulness of his emirs, he had begun to establish a standing mercenary army, which was composed largely of Turks. increased their power and soon saw that the Khalifate was in their hands. They were not slow to take advantage of their The Seldjuk Turks (so named opportunities The Seldiuk Turks. from one of their leaders) made themselves master of all the eastern provinces, and in 1058 their chief, Togrul Beg, was called to Bagdad by the Khalıf, who resigned into his hands all the temporal authority, and made him Sultan of the Mohammedan world The Khalif became merely a religious The political authority rested in the hands of Togrul officer.

Beg and his successors This changed Khalifate continued until 1258, when the son of the great conqueror Ghengis Khan put to death the last Khalif of Bagdad

About 755 Abderrahman, the last of the Ommerades, reached Spain and soon made himself lord of the country. He and his successors were called emirs, or sultans, or sons The Khalifate of It was not until 929, under of the Khalifs Cordova (755), 020-1031. Abderrahman III, that the title of Khalif was adopted by them. This Khalifate was small, containing parts of Spain and a portion of northern Africa. It was constantly at war with the Christian states on the north (the kingdom of Leon, the county of Castile, the kingdom of Navarre, and the county of Catalonia, and later also the county of Portugal). Under the vigorous reign of Abderrahman III the progress of the Christians was checked. He united all the Mohammedans of Spain under himself The greatness of his power may be seen by the fact that at his court Abderrahman III. were to be found ambassadors from all the Christian courts of He was governed wholly by political, and not by religious, considerations Under his son, who succeeded him, the Arabic civilization reached its height Hicham II was weak and the power was exercised by Ibn-abi-Amir, his prime-minister, who died in 1002, after a long and successful administra-His sons succeeded him, but within seven years tion of affairs the last one of them was slain in a revolution A period of violence followed, which resulted in the deposition of the last Khalif of Cordova (about 1031) and the formation of many independent provinces or kingdoms Weakened by constant intestine struggles, the Mohammedans of Spain were now unable to resist the advance of the Christians, and were compelled to ask help of the Berber Almoravides of Africa This led to another Berber invasion, which was to put an end to the little kingdoms and destroy in part the civilization of the country.

After the destruction of the Ommeiades, Africa suffered for a long time all the evils of anarchy. Several little independent

principalities were established, which the Aghlabites, to whom the Abbassides had entrusted the government of Africa, were Early in the tenth century a powerful not able to conquer family, claiming to be descended from Fatima, one of the daughters of Mohammed, began to wage war on the Aghlabites The Faturites in and succeeded in wresting from them the con-Egypt, 900-1171. trol of Africa. They secured possession of Egypt, and in 969 founded Cairo, which they made the seat of their government. All the islands of the western Mediterranean belonged to them In 712 Sardinia had been taken by the Mohammedans. In 832 Sicily was entirely in their hands They plundered the coast of Italy and France throughout the whole of the ninth century, and established themselves there very often in strongholds along the coast They acquired some forts in the Apennines and penetrated even to the higher parts of the Alps In the Swiss Canton of Graubuenden there are still traces of some of these Arabic settlements All these possessions came under the control of the Fatimites In the eleventh century their power began to fail. One after another of their provinces was wrested from them Nearly all their lands, except Egypt, revolted from them The Normans drove them out of Sicily and Italy. The crusades began a little later and contributed their share to reduce the Khalifate of Cairo power grew less and less until, in 1171, Saladin, who ruled Western Asia, conquered the Khalifate and added it to his territory.

During the five centuries following Mohammed's death there was produced among his followers a civilization far in advance of anything in Europe. The basis for it all they received from Persia and Greece But although they derived much from these two countries, they also added much to the stock thus obtained.

In the administration of the government the Mohammedans had an excellent system, which was pretty thoroughly unified. Their system of taxation was good. They restored the old

Roman roads and built many new ones, thus binding all parts of the empire together. Canals and aqueducts were constructed. A postal system was in operation among them.

It is probable that Frederick II modelled the civilization. government of his state in southern Italy after that of the Mohammedans. Large cities sprang up in all parts of the empire, many of them numbering a half million or more inhabitants. They developed a beautiful style of architecture, which was characterized by the round and horse-shoe arch, the dome, the tall and graceful minaret, and the richness of its ornamentation. In everything connected with their buildings they showed the most exquisite taste and appreciation of beauty. Their architectural remains, consisting of splendid mosques and palaces, are still the wonder and envy of the world.

They established great universities, which excelled all those of Europe for several centuries The mosques were generally the seat of a university or a learned society, Learning. and were the places where all sorts of questions were freely discussed The universities of Bagdad, Cairo, and Cordova were especially famous, but there were also many others The university of Cairo, which still exists in the mosque El-Azhar, had as many as twelve thousand students Great libraries were formed, some of which were said to have contained several hundred thousand volumes, all properly arranged and catalogued. The universities, especially in Spain, were visited by many Christians, who thus carried much of the Mohammedan learning and culture into Christian Europe. One of the most famous of these students was Gerbert, afterward Pope Silvester II., who did much to introduce the science of mathematics into Europe

Philosophy, theology, law, rhetoric, and philology were studied with great zest. Dictionaries were compiled, and many commentaries of the Koran were written. The Mohammedans were acquainted with the works of Aristotle and their philosophical systems were based on him. Many histories

were written, the most of which are products of the imagination. A few of their historical writers, however, went to the proper sources for their materials and laid down for themselves some good rules of historical criticism. Several works on travel and some biographies are handed down to us

In mathematics they built on the work of the Greek mathe-The origin of the so-called Arabic numerals is obmaticians Under Theoderic the Great, Bothius Mathematics. made use of certain signs which were in part very like the nine digits which we now use. One of the pupils of Gerbert also used signs which were still more like ours, but the zero was unknown This was invented in the twelfth century by an Arab mathematician named Mohammed-Ibn-Mousa. He invented the decimal notation and gave the digits the value of position, a thing which was not practised until the zero was In geometry the Arabs did not add much to Euclid, but Algebra is practically their creation About 820 a treatise on Algebra was written by an Arab which contained equations of the second degree He also attempted to apply Algebra to Geometry. Spherical Trigonometry was developed during the ninth to the twelfth centuries. They invented the sine. tangent, and co-tangent. In physics they made a good beginning. They invented the pendulum Works were produced on optics and kindred subjects They made progress in the science of astronomy. They built several observatories and constructed many astronomical instruments which are still in use They calculated the angle of the ecliptic and the precession of the equinoxes Their knowledge of the subiect was undoubtedly profound

In medicine they made great advances over the work of the Greeks in the same line. They studied physiology and hygiene. Their "materia medica" was practically the same as ours to-day. Many of their methods of treatment are still in use among us. Their surgeons performed some of the most difficult operations known. They

knew the use of anæsthetics — At the time when in Europe the practice of medicine was forbidden by the Church, and cures were expected to be effected by religious rites performed by the clergy, the Arabs had a real science of medicine. In chemistry they made a good beginning — They discovered many new substances and compounds, such as alcohol, potassium, nitrate of silver, corrosive sublimate, and nitric and sulphuric acid

There was great literary activity among them, and they produced many works of the imagination. They had a special fondness for poetry In manufactures they surpassed the world in variety and beauty of design and perfection of workmanship. They worked in all the metals—gold, silver, copper, bronze, iron, and steel. In textile fabrics they have never been surpassed. They made glass and pottery of the finest quality They knew the secrets of dyeing and they manufactured paper. They had many processes of dressing leather, and their work was famous throughout Europe. They made tinctures, essences, and syrups. They made sugar from the cane and grew many fine kinds of wine They practised farming in a scientific way. They had good systems of irrigation They knew the value of fertilizers They fitted their crops to the quality of the ground. They excelled in horticulture. They knew how to graft and were able to produce some new varieties of fruits and flowers. They introduced into the west many trees and plants from the east. Scientific treatises on farming were written by them

Their commerce attained great proportions. Their caravans traversed the empire from one end to the other, and their sails covered the seas Great fairs and markets were held at many places, some of which were visited by merchants from all parts of Europe and Asia. Their merchants had connections with China, India, and the East Indies, with the interior of Africa and with Russia, and with all the countries lying around the Baltic. Their commerce covered all parts of the known world.

Much of the Mohammedan civilization was destined to be introduced into Europe, especially by means of the crusades its own home, however, it suffered almost com-Arabic cıvilizaplete annihilation by the coming of the ignorant tion destroyed by the Turks and fanatical Turks, who showed, indeed, that they could prey upon it, but could not assimilate and improve Their fanaticism led them to oppose all science, because it might be injurious to their religious belief. Their hatred of people of other religions led them into wars with them, during which their industries and commerce languished. Since the Turks were far less civilized and without the proper appreciation of the necessities as well as the luxuries of civilized life, they tended to destroy the culture which they found ish control ruined the Arabic civilization and destroyed the free, liberal character of Mohammedanism. Since the coming of the Turks it has no longer been what it was originally, and the lands which were once like gardens are now almost like a desert

The descendants of Togrul Beg continued their conquests to the west. All Syria was taken from the Khalifate of Cairo and Asia Minor was next attacked The eastern Em-The Turks and peror was unable to resist them, and in 1071 Alp the Greek Empire. Arslan won a decisive victory at Manzikert. His son and successor, Malik Schah (1071-92), continued his conquests and got possession of all Asia Minor, and many of the islands in the Ægean. He was unable, however, to unite his possessions into one strong government. Many of his agents, or emirs, revolted and established themselves as independent Kılıdsch Arslan held western Asıa Minor with hıs residence at Nicæa. At the death of Malik Schah (1092) civil war ensued among his brothers and his sons, each of whom wished to secure the office of sultan. This, of course, increased the political disintegration This state of affairs greatly weakened the power of the Mohammedans and made possible the success of the first crusade.

The successes of the Turks in Asia Minor were due in large measure to the revolutions in Constantinople, and to the weakness and wretched policy of the eastern Emperors. The independent kingdom of the Armenians, which occupied the eastern part of Asia Minor, was in every possible way weakened and at length forced into subjection to Constantinople The religious hatred between the Greeks and the Armenians was very strong. If Armenia had been left free and undisturbed, it would certainly have been an excellent defence against the Turks. might have been made one of the best allies of the Empire. In the eleventh century many revolutions took place in the palace, and several Emperors were either poisoned or dethroned. Michael VII (1071-78) appealed to Pope Gregory VII. for help against the Turks, and held out to the Pope as an inducement that in return he would subject Negotiations the eastern Church to the Bishop of Rome between the east and the west. Gregory VII actually got together a large army (1074) and was preparing to send it east when his trouble with Henry IV began, and the expedition was given up VII. was not able to offer any successful resistance to the Turks, and his successor, Nicephoros III (1078-81), was dethroned by the usurper Alexius I Comnenus (1081-1118), who was a vigorous and able ruler.

Alexius Comnenus began to attack the Turks, and was successful in winning a few ports on the Black Sea and in driving them back from the coast in several places. Just at this time, however, Robert Guiscard was entertaining high ideas about the conquest of the eastern Empire and was beginning his invasion of Epirus. It was only by the greatest ertions, coupled with the fact that the Pope recalled conquer the lost territory. The death of Robert Guiscard (1085) made it possible for Alexius to make peace with Robert's brother, Roger, and his son, Boemund. Another danger at once threatened from beyond the Danube. The Petchenegs, a Turk-

ish people, had for some time been on the Danube and now began to invade the Empire from that quarter. It was not till root that Alexius was able to reduce them to subjection then free to attack the Turks in Asia Minor and to try to regain his lost territory If the Turks should remain in possession of all Asia Minor, his Empire would be constantly threatened the greatest efforts, however, Alexius could make little progress against them, and it seemed as if he must give up all hope of reuniting Asia Minor to the Empire It is probable that in the year 1095 he sent messengers to the Pope, Urban II., with the request that assistance should be sent him from the west. It is further probable that these messengers came to Urban while he was holding a council at Piacenza (March, 1095). what was done in the council it is impossible to say, because its acts have been lost. From Piacenza Urban proceeded into France and in the autumn of the same year held the great Council of Cler- council at Clermont, which was attended by Immense numbers of people After various matters were attended to, Urban broached the subject of a crusade. On the twenty-sixth of November he preached a sermon in the open air before the assembled multitudes, in which he pictured the wretched condition of the Holy Land, how its churches had been polluted, its holy shrines seized by unbelievers, the distress of the Christian brethren in the east, and the danger which threatened Europe if the Mohammedans were allowed to retain Asia Minor in their possession called on all those who could bear arms to give up their private warfare and join in an expedition against the Mohammedans. to bear aid to the Christians whom they were oppressing, and to recover the holy places

The appeal fell on willing ears Europe was at this time in the midst of a great revival of asceticism. The Cluniac movement was about at its height. A holy war, according to the ideas of the time, was itself ascetic in character. Besides, it offered an excellent opportunity to make a pilgrimage to the

holy places For a long time the custom of making pilgrimages had been growing. It had come to be regarded as a most meritorious work, and prayers offered in such consecrated places were regarded as especially efficacious. All holy relics were highly prized, and supernatural qualities were attributed to them. It was but natural, therefore, that a call to a crusade should appeal with power to the imagination of the people.

It is impossible to say just what Urban had in mind when he preached this sermon If he wished simply to secure help for Alexius, he far overshot the mark Instead of this, all minds were fixed on the thought of rescuing the Holy Sepulchre from the hands of the improvisation of unbelievers We do not know how much emphasis he laid on the idea of sending help to Alexius, but it is certain that the assembly thought principally of the holy places Even while he spoke, many shouted their approval. "God wills it!" was heard from every lip Thousands pressed upon him when he ceased speaking, and took the vow to go on the crusade and received the sign, a red cross fastened from the right shoulder diagonally across the breast. Urban then renewed the prohibition of private war, put the property of the crusaders under the especial care of the Church, offered large; spiritual rewards and benefits to all who would join the movement, and commanded the clergy to preach the crusade in all parts of France The Pope refused to take the active leadership of the crusade, but named as his representative Ademar, bishop of Puy, a man after his own heart

Among the many who went out to preach the crusade was Peter the Hermit. The ordinary accounts of Peter, which make him the real originator of the crusades, are entirely false. He was born probably in the diocese of Amiens and became a monk or hermit Before this Hermit time he had started on a pilgrimage to Palestine, but never reached that country. For some reason he turned back,

probably because of the violence that was then being done to Christians in the territory of the Seldiuk Turks He may have been present at the Council of Clermont, but he certainly had not preached the crusade before that time There, is no evidence that he had ever seen Urban. It is certain that he had no influence over him During the last quarter of the eleventh century many Christians from Palestine and Asia Minor came to the west, having been driven out by the Turks. Pilgrims were often abused, and these on their return did not fail to tell of their woes. Peter probably spoke often enough of his experiences on his journey, but he had not preached a crusade nor had he persuaded Urban to do so The Pope originated the crusade Peter the Hermit had nothing to do with it After the Council of Clermont Peter went about through the central and northern parts of France and quickly had fifteen thousand people at his back. They were mostly without arms and without discipline. The party consisted of men, women, and children He set out with them for Palestine in March, 1096 He spent some time in Cologne preaching the crusade and trying to increase his army. A number of those who had come with him became tired of waiting and set out alone under Walter the Penniless through Hungary and Bulgaria, and reached Constantinople in July, 1096 Peter followed a short time afterward, passing up the Rhine through the Neckar valley, then over to Ulm. and down the Danube. It is difficult to obtain the facts in regard to his passage through Hungary and Bulgaria. It is probable that in Hungary the band met with some opposition, caused by its own depredations At Semlin it is said that they discovered hanging on the walls of the town the weapons and the armor of some of those who had set out under Walter to avenge these, Peter ordered them to attack the town was carried by storm and plundered After resting there they entered Bulgaria. Because of violence done by some of the crusaders they were attacked. Many were slain and the

the talent necessary to manage so great an undertaking, and he played an unimportant rôle Stephen of Blois was utterly leaders from insignificant, but was vain and ambitious to be northern France. regarded as a great man He showed his true character later by deserting Robert of Normandy was brave, but without the qualities which make a leader. Godfrey of Boulogne was a most pious, brave, and upright knight, but no leader His two brothers accompanied him; Count Eustace was of little importance, but Baldwin had some ability. Their nephew, Baldwin the Younger, was also a man of parts.

From southern France the only man of importance was Raymond of Toulouse, crafty, ambitious, selfish, and full of daring and enterprise From Italy came Boemund of Raymond of Toulouse. Otranto (Tarentum), the oldest son of Robert He was a leader and well able to conduct the Guiscard. crusade in the proper way, if he had had the opportunity to do so His nephew Tancred was an ideal Normans from knight, brave and strong, and a terror to the Saracens in personal combat, but utterly incapable as a leader It was clear from the very first that there could be no unity among the crusaders, because there was no common chief Each of these mentioned led his own men, and did as he wished without regard to the best interests of the whole. mund might, indeed, have got control of the whole army had it not been for the selfish ambition of Raymond of Toulouse and the distrust of Alexius toward him because of the invasion which he and his father had made into the Empire only a few years before (1081-85)

In the autumn of 1096 Urban wrote to Alexius, informing him that three hundred thousand crusaders were soon to be on The army on their way to the east. The army on setting out the march. Is even said to have been much larger. According to the accounts which we have there were one hundred thousand knights, six hundred thousand foot soldiers, and three hundred thousand pilgrims. It is now generally believed that

these numbers are greatly exaggerated. Godfrey of Boulogne followed the route through Hungary and Bulgaria Raymond of Toulouse passed through Lombardy just north of the Adriatic Sea, and so crossed the upper Balkan peninsula Robert of Normandy and Robert of Flanders went to Italy and followed the course taken by Boemund, who had sailed across the Adriatic, landing on the coast of Epirus, and from there had gone straight to Constantinople

The army thus set in motion was very motley in its make-Many had, of course, joined the movement for religious They wished to have a part in the meritorious work of reconquering the holy Crusaders. The Pope had promised remission of sins to all who places should lose their lives while on the crusade, and many supernatural advantages seemed likely to be derived from such an undertaking Others were there who had run away from their debts or from their families Even criminals might be found among the crusaders, who hoped thus to escape punishment. Many serfs ran away from their lords, and others from the hard conditions under which they lived Many came because of the opportunity to gratify their love of adventure and The leaders, almost without exception, had joined in the movement principally because they wished to acquire power and establish an independent principality somewhere in the east, on lands to be taken from the Saracens or from the The Pope had the desire to deliver the holy places, but at the same time he wished to extend his ecclesiastical authority over the east The cities of Italy, some of which joined to a certain extent in the first crusade, were led principally by the desire to extend their commerce and to secure harbor privileges in the east

It is difficult to determine just what the feelings of Alexius were when he heard that so great an army was coming from the west to wrest the holy land from the Mohammedans He had neither asked for, nor anticipated, so great a force. It

seemed very probable that such an army would be able to reconquer the territory which the Turks had taken from the Em-But Alexius was exercised in mind about Fears of Alexius. what they would do with their conquests. of course, wished to regain possession of Asia Minor and Syria, since they had once been a part of the Empire. It would be quite as dangerous to him if the western princes should gain possession of these countries as if they remained in the hands of the Turks. With a keen sense of the character of the west, Alexius suspected the motives of the leaders He felt that they wished to secure territory for the purpose of establishing independent principalities. The history of the crusade was to show that he thoroughly understood them. Only a few years before he had had great difficulty in resisting the attacks of Robert Guiscard, who had the assistance of only a small part of Italy It was only by the greatest good fortune that he had dislodged the Normans from Epirus How much greater must the danger now seem when so large a part of the west was sending its most warlike elements to the east? After his experience with Robert Guiscard, it was inevitable that Alexius should mistrust the crusaders The result showed that his fears had been well founded

Alexius saw the danger, but did not know how to avert it. Various divisions of the crusading army were on their way to Alexius guards Constantinople It was but natural that Alexius his own interests. should endeavor to preserve his interests in the east, and that he should determine to try to secure all the lands which the crusaders might conquer; they were his by right, and his interests demanded that they be reunited to the Empire. No matter what turn affairs might take, Alexius was resolved to look after his own interests. He determined to try to preserve peace with the crusaders, to try to keep them from establishing themselves in the east, and to use them in extending his power. He demanded that all the leaders should take an oath to him that they would deliver to him all the territory which they

should conquer. If they wished, they might receive the land as a fief from him

Count Hugo of Vermandois was the first prince to start for the east. He set out in 1006 and arrived the same year in Constantinople, where he was received with great honor, and was easily persuaded to take the crusaders. oath as Alexius wished Soon after this Godfrey of Boulogne passed through Hungary and entered the There he heard that Hugo had taken the oath of vassalage to Alexius, and was filled with rage at the news then plundered the country all the way to Constantinople, which he reached in December He and his army camped in Pera (one of the suburbs of the city) and spent the rest of the winter there. Alexius tried in every way to persuade him to take the oath, but Godfrey remained firm The intercession of Boemund, who in the meanwhile had reached Constantinople, was of no avail At last, on the second of April, the Emperor attacked him and his troops, but was defeated Emperor again used persuasion, but without success attack on the camp was more successful Godfrey was defeated and compelled to take the oath He then moved his army across the Bosporus. Alexius gave him many presents and showed him so many honors that Godfrey was completely won over by him, and gave up all opposition to the vassal relation.

Boemund was the next one to arrive at Constantinople. The people of the country through which he and his army passed were often unwilling to sell them food, and the soldiers found it necessary to take provisions by force Boemund, however, did all he could to prevent violence on the part of his men. He was finally met by messengers from Alexius, and, leaving his troops to follow after, proceeded at once to Constantinople. He was easily persuaded to take the oath, and Alexius gave him also many presents. He was open enough to tell the Emperor that he wished to make his fortune in the east, and to ask that he be entrusted

with a high office. This increased the suspicions of Alexius, who set himself to outwit him and prevent his gaining any advantage. Regarding him as dangerous, Alexius sought to make an alliance with others of the crusaders in order to keep a check on him. This was unfortunate, because it made it impossible for Boemund to acquire the leadership of the whole crusade. Alexius resisted his aspirations with all his might.

Raymond of Toulouse offered the most stubborn resistance of all to the Emperor Under no conditions would he take the He said that as a crusader, a fighter for oath Raymond of Toulouse. God, he would not humiliate himself by becoming a vassal to an earthly potentate He feared, of course, that his oath would prevent him from acquiring the independent power which he was seeking. Alexius attacked his army also and inflicted a severe loss upon it Raymond was so enraged by this that it seemed that nothing could be done to establish peace. Boemund now appealed to him to yield, and bitterly reproached him for his conduct At length he offered to take an oath that he would do nothing against the life and honor of the Emperor, but still refused to take the oath of vassalage Alexius was compelled to be content with this It was his fear of Boemund that led him to make this compromise He knew that Ravmond was hostile to Boemund, and he hoped in time to win over Raymond and use him to limit the too great power of Boemund

All the other leaders eventually came to Constantinople and took the oath except Tancred, whom Boemund had left in charge of his army. As soon as Boemund had set out for Constantinople Tancred allowed his hatred of the Greeks to master him, and he began to do a good deal of violence to the country. Instead of going to Constantinople he crossed the Hellespont into Asia Minor. Boemund promised Alexius that he would secure Tancred's oath, but the Emperor was persistently distrustful of him. At length Alexius succeeded in winning over Raymond, and because of their common hatred

of the Normans they became friends The opposition between. *Boemund and Raymond became every day greater

Toward the end of April, 1007, the Lotharingian and the Norman troops set out from Chalcedon toward the interior Raymond of Toulouse was still at Constantinople waiting for the main part of his army to arrive Boemund was also there making arrangements for the transportation of supplies while the army was passing through Asia Minor On May 6th the crusaders reached Nicæa and began its siege Siege of Nicæa. The Sultan Kılıdsch Arslan was engaged on the Armenian frontier in the siege of Melitene, which was held by Supposing that the new army of crusaders was only another band such as that of Peter the Hermit, he made no haste to relieve Nicæa When he finally came (May 18th) he found that almost the whole army of the crusaders had gathered around the city, and had entirely shut off all com-Raymond had come just in time to premunication with it vent Kılıdsch Arslan from throwing all his forces into the city, and Robert of Normandy and Stephen of Blois arrived a few Kılıdsch Arslan withdrew to await developments

Each division of the army now attacked the walls as it saw There was no general plan of siege Raymond undermined one of the towers, but as it fell in the night, the besieged were able to repair the breach before morning. As the walls on one side of the city were washed by a little lake, the crusaders asked Alexius to send them boats in order that they might attack the walls from that side also and cut off all communication with the city. Alexius was glad of this opportunity to get a share in the work and sent boats and troops. The boats were drawn across the country on sledges and safely launched The city's supply of provisions was Nices surcut off on that side, and since Kilidsch Arslan renders to the Emperor. was unable to relieve it, the commander began to try to make terms with the besiegers Negotiations were carried on secretly between Nicæa and the Emperor's officials.

On the 19th of June, just as a united attack was to be made both by sea and by land, the representatives of the Emperor and his troops were admitted to the city. The surrender was made to them, and the gates closed to the ciusaders

Alexius had been successful in securing the city The crusaders were angry that they had been cheated out of the

The crusaders angry because cheated out of their spoil. spoil. They had counted upon enriching themselves by plundering the city. Alexius tried to quiet the princes. The city, he said, belonged to him by right as well as by the terms

of the agreement made with them. He wished to protect his own from destruction, but he was willing to indemnify them for the booty they would have secured. There was nothing else for them to do but consent, since they hesitated to attack the Emperor. They accepted his terms, but hated and despised him. Alexius then distributed many presents among the leaders and gave rich alms to the people.

After the agreement had been reached Alexius asked all the princes to come to him in order that they might hold a council concerning the further journey, and that Tancred might take the oath of allegiance Tancred, however, refused to take the oath, and in the heated discussion that followed drew his sword and came near killing one of the Emperor's men. Violence was prevented, however, and in the reaction that ensued he took the oath. It was discovered that the crusaders might count on the help of two good allies, the Ar-Allies of the crusaders menians in eastern Asia Minor and the Khalif of Cairo, who was hostile to the Seldjuk Turks Ambassadors were sent to both to make an alliance and to secure their help against the Turks in Asia Minor and Palestine

On June 27, 1097, the army broke camp before Nicæa and set out on its difficult march through Asia Minor. Various parts of the army took different routes. On June 30th Boemund's division was fiercely attacked by Kilidsch Arslan, but after hard fighting the enemy was repulsed. Kilidsch

Arslan now withdrew before the Christians, devastating the country as he went. The crusaders continued their march without further resistance Their route was by On the march way of Iconium and Heraclea They suffered through Asia Minor. fearfully because of the lack of water It is said that more than five hundred people died of thirst at one of their stations At Heraclea the army was divided and Tancred were sent by way of Tarsus through Cilicia to stir up the Armenians and secure their help against the Mohammedans The main army turned sharply to the northeast as far as Cæsarea, then to the southeast to Ablistene, and from there almost south by way of Marasch to Antioch The reason for this detour was that the Armenians were not united under one government There were many little, independent principalities among them, and the crusaders wished to get help from as many of them as possible.

Tancred and Baldwin, as soon as they left the army, separated and each tried to gain an advantage over the other. Both were desirous of winning territory Tarsus was the objective point Tancred reached it first, but was unable to take it until Baldwin came. It is not quite clear just what took place here, but it is probable that Tancred wished to establish himself in Tarsus and was prevented by Baldwin from doing so. Tancred was forced to leave the city and went on toward Antioch. Baldwin followed him soon afterward and overtook him at Ministra, just after it had fallen into his hands ensued which led to an open fight between the two forces Peace was finally restored, and Baldwin went on to Artasia, which fell into his hands. He was, however, soon besieged by a Turkish army, which came from Damascus to relieve the place. But Tancred, having brought his troops up, put the He and Baldwin now became thoroughly Turks to flight reconciled and separated in peace Tancred proceeded further south toward Antioch, while Baldwin turned to the northeast and joined the main army at Marasch. From there he went into

the upper Euphrates valley to help the Armenians in their struggles with the Turks He took with him a few knights, and was so successful in defeating the Turks that he soon acquired the greatest popularity Prince Thoros of Edessa Baldwin in Edessa. invited him to come to him, and Baldwin, thinking this an excellent opportunity to make his fortune, accepted On proper persuasion Thoros made him his the invitation successor, but Baldwin could not wait for him to die short time he compelled Thoros to abdicate, and was himself recognized as prince of the city (1008) Thoros met his death Baldwin was then undisputed master at the hands of a mob of Edessa and displayed a great deal of ability in its government It was an outpost of the crusaders and greatly and defence strengthened their hold on Syria

The main army proceeded toward Antioch, which the vanguard reached October 20th. The next day the whole army Antioch besieged. came up and pitched their tents before it The troops now indemnified themselves for the hardships of the journey by giving themselves up for a few days to eating and drinking. The valley of the Orontes was very fertile and furnished them with everything they could wish. Abundance begot improvidence, and in a few weeks they began It was some time before the city was thorto suffer want. oughly shut up Mountains on the south and west made it very difficult to prevent intercourse between the city and the outside world, but Tancred finally succeeded in cutting off all Genoese and Pisan fleets cast communication on those sides anchor in the mouth of the Orontes, and their crews rendered valuable assistance in the siege.

The Christians now began to suffer from other causes than want of food. The winter storms and rains came on, and a pest broke out among them which is said to have carried off one man out of every seven. They were constantly subjected to attacks by the armies which came to relieve the city. Many emirs brought assistance, but the Christians were able to drive

them all back. At length the news came that Kerbogha, Emir of Mosul, was coming to the relief of the city with an immense army — It was evident, therefore, that unless the Christians could get possession of the city before his arrival, it would be almost impossible for them to take it

At this juncture Boemund came forward with the proposition that if all the princes would swear to give him Antioch, he would secure its surrender. He had been gradu-The city beally preparing for this He had bribed an Artrayed to Boemund, 1008. menian renegade, who had charge of one of the towers of the walls, to deliver the city to him. Many of the princes were willing to make such an agreement, but Raymond of Toulouse opposed it most bitterly. He made much of the oath which they had taken to the Emperor, but it was apparent that his real opposition was based on his hatred of Boemund and his unwillingness to see his rival in possession of so fine a territory Boemund then waited till the army of Kerbogha was near the city, and when the danger became pressing, even Raymond yielded, and Boemund was promised That very night (June 2, 1098) he led a party the city of his troops through the mountains to the foot of the tower which the Armenian guarded, and by means of ladders he and his men were taken up into the city. At daybreak they opened the gates, the crusaders rushed in, and the work of destruction began. Some of the Mohammedans escaped, but most of them were killed without pity The work of murdering was soon given over for that of plundering The houses of the Mohammedans were looted; the barbarism of the Christians was put into clear evidence. All of the city fell into their hands except the citadel, which resisted all attacks. the wild scramble for spoil, however, few of them paid any attention to this failure.

Three days after Kerbogha's army arrived, and the Christians were now the besieged Kerbogha might, indeed, have saved Antioch, if he had not stopped by the way and tried to take

Edessa For three weeks Baldwin engaged him before its walls, and thus the Christians had been enabled to take Antioch.

The Christians besieged in Antioch

From the citadel he was able constantly to send fresh troops to the attack, and hoped thus to wear the Christians out They were soon in the greatest want. Many deserted. Some went over to the Mohammedans; others let themselves down from the wall by means of ropes, and so escaped to the coast Among these was no less a person than Count Stephen of Blois. It seemed to him that everything was lost and that the city must certainly fall into Kerbogha's hands. In order to escape so hard a fate, he fled and went back to Constantinople.

At this juncture Raymond of Aguilers, chaplain to Raymond of Toulouse, planned a prous fraud, which was intended to arouse the Christians to a high pitch of enthusiasm and The fraud of the enable them to scatter the forces of Kerbogha One of his henchmen, Peter Bartholomew, pretended to have received the information from Saint Andrew that the lance with which the side of Jesus had been pierced was lying buried in the church of Saint Peter, in Antioch. If this were found the Christians would be able to conquer all their enemies. Count Raymond was impressed with the man's story and began The count himself superintended the digging the search. during the day, but was compelled to leave the church in the evening to take part in the defence of the city. Bartholomew was present, but in order to prevent suspicion was clothed only in a loose, shirt-like garment Raymond of Aguilers was also In the dusk of evening, when it became apparent that the workmen were growing weary, Peter sprang down among them and called on them to pray to God that He would restore to them the holy lance. While they were thus engaged either Raymond or Peter half concealed in the fresh earth the piece of iron which was to pass for the lance Raymond of Aguilers in his narrative of the miracle implies that he was the first to

see it, and in his enthusiasm kissed the point of it, which was all that thus far appeared above the ground. The fraud only succeeded in part. Many of the crusaders looked upon the whole thing derisively and declared that it was an imposture. Among the mass of the Christians, however, who put the utmost confidence in it, it created the greatest enthusiasm. While the most of the leaders believed the discovery a cheat, they were willing to take advantage of it in order to secure the best services of the whole army in attacking Kerbogha. Boemund was thereupon made commander for two weeks. He restored order in the city, compelled all to submit to discipline, and prepared all things for the attack. Everything was done to increase the fanatical courage of the Christians. By prayer, processions, fasting, penance, and other ascetic exercises their imaginations were wildly excited.

While the Christian army was thus preparing itself for battle, the forces of Kerbogha were going to pieces. The many emirs who were with him were quarrel- Kerbogha's army ling, and the army was almost on the point of scattered. being broken up by dissensions. On June 28, 1098, the Christians marched out of the city and put themselves into battle array. Kerbogha did not try to prevent them from disposing their troops as they wished. He finally made the attack after the Christians were almost ready to move forward themselves. Boemund successfully resisted the first onslaught, and in a short time the whole army of Kerbogha was driven back and put to utter rout. It scattered in all directions, leaving its camp a prey to the Christians. From starvation the crusaders again passed to the greatest plenty

The destruction of Kerbogha's army left all the northern part of Syria at the mercy of the crusaders and the Armenians. There were, however, many fortresses still in the hands of the Mohammedans, but they were unable to offer any serious resistance to the Christians. Although the way to Jerusalem was now open, the leaders were in no hurry to proceed. It was de-

termined to give the army a good opportunity to recover from the fatigue of the siege Many bands went out into the territory of Antioch to attack the emirs, to reduce their strongholds, and to acquire as much booty as possible. Many of the pilgrims also remained in Antioch in order to accompany the army when it should continue its march to Jerusalem. The presence of so many people and the unsanitary condition A pest in the of the city brought on a pest which carried off large numbers of people, among whom, unfortunately, was the Pope's representative, bishop Ademar of Puy His loss was felt at once. He had been the peacemaker of the army and had kept the quarrels among the princes from assuming too large proportions. He died when he was most needed to preserve the peace between Boemund and Raymond of Toulouse. Boemund had demanded that the city be definitely given over to him in accordance with the terms of the agree-Quarrel between ment made with him. Raymond of Toulouse, Boemund and Raymond. however, filled with envy at the success of Boemund in acquiring thus an independent territory, refused to keep his promise and declared that the city must be restored to Alexius in accordance with the oath which they had given him.

Alexius had very practically spent the time since the crusaders had left Nicæa in reconquering a large part of Asia He recovered the possession of almost all the cities of the western part (Ephesus, Smyrna, Sardes, Philadelphia, and others), overran the interior, and was pushing to the east, when some of those who had fled from Antioch came to him at Philomelium, bringing him the news of the wretched condition of the crusaders. He was told that the Christians would certainly be destroyed, and that, if he went further to the Action of Alexius. east, he would be in great danger from the After arranging for the defence and securarmy of Kerbogha ity of his frontier he returned to Constantinople without making any effort to relieve the crusaders These were therefore more angry than ever at him, and became decidedly inclined to keep their word with Boemund They first sent count Hugo to the Emperor to consult with him about it, but the count took this opportunity of deserting and returned to France The crusaders, in the meanwhile, began to quarrel about the holy lance The Normans declared it was a trick which lacked all cleverness, while the Provençals, among whom it had originated, defended it

The summer and autumn of 1008 passed, and still there were no preparations made to proceed to Jerusalem Boemund and many of the Normans did not expect to go any Raymond's infurther for the present It was a matter of in- difference toward the crusade. difference to them whether the journey was continued or not. Raymond of Toulouse would not leave the city because he did not wish to leave Boemund in undisputed possession of Antioch The people, however, grew tired of waiting and longed to complete their pilgrimage They urged Raymond to lead them on, but he was not to be moved. finally told him that if the leaders should refuse to conduct them, they themselves would go on to Jerusalem, and if the quarrel over Antioch continued, they would destroy the city. This had the desired effect, and toward the end of November Raymond began the march to the south He left, however, a band of his knights in possession of a part of Antioch to keep a check upon Boemund. His objective point was Maarra, a rather important city which he wished to take Boemund, however, followed him and took part in the siege, in order, it was said, to keep Raymond from establishing himself there. They took possession of the city together, and the old quarrel There was no thought of going any further, broke out afresh for Raymond was determined not to yield After several weeks, however, the people actually executed against Maarra the threat which they had made against Antioch The Raymond forced city was set on fire and almost totally destroyed to proceed. Filled with anger Raymond again set out, and Boemund returned to Antioch, where he soon attacked the troops of Raymond which were left there, overcame them, and so got possession of the whole city

Raymond's ambition again got the better of him, and he turned west to attack the emir of Tripolis, hoping to establish himself there. Nearly all the army of the crusaders was gathered together before one of his strongholds, Irkeh, but it withstood the siege. Alexius sent word to them to continue the siege, promising to come later to their assistance. Raymond was glad of this excuse to prolong the stay of the army, but the accompanying people would no longer be held in check. They set fire to the tents and began their march toward Jerusalem (May, 1099)

Raymond was wild with rage to see all his hopes blasted, but he could do nothing but yield and follow after with the army. It is probable that of all the host that set out from Europe not more than twenty-five thousand fighting men composed the army which left Irkeh They followed the coast, passed Beirut, Sidon, Tyre, and Acco, and then turned toward the interior. On the 6th of June they came within sight of the walls of Jerusalem

The city was no longer in the hands of the Seldjuks Fatimites of Cairo had been carrying on war against these Turks, Jerusalem taken, and in the summer of 1098 had actually gained possession of Jerusalem The crusaders suffered July 15, 1000 at first for food and water, and could find little or no wood with which to build machines to carry on the siege The arrival at Joppa of several Genoese ships with provisions relieved their wants, and enough wood was finally got together to build movable towers with which to attack the walls On the 8th of July the attack began, and lasted until the afternoon of the 15th, when an entrance was effected at about the same time in three different places. The Mohammedans were murdered without mercy and the houses plundered Everyone searched for gold or other treasure and interlarded his prayers with acts of violence or theft. The mystic asceticism and religiosity

of the crusaders were mingled with the greatest cruelty and barbarism

Hardly was the city taken when a quarrel arose as to what should be done with the newly acquired territory. The clergy demanded that an ecclesiastical state be established under the control of a patriarch The princes, however, would not Raymond of Toulouse was offered the city, listen to this but he refused it, probably because he thought it was not advantageously situated. It had little or no trade, and was not on the line of travel. He wished to obtain a better possession, and, besides, he was not willing to give up the struggle with Boemund. It is probable that the conquest was offered to one or two others. At any rate, all were in doubt Godfrey made as to whom it should be given, and no one Protector of the Holy Grave. seemed desirous of possessing it At length (July 22, 1000) the honor was thrust upon Godfrey of Boulogne, with the title of Protector of the Holy Sepulchre he was not made king is not certainly known. Perhaps it was because he himself preferred the more modest title. More probably the title was the result of the compromise of the struggle between the clergy and the princes. It was neither wholly secular nor wholly ecclesiastical, since the Holy Sepulchre was accepted as the substance of the honor and yet was intrusted to a layman From this time the popular imagination took up the name of Godfrey, producing a rich crop of legends To the romantic, ascetic spirit of the age it about him. seemed that there could be no greater honor than to be made the Protector of the Holy Sepulchre. It seemed that such an office required an especially holy and able man Therefore what was not known of Godfrey was soon invented, and it came to be the common view that he had been the leader in all things during the first crusade. We know now that his rôle had been far from important.

The Fatimites had already sent out an army of about twenty thousand men to rescue Jerusalem, but it came too late. Since

the army of the crusaders had not yet left the city, Godfrey secured their help and met the Egyptian Mohammedans near Asthe battle of calon, August the 12th The enemy was utterly Ascalon. defeated, his camp taken and plundered, and Ascalon about to be delivered into the hands of the Christians Raymond of Toulouse wished to make himself master of it, but Godfrey feared to have him so near Jerusalem By opposing the conditions offered, Godfrey was able to prevent the surrender of the city He preferred to leave it in the hands of the Mohammedans rather than that Raymond should possess it.

A curious after-piece to the story of the Holy Lance deserves So many of the crusaders believed that the a brief mention. thing was fraudulent, and Peter and those who The Holy Lance. had directed the enterprise made such strenuous efforts to prove that it was genuine, that at last a trial was held in order to test the matter. Peter demanded the ordeal by Lance in hand he passed through the flames impossible to tell just what happened. It is certain that he died a few days later "Some say that he was killed by the fire, others that the crowd in its fanatical enthusiasm rushed upon him as he came out of the fire, and, in its efforts to touch or secure some of his clothing as relics, trampled him almost to death. In the account which Raymond of Aguilers wrote there are so many evidences of fraud in every paragraph that one is almost persuaded to believe that Raymond was guilty of the It is possible that, fearing the results of the death of Peter. ordeal, he himself caused the crowd to rush upon Peter that it might seem that he had lost his life in this way rather than by Raymond admits that he was burned a little, but says that his back was broken by the crowd, and that his death was caused by that. A full description of this episode belongs to a history of the religious frauds of the Middle Age

It should be also said that Peter the Hermit had joined the army at Constantinople, and that he had completed the journey with it. He seems to have been the leader of the hangers-

on of the camp. His title is significant. He was called King Tafur or "Beggar King" He is the hero of the "Song of Antioch," parts of which were probably composed and sung in the camp before the walls of Antioch Every class and nationality tried to claim all the honor of having caused the success of the first crusade The writers in northern France made it appear that the leaders from that part of the world were the real heroes, and did all that was worth doing Likewise the Provençal chroniclers represented Raymond of Toulouse as the most prominent man in the aimy. The monks wished to magnify their part in it, and hence the legends about Peter the Hermit and his share in the enterprise

After the battle of Ascalon the crusaders felt that it was now time for them to return to their homes in Europe. They first made the round of all the holy places and bathed in the Jordan, as was the custom of pilgrims. Early in September they left Jerusalem, about twenty thousand in Return of the number, and soon reached Laodicæa This was crusaders. a city of the eastern Empire, and was at this time besieged by Boemund. The old hatred broke out anew, and Raymond of Toulouse determined to assist the city A battle between the crusaders was narrowly averted But the city retained its independence, and Raymond of Toulouse, with some of his men, was intrusted with its defence. Nearly all the pilgrims then took ship for Italy (September 10, 1099), and the first crusade was at an end It had cost Europe several hundred thousands of men, and, if we look at the actual results, very little had been accomplished Boemund had possession of Antioch, Baldwin of Edessa, and Godfrey of Jerusalem. Nearly all of Asia Minor had been reduced by Alexius.

But the conquest of the Holy Sepulchre was in the eyes of the west by far the greatest result of the crusade To the west, indeed, this seemed to be the very highest success possible. The returning pilgrims were greeted with the greatest joy, and honors were heaped upon them. All those who had remained at home

were now filled with regret that they had not gone at the first call, that they also might have had a part in the great work. It was but natural, therefore, that when another call for help came from Godfrey and others, a large number responded with alacrity. During the years 1099 and 1100 several fleets were sent out by Pisa, Genoa, and Venice, which brought very opportune help to the Christians in the east In Lombardy, France, and Germany, large numbers of people now took the cross and prepared at once to set out. The Crusade of thousand crusaders left Lombardy in the autumn of 1100, and reached the frontier of the Greek Empire, where they spent the winter. Alexius made the necessary arrangements so that they could obtain at a reasonable price all the food that they needed, but since they firmly believed that he had betrayed the first army, they did not hesitate to plunder and murder his subjects. In March, 1101, the crusaders reached Constantinople and encamped in Pera Here they did all sorts of violence to the inhabitants of the city, so that Alexius asked them to move across the Bosporus Angered at this they took up arms, and it was not until they had done much damage that they could be quieted and persuaded to depart for Asia Minor

The armies from Germany and France likewise thought it their duty to do as much damage as they could to the hated Greeks. Alexius endured much in order to keep the peace with them, but at last was compelled to attack them with his troops and thus bring them to their senses. More than two hundred and fifty thousand crusaders finally came together in Constantinople, ready to carry on the war against the Mohammedans. Unfortunately they were possessed with the idea that the Turks were cowards and could easily be vanquished. It seemed to them that everything had been done in Asia Minor and Syria, and therefore, without any regard for the advice of Alexius, they determined to capture Bagdad. They thought they would win great renown by taking the capital of the east-

ern Mohammedan world. They refused to follow the route taken by the former army and went straight to the east, intending to pass through Asia Minor and Armenia and then go down the Euphrates and so over to Bagdad. Their journey, however, was not to be so long. Near the Halys river they were attacked by a large force under Kilidsch Arslan, and utterly cut to pieces. Only a few of the whole army escaped and succeeded in safely reaching Constantinople.

Soon after this army left Constantinople another detachment of one hundred thousand men arrived and followed the same route through northern Asia Minor At Ancyra they seem to have heard of the fate of the others and turned to the south. Not far from Heraclea they were put to rout and scattered. They suffered the same fate as their predecessors. Only a few hundreds of them escaped to the coast of Cilicia and from there found their way to Antioch, where they were cared for by the Christians of that city. The enthusiasm of the west was greatly cooled by the wretched fate of this large army on which such great hopes had been built. It was to be more than forty years before another army could be collected and sent to the assistance of the fellow-Christians in Syria.

Alexius could not give up the idea that all of Syria must be his because it had once been a part of the Empire He would not consent to let the Christians keep possession The Emperor atof what they had conquered In the spring of tacks Boemund. 1099 he sent a fleet and an army to attack Boemund of Antioch. It was a wretched policy, for he should have used all his forces to crush the Mohammedans Laodicæa, which had been taken by Robert of Normandy, during the siege of Antioch, was first besieged The people of the city rose against the Normans, drove them out, and opened the gates to the Greeks Boemund proceeded to punish the city, but was unable to do so because of the help rendered it by Raymond of Toulouse and the returning crusaders (September 10, 1099) The army of Alexius was, on the whole, not very successful. It succeeded

in getting possession of only a few places on the southern coast of Asia Minor It was not a serious menace to Boemund. Early in the year 1100 Raymond of Toulouse left Syria and went to Constantinople He had failed utterly in all his attempts to establish himself as an independent prince

Boemund felt himself free at last to turn his attention to other things. Since Antioch was now in his hands, and there was no Boemund in danger of an attack from the Emperor or from Jerusalem. Raymond of Toulouse, he set out on a pilgrimage to Jerusalem. He first invited Baldwin of Edessa to come and make the journey with him Dagobert, archbishop of Pisa, who had been made papal legate after the death of Ademar of Puy, also went with him. In the autumn of 1099 they left Antioch with an army of more than twenty-five thousand men, and reached Jerusalem in December

The weakness of Godfrey of Boulogne was by this time fully He had at his command not more than two hundred knights, and about one thousand foot soldiers; a small power indeed for a man with so high-sounding a title! Among those knights, however, was Tancred, who probably had remained with him to look after the interests of his uncle, Boemund Arsuf, on the coast above Joppa, having been besieged, but without result, Godfrey sent Tancred into Gali-Tancred in Galilee. lee with nearly half of the small force which he Tancred established himself at Tiberias, on the commanded sea of Galilee, and was successful in securing much booty from the caravans that passed that way. One emir after another was dislodged by him, and his own power increased Godfrev thereupon conferred on him the title of Prince of Galilee

In Jerusalem Godfrey was not left in undisturbed possession of his power The compromise by which he had been elected Protector of the Holy Sepulchre was not accepted by the Christians of Jerusalem. As soon as the crusaders left, the people of the city elected Arnulf, a former chaplain of Robert of Normandy, as patriarch This Arnulf was a sly fellow who knew more than one way of advancing himself Among other things he had acquired a great popularity by discovering a piece of the true cross somewhere in Jerusalem! As patriarch he was able to interfere in the affairs of Jerusalem and to give Godfrey much trouble

Boemund found it very easy, amidst this confusion, to establish his influence in Jerusalem Arnulf was compelled to resign, and Dagobert of Pisa was made patriarch. This was even worse for Godfrey, since Dagobert would certainly act in the interests of Boemund, and, as it soon appeared, of himself. He hoped to build up for himself an ecclesiastical state about Jerusalem similar to that of the Bishop of Rome. Godfrey was unable to resist him and soon surrendered the city to him and became his feudal subject. He continued his struggles with the Saracens, without great success, but died soon afterward (July 18, 1100)

The patriarch Dagobert now thought that the time had come to make himself the sole master of Jerusalem. But the followers of Godfrey seized the walls and towers of the city and sent word to Baldwin of Edessa to come and receive the inheritance of his brother Baldwin I, as he was now called, left Edessa in the possession of his nephew, Baldwin II., and with two hundred knights and seven hundred foot soldiers came, took possession of Jerusalem, led a plundering expedition to the south, and returned laden with booty to the city. Dagobert in defence called on Tancred to come and assist him and also sent a letter to Boemund urging him to come to his rescue

In the meanwhile Boemund had made an attack upon Haleb with every prospect of success, when he received messengers from an Armenian prince offering him his lands if he would come to punish the emir of Sebaste on the upper Halys Boemund set out, but was suddenly attacked on the way by this emir His troops prisoner. were put to flight and himself taken prisoner (summer, 1100). Tancred, instead of hastening to the north to make Damascus

secure and to try to ransom Boemund, foolishly listened to the call of Dagobert to come south to conquer the Lotharingians and prevent Baldwin from acquiring the crown of Jerusalem. He was entirely unsuccessful, however, and Dagobert was compelled to yield and crown Baldwin I king of Jerusa-Tancred's foolish lem, Christmas, 1100, at Bethlehem. In his haughty way Tancred surrendered Galilee to Baldwin, because he was unwilling to become his man, and went to Antioch His lack of political sense was clearly shown by his conduct The Greeks were making some slow gains in southern Asia Minor, but were practically held in check by the Italian fleets They were not really dangerous to On the other hand the Seldiuks were becoming the Normans far more bold and successful since Boemund was in their hands. Tancred, instead of uniting with all the other Christians against the common enemy, wasted his strength and time in fruitless attacks against the Greeks He tried to drive them out of Cilicia and besieged Laodicæa The city offered such resistance, however, that eighteen months were necessary to re-Finally, in 1103, it was compelled to capitulate, but Tancred in the meanwhile had lost his best opportunities to break the power of the Saracens

Raymond of Toulouse had made use of the confusion to establish himself in Tortosa, and was planning to secure the possesRaymond of Sion of Tripoli His activity and successes were Toulouse again. Such that it seemed probable that he would at last realize the dream which had haunted him so long and would cut out for himself an independent principality. He had joined the unfortunate army that had left Constantinople in itor and was destroyed in Asia Minor. Having escaped with a few others to Cilicia he had come from there to Antioch. Tancred at first seized him and put him into prison, but was persuaded by the other Christian princes to set him free. This he did, but only after Raymond had taken a solemn oath not to try to establish himself in any of the cities between Antioch and Acco. Ray-

mond broke his oath, however, at the first opportunity and got possession of Tortosa

Boemund was meanwhile held for a ransom, the emir of Sebaste demanding one hundred thousand pieces of gold for his release. Although Tancred made no efforts to Boemund raise the money, one of the Armenian princes ransomed. generously paid the sum and Boemund was set free (summer, 1103). Arrangements were now finally made to attack the real enemy. Boemund made plans with Baldwin II. of Edessa and some of his vassals to make an expedition against Harran This was a strategic point, and if the Christians could get control of it the power of the Mohammedans would be thoroughly broken. The Christians, however, were utterly defeated and suffered heavy losses More than twelve thousand of them were killed, and Baldwin II. was made prisoner With the greatest efforts Boemund and Tancred were able to defend Edessa, and to check the Mohammedans and Greeks, who were now pressing in on Antioch on With the forces at his command Boemund saw that he could not hope to overcome all the enemies Boemund in that threatened him. He determined, therefore, Europe. to go to Europe and collect an army with which he might destroy the power of both the Greeks and the Saracens, and establish the Norman supremacy on a firm basis He made Tancred ruler of Antioch in his absence, got together all the money he could, and sailed away to Italy (1104) Everywhere in Europe he was received with the greatest possible honors and had no difficulty in enlisting troops. In France he married the daughter of king Philip I. and secured another French princess as a wife for Tancred In 1107 he had a large fleet and an army of thirty-four thousand men ready to sail.

Boemund now committed the great mistake of his life It is probable that his old hatred against the Greeks broke out afresh, and the memory of his former successes (1081-85) was revived. At any rate, instead of going to Antioch with this

army, with which he might have done great things, he sailed across the Adriatic and attacked Durazzo For nearly a year he carried on a bitter war against Alexius, but in Attacks the the end had to acknowledge himself defeated. Emperor. Durazzo was too strong to be taken, and Alexius was able to destroy Boemund's army by causing dissension among the Nor-Boemund saw his army breaking up and his man knights He finally went to Alexius and made power disappearing peace with him. He gave up all claims on all the cities of Syria except Antioch, which he was to hold as a fief of the Emperor as long as he lived. At his death it was to revert to Alexius. returning to Italy he tried to raise a new army, but this time without success. He died IIII, a man of broken fortunes.

Alexius at once laid claim to Antioch and sent ambassadors to demand its surrender Tancred laughed at their pretensions and sent them back They returned again with Claims of Alexius. many rich presents, and tried to engage Raymond of Toulouse and Baldwin of Jerusalem in a prospective campaign against Tancred. Nothing, however, came of it. although Alexius knocked at many doors for help had received good help from the Venetians, in return for the commercial privileges which he had granted them, he tried to make similar terms with the Pisans. He gave them almost the same privileges as those which the Venetians already enjoyed, but in spite of this concession could not secure their assistance against Antioch.

Alexius even thought that he could now probably recover his control over Italy, since the struggle over investitures was raging in the west. Thus absorbed by chimæras, he foolishly left the Seldjuks undisturbed in their advances into Asia Minor They moved steadily to the west and appeared before Nicæa. Frightened at last by their success he turned all his attention to them, and during the last years of his life was successful in pushing them back again into eastern Asia Minor. He filed III8.

Ever since the time of the first crusade Alexius has been the object of the most bitter attack by nearly all the Christian writers of the west He was charged by the A word of decrusaders with almost every possible crime, he fence for Alexius. was a traitor, and betrayed the crusaders; some even charged him with poisoning them It is evident now, however, that his principal offence was that he did not sacrifice all his own interests to the selfish aims of adventurers During their passage through his Empire his subjects suffered much violence at their hands. In Constantinople their conduct was unbearable They hated and despised the Greeks They themselves were barbarians, and the Greeks were far superior to them in culture. Alexius endured their violence, haughtiness, and barbarous manners as well as he could, and endeavored to get along with them with as little trouble as possible understood the motives of the leaders He could not forget the invasions of Robert Guiscard and that his own crown had once been threatened by the west
It would have been highly impolitic for him to allow any foreign power to establish itself on his territory The interests of his Empire demanded that he prevent the crusaders from forming independent states at his very door It is impossible to see how he could have acted very differently They would not obey him, indeed they obeyed no one, so he could not join them on their way through Asia Minor. The army of 1101 did the very thing which he urged it not to do. In spite of this he was blamed for its destruction The most unreasonable demands were made of It was, to be sure, unfortunate, but not at all strange that Alexius should have insisted on his right to all the lands conquered by the crusaders It must be admitted, of course, that Alexius as well as his successors followed sometimes a very short-sighted policy. It would have been much better if he had yielded in some things, and had made common cause with the crusaders against the Seldjuks But we must remember that this was impossible, because the crusaders had no common

cause. Each one was working for himself. The best proof that Alexius could not work with them is the fact that they could not work together. The hostility between the Normans and the Provençaux has been sufficiently exhibited. In spite of all that has been written about the great success of the first crusade, it was a failure, and its failure was due to the ambition, jealousy, and strife among the crusaders themselves. The crusades have been called "holy wars," but, although they did appeal mightily to the religious imagination of the day, and therefore had a strong religious side, it would be difficult to find any series of undertakings more marred by selfishness, jealousy, and mean ambition

Tancred was still master in Antioch, but with his lack of judgment brought the Christians almost as much damage as The Christians advantage. He quarrelled with the Armenians divided. and abused them so that war broke out between him and them more than once Two emirs were struggling for the possession of Mosul. Baldwin II had been set free by one of them, Dschawali, on the condition that he would help him against his opponent Maudud Tancred, however, joined Maudud, and the Christians were thus engaged in fighting each other After all parties were much weakened peace was made, and Baldwin returned to Edessa

Raymond of Toulouse died in 1105, leaving his eastern possessions in the hands of his nephew, count William of Cerdagne, who was soon able to extend his boundaries. But Bertrand, the son of Raymond, came and demanded that his father's possessions be surrendered to him (1109). William refused and appealed to Tancred for help, while Bertrand asked assistance of Baldwin of Jerusalem. Another war was imminent, but was fortunately averted by a compromise. The territory was divided between the two claimants. William was soon murdered, however, and Bertrand took possession of the whole territory and became a vassal of Baldwin of Jerusalem.

During the next years Tancred had many opportunities to unite with the other Christian princes and inflict much damage on the Mohammedans, but he allowed them all Roger del to slip away unimproved. He died 1112, leav-Principato. ing Antioch to a cousin, Roger del Principato, but stipulating that if the young son of Boemund should come to the east to claim his inheritance. Roger should at once deliver it over to Roger, however, was no better fitted for the position than Tancred had been He was not able to increase his power and failed to improve the opportunities which presented themselves for putting down the emirs who threatened his frontiers. Haleb especially should have been taken by him, but his dilatory policy kept him from accomplishing anything. In 1119 an army of forty thousand Seldjuks was led into the field against him, and in the battle which ensued he himself was slain and his army destroyed. The territory of Antioch was plundered, and it seemed at first that Antioch itself would be taken by the Mohammedans The future of the city depended upon the kingdom of Terusalem, which in the last few years had greatly increased in power

This calamity would have come upon Antioch several years before it did had it not been for the internal feuds among the Mohammedans themselves. Every emir wished to remain independent and so resisted the formation of a great central power. It happened more than once that certain emirs made alliances with the Christians and were assisted by them against some more powerful emir.

Another element of discord had been introduced among the Mohammedans by the formation of the sect known as the Haschischin Their name was derived from "The Assassins." their use of haschisch. This was a sect formed "The Assassins." among the Shiite sect of the Mohammedans in Persia, and was filled with the most fanatical fury against the Mohammedans who differed from them They persecuted especially the Sunnites, the other great Mohammedan sect. All the members of

the Haschischin were trained to obedience. Their common method of getting rid of their enemies was by secretly dispatching them by poison or by dagger, or in any other way which seemed to them feasible This sect spread through Syria and secured possession of several strongholds between Tortosa It controlled quite a district there, which was and Apamæa. under the authority of a leader called the Sheik of the Moun-This was translated by the Christians as "The Old Man of the Mountains," and many legends were invented about him. The name of the sect was corrupted by the Christians into assassins, and in this form their name came to be known in the west and to be applied to any one who killed another by taking him unawares. The Haschischin added to the discord among the Mohammedans and so helped the Christians in an indirect way.

The reign of Baldwin I. of Jerusalem (1100-18) was on the whole successful For several years he had to contend with the clergy of the city, but in the end Baldwin I. peace was established and he found a patriarch who worked harmoniously with him Large numbers of pilgrims came every year to Jerusalem, and Baldwin wisely made use of them Nearly all of them joined him for a while in some siege or campaign, and many of them were persuaded to settle in Palestine, thus increasing the population. He secured the help of Genoa, Pisa, and Venice by giving to those cities special privileges in the east. They were granted free use of the harbors, and in most of the cities a quarter of their own in which they might live and hold their markets. This quarter was presided over generally by a viscount sent out by the mother city These colonies were of the greatest service With the aid of their fleets he was able to conquer nearly all the ports on the coast, Acco (Acre), Sidon, Beirut, and others, so that the whole coast came into the hands of the Christians In the interior he took many places and acquired a good deal of booty He was successful in resisting several

invasions of the Fatimites from Egypt, and in 1118 he even made a counter invasion. Although he reached the Nile the expedition was fruitless, not to say needless. The Fatimites were not his most dangerous enemy. He should have given all of his attention to the growing power of the Seldjuks in the north and east. He died on the way back from Egypt, and his body was carried to Jerusalem and buried in the Church of the Holy Sepulchre (September, 1118).

His nephew, Baldwin of Edessa, was present at the funeral and was at once elected king, although some had proposed to send for count Eustace, the brother of the dead king.

Baldwin II began his reign with the highest ideas of the duties of his position and used all his abilities in the interests of his kingdom. He invested Joscelin, who had been his most prominent vassal and helper, with Edessa, and thus secured his friendship and assistance. On the death of Roger of Antioch, Baldwin II went north at once, took possession of Antioch, and defeated the Mohammedans in a bloody battle. Following up his advantage, he pushed the Saracens hard in all quarters and succeeded in restoring the former boundaries.

Both Baldwin II and Toscelin were unfortunately captured soon after by the Mohammedans and kept in prison for some time. The war, however, went on without in-The Christian terruption. In 1124 Tyre was taken by the power increased. Christians after a siege of five months The army sent by the Fatimites was utterly routed. The most able among the emirs died or were murdered, and all Syria and Palestine were more nearly than ever united into one Christian state thereupon made terms with his captor and was set free, and Joscelin was so fortunate as to escape from his prison. The condition of the Christians had never before been so good. The number of Italian colonists increased, and the two great orders of Templars and Knights of Saint John rendered excellent service against the Saracens.

In 1126 Boemund, the son of Boemund, came to Antioch and claimed the city. Baldwin II surrendered it to him and gave him his daughter in marriage Boemund II. prince, however, was haughty and ambitious He quarrelled with the Armenians and with Joscelin of Edessa. and had no regard for the common interests of all the Chiistians in Syria It was no loss, therefore, to the city when he was slain in a battle with the Saracens (1131) A war broke out between his widow, Elise, and his daughter, Constance, and their respective followers. The party of Elise even called on the Saracens for help against the other party. Baldwin II, however, heard of the trouble, hastened to Antioch, compelled his widow Elise to give up her claims, and placed Constance on the throne

Baldwin II died 1131, leaving his crown to his eldest daughter, Melisende, and her husband, count Fulco V. of Anjou.

This was an excellent choice, for Fulco was able, and wisely kept the common interests in view At Jerusalem he had to contend with a conspiracy against himself, and at Antioch he was compelled to interfere against Elise, who again tried to get control of the government He secured a husband for Constance, the able count Raymond of Poitou

The greatest enemy of the Christians was now Imadeddin Zenki, the emir of Mosul He was ambitious to unite all the Saracens in Syria and the Euphrates valley under his sceptre and to conquer the Christians The emir of Damascus, Muin Eddin Anar, however, was determined to retain his independence He sought an alliance with Raymond of Antioch and Fulco, and the three were able to keep Zenki at bay Anar gave the Christians valuable assistance in some of their battles, especially in reducing the great fortress Banias

The Greek Emperor now again began to trouble the Christians after having for several years left them in peace. The Emperor John (1118-43) conquered Cilicia (1137) and compelled Antioch to open its gates to him. Raymond had to ad-

mit that he held Antioch as his feudal subject and even promise to surrender the fief to the Emperor as soon as certain Mohammedan fortresses should be taken. John was finally driven from Antioch by a mob. In 1142 he returned to renew his demands, but the city remained closed to him. The Emperor devastated the whole surrounding country and then withdrew to Cilicia, promising to come again the next year. Fortunately for Antioch he died the following spring, and so the city was free from danger from that quarter at least for a time. John left his son Manuel to succeed him, an able and warlike prince, who might at any time cause the Christians trouble.

It was a great misfortune that Fulco met with a fatal accident (November, 1143), and left Jerusalem in the hands of his widow, Melisende His reign had been most beneficial not only for Jerusalem but for all the Christians in the east. With his death a new period of misfortunes and disasters broke upon them.

Count Raymond made the mistake of demanding of the Emperor Manuel the restoration of all the places which had ever belonged to Antioch. Manuel answered with a fleet and an army which inflicted so much damage on Raymond that he had to submit to the Emperor and acknowledge his vassal relation In the meanwhile Edessa was in danger and Raymond should have been using his strength in its support against Zenki This emir had determined at last that he must have Fall of Edessa. Edessa. In 1144 he surprised the city by appear-1144. ing suddenly before it and demanding its surrender. people defended themselves bravely, but, since no help came from the west, the city was doomed Zenki undermined the walls, and the place was taken by storm. The Christians were put to the sword, and thus the outpost of the Christian states was destroyed Zenki's power was so great that both Antioch and Jerusalem were in danger of meeting the same fate as Edessa.

¹ During the reign of Fulco it is probable that the feudal laws of the kingdom were thoroughly developed and written down They are known as the "Assises of Jerusalem"

The only help possible was to be found in the west. Messengers were hastily sent to Europe to tell the news of the fall of Edessa, and to beg that an army be sent to recover it

This sad news caused great consternation in the west without producing any immediate action Europe had undergone a great change since Urban II. had first issued the call to a crusade Contested papal elections and the rule of some inefficient Popes had somewhat reduced the power and prestige of the Papacy Europe had in the meantime been growing rich from her rapidly increasing commerce, and her wealth was producing a great change in the people Political interests were occupying a larger place in the Louis VI was strengthening the royal power in minds of all Roger had made a kingdom out of Sicily and southern Italy. The cities of Lombardy were increasing in wealth. power, and independence A great change had taken place in the thought of Europe, the most prominent index of which Here and there people had begun to think was Abelard. independently of the Church and her creed. Reason was awakening The study of Roman law had been revived. Poets were beginning to sing songs of love and wine. Europe was slowly recovering from her attack of asceticism, and was thinking less of the future world and giving herself up to the enjoyment Arnold of Brescia was in Rome, preaching against of this. the wealth of the clergy and their exercise of political authority. The high claims of Gregory VII had been relaxed a little. Eugene III was himself unimportant, and the leadership was in the hands of Bernhard of Clairvaux, who did not wish that the Popes should have secular power He thought that their spiritual authority should be enforced only by spiritual means.

A second crusade under these circumstances was difficult Bernhard of Clairvaux, however, carried it through. The Bernhard of Clair- people of France were especially interested in vaux. the Christian states of Palestine and Syria because they were ruled chiefly by French princes, and because

of the large number of Frenchmen who were there King Louis VII. was at this time having trouble with his conscience, and to obtain peace of mind was thinking about making a pilgrimage. His brother Philip had died without being able to fulfil the vow he had taken to go on a crusade, and Louis VII. had a feeling that he had in some way inherited this vow Besides, he had been waging a war (1143) with count Theobald of Aquitaine, and in capturing the town of Vitry had caused a church to be set on fire which was full of people who had fled there for safety. More than a thousand of them perished in the flames, and Louis VII was filled with remores for his cruelty.

At Bourges a great meeting of his nobles was held at Christmas, 1145 Louis told them of his intention to go on a crusade, and was encouraged to proceed by some of those present. His most able counsellor, Suger, Abbot of Saint Denis, did not think that the king should leave his country for so long a time, and did all he could to prevent him from forming a definite plan It was agreed, however, to refer the question to Bernhard of Clairvaux, and to abide by his decision But Bernhard would not decide so important a matter and referred it to the Pope, Eugene III The Pope was in favor of the crusade, and commissioned Bernhard to preach it throughout France At Vézelay (Easter, 1146) Bernhard and the king appeared together before a great meeting. Bernhard made use of his wonderful gifts as a speaker to incite the people to a crusade. His success was tremendous So many pressed forward to take the cross that for lack of other material Bernhard tore up his robe to supply them with crosses He then travelled through France, enlisting vast numbers of people for the undertaking

He next turned his attention to Germany, where the crusade had already been announced. In the Rhine valley the fanatical enthusiasm induced by it caused a cruel persecution of the Jews, just as it had done during the first crusade. Bernhard went, therefore, and put an end to the persecutions. Conrad

III came to meet him at Frankfurt, but resisted the appeal of Bernhard to take the cross. At Christmas of the same year a diet was to be held at Speier, and Bernhard determined to make another effort to enlist the German king. During the services in the cathedral Bernhard rose and declared that he could not permit the day to pass without preaching sermon he described the dangers that threatened Jerusalem and the Church, and dwelt on the religious blessings to be attained by going on a crusade. He then turned directly Conrad III. to Conrad and reminded him of all that God had done for him, and appealed to him to do this little service Conrad was overcome by the powerful and for God in return unexpected address, and in tears received the cross from the hands of Bernhard. Many of his nobles also joined him in the movement

Bernhard sent letters to Bavaria, Franconia, Saxony, Bohemia, and even to England, calling upon the people everywhere Crusade against to join the crusade. The Saxons expressed a the Slavs. desire to lead a crusade against the Slavs beyond the Elbe, and Bernhard gave them permission to proceed with it. It may be said here, however, that this crusade against the Slavs accomplished but little. About one hundred thousand men marched into Pomerania and Mecklenburg. In a peaceable way German influence and Christianity had been spreading there for some time. The invasion disturbed this process and probably did more damage than good. The crusaders had the good sense at last to see this and gave up the crusade

The success of Bernhard was immense Seventy thousand knights joined Conrad alone Later, when the crusaders crossed the Bosporus, the Greeks reported that they numbered nine hundred thousand men The army was not only too large, but it was also divided into two hostile camps. The French were partisans of the Normans and hated the Greek Emperor, while Conrad was in close alliance with him Conrad determined, therefore, to go by way of Constantinople. The Normans tried

hard to persuade Louis to go by way of southern Italy, and he was inclined to do so But at last he concluded that he ought not to separate himself from Conrad, and so decided to go by the same route

In 1147 the Germans came together in the East Mark, and began their journey through Hungary Conrad for some time, wished to use the crusaders to reduce the Hun- Conrad III, on the garian king, Geisa, to subjection His better judgment prevailed, however, and the army passed on into the Manuel sent them messengers to say that they should have the opportunity to purchase provisions if they would preserve the peace while passing through the country For a while everything went well, but as soon as the Germans came into the rich, fertile valleys of Thrace their lawless character They plundered and destroyed without provoasserted itself cation, until at last Manuel was compelled to set upon them with his troops After suffering considerable loss they behaved somewhat better for a time, but upon reaching Constantinople sacked one of its suburbs They took possession of Pera and Manuel again fell upon them continued their depredations and in self-defence compelled them to cross the Bosporus (September, 1147).

Manuel now tried to make peace with the Germans He gave them an excellent guide through Asia Minor and did all in his power to help them. The Germans would not wait for the French but wished to be led at once against the enemy. Conrad had now had time to repent of his vow and was desirous of finishing the crusade as quickly as possible.

His army was accordingly set in motion, but army destroyed owing to its utter lack of order but little progress was made. Although their failure was all their own fault, the leaders bitterly reproached the Greek officer who was serving them as guide, and, fearing for his life, he fled from the camp. A division of fifteen thousand men left the main body and first turned south along the coast and then went into the

interior At Laodicæa they were attacked and so nearly destroyed that only a few of them escaped into Pamphylia and from there continued their journey by sea. Among them was Otto, bishop of Freising, half brother of the king, and one of the most important chroniclers of his day. Toward the end of October the main army reached Dorvlæum, where the Seldjuks set upon them The army was so miserably mismanaged that it was soon put to utter rout. No one tried to resist the Turks. but all turned and fled. The army was cut to pieces. Many of those who escaped the sword of the Turks died of the hardships endured while trying to reach shelter. Conrad with a few of his nobles was saved Nearly all who were so fortunate as to escape with their lives now set out for home They had no further desire to take part in the crusade Conrad was left with but a handful of men to continue the crusade

Manuel was in constant fear while the French army was approaching because he knew that they were well disposed toward the Normans At that very time (1147) King Roger of Sicily was committing the greatest army. depredations in the western part of the Empire He captured Corfu and plundered many cities such as Corinth and Thebes. If the crusaders should make common cause with them, Manuel foresaw that it would go hard with him The French had left Metz and passed through Bavaria and Hungary. When they entered the Empire messengers came to meet them to make them the same offers as were made to the Germans, and also to demand that Louis and his nobles should take an oath to deliver to the Emperor all such future Louis VII. and the Emperor. conquests of territory as had once been part of the Greek Empire Louis refused to take this oath, and the hostility between the Greeks and the French was in-The French, however, did but little damage while passing through the Empire They reached Constantinople October 4th Louis was received with great honor and feasted by Manuel for several days But the army was more hostile to reach Syria by following along the coast, but were destroyed almost to a man by the enemy. The destruction of the great army that had set out from Europe was thus complete. Of the nine hundred thousand men who had entered upon the crusade only a few thousands at the most reached Syria. For this failure the crusaders themselves were very largely to blame

In the meantime affairs in Syria had taken even a worse turn. Joscelin had got possession of Edessa again, but held it only for a short time. Zenki had been slain by his own people, but his elder son, Seifeddin Ghazi, had secured the possession of Mosul, while Nureddin, another son, got all the western part of his territory. Nureddin then besieged Edessa, took it, and utterly destroyed it. Its inhabitants were either slain or sold into slavery.

In Jerusalem the unpardonable mistake was made of breaking with Anar, the emir of Damascus, and making an alliance Jerusalem and with some of his revolted subjects. Anar was a friend of the Christian states, and their common enemy was Nureddin. At the time when the Christians should have been making an alliance with him they made war on him. Conrad III landed at Acco and proceeded to Jerusalem, where he, too, was persuaded to take up the war against Anar. He began to collect an army by enlisting as many pilgrims as he could and prepared to make an expedition against Damascus.

In Antioch Raymond did nothing while waiting for the crusaders. At last Louis VII and his wife, Eleanor of Poitou, reached Antioch Raymond, however, began a criminal flirtation with the queen, and Louis, indignant over such treachery, went on to Jerusalem. The expedition against Damascus was nearly ready, and Louis joined it. With an army besieged. of fifty thousand the siege of Damascus was begun. It was wretchedly mismanaged, however. Rather than give the city over to the Christians, Anar announced that he would surrender it to Nureddin. The Christians, therefore, prepared to make terms with Anar and gave up the siege. A plan was

then made to attack Ascalon, but the mutual hatred of the French and Germans rendered this impossible Conrad, filled with disgust, left Palestine (September, 1148) and returned to Germany by way of Constantinople Louis spent the winter in Jerusalem and returned to France in the spring of 1149.

The only success achieved by the second crusade was in Portugal. In May, 1147, about thirteen thousand crusaders, composed of Englishmen, Friesians and others Success in Portugal. from the mouth of the Rhine sailed from Dartmouth. They reached Oporto, where the bishop of the city asked them to help in the siege of Lisbon, which was still Mohammedan After a considerable delay terms were made, and they joined the army of king Alphonso before the city After a siege of nearly four months Lisbon was taken and The capture of the city was of the utmost importance to Alphonso, who soon made it the principal city and capital of his territory. Thence the crusaders sailed on to Palestine, where some of them undoubtedly took part in the unfortunate expedition against Damascus

The disappointment in Europe caused by the failure of this crusade was very great The reputation of Bernhard suffered a good deal because of it Another crusade Disappointment was called for at once, and a group of French in Europe. barons very foolishly elected Bernhard as its leader. The feeling against him was so strong, however, that he could not possibly succeed in raising another army Besides, the west had affairs of its own to attend to, and the Christian states in Syria were left to take care of themselves Their history till the fall of Jerusalem is most uninteresting, full of petty Syria, 1148-87. intrigue and violence Their rulers were on the whole very bad, being ambitious, lustful, luxurious, and without any political insight or ability The women of their famihes were of the same character and contributed to make matters worse The resources of the kingdoms were wasted on ill-advised expeditions, while the really dangerous enemy was

seldom disturbed. The Greek Emperor caused a good deal of trouble by his claims. Worst of all, the moral degeneracy of the Christians in Syria was increasing with appalling rapidity. The Templars and Knights of Saint John quarrelled with each other continually.

The death of the great Nureddin gave the coveted opportunity to Saladin to rise into power He was a member of a warlike family which had served Nureddin faith-Saladin fully and well He spent his youth in Damascus engaged in study, and he was filled with sorrow when his uncle compelled him to go with him to Egypt to take part in the government of that country. His tastes were those of a student, not of a statesman He soon began, however, to take delight When Nureddin died he seized the in his political work government of Egypt and made himself independent made war on the emirs of Syria, and his awakened ambition led him to try to unite all of western Asia under his sceptre. Toward the Christians of Terusalem he was friendly and made peace with them His plans kept him busy farther to the north and east reducing the emirs to subjection The Christians, however, were not wise enough to make the most of this peace. Several times, purely out of the desire for booty, they made marauding excursions into Saladin's territory and plundered his caravans as they passed by They did not hesitate to break their oaths and carry off booty whenever the opportunity offered At length Saladin could endure their perfidy no longer. He collected a large army, and on July 4 and 5, 1187, met the Christian force at the Horns of Hattin, not far from Horns of Hattin, the Sea of Galilee His success was decisive 1187. The Christians were either taken prisoners or slain in the conflict. The king and other leaders were captured. and almost all of them except the king put to death on the supernatural power of the true cross, they had carried it at the head of the army. It was now captured by the Mohammedans.

Saladin immediately began to reap the fruits of his victory One after another of the Christian cities surrendered to him. and on the 19th of September, 1187, he brought his army together before Jerusalem. all Syria in the hand of Saladın. The people were so discouraged that effectual resistance was impossible. On the 2d of October the city was surrendered and given over to Saladin. The inhabitants were allowed to leave the city on the payment of a heavy Every man was compelled to pay ten gold pieces, every woman five, children over seven years of age, two, and under seven, one The people moved out and began their sorrowful search for homes. Unfortunately most of them died of hunger and fatigue, and few of them reached a place of Saladin continued his conquests, but without so much Tyre was able to resist him and to success as formerly withstand a long and difficult siege Antioch and Tripolis he could not take Saladın kept up his efforts, but new forces were soon to come from the west, called into the conflict by the sad news of the fall of Terusalem

As soon as Jerusalem had surrendered, messengers were sent to the west, and in a few days all of Europe was filled with sorrow at the sad fate of the holy city. From Italy to England and Scandinavia there was talk crusade of a crusade. Everywhere there was a voluntary uprising, and when the three greatest rulers of Europe took the vow, it seemed that now at last the Mohammedans must be driven back and the Christian supremacy established in the east.

In 1187 the Pope sent Henry, Cardinal Bishop of Albano, to Germany to preach the crusade Frederick Barbarossa was, however, still having trouble with the archbishop of Cologne, and Henry the Lion was ready to rebel if an opportunity were given. By the help of the papal legate Frederick was able to bring the archbishop to subjection At a great diet held at Mainz several German bishops made powerful addresses and appealed to the Emperor

as well as to the people to assist in the recovery of the holy places. At length Frederick was won. He took the cross amid the approving shouts of his people. He tried to persuade Henry the Lion to go with him. On Henry's refusal he banished him from Germany for three years

Something had been learned by the failure of the previous The crusaders had set out without sufficient preparacrusades tions and consequently had suffered much from Well-laid plans. hunger Frederick put off the time of starting for a year in order that he might be able to make the necessary arrangements for feeding the army while on the march also been discovered that the great numbers of people who had accompanied the former armies were a hindrance to success, and Frederick decreed that no one might join in his crusade unless he had three silver marks (about thirty dollars) were sent to the king of Hungary, to the eastern Emperor, and to Kılidsch Arslan, the emir of Iconium, to announce his coming and to ask their assistance. Another embassy was sent to Saladın to demand the surrender of the kingdom of Jerusalem of the true cross which had been captured in the battle of the Horns of Hattin, and the release of all Christian slaves should refuse he was threatened with the invasion of his territory by all Christendom. A diet was held at Nuernberg in December, 1188, at which embassies were present from all the above mentioned except Saladın, whose messengers came The Greek Emperor demanded security that Frederick Frederick took the required oath, and would not attack him the messengers promised that the army should have good leaders, the opportunity to purchase provisions at low rates, and a fleet to set them across the Bosporus Saladın's reply was a message of defiance.

On the 23d of April, 1188, more than one hundred thousand men left Regensburg under the command of Frederick These were all well armed and equipped. The army differed radically from the lawless crowds of all previous crusades. Frederick established order at once and punished severely all violence and disobedience.

But again affairs were taking such a turn that this crusade could not be a success. In the first place, the Normans in Sicily began another war on the eastern Empire. In The Normans in 1185 they attacked Durazzo, and established themselves there. They attacked Thessalonica and after a few weeks took and sacked it. From there they moved against Constantinople The government was in a wretched state Manuel had died (1180) and been succeeded by his son Alexius II. who was only thirteen years old. His mother, Maria of Antioch, was regent, but the authority was in the hands of the Emperor's cousin, Alexius Comnenus. Another cousin, Andronicus Comnenus, usurped the power and title and put the young king and his mother to death This barbarity was followed by many revolts in various parts of the Empire. Thereupon another Comnenus, Isaac, got possession of Cyprus and assumed the title of Emperor An uprising in the city followed, and the Emperor Andronicus was de-Affairs in Conposed, and Isaac Angelus raised to the vacant stantinople. Although he was without much ability, he succeeded in defeating the Normans and forcing them to surrender Thessalonica and Durazzo Revolts followed in other parts of the Empire The Bulgarians, the Serbs, and the Wallachians rebelled against the tax collectors of the Emperor and made themselves independent All the northern part of the Empire was thus broken off from the central government

Still worse were the political relations with the east Kilidsch Arslan, the emir of Iconium, and the states of the Christians were hostile to the Greek Emperor For one hundred years the Emperors had been trying to get possession of the territory which was held the crusaders and by them Saladin was also trying to conquer both the Christians and the Mohammedans of Asia Minor. It was not strange, therefore, that the Greek Emperor and

Saladin should make common cause against these enemies and agree to divide the spoil. On the other hand, it was but natural that the Christians should make an alliance with Kılıdsch Arslan to resist their common enemies Since the party lines were thus drawn in the east, it was inevitable that the fresh clusading armies would attach themselves to Kilidsch Arslan and the Christians of Antioch The Greeks would. therefore, be more than ever in danger from them. This was exactly the condition when Frederick Barbarossa entered the Isaac and Saladın had made an offensive and de-Saladin gave the Greeks in his territory fensive alliance. religious liberty, and promised help to the Emperor against Kılıdsch Arslan Isaac promised to hinder the approach of the crusaders, to give the Mohammedans religious liberty in Constantinople, and to assist Saladin against his enemies This was most unfortunate, but, while it may seem short-sighted. Isaac can hardly be blamed for his conduct He was driven to it by the attacks which the crusaders and the Normans had made Saladın was the only one on whom he believed he It may now appear to us that this was a mistaken policy, but the danger from the west was great and imminent.

While Frederick was passing through the territory of the Serbs and Bulgarians he was besought to help them, and make an attack upon the Emperor. Frederick refused, however, and declared that he did not wish to fight with the Emperor unless he undertook to prevent the crusaders from reaching Asia those countries were in revolt, lawlessness was rampant, and the army suffered from a kind of guerilla warfare, which was carried on against it. When it entered Thrace, Isaac sent messengers to say that he knew that Frederick meant to Double-dealing of Isaac. do his government some injury, and forbade him to proceed any further unless he should give hostages and promise to deliver one-half of all his future conquests in the A little later Frederick learned that his ambaseast to him sadors to Isaac had been seized, imprisoned, and maltreated.

It was evident, therefore, that the Emperor was not dealing openly with him, and Frederick began to treat the land as an enemy's country The garrison of Philippopolis fled, leaving the city to the mercy of Frederick A Greek army approached, but was defeated and driven back The crusaders began to capture all the towns in the district, and to establish themselves as the conquerors of the land All were interested in securing as much booty as possible, and so were scattered about over a large area Frederick saw the danger that threatened his army and took measures to avert it He reorgan-12ed the army and enforced discipline with the greatest Refusing to forget that his real object was to reach Syria, he wished to end the troubles with Isaac as soon as pos-After several weeks of negotiation he secured the release of his ambassadors Three months more passed before Frederick could bring Isaac to make peace with him. the meantime Frederick had moved his army forward to Adrianople, and was plundering the country He sent word to the Pope to announce a crusade against the Greeks, and told his son to see that several of the Italian cities should send fleets to help him the next spring in the east He made alliances with the Serbs and Bulgarians Isaac was now thoroughly frightened and made a treaty whose terms were most favorable to the Germans During the last days of March the army was transported across the Hellespont, and began its march toward the interior

From Laodicæa on there was constant fighting with the Turks Kilidsch Arslan, emir of Iconium, had just died, and his sons had made peace with Saladin, so that Achange in instead of a friend, the Christians met with a Asia Minor. new enemy Iconium had to be taken by storm, but the labor was well repaid, for the Christians found great quantities of supplies in the city. The sufferings and the privations of the long march were now over. The Turks made peace with them, and they were able to replace the horses and other beasts

of burden which had penshed on the way. The march was continued to the south, and in a few days the army reached Cilicia and found itself among the friendly Armenians. Taurus mountains were traversed with great difficulty, and the army was delighted to reach the fertile lands on the coast. But on the oth of June, 1190, the army was overcome with sorrow at the misfortune which befell its Death of Barbarossa. In trying to cross a swollen mountain leader stream, the Saleph, near Seleucia, Frederick was drowned The soldiers already utterly exhausted, were rendered helpless and hopeless by this new blow Many of them returned at once to Europe Others were slain in battle or sold in the slave markets of the Mohammedan cities Only a few of them reached Antioch. This was the third great German army which had miserably perished without accomplishing anything for the cause

Besides this principal body of German crusaders who went with Frederick, there were three other smaller bands. The derman crusaders landgrave William of Thuringia with a goodly in Portugal. following passed through Italy and, sailing from Brindisi, was successful in reaching Syria. Two fleets sailed from the lower Rhine a few months apart, and both landed in Portugal, where they were invited by the king to help him against the Mohammedans of that country. The first fleet rendered him valuable assistance in capturing from the Mohammedans the important fortress, Alvor, and the other assisted him in the siege of the city just to the north of it, Silves. By these conquests the power of the king of Portugal was much increased. Both these fleets continued their journey and reached Syria in time to take part in the siege of Acco.

For several years there had been much talk in both England and France about a crusade, but nothing had come of it

France and all, because of the complications between the England. The king of England had possession of about one half of France All the territory from

Normandy to Gascony acknowledged Henry II as king. The two rulers were therefore engaged in a constant struggle. The king of England wished to maintain himself in his continental possessions, and the king of France knew that it was the first business of his life to win back all this lost territory. Under these circumstances it was inevitable that the kings of these two countries could not act in harmony for a long time. Finally, early in 1188, Henry II and Philip II met, made peace, and took the cross. Hostilities, however, broke out afresh between them, and the crusade was postponed

Henry II 's last years were made bitter by the revolts of his sons, which were promoted, if not directly caused, by the intrigues of Philip II By fostering their ambitions Philip II. won them to his side, and Henry II died from the blow which he thus received from his own sons (1180) He was succeeded by his son Richard, who, at this time, was on the best terms with Philip II Under these circumstances it was possisible for them both to fulfil their vows Accordingly plans were laid for beginning the crusade in the spring of 1100. Money was collected from all quarters The Jews were persecuted and robbed, offices and Richard I. other dignities and rights sold, and a tax, called Saladin's tithe, levied. At the appointed time more than one hundred thousand crusaders assembled, and the two kings marched It was found, however, that so large a through France. number could not easily be fed The army was therefore divided according to nationalities Richard sailed from Marseilles, skirted the coast of Italy, and, after frequently seeking adventures on the mainland, landed in Sicily. Philip II. sailed from Genoa and safely reached Messina.

The king of Sicily had just died, and his widow, the sister of Richard, had been imprisoned by a usurper, Tancred of Lecce Richard, therefore, stopped and saw Quarrels in that his sister was set free and her rights secured. In the end Messina was stormed and taken by him

and plundered. Philip II and Richard quarrelled over the spoils, and the old enmity between Englishmen and Frenchmen was renewed. Richard was engaged to marry the sister of Philip II. but now refused to do so. His engagement to the princess Berengaria of Navarre was announced instead, and the lady herself soon came to Sicily in company with Richard's mother. Thus the whole winter was spent in alternate periods of strife and revelry.

Philip II. finally determined to proceed, and on March 30th sailed away with his troops to Acco. Ten days later Richard also set sail A storm separated his vessels and Cyprus taken. mor. some of them were wrecked on the coast of Opprus The island was still in the hands of Isaac Comnenus, who a few years before had made himself master of it and assumed the title of Emperor He robbed and maltreated those who had been wrecked, and even tried to kidnap Berengaria, whose ship had safely reached the harbor. A few days later Richard came up with the rest of his fleet and demanded satisfaction for the injury done his people Isaac refused, and Richard attacked him with such vigor that he was compelled to flee, leaving Limossol in Richard's hands It was here that Richard celebrated his marriage with Berengaria In spite of attempts at reconciliation with Isaac, hostilities were renewed, and in less than a month Isaac was taken prisoner, and all the island fell into the hands of Richard He divided it up into fiefs and gave it to some knights of his army and proceeded to Acco Cyprus was thus added to the states of the crusaders, and was to be of the greatest importance in their future history. Richard landed at Acco, June 8th

It was a grave misfortune for the whole movement that the siege of Acco had been begun and had been made the most important object of attack. Guido of Lusignan, king of Jerusalem, had been set free by Saladin and had gone to Tyre, which had been a part of his kingdom, but was now held by Conrad of Montferrat. Conrad. how-

ever, refused to surrender his position to him. Guido, without any thought of what was best for the kingdom, set out with a small force and laid siege to Acco, hoping to secure a good Since the Christians held other ports, Acco was not at all essential to the existence of the Christians in the east. Saladin was the real danger, and all the strength of the Christians should have been directed against him. The siege was begun in the summer of 1189. All the bands of Christians which came from the west were gathered together before the city. Since Acco was situated on a peninsula, the Christians were able to invest it in such a way as to cut off all communication with the mainland Walls and a line of forts were built clear across the neck of land, and since Saladin was in the rear of the Christians they constructed defences against him, and so were in a kind of fort which faced both ways tians besieged Acco and were in turn besieged by Saladin.

The hatred between Guido and Conrad was bitter, and each sought to win the new crusaders to his support Since Philip II. and Richard were also quarrelling, it was but natural that they should espouse opposite sides Richard went with Guido. while Philip II. made terms with Conrad The enmity was thereby increased The siege had already lasted nearly two years when Philip II and Richard arrived The coming of Philip and Richard put new life into the attack, and in spite of all the quarrelling and jealousy Acco was so hard pressed that it was compelled to capitulate The city and all its possessions were surrendered, but the inhabitants were permitted to withdraw in safety Saladin, moreover, promised to set free a large number of Christian slaves and prisoners, to restore the true cross to the Christians, and to pay two hundred thousand pieces of gold to the crusaders A large number of hostages was given them to insure the payment of the money

The next work of the crusaders should have been to attack the Mohammedan cities in the interior Jerusalem especially should have been retaken. Instead of this their time was spent in quarrelling. Guido and Conrad continued their struggle. Other bands of crusaders were coming all the time, and the Englishmen and Frenchmen treated them all with great haughtiness and injustice Philip II then declared that he was ill and must therefore go home. The real reason of this determination was that he wished to secure the territory of Philip of Flanders, who had recently died. He hoped also in the absence of Richard to be able to recover some of his lost French possessions. In July, 1291, he safely reached Europe.

The further conduct of the crusade was left in the hands of Richard, but he was utterly without the qualifications of a successful leader, though he was a thorough knight. . Richard's instrong and adventurous No single combat was anty. too hard for him, and the more dangerous an undertaking, the more sure he was to try it. But the army needed not a knight but a head, a commander, who would direct it in the proper way. Richard was entirely incapable of this Probably at the instigation of some of the Italian cities, and perhaps of some of the princes, it was determined to attack Ascalon next. This was a mistake, but the army marched to the south Saladın in the meanwhile destroyed all the cities along the coast to prevent their falling into the hands of the crusaders. When the army reached Toppa they found it in ruins Here, however, the army stopped and spent several days Saladin took advantage of this delay to destroy Ascalon also. Valuable time was wasted in Joppa, and Conrad of Tyre grew tired of waiting He made a treaty with Saladin by which he was to receive certain cities, and in return was to help Saladın against the Christians Treason thus found its way into their own ranks Richard was desirous of returning to England, because he had heard that his brother John and Philip II were both trying to deprive him of his power wished therefore to make peace with Saladin, but was so changeable that no definite treaty could be made He began the march against Jerusalem and gave it up without cause. He

spent his time in hunting for adventures. He would declare that he must go back to Europe, and then apparently forget it and go on another expedition. He made Conrad of Tyre king of Jerusalem, but the Assassins murdered the newly made monarch. Count Henry of Champagne was made his successor, and the deposed king, Guido, was indemnified by the gift of Cyprus After beginning many things and completing nothing, Richard at last made a wretched peace with Saladin. The only favor granted the Christians was to enter Jerusalem as unarmed pilgrims during the next three years Saladin retained all his possessions, the Christian slaves were not set free, and the cross was not delivered up. The treaty was received by a storm of opposition, and Richard was cursed by the Christians in Palis-Shortly after this he sailed away (September, 1192) His vessel was wrecked near Venice, and he began his journey through Europe in disguise. He was made a prisoner not far from Vienna by duke Leopold of Austria, and delivered over to Henry VI of Germany. After being in prison for nearly eighteen months he was set free upon the payment of a heavy ransom, and eventually reached his kingdom

The preparations which Henry VI made for a crusade have already been spoken of. In 1196 more than sixty thousand crusaders, mostly Germans, sailed from Apulia and The crusade of safely reached Syria They made Amalrich of Henry VI., 1196. Cyprus king instead of Henry of Champagne, who had just lost his life by an accident They marched against Beirut, which easily fell into their hands since the Mohammedans were unwilling to endure a siege. The army was then making plans to carry the war into the interior when the news of the death of Henry VI deprived it of all courage In a short time the army went to pieces, and nearly all set out for their homes in Europe Germany was, at this time, the seat of war between the Guelfs and the Hohenstaufen, and the kings of England and France were in strife with each other and their subjects The prospect of a new crusade was not, therefore, very flattering Nevertheless the great Pope Innocent III determined that another army should be sent to the east He sent messengers to the Armenians, who acknowledged him as their eccle-Efforts of Innocent III. siastical head, urging them to resist the Turks and promising them help. He called upon Alexius III. of Constantinople to prepare for a crusade and to assist the army from the west when it should appear He sent representatives to Constantinople to a council to be held for the purpose of uniting the Churches of the east and west again. To the rulers of western Europe he sent letters and messengers, calling on them to join in the new crusade. He promised to all who should do so the forgiveness of their sins and the special prothation of Saint Peter The clergy were called upon to pay a tax of one-fortieth of all their property and income to defray the expenses of the army. Many preachers were sent out, some of whom acquired a very great reputation for holiness and persuaded large numbers to take the cross Fulco, a priest of Neurlly on the river Aisne, it is said, received the vow from more than two hundred thousand persons. These, however, were mostly common people, who, it had been found, were rather a hindrance than a help to a crusading army The upper classes were slow to be moved, but in 1199 several members of the nobility were persuaded to take the vow. The next year they held several meetings, elected count Thibaud as their leader, and sent messengers to Venice to secure help from the city in their undertaking. The Venetians agreed to furnish a fleet sufficient to transport forty-five hundred knights, nine thousand squires, and twenty thousand foot soldiers, to furnish provisions for the same for one year, and to add fifty galleys to the army. For this they demanded eighty-five thousand marks of silver (nearly nine hundred thousand dollars) The date of departure was fixed for April, 1202 Egypt was to be the objective point, since the crusaders believed that they ought to attack the Mohammedans in the seat of their power

Venice was at this time at peace with Egypt, and her com-

merce with that country was considerable. She had no interest in the crusade as such, but was willing to take part in any expedition which promised to increase her har-Commercial bor and commercial privileges in the east. With interests of Constantinople she was having trouble because the Emperor had granted the people of other Italian cities the same privileges as she possessed. Her Doge, Henry Dandolo, had a personal grudge against Constantinople because of the violence and indignities he had suffered there some years be-It is probable that from the first he thought of using the crusade against the eastern Empire. Count Thibaud, the first leader, having died, the margrave Boniface of Montferrat was made leader in his stead. Boniface was the sort of man who would look after his material interests far more carefully than

the interests of Christianity.

Alexius III Angelus had acquired the crown (1195) by deposing his brother Isaac II Angelus, blinding him, and putting him in prison. The young son of Isaac, Revolts in Alexius, was also imprisoned, but in the summer Constantinople. of 1201 made his escape and went to western Europe to secure help against his unnatural uncle He applied to Innocent III., but without success He then went to Germany and besought the aid of his brother-in-law, king Philip espoused his cause and suggested that the crusade should be sent against Constantinople to restore the old Emperor Isaac He strongly recommended this view to Boniface. Henry Dandolo was fully in sympathy with the proposition. It was not difficult to persuade nearly all the crusaders that this was the thing to do, especially since most of them cherished the deepest hatred of the Greeks Many of the knights wished to establish themselves as independent princes in the east, and it was a matter of indifference to them against whom they fought if they could only be successful Dandolo was the controlling spirit in the army and was able to direct things as he wished.

Many of the crusaders did not come to Venice, but sought to reach Palestine by some other way. Some of them went to Rome, where Innocent III used them against the imperial officers who held towns and fortresses in central Italy. The number of those who came to Venice was, therefore, not so large as had been expected. After paying all they possibly could, they were still in debt to the city thirty-four thousand silver marks. Dandolo was glad of this because Attack on Zara. of the power which it gave him over them. proposed that they should earn the passage by helping the city conquer some of its enemies The city of Zara, on the coast of Dalmatia (exactly opposite Rimini), lived very largely by piracy. Her fleet preyed upon the Venetian commerce and rendered the Adriatic unsafe In October, 1202, more than two hundred boats of various sizes sailed from Venice, carrying the crusaders, and by the end of October Zara was in their hands

The Pope had done all he could to prevent this diversion He had put obstacles in the way of the alliance between the crusaders and the Venetians because he knew the character of the latter, and was afraid that they would seek only their own advantage The crusaders excused themselves to him by saying that their obligations to the Venetians were such that they could not act otherwise The Pope forgave them, and although he put the Venetians under the ban, allowed the crusaders to continue in their association in order that they might use their fleet for the purposes of transportation. He forbade them to proceed against Constantinople, and reminded them that it was the duty of crusaders to fight against the Mohammedans, not to punish the wrongdoings of the Greek usurper The crusaders, however, paid no attention to his prohibition, because Philip of Germany sent ambassadors to offer them, on the part of Alexius, two hundred thousand marks of silver, a re-enforcement of ten thousand soldiers for one year to help subdue the Mohammedans, and the subjection of the Greek Church to the

papacy, if they would restore him to his throne The crusaders could not resist this tempting offer, and the fleet was set in motion against Constantinople.

The expedition would probably have failed but for the inability of the usurper, Alexius III. He imposed heavy taxes upon the people and committed all sorts of vio- Incompetency of lence against his subjects The army was not kept in condition and the fleet was dismantled and sold. all parts of the Empire there were revolts The central part of Greece was seized by Leon Sguros, and the peoples along the Danube plundered at will. Alexius made no preparations to resist the crusaders until it was too late. Toward the end of June, 1203, their fleet entered the harbor and Constantinople the siege began On the 17th of July a part of taken. the walls of the city was taken. Alexius III made a sally against the troops on the land, but was defeated and driven Overcome with fear, he fled that night with all the money and valuables he could collect Next day the people brought out the old Isaac, who had been blinded, and made him Emperor again Since this was what the crusaders had set out to accomplish, they were satisfied. The young prince Alexius IV, was crowned and associated in the government with his father, and the siege was ended. The crusaders helped Alexius IV. in his campaign against those who opposed him in the country In the city there was a good deal of illfeeling shown, and the Greeks resented the haughty insolence and violence of the "Latins," as the crusaders were called. The city was set on fire, and nearly one-half of it was reduced to ashes

The new Emperors were unable to pay all they had promised. By the heaviest exactions they could collect only one hundred thousand silver marks. The crusaders demanded the full amount and threatened violence if it were not paid. War broke out, and the siege was renewed. The winter of 1203-4 wore away. In the city a revolution took place

which resulted in the death of Alexius IV and in putting Alexius Ducas Marzuflus on the throne as Alexius V great preparations and many attacks the city was Constantinople finally taken (April 12, 1204) One of the again taken and sacke4, 1204. gates of the city was shattered, and at the same time two ships which were joined together came close enough to the walls to fasten their ladders to one of the towers. tower was quickly filled by the crusaders, and so from two directions they poured into the city For some days the soldiers gave themselves up to plunder and murder. Their cruelty passed all bounds. Another fire broke out and a large part of the city was burned. Alexius V. fled, and the crown was offered by some of the Greeks to Theodore Lascaris He refused it and fled into Asia Minor.

The victors divided the spoils and the Empire went to pieces. Baldwin of Flanders was made Emperor of the new Latin constantinople the seat of a Latin Thessalonica and the surrounding territory, with the title of king Both tried to extend their power, but not always with the best success Bulgaria caused a good deal of trouble in the north In Asia Minor several Greeks set themselves up with the title of Emperor, but each one ruled over only a small territory Probably the most important of these pretenders was Theodore Lascaris who took up his residence at Nicæa

Venice also received her share of the booty. According to the terms of the agreement she should have received threeThe spoils eighths of the Empire. But although the Doge divided. took as his official title "Ruler of One-Fourth and One-Eighth of the Whole Roman Empire," he never got possession of that amount. Among other possessions large quarters in the city of Constantinople fell to the Venetians. In the Peloponnesus they got some territory in the southwestern part of Messene They took possession of nearly all the Greek islands, and controlled to a great extent the eastern Mediter-

ranean. The rest of the Peloponnesus fell into the hands of Godfrey of Villehardouin and William of Champlitte, the latter with the title of Prince of Achaia. Epirus was ruled by a Greek, who was able to maintain himself there under the title of despot. Athens formed a duchy. Other princes established themselves in various places with various titles, all of them more or less dependent upon Venice.

It was impossible, however, for these Latins to maintain themselves in the east. They were not numerous enough to dominate and assimilate the Greeks The religious hatred was too strong They also conquer the lost quarrelled among themselves, and the Greeks gradually took advantage of their opportunities to recover their lost territory. In 1261 Constantinople with all its surrounding territory was taken by the Greek Emperor Michael In the following years many of the Latin principalities were reconquered, although Venice retained her hold on the islands In the first years of the fourteenth century the Aragonese of Spain established themselves in Attica, after doing much damage to the whole coast of the Balkan peninsula These Catalonians, as they were called, were later to be conquered by the Osman Turks.

Although this fourth crusade worked injury, on the whole, to the cause of the crusades, it was of the greatest importance to the civilization of Europe. The many Latin Effects of the colonists in the east were living among the fourth crusade. Greeks and Arabs, and were in daily contact with them Their intercourse with the Mohammedans was very free Through these crusaders the civilization of the east, both Greek and Arab, was carried to the west. This was the greatest benefit derived by Europe from the crusades

The crusades which followed this expedition against Constantinople were all unimportant in their results. One of the most curious of them was the children's crusade. In the

¹ See G. Z Gray, The Children's Crusade

spring of 1212 Stephen of Cloyes, a mere boy, was led, probably by the tricks of the clergy, to believe that he was called of God to preach a new crusade in which The Children's only children should take part He was finally Crusade, 1212. brought to Saint Denis, where he had the opportunity of preaching to thousands of people Many other boys were influenced by his example to enter on the work of preaching the crusade, and the fever soon spread over France and Germany A boy Nicholas and the named Nicholas became the leader of the Ger-German children man children, and after collecting about forty thousand of them he began the march to the south possible that the army, as it was called, was divided into two parts, one of which crossed over the Mont Cenis pass, while the other went by way of Saint Gotthard Some of the children soon turned back, many died by the way, others, especially the girls, were seized and made prisoners Only a few of them reached Genoa, where, in their pathetical innocence, they expected the sea to open up and give them a passage on dry land to Palestine It is probable that two shiploads of them sailed from Pisa for Palestine Others went on to Rome, where they presented themselves to Innocent III, who ordered them to return home and wait until they had grown up. It seems, however, that a small band of them, whether of the Mont Cenis party or those who crossed the Saint Gotthard is not quite clear, reached Brindisi. It is said that a few hundreds sailed away from Brindisi, but that they were never heard of again

Stephen of Cloyes collected an army of about thirty thousand children and set out for Marseilles. After many hardstephen and the ships they reached the city only to be disapFrench children pointed in the promises which had been held out that God would open up a way for them through the sea. Day after day they waited, but in vain. At length when their hope was almost gone two merchants offered to furnish ships to convey them to Syria. Seven vessels were provided and about five thousand children sailed away in them. Two

of the ships were wrecked on the island of San Pietro, off the southwest coast of Sardinia. The others safely reached some Mohammedan port, but only to thrust the children upon a worse fate than death. The merchants who had furnished the ships were slave-dealers and had taken these means of securing a large number of persons for the Mohammedan slave-markets.

In 1217 Andrew, king of Hungary, brought together a considerable number of troops and went to Syria He did not know what points to attack, and so, after making Andrew of several useless expeditions into the interior he Hungary, 1217. became disgusted and returned home Soon after this a large fleet, composed of vessels from the territory about the mouth of the Rhine, reached Acco It was soon decided that the proper point of attack was Egypt, and so the whole fleet, re-enforced by all the crusaders who were already at Acco, sailed away for Damietta. During the last days of May, 1218, Damietta Bethe crusaders landed and began the siege sieged. After gaining solid advantages they were offered excellent terms of peace by the Sultan He offered to restore to the Christians the kingdom of Jerusalem and the true cross, and to pay them a large sum of money, if they would withdraw from Damietta. Very foolishly the offer was rejected Many of the crusaders thought that it should have been accepted, but the fanaticism of the papal legate and the commercial interests of the Italian cities prevailed. The siege was continued until November 5, 1210, when the city fell into the hands of the Christians.

The next step was to attack the Sultan, who had established himself at Mansurah This was put off, however, from time to time, and the Christian army remained idle until the summer of 1221 In July of that year the Christians finally began their march against the Sultan Again the most advantageous offers of peace were made them, but were refused. The crusaders continued their march. The Nile, however, was overflowing, and the crusaders did not know their way through the maze of

canals The army at length found it impossible to fight longer against the Sultan, and began a retreat which resulted in the The army dedestruction of the army. Even Damietta had to be given up, and the work of the crusade was entirely undone

The crusade of Frederick II has already been partially de-For several years the Pope had tried in every way to compel him to begin the journey, but for Frederick II. various reasons Frederick put it off until the He found the Christians in Syria quarrelling summer of 1228 as usual, but the Mohammedans were, if possible, in worse condition. War was raging between the sultan of Egypt and Each was afraid that the other would the emir of Damascus make some concessions to Frederick, and thereby gain a decided advantage, and both were, therefore, only too willing to make terms with Frederick without risking a battle Frederick was also desirous of settling matters without fighting, because, although, off and on, many crusaders had come to Syria during the last fifteen years, the most of them had become tired of waiting and had returned to Europe. Frederick's forces were, therefore, not large In February, 1229, he made a treaty with the Mohammedans the terms of which were most favorable to the Christians. Jerusalem, with the exception of the mosque of Omar, was surrendered to them, as were also several cities and strongholds which were so situated that pilgrims could safely make the rounds of all the holy places. All the Christians who were held as slaves by the Mohammedans were to be set free. Frederick promised in return to protect the sultan against all his enemies, even if these were Christians, and agreed not to aid the princes in northern Syria

This peace brought a storm of criticism upon Frederick The Christians in northern Syria, especially Boemund V. of Antioch, the Templars, and the Knights of Saint John, were angry that they had not been included in it. The Pope refused to accept it because, he said, no treaty should be made with

the infidels Frederick, however, entered Jerusalem, crowned himself before the Holy Sepulchre king of Jerusalem, and then hastened back to Europe The principal result of his crusade was that Jerusalem and several other places (Nazareth, Bethlehem, and others) were again in Christian hands

In the years 1239-40 several French nobles under the lead of Thibaud of Navarre made an expedition to Syria The Pope tried to use them against the Emperor and crusade of did all he could to prevent their sailing. They 1239-40 reached Acco, but, although their numbers were large, they did nothing to improve the situation. They turned their attention to capturing as much booty as possible. In the spring of 1240 they were re-enforced by a crusade of Englishmen, who were led by Richard of Cornwall. After making a peace with the sultan of Egypt to the advantage of the Christians, and strengthening some of the places, Richard returned to England.

The Christians in Syria continued their quarrelling, but the Mohammedan world was luckily also divided The emirs of Damascus and other cities in northern Syria opposed the sultan of Egypt, and made an alliance with the Christians against To meet this the sultan called on a wild horde of Turks for help, and in 1244 they sent an army of ten thousand horsemen to assist him. Their route from Mesopotamia through Syria was marked by ruins In September they took Jerusalem and put the Christian inhabitants to the sword One after another the Christian cities were reduced until the Jerusalem kingdom of Jerusalem was wholly in the hands of lost anew, 1244. the Mohammedans Terusalem was definitely lost to the Christians and was destined to remain under Mohammedan control

The fall of Jerusalem was not able to create so great a commotion in Europe as it had fifty years before Frederick II. was engaged in a life-and-death struggle with the Pope Only in France did it have any effect, and even there it was principally because of the character of king Louis IX that anything came of it. The king and many

of his barons prepared for a crusade In the summer of 1248 they came to Cyprus, but, instead of proceeding at once to Syria, spent the winter there While on the island it was determined to change the destination of the expedition and to proceed directly against Egypt They landed before Damietta and caused so great fear among the inhabitants that they at once deserted the city, and the Christians took possession of it without a blow. From here the army proceeded into the interior. After several months of fighting the crusaders were compelled to begin a retreat. The whole army was either destroyed or taken prisoner Louis himself and many of his nobles were made captives. He purchased the freedom of himself and the others by the payment of 800,000 pieces of gold, and the surrender of Damietta From Egypt he went to Syria, where he spent nearly four years trying in various ways to restore the fortunes of the Christians there He called for help from Europe, but little or no attention was paid to him. At length he returned to France (1254) without having accomplished anything.

In 1266 Louis IX wished to make another crusade, but it was four years before he was prepared to begin it. In 1270 he sailed from southern France, and after a severe The crusade of 1270. storm landed on the coast of Sardinia it was determined to attack Tunis because, it was said, its emir wished to become a Christian, and would certainly do so if sufficient force of argument were exerted upon him rate the sultan of Egypt would be greatly weakened by the loss of Tunis. The real reason of the diversion, however, was that Charles of Anjou, brother of Louis IX, feared an attack from Tunis, because it had taken the part of the Hohenstaufen played his royal brother a trick and succeeded in directing the army against his personal enemies Tunis was reached and the siege begun, but it was not pushed with much vigor A pest broke out in the camp, and many of the soldiers were carried off by it. The old king himself was finally seized, and died August 25, 1270. The siege was carried on for a while longer, but ended with a treaty of peace which was, on the whole, favorable to the Christians Most of the crusaders then returned to Europe Only the two English princes, Edward and Edmund, sons of Henry III, continued their journey to Syria, where, however, they did nothing of importance

The end of the Christian power in Syria was fast approaching. The military-monkish Orders fought with each other, and the Venetians and other Italian states were engaged Syria reconin constant feuds The Mongols were extendquered by the Mohammedans. ing their power over the Mohammedan world in Asia, but were eventually driven back The sultan of Bibars carried on the work of conquest with skill In 1265 Cæsarea and Arsuf were taken by him and destroyed The great fortress Safed was taken the next year In 1268 Joppa shared the same fate, and the whole of northern Syria was lost by the surrender of Antioch in May of the same year. Thereupon Gregory X preached a crusade throughout all Europe, but without success More than once divisions among the Mohammedans gave the remaining Christians in Syria a little respite, but their fate could not be avoided. Tripolis was taken by the sultan, 1289, and in 1291 Acco was besieged by After a few months of brave resistance this stronghold also was captured. The Christians were thus driven out of Syria, and the whole country was in the hands of the Moham-The Knights of Saint John established themselves on some of the islands, especially Rhodes, which they held for nearly two hundred years Cyprus remained a Latin kingdom until 1489, when it was seized by Venice and made a part of her territory.

Although there were no more crusades, the idea of having one did not die Several Popes during the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries called on Europe to arm why did the itself against the Mohammedans Several kings crusades cease? of France even took the cross and proclaimed a crusade This

was done, however, apparently for no other purpose than to afford the king an opportunity to collect some extraordinary taxes The reasons for the cessation of the crusades are many In the first place the crusades had failed. Millions of lives and untold wealth had been squandered in the east, and nothing had been accomplished The task seemed too great The people of Europe lost faith in the movement. The crusading spirit was turned into other channels In Spain the war was kept up with the Mohammedans. On the eastern frontiers of Germany crusades were carried on against the heathen Letts and Slavs The heretics in the Empire were put on the same plane as the infidels, and wars against them were declared to be as holy and deserving of the same rewards as those against the Mohammedans. Then the national life of the countries was growing much stronger
International struggles arose and all the forces of the country were needed at home At the same time the religious needs of the people were satisfied in another way Gethsemanes, Via Dolorosas, and Calvaries were constructed in the west, and these artificial holy places came to be regarded with almost as much superstitious reverence as were their originals The rising sale of indulgences also made it unnecessary to go on a long and dangerous journey to the holy land to win religious peace It was still quite common for people to take a vow to go on a crusade, but by a peculiar bit of sophistry it was supposed that all the benefits of the vow could thus be artificially obtained The life of Europe grew larger, its interests more complex, and the fields of its activity more numerous There was no longer any surplus of energy to be spent in such far-away enterprises

That the crusades failed to accomplish what they were organized to do is evident from the above account. The causes causes of this failure are not far to seek. The crusaders themselves were much to blame—both while on the way and after they reached the east They lacked good leaders. They were too lawless and moblike The

princes quarrelled constantly, and their personal ambitions kept them from working for the common good. Especially the ambition of the Normans and their many ill-timed attacks on the eastern Empire had a bad effect on the course of events. The Greek Emperors were also to blame and followed a disastrous policy, although the conduct of the crusaders generally drove them to it. The struggle between the German Emperors and the Popes also had a baneful influence. The Italian cities come in for their share of the blame because they were interested so deeply in commerce that they often sacrificed the common interests to their selfish ends. Finally, the difficulty of colonizing so large a territory and of absorbing the Mohammedan population was so great that it could not be overcome.

Both the direct and indirect effects of the crusades on Europe were great and varied. They did much to increase the power of the Papacy, especially during the first hundred years. Urban II virtually was at the head crusades. of Christian Europe, and his leadership of this most popular movement confirmed him in the high place in the mind of the Christian world. Chivalry was perhaps inevitable, but the crusades forced it to become organized and made of it the institution which it became. The military-monkish Orders owed their existence wholly to the crusades. The conquests of the German Order among the heathen on the Baltic may be regarded as one of the most important of their indirect effects.

The crusades helped destroy feudalism. The barons often sold their rights, privileges, lands, and other feudal possessions in order to get money to go on a crusade The creation of a new nobility to offset the old was also hastened by the crusades They diminished the number of feudal subjects of the lower class and so created the demand for laborers which resulted in the elevation of the serfs into a class of free day-laborers They also had some effect on the process by which the kings were increasing their power at the

expense of the nobles They did not destroy feudalism, but did much to weaken it. Since they brought together large numbers of people of all countries, they developed the consciousness of national differences. Each nation came to hate all the others, one of the necessary steps, apparently, in the development of nationality.

On commerce the effects of the crusades were most marked. They gave a great impetus to ship-building, since the carrying of pilgrims between Europe and Asia came to be Commerce. a lucrative occupation, and the amount of commerce greatly increased Many new objects of merchandise were now introduced for the first time into Europe The crusades created and supplied a large demand in the west for wines, sugar, cotton, silk, all kinds of textile fabrics, rugs, pottery, glass-ware, spices, medicines, perfumes, coloring substances, incense, various kinds of oil, mastix, dates, grains, and many other things. It would not be too much to say that the crusades made Europe rich The cities especially profited by the commerce. The rise of the citizen or middle class was therefore greatly hastened by the crusades
The literature and learning of Europe were also deeply affected by them gave a strong impulse to literary activity. Many chronicles, histories, and poems were written about them, and the legends which grew out of them were innumerable The literature of chivalry may be traced indirectly to the same impulse. The great cycles of legends about Solomon, Troy, and Alexander the Great, arose under their influence. In 1141 the Koran was translated into Latin. About the same time a school was established in Paris to teach the eastern languages, such as Armenian and Arabic.

Also Europe's fund of knowledge was generally increased As regards zoology, the crusaders became acquainted with many animals which aroused their curiosity. This interest resulted in the formation of zoological gardens, first of all in Sicily and Italy, in which strange animals were collected not

simply for the sake of curiosity, but also to satisfy a rising scientific interest in them. Further some new domestic animals were introduced into Europe, such as the mule, the donkey, and the Arab horse

In botany and practical farming Europe had much to learn from the Arabs They taught the best methods of irrigation. The "Dutch" windmill is an Arabic invention, and was used for grinding corn and drawing farming. water in the east It was introduced into Europe by the crusaders Many new plants and grains were brought to the west, and experiments made in their cultivation. Among them were sugar, cotton, rice, indigo, sesame, and other grains, saffron, the mulberry-tree, the pistachio-tree, fig, citron, pomegranate, watermelon, musk-melon, apricot, plum, and artichoke

In medicine and chemistry, which among the Arabs were closely related, the Christians learned of sirups, juleps, elixir, camphor, senna, rhubarb, and many similar ingredients. Many of the chemical terms, such as alembic, alcohol, alkali, borax, and amalgam are Arabic in origin. Their knowledge of mathematics and astronomy has already been spoken of The intercourse between the Christians and the Mohammedans facilitated the spread to the west of the Arabic achievements in these subjects. Many astronomical terms, such as zenith, nadir, azimuth, and almanac were derived from the Arabic.

Most important of all, perhaps, was the general enlargement of the intellectual horizon of Europe, caused by the travel of the Christians in foreign lands which had a diftenent, higher, and finer civilization than their Europe enlarged. own. Life in the west was still very rude. The houses lacked all luxuries and comforts, and most of those things which are now even regarded as necessities. The European, whose experiences had been very limited indeed, entered into a new world when he set out on a crusade. He found new climates, new natural products, strange dress, houses, and customs. The

features of the landscape, and even the skies above him, were different In the houses he found many new objects of comfort and luxury, such as divans, sofas, alcoves, mattresses, carafes, baldaquins, jars, and precious stones Talisman, amulet, carat, and the names of many stones are Arabic. The geographical knowledge of the west was very limited, but the crusades brought experience in travel and a practical knowledge of large territories. Great interest was aroused in the study of geography. A good knowledge of the Mediterranean and large parts of Asia and Africa was acquired. The curiosity awakened by the new regions, together with the mercenary and commercial interests in many quarters, led Europeans to undertake long journeys of discovery. One of the most famous of the travellers of the Middle Age was Marco Polo, who traversed central Asia, visiting all the peoples of that region, and finally reaching even the Pacific. Other travellers only a little less famous are Plan Carpin and Andrew of Longiumeau. The accounts of their travels, which they published, were very widely read, and while adding information they increased the interest of Europe in foreign lands. The influence of the crusades in this direction can hardly be overestimated. Without them the Renaissance could not have been what it was,

CHAPTER XVI

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CITIES, MORE ESPECIALLY IN FRANCE

THE history of the cities of the Roman Empire during the first ten centuries of the Christian era is obscure. In Gaul there were more than one hundred cities (civi-The cities in tates) and a larger number of strongholds (casthe Empire. tra). The cities were governed by the Roman municipal form In the fourth century they were all on the of government road to ruin because of the financial oppression which they endured from the Emperor Sometime during or after the invasion of the Barbarians the municipal government of the cities was destroyed, and the cities passed into the hands of a bishop or of some nobleman in the neighborhood. Often the city was divided, the bishop having control of part of it and the nobleman ruling over the remainder. Some of the cities were actually destroyed by the invasion and their sites entirely lost

The Germans, it will be remembered, generally settled in the country. It would seem that at the time of Karl the Great by far the larger number of the inhabitants of Scandinavia and Germany still lived on the soil. The violence of the times, and especially the invasions of the Norsemen and Huns, compelled the people to live together in walled inclosures, and these became in time cities. Many cities grew up around monasteries and castles. They were, of course, small in their beginnings and grew slowly. The ruling class did not live in the cities but in the castles. From the fifth to the tenth century there was little or no commerce except for a short time during the

reign of Karl the Great The insecurity of the roads destroyed commerce and hindered travel

Before the time of Karl the cities were ruled by a lord, whether duke, count, or baron Karl the Great put almost all The cities in the the cities of his Empire under an officer who hands of a lord. was generally called a count. The people of the cities had no political rights Not even in the government of themselves did they have a voice. Their lord collected the taxes, appointed officials, kept order, and punished offenders. It is possible, however, that in some cases the people had preserved a mere remnant of their former independence and had a certain right in determining who should hold a few of the offices Practically, however, it is true that they had no political rights. They were at the mercy of their lords.

A sort of basis or starting-point for the free commune of later times was the guilds which prevailed throughout the whole Middle Age People who had common interests were brought together and united into a secret organization known as a guild For the people engaged in the same occupation there was a separate guild Each guild worked only for its own interests at first, but later some of them united and supported the common cause

The principal cause of the communal revolt of the eleventh and twelfth centuries was the revival of industry and commerce, and the consequent increase of wealth. dustry and commerce.

It was the merchants who led in the movement, a proof of which is that the revolt spread along the routes of commerce and travel. During the tenth century efforts were made to put an end to private wars and to secure peace. Feudalism became more fixed in its customs and a certain degree of order prevailed. The revival of commerce is due in large measure to this fact. There was no revolt against the burdens imposed upon the cities by their lords until there grew up a rich merchant class, a sort of aristocracy of wealth, commanding resources and means of

carrying on the struggle with the lord As soon as this class became at all numerous there was a revolt against the exactions of various kinds which the lords levied upon them. The lords of the cities thought that the richer the town the greater the feudal dues that might be demanded of it—For a while, therefore, the cities submitted to all sorts of unjust taxation—In the end, however, they revolted against this and refused to pay. In the struggle that followed they were able to secure not only freedom from this unjust taxation but also in many cases the right of governing themselves.

In France this movement of revolt had its first faint beginnings in the tenth century and reached its height about the years 1050-1200 It is probable that the cities cities in southern in southern France were the first to enjoy large political liberties and rights, but the charters which confirmed these rights did not antedate those of the cities in the north. Early in the eleventh century there were many cities in Provence and Languedoc which were essentially free communes, but did not receive their charters for more than one hundred years after that time In the north, up to the year 1100, there were perhaps not more than a half dozen cities that had secured the title Between the years 1100 and 1135 a large numof commune ber of them had obtained charters Among them were Noyon, Valenciennes, Amiens, Corbie, Soissons, Bruges, Lille, St. Omer, Ghent, Liège, and others. Then the movement quickly became popular and spread rapidly. During the next hundred years it may be said to have been at its height

Many of the cities met with great resistance in their efforts to free themselves from their masters. It is only natural that the lords of the cities should have opposed anything opposition to the which threatened to diminish their power and communes. Income. One of the principal aims of the cities in revolting was to secure freedom from unjust financial exactions imposed upon them by their lord. The clergy were generally exceedingly hostile to the movement. Commune was declared by

them to be "a new and detestable word" "Agreements made with them are null and binding on no one because they are contrary to the canon law and to the decisions of the holy fathers " "They were introducing diabolical usages which tended to overthrow the jurisdiction of the Church "Several Church councils legislated against them The nobility also were at first, for the most part, hostile to the formation of the communes and endeavored to put them down by force of them, however, were glad enough to part with their prerogatives for money and made the cities pay well for their privileges Still others, at least toward the end of the movement, were wise enough to see that a prosperous commune was of far more value than a poor dependent city, and therefore hastened the process by offering charters to those cities which had not already secured them
In some cases they even forced the cities to buy charters. The kings of France fol-Policy of the French kings. lowed no fixed policy in the matter but were guided in each particular case by their own royal interests. For ınstance, in 1112 Louis VI. protected the commune of Amiens and destroyed that of Laon. His successors, however, saw that the commune might be used against the nobles, and were therefore glad to confirm charters whenever they were appealed to.

Although many of the cities got their charters in a peaceable way, some of them acquired them only after an armed revolt.

Charters acQothers, indeed, were unable to get a charter quired by force. They were successfully resisted by their lord and kept in subjection Montpellier (1142), Toulouse (1188), Béziers (1167), Laon (1106–12), Lille, Ghent, Amiens, and many others were compelled to fight hard for their charters Vézelay revolted five times and attempted to get a charter, but was unsuccessful every time. Chateau Neuf, near Tours, appealed to arms a dozen times, but never succeeded in acquiring a charter. Orleans was so thoroughly chastised by Louis VII. for her attempt in 1137, that she never again tried it.

The charters were far more easily purchased with money than acquired by force of arms The nobles were always in need of money, and since the cities were rich, the com- charters acquired mon way of obtaining a charter was by purchase. Even after a charter had been secured it was not uncommon for a commune to extend its power and prerogatives and ask for a new charter in confirmation of its new privileges It may be said that the cities in England always got their charters by purchase The movement there never took on the character In Germany the cities were not allowed any poof a revolt litical liberties during the reign of the Hohenstaufen, although they secured a great many restrictions upon the arbitrary taxations of their lords During, or after, the interregnum, however, when the imperial power was either destroyed or greatly weakened, they were able to emancipate themselves entirely and secure their complete political independence

It was only in the south and in the west of France that the cities were successful in establishing themselves as communes. In the central part, which was more directly un- No communes in der the control of the king, there were almost central France. no communes. The king was so near to them that he was able to check their growth, or, at least, to keep them in partial de-Orleans and Paris never became communes such cities have been called "villes de bourgeoisie" This distinction into two classes is arbitrary, because it is often impossible to distinguish villes from communes They both The charters of the villes, however, simply received charters guaranteed that the people of the city should not be arbitrarily taxed or should have certain commercial or other privileges. Generally these cities were not allowed to rule themselves or to elect their officers. They were subject to their king or lord and were ruled by the officers whom he sent to them some of these "villes de bourgeoisie," however, there was a certain amount of political autonomy and the people had a voice in the election of some of their officers Since the king's

officers were always present, these villes were always peaceable. The mob was kept in check, and the finances of the city were well managed and kept in good condition. The so-called Louis VII gave a charter of the above kind to villes de bourgeoisie the little ville Lorris It was so well adapted to the object for which it was intended that it was afterward introduced into more than eighty villes in the central part of France. The charter of Beaumont-en-Argonne was used in more than three hundred villes in the northeast, especially in the archbishopric of Rheims, the duchies of Luxemburg and Lorraine, and the county of Chiny. This charter was very like that of It provided for the election of a mayor and a the commune kind of Board of Commissioners by the people of the ville. These administered the government, but rendered an account of their work to their lord, the archbishop of Rheims. even had the right of administering justice to a certain extent, the archbishop reserving for his court only the more important cases The distinction between such villes and the communes would perhaps be made clear by saying that the communes became feudal individuals while the villes remained subject to feudal dues without ever becoming feudal individuals and having vassals under them.

The number of these villes was greatly increased from the eleventh century on, by the founding of many new towns. order to improve their estates or to increase their New towns established incomes the lords often established new settlements which grew into towns or cities The common name for all such was "ville neuve," or new town In order to secure inhabitants for these, large inducements had to be offered The lord generally published a charter and made it known for many miles around that he intended to establish such a new He offered special rights and privileges to all who The ground was generally parwould come and settle there celled out among those who came, a market established, and the fullest protection guaranteed. Such places were generally

might in its turn become a feudal lord and have vassals of its The lord promised, above all, to protect the commune in all its rights and against all violence of whatever feudal individual. kind The commune, through its elective officers, did homage to its lord and took the oath of fealty to him The charter generally limited and fixed the amount of feudal dues which the lord might demand. He no longer had the right to demand money when he chose, but generally had to content himself with the payment of a fixed sum each year. The feudal rights of the lord were not destroyed, but merely curtailed and made definite. Of course the commune owed military service to its lord In accordance with the ideas and customs of the times every commune had the right of private If it were offended or injured by some commune or by some lord, whether clerical or lay, it had the right to arm its troops, secure allies, and attack the offender. The intercommunal feuds and wars added much to the violence of the times. On the other hand, it often happened that many communes leagued together to protect their common interests, especially their commerce, and so did much to preserve the peace were the leagues of the Hansa, of the Rhine, and of Suabia

The power in the commune was not generally vested in the whole body of its inhabitants. There were a few cities, however, in which all inhabitants were members of Limitation of the commune and had political rights. Such was communal membership. the case in Lyon, Rouen, and some others This, however, was the exception, not the rule It was more often the case that only the members of one or more guilds exercised political rights. Sometimes these rights were extended a little more widely and only those were excluded who were serfs, bas-was excluded In some communes only those were active members who possessed property within its limits Ordinarily, however, the commune was not a republic, but a kind of oligarchy or aristocracy. As the commune developed in wealth and

power, and membership in it increased in value, it became more and more difficult to enter it. The aristocratic or oligarchic character of the ruling body became more pronounced.

The internal organization of the communes was not the same in all places. Almost every one that did not accept a readymade charter created offices to suit itself Officials. principal officials bore different names in the different communes. They were in some cities called consuls; in others there were a mayor and jurati, or men under oath to serve the commune in the best way possible In the north of France they were called echevins or aldermen. Their numbers Sometimes there were two, sometimes there were even twenty-four of them Bordeaux had a mayor and fifty jurati. Associated with these was a council differing in size from one city to another. Generally the method of election was very complex. It was not uncommon for the members of the commune to be divided into classes, generally according to their occupations, and each class had the right to elect a certain number of consuls The bitter class feeling in the commune, however, often made it impossible for the people to agree on their officials. Especially in the south of France it became common to call in a foreigner who was made absolute master or podesta of the city.

These officials, by whatever name they were called, exercised the power in the city, both legislative and executive, and, within certain limitations, judicial The management of the finances of the city was also in their hands. In order to attend to all these duties they had to have the service of a large number of helpers, such as tax-collectors, policemen, sheriffs, and the like.

The communes had gained their liberty but did not know how to preserve it. Their members were invariably divided into factions, and feuds and street brawls were violence and miscommon. The history of the French communes management in the communes. There were also social troubles coupled with the political communes.

ical difficulties. The lower orders were often ranged against the higher, the poor against the rich The magistrates of the cities were generally hard masters, and those outside the ruling guilds were unmercifully imposed upon. This led to the formation of guilds among those who in the earlier time had been without such organizations They organized themselves for opposition, and sometimes succeeded in acquiring membership in the commune Even if they failed to do this they filled the city with violence Peace had to be restored by someone from without, and this was generally the king. Another cause of internal trouble was the bad administration of the finances of the city The officials of the commune were often guilty of fraud and peculation. It seemed to be impossible to bring such offenders to justice, because they refused to render any account of their doings to the people claimed that they had done their duty when they had made their reports to each other. It is not surprising, therefore, that the cities often became bankrupt The expenses of the communes, together with large sums that were taken from the treasury in a fraudulent way, far exceeded the regular income

These two things, the insolvency of the communes and their Tawlessness, were the real cause of their destruction. The kings of France were now following steadily the policy of collecting all power in their own hands The process of centralizing was becoming more and more rapid The nobles were gradually yielding to the kings, and the communes were made the object of a policy which, in the end, was sure to break them The king and the down, too. The officials of the king's treasury communes. interfered in the administration of the finances of the communes and punished all maladministration by seizing the charter of the commune and declaring it forfeited. judicial jurisdiction of the communes was limited in every way. The parliament, which exercised the judicial power in France, tried to destroy the local tribunals by increasing the number of cases which could be settled only by the king or by his tribunal.

The policy of parliament and sovereign was to make the king's justice prevalent throughout the land The central authority also increased the taxes of the communes As the king's power grew he interfered more and more in the affairs of the com-He controlled their elections and inspected their He imposed heavy fines on all those communes magistrates which refused him obedience or offended him in the slightest He placed all kinds of burdens on them in order to break them down When the day of reckoning came the king had them in his power He forced them to give up their charters and all that these stood for, their political independence and their privileges. They fell into the king's hands and so increased his power This policy toward the communes may be said to date from Louis IX (1227-70) Under Philip IV. (1285-1314) the seizures became frequent, and by the year 1400 the communes had disappeared They had lost all their acquired liberties and had sunk back into dependence on the crown.

CHAPTER XVII

ITALY TO THE INVASION OF CHARLES VIII., 1494

ITALY and Germany were the last of the great European countries to acquire their political unity. The occurrence lies within the memory of living men. Approxi-National developmately speaking, they lagged some three cenment of Italy and Germany turies behind France, Spain, and England delayed decentralizing forces were engaged in battle with the centralizing ones everywhere in mediæval times, in Germany and Italy alone they won a victory The reason is that there were a number of grave disadvantages which struck exclusively these two middle European countries, and fatally handicapped them over against their western neighbors in the movement toward national consolidation Destiny had appointed them to be the principal bearers of the dominant mediæval fiction of the Church and the Empire. Now Church and Empire alike looked toward universality It was their business to keep nationalism in abeyance The struggle between the two mutually exclusive principles of imperialism and nationalism was unconsciously engaged on Christmas Day, 800. when Karl, the head of a national monarchy, received at Rome the crown of the renewed Empire of the west desertion, in the course of the next few centuries, of territory on territory from Karl's political structure to constitute itself on the national basis, proved that his work was a delusion Germany and Italy, however, the unlucky partners in an impossible undertaking, were pledged to it for better, or for worse, and when they finally did begin to surmise its futility. the chance of national unification had been lost.

But there is another local reason for Italy's failure to fall in with the unitarian movement of Spain, France, and England The prerequisite of every positive political unity Diverse racial is a foregoing ideal unity of speech, institutions, elements of Italy. and manners. The western countries, generally, were fortunate enough to attain this by a process of amalgamation between the Teutonic invaders and the Roman civilization. In the case of Italy this amalgamation was much retarded, or, to state the problem in another way, more numerous and more repugnant elements had to blend in the making of the Italian nationality than in the making of the others

The Roman civilization naturally had a stronger hold upon the Italian peninsula than upon the other Roman provinces. That is why it did not decay utterly there together with the power of Rome, and could maintain some faint bloom even under the sway of the Barbarians The East Goth rule (489-554), the first regular attempt of the Germans East Goths. to subjugate the peninsula, failed chiefly because of its inability to crush or reconcile the Roman element. East Goths were almost annihilated by the Greeks, and for some time the Greek Emperor had power over the whole, or a part of the peninsula (554-68 over all Italy). His The Greeks. firmest hold was on the south (till 1050) The effect of this connection on Italy was the introduction into the population of a second race element, the Greek, which, owing to the support it got from home, would not assimilate with the native Latins without a struggle After the Greeks came the Lombards (568-774), who represent the third racial element of the peninsula, and the most important Germanic The Lombards. contribution to the Italian nationality But they inaugurate no era of reconciliation The Romans and the Greeks stand fearfully, or sullenly, aloof The Lombard rule is a foreign domination to the last. Later The Saracens. on the Saracens (850) settle in the south, bringing with them their Mohammedan atmosphere, and these are only gradually ousted by another invader, the Norman (1050), whose victory brings with it the establishment of that feudal system of which he is the prominent apostle. The Normans. Such were the racial elements of Italy when the mediæval period of conquest ends. They served to form very gradually two types of Italians, which, although they have been converging all these centuries, are still perfectly recog-There is the Greek-Norman-Saracen type of the south, and the Roman-German type of the north period they were still in the early stages of their evolution, and between them, separating them and hindering their knowl edge of each other, lay the States of the Papacy with interests. averse to both and a sovereign practically cosmopolitan is then much diversity of racial material in the Italian peninsula, and diversity, in consequence, and not unity, is the significant feature of Italian history in the Middle Age

If the divisions of Italy are pretty clearly foreordained by these political and racial conditions we must not understand that they were accepted from the first Attempts at unification. at the peninsula with its long protective coast line and its northern barrier of the Alps will convince us that it was intended by nature to form a single state Bold governments would therefore be quite involuntarily pushed to attempt its unification The East Goth (489-554) and Greek (554-68) tries at empire proved failures The Lombard attempt (568-774) was more serious. This people conquered the whole north, and with the duchies of Spoleto and Extent of the Benevento drove a wedge of Teutonism far into Lombard kingthe south. But the coast districts of the east and west, Venice and Genoa, proved invincible since the Lombards had no fleets Nor did the Lombards drive the Greeks out of the eastern province, known as the Exarchate of Ravenna, or out of the west-central (Rome) and southern parts of the peninsula (Naples) The Greek-Roman coalition against them proved an effective check, the Lombard rule embraced for the

first hundred years hardly more than one-half of the peninsula. A change came when the Greeks and Romans fell apart

The most important man of the province of Rome had for some time back been the Pope Gregory I, called the Great (500-604), gave during the Lombard period a Growth and tremendous impetus to the expanding power of independence of Papacy. the Papacy On the one hand, he put himself at the head of the native opposition to the aggressions of the Lombards, and, on the other, he took successful steps looking toward the independence of his office from the Greek Emperor. When, therefore, the western world was outraged in its religious feelings by the Emperor Leo the Isaurian's edict against imageworship (726), Pope Gregory II. could venture to head the general Roman insurrection, and overthrow both the spiritual and the civil supremacy of the Greeks in the Exarchate and at It was the first time in history that the Pope emerged . clearly as a sovereign power. But he had forgotten for the moment that there were more dangerous enemies on the peninsula than the degenerate Greeks The division of the Greek and native forces was the opportunity the Lombards had long been looking for. Under their king, Liutprand (713-35), they now fell upon the Exarchate and even threatened Rome sharp conflict ensued The young independence of the Roman Bishop seemed on the point of being sacrificed to the avowed policy of the Lombards to subjugate the whole country. It was the offer of a blessing to Italy, but it came too late Pope was already something of a universal power and had foreign resources to which he could appeal To preserve his independence, he turned to the Franks for help, and therewith inaugurated that baleful policy of foreign interference to which the Papacy has consistently the Lombards by clung down to our own day (1870), and by means of the Franks. means of which it has over and over again crushed the resurgent unitarian forces of Italy Pippin got the crown of the Franks (752) in payment for his proffered

aid, then checked the Lombards and made the Pope the famous gift of the disputed Greek lands (Exarchate), which ever afterward constituted the bulk of his temporal power (755) Pippin's son, Karl the Great, completed the work of his father by deposing (774) the last king of the Lombards and taking his title and realm.

The year 800 witnessed the splendid resurrection of the Roman Empire of the west, with Karl at its head politics entered therewith upon a new phase. Italy under the Italy became a province of the Empire, but even Carolingians, 774-888. under the mighty Karl, not a united province Venice maintained its independence, the Greeks were left in possession of the south, and in the centre, the States of the Church, although they maintained one of those uncertain connections with the Empire, so characteristic of mediæval times, could put forward on need a well-founded claim to independ-The rule of the family of Karl the Great in Italy came to an end amid confusion in 888. Italy left to her-For about self, 888-951. a century Italy was left to herself The iron crown of the Lombards went a begging and was eagerly sought in turn by the great feudatories of the realm It was another good chance for a national kingship, but provincial jealousy resented a strong central power Berengar, the last of the puppet-kings of this period, seemed nearer the great goal than his predecessors when the opposing faction made Italy and Gerthe appeal to Germany which drew Otto I many united under Otto I., 962. across the Alps (951). In 962 this great king received the imperial crown, and Italy was henceforth welded together with Germany in the Roman Empire.

Theoretically the members of the Empire stood on a basis of equality. Practically, however, the Empire was a German Relation of Italy power, and Italy, or, more accurately, that north-to Germany. ern part of Italy which constituted the kingdom of the Lombards and had been included in the Empire of

Karl, became in consequence a mere dependency of Germany.

Otto and his immediate successors maintained a firm hold on the peninsula. Even the Papacy, owing to a temporary eclipse, appears in a subjection to them nothing less than The Ottos. The brilliance of Karl's Empire seemed eclipsed when the Ottos undertook to make use of the conflict between the Saracens and the Greeks in the south to crowd out both and complete their Italian dominion they failed The south was destined to another power eleventh century is the century of the expansion The Normans in of the Normans By bravery and adroitness the the South. Normans succeeded in gradually expelling all three combatants -Greeks, Saracens, and Germans-from the south, and in fixing their dominion upon Naples and Sicily (1040-90) 1 While the south was thus definitely lost, another enemy of the Empire had been gathering strength in Italy, who demanded an immediate attention.

We are face to face with one of the most far-reaching ievolutions of history. The Papacy, having been reconstituted by the Emperors and reformed by the archdeacon The Papacy and Hildebrand, was irresistibly borne upward on Empire. the wave of the religious enthusiasm which was just then breaking over Europe It dared to put forth a categorical claim to world-empire 2 When Hildebrand himself became Pope, under the title of Gregory VII (1073-85), the storm began direct quarrel with the Emperor touched the episcopal investi-This was nothing less than the question whether or not the Emperor should be sovereign in his own dominion was only one answer possible to such a claim. So the two powers joined arms in a long and bitter struggle, which was only settled by the mutual concessions of the Concordat of Worms (1122) Although Italy was not the principal subject of the quarrel, the period had a very unique significance for

her For out of the confusion of authorities which necessarily accompanied the long dispute, there arose, first tentatively, here and there, then triumphantly everywhere in Lomcommune.

bardy, by steps even yet not quite made clear by the most studious investigation, that young and splendid force which marks the birth of modernism in history, the commune

The commune is the organized will of the free inhabitants of What does its rise mean? It signifies that here and there, in the cities of Italy, certain groups of The meaning of the commune. men had developed, which had been slowly emancipating themselves from the hampering authority of the feudal régime, and now declared that by virtue of their wealth and strength they were sufficient unto themselves These new groups constituted the communes By the industrial and commercial interests which they represented, by the democratic spirit which they harbored, the communes became the forerunners of the modern society and state Since the old feudal state was built upon agricultural interests and an aristocratic spirit, a conflict between the two systems, sooner or later, was inevita-From a certain point of view it was a war between city and country, where the former was reinforced by every new industry, and by every intellectual acquisition, and the latter as constantly weakened by desertions to the more magnetic centres of the new life Where the victory would finally incline was apparent from the first, but it took a struggle of some Thus the commune figured everywhere centuries to decide it as the banner-bearer of modernism, Italy leading the way in the challenge of the feudal system, and the other countries following about one hundred years later 1

The origins of the commune lead us back to the days of the old Roman Empire Italy was then the country of cities par The history of excellence Milan, Bologna, Capua, rivalled the the commune. splendors of Rome Then came the decay of the Empire and the conquest of Italy by the Lombards How

far these invaders destroyed the cities has long been a vexed question. Although they probably swept away the curiæ (local senates) and the Roman administration, something of the old character and importance must have been retained by the cities even in the new era. That they were not utterly eclipsed is proved by the fact that the feudal system never got a firm hold upon Italy, and was sloughed off by that country first among western nations and with comparative easy.

In the ninth century the cities surrounded themselves by walls. The military spirit, first symptom of the action of the Germanic leaven, experienced a revival The cities defended themselves successfully against the invasions of the Magyars Their government was then (ninth and tenth centuries) in the hands of the bishops, who had lately usurped the civil functions of the count, the vassal and regular delegate, here as elsewhere, of the royal authority The emperors govern thecities. themselves (eg, Otto I, 961-71) had favored this usurpation because there was an evident advantage for the central authority in an unmilitary bishop for representative over an adventurous and ambitious count So about the year 1000 the bishops are found to levy taxes, dispense justice, and command the military throughout the cities of Lombardy, that is, are sovereign saving the authority of the Emperor. Meanwhile the cities are taking a splendid economic development There are brisk industries and a lively commerce The inhabitants group themselves by their occupations into arts or guilds. But as yet their only political activity consists in a nominal share in the election of the bishops Small wonder that they should be tempted to expand that privilege, now that the oriental deadness of the Roman times has been supplanted by a new volition for example, the largest and most prosperous of these towns, is supposed to have had some 300,000 inhabitants in the Middle Age. What could an unwarlike bishop do, though his power were never so absolute, if these arrived at the consciousness of their strength?

Such a moment came, and the friction between bishop and townspeople began and increased (1000-70) At Cremona, for example, the bishop Landulf (1003-31) was The cities throw driven from the city and his castle destroyed. off the rule of the bishops. Then the local issue becomes involved in the greater one between the Popes and the Emperors over the investitures (1073-1122). The general confusion was the cities' Pope and Emperor alike were looking for allies and were willing to pay for them by grants of privileges. were proffered to the cities in profusion. The bishops helplessly withstood the new development There follows a vague period of conflict, and by the end of the eleventh century the new bears at first an uncertain character, differing in the various cities of Lombardy, and constantly changing even in the same Then the term consuls begins to be applied to the highest city functionaries In 1005 consuls appear at Asti. The consuls 1107 at Milan, and soon they are everywhere. appear about TTOO. The consular constitution is the first famous form of the young municipal freedom of Italy.

This constitution presents a great variety of forms in the Its essential elements are three: consuls, a different cities council, and a parliament The consuls varied. The consular in number anywhere from two-to twenty. constitution. were the executive, and generally divided its functions among themselves, so that some led the army, others presided at the courts, and still others performed the administrative duties. A number of elected or made up the council (credenza) It was an advisory body and had to be consulted in all important business. The parliament (parlamentum, concio) was the gathering of all the citizens. It was irregularly called and from its unwieldiness necessarily more of a mobel are deliberative body. Like all mobs it could be controlled by factions, and was father mischievous than useful However, it evidenced the victory of the principle of popular government, and,

in a loose way, long kept its authority since it was looked on by many as the source of all political power.

On paper this new organization looks very pretty and far more modern than it really was Its weakness lay in the persistence within the city of class distinctions and the local feeds pred injectly: Every town had its noble class, its bourgeois proprietor class, and its lower industrial class, or protetariat. The

nobility itself was not timed. Here, too, as everywhere, there is the distinction of upper and lower nobility, there are the two noble classes of the milites and the valvassores The segregative spirit of the Middle Age, with its castes, families, and guilds, could not be overcome in a generation It was not human. Thus the new constitution did not recognize all Citizenship rethe inhabitants of the city but only the two orstricted to the two orders of ders of nobles and the free citizens of the upper nobles and the guilds, and these last hardly as the equals of the upper guilds. nobles. The lower guilds and the plebs are carefully excluded from the government. How long will they put up with such neelect? It is plain that there is an abundance of stormy weather ahead for the new commune.

Threatening external troubles created a temporary harmony among the inhabitants. The new independence of the cities had not yet been sanctioned by the Emperor. The development of sanctioned the sovereign's attention away from the computer by the Emperor. The development in Italy when Frederick Barbarossa descended into Italy in 1154 and was confronted by it in its representatives, the consuls, at his first diet held at Roncagha, he was much surprised. He was still feudal lord in his own eyes. By what right had the cities usurped the sovereignty? Sovereignty meant the regalia which consisted in the appointment to office, right of coinage, tolls, taxes, etc. These rights the cities exercised, and the Emperor claimed, and each side was convinced that it was right.

was the only solution. But it was complicated by the bitter enmities among the cities. The same mediæval spirit that ranged class against class within the walls, set city against city in the province. Milan had been oppressing its neighbors, and Pavia, its ancient rival, headed the complaint against it before the Emperor at Roncaglia Frederick was strictly performing the high functions to which he was called if he imposed peace upon the disturber. No monarch in history presents a nobler figure than this Hohenstaufen But, after all, the cities had a title as good as his mere legal right. Facts and time were on their side. The upshot of a long struggle, waged by word and by sword, was the various leagues of the threatened municipalities, of which the Lombard League is most famous: then followed the Emperor's utter rout at Leg-The Peace of Constance, 1183. nano (1176) and the Peace of Constance (1183).1 The question of the regalia was settled once for all on that occasion, the Emperors abdicated all but the name of lordship, and the young sovereignty of the cities was formally recognized

Frederick's successors, his son and grandson, Henry VI. (1190-97) and Frederick II (1215-50), were inclined to The independence question this arrangement, and sometimes threatened the cities with their authority, but other of Italy accepted matters generally filled their minds and obliged by Frederick's successors. them to accept the situation. Then comes the interregnum (1254-73) The Empire, and with it the Middle Henry VII., chivalrous and just, suc-Age, are dead for Italy. ceeds for a while in deceiving himself and poetical partisans like Dante on this point, but his attempt to restore the imperial authority in Italy was doomed to be a failure (1313). cessors, such as Louis of Bavaria (1326) and Charles IV. (1355), who try their hand at a revival draw only the scorn of the Italians upon themselves and their claims So the significance for Lombard Italy of the Peace of Constance was far-

¹ For details, see Chapter XIII.

reaching The cities thereby became their own masters and could now develop, domestically and territorially, without let or hindrance, except from each other. The Empire was, after all, a foreign enslavement, and now that the yoke was broken, there was nothing to check the triumphant unfolding of those vital forces of mind and will which had long been ripening. The great intellectual rebirth or Renaissance has its roots in the freedom won at Legnano.

Political liberty was gained for the present. The question was . could it be secured? There has been so much fine writing and loose thinking on this glorious Ttalian The difficulties of independence that the casual student finds it diffi- the new consticult to understand its quick decay. Let us not be deceived. There was here in Lombardy a tremendous outburst of popular vitality, which immediately effected a great commercial and industrial expansion, and, more remotely, furthered the cultivation of the things of the mind It could not otherwise than display a political activity, too, sooner or later, and remodel the feudal apparatus of government. But it could not cover at one bound the vast space between the firm-rooted feudal inequality and its modern opposite. Equality as a political principle is as remote from this society as Persistence of inthe principle of free scientific investigation is equality in the new society. from mediæval theology. So the decaying feudalism leaves its dire clique-spirit behind Cliques govern all relations of existence. A man only counts as he is a member of a powerful guild or influential class, and it never occurs to these various corporations that it is not perfectly legitimate to crowd their sister societies out of the government and secure the lion's share to themselves. The modern idea of the state, drawn from the conception of rights and duties shared in by all, is not yet born; the real social and political unit of the Middle Age is not the state but the art or guild. So it could happen that the consular constitution, in spite of the generous ring of the word, was a mere agreement about the division of power between the two noble classes and the rich merchant class. Nobody could feel that the reverence of law attached to such a constitution. It would last just so long as there was harmony and strength among the rulers, and doculity among the ruled

A second source of danger threatening the stability of the new political settlement lay in the general Italian situation the city was composed of cliques which warred The fends between the cities. against each other, so the whole state was a commercial clique over against its neighbors. A sharp rivalry arose over the question of markets and trade monopolies These passionate and lawless republicans had no sense of a legitimate competition. To them a competitor was an enemy, and the safest treatment of an enemy was to annihilate him. War to the knife followed between Milan and Pavia Half a hundred other cities engaged in similar mortal feuds. It was evident from the first that there would never be peace until some one of them had become master of the rest. Barely the struggle with Barbarossa sufficed to temporarily stop up this source of conflicts. When the danger was removed the provincial wars broke out more violently than before

These are the political factors necessary to understand the constitutional changes the cities underwent during the one hundred years after the Peace of Constance (1183-Changes in the constitution. 1300) Although each city presents specific variations, the similarity is so great that a general description Frederick I, during a period of temporary sucwill suffice cess, had placed his representative, as chief executive, in all The podesta, the the cities He was called podestà (from potestas = power), and for a moment succeeded chief executive. 1200. in centralizing the municipal administration in Though he was immeasurably odious to the his own hands Lombards there must have been something satisfactory about the office, for after he was driven out we gradually find them setting such podestà over themselves of their own free will About 1200 this is generally accomplished It meant the

displacement of the many-headed consular executive (consisting usually of six to twelve members) by a single man, in other words, a consolidation of authority. It was a practical and necessary reform in view of the numberless intestinal disturbances The often self-divided consular executive was impotent to deal with them. The nobles were the chief mischief-makers. They had been given a share in the con-So, for the most part, they had The nobles a moved from their country-seats into the cities, disturbing element. had erected there huge castle-piles with lofty and impregnable towers for purposes of defence, and then, impelled by habit and an idle pride, began to quarrel with each other over office Day and night the streets were the scenes of their brawls. The podestà with his dictatorial powers was to put an end to this intolerable confusion 1 To give him more authority over these nobles, he was expected to be himself a noble; to assure his impartiality he had The constitution to be a foreigner His office lasted generally a of the podestà. year As for the rest of the constitution, it remained substantially intact. There were two councils instead of one, a lesser and a greater The parlamentum gradually falls into disuse.

The podestà means no radical change of the constitution. He is created with the consent of the three ruling orders. But at this very time the lower orders were beginted the rise of the ning to demand more vigorously their political lower orders enfranchisement (1200). They already had their guilds. These having published their aspirations, and having been refused, boldly constituted a commune for themselves (called generally commune del popolo = people's commune), and put at its head, as rival of the podestà, the capitano the capitano and del popolo (captain of the people). Therewith the podestà the organized civil war within the gates had begun. Does the state belong to the privileged classes or to the people, is the

¹ Shakespeare's Romeo and Juliet is based on the tale of such a mortal feud at Verona. The Prince who appears as judge and peace-maker is the podestå.

substantial question The former party, the party of privilege, seeks support in the Emperor and calls itself Ghibelline, while the latter, the popular party, holds to the Pope Ghibellines. and calls itself Guelf. The names Guelf and Ghibelline are borrowed from German politics (Welf, Waiblingen), where they indicate contesting claimants for the Empire, but in Italy they serve to designate the opposing parties in the intestinal municipal strife. The imperial and papal titles are therefore mere labels, each party caring generally just so much for the imperial or papal cause as it favors its interest.

The civil war between the classes and the masses continues on this issue about a century (1200-1300). The victory of the Guelfs meant the exclusion of the Ghibel-The end of the lines from office and the banishment of the civil war is despotism. chiefs, and in case of a Ghibelline victory, the Guelfs fared likewise - There is no conciliation, no compro-The end was inevitable Only a military despot could secure peace to the city and obedience of both parties to a supreme will. By 1300 the cities are found for the most part to have lost their liberties, and a new period, that of the tyrants begins. The history of Milan is typical of the The case of Milan. whole movement In 1198 the lower orders arose and formed their special commune (called Credenza of Saint Ambrose, equivalent to people's commune) Therewith the city had two governments Ambitious men, usually nobles, adopted the popular cause The civil war served their ends After the Guelf Della Torre family had first led the people to victory, the more politic Visconti, at the head The Visconti. of the Ghibellines, overthrew them (1277) The Visconti held the power through an army, and step by step established their absolutism
There is every reason to believe that it was held a godsend by the majority, for it meant rest By 1300. or a little after, the Scaligers (Della Scala family) rule in Verona, the Carraresi in Padua, the Gonzaghi in Mantua, the Estensi

m Ferrara, etc; but the despots governed everywhere with uncertainty at first, as was only natural from the illegality of the whole business, and under regularly recurring insurrections

The cities of Tuscany, the province beyond the Apennines, had meanwhile run through the same internal conflicts as the cities of Lombardy Florence, Pisa, Lucca, Pis-The cities of toia, and Siena, to mention the most important, Tuscany. had adopted the consular constitution (1100), had then (1200) taken a podestà (the officer appears for the first time in 1193 in Florentine records), and next, when the people demanded their share in the municipal life, fell into the usual civil tur-Tyranny is the solution here, too, but it comes a little later than it did in Lombardy, and does not ever establish itself so firmly. Florence, the fair city of the Arno valley, destined to become soon the fountain of the Renaissance, is especially fortunate in staving this development off a long while. The delay in the subjugation of Florence to a tyrant is chiefly owing to a unique measure by which there is cut one of the strongest roots of the city troubles.

In 1215 the Guelf and Ghibelline parties had suddenly crystallized in Florence over the murder of one of the powerful clan of the Buondelmonts who had offered a Florence. mortal insult to the Amidei by rejecting a bride of their family The noble factions filled the city with arms from that time on. In 1250 the Guelfs were banished, their property confiscated Before a year passed, however, they returned, and the Ghibellines had to leave the city in their Filled with desire for revenge, they united with the Sienese, and at Montaperti utterly defeated their countrymen (1260). ("The slaughter which turned the Arbia blood-red" -Inf, x, 86) All this civic calamity was, in the main, brought on by the nobles Meanwhile the people had been growing in influence In 1250, during the height of the feuds between the nobles, they had arisen in anger over the

mismanagement of the government and their continued exclusion, and constituted a commune of their own with a capitano del popolo (captain of the people) at the head The people constitute their own (constitution called of the primo popolo). commune unwas the beginning of self-assertion against the der the capitano, 1250. upper class, and by 1293 the people were strong enough to adopt their celebrated measure, meant to give the city a lasting peace, the ordinamenti della The Ordinances of Justice, 1203. giustizia (Ordinances of Justice), by which the nobles were excluded from office altogether At the same time a thorough revision of the constitution was undertaken. forth a gonfaloniere della giustizia (banner-bearer of justice), appointed on purpose to watch over the decree against the nobility, together with eight priors of the greater The constitution of the priors. arts, elected for two months, form the chief In accordance with the custom, prevalent also, as executive. we have seen, in Lombardy, two councils assist in the delibera-In spite of uninterrupted modification and elaboration, these elements of the system continue for two hundred years

However, the new constitution did not immediately succeed in crushing the nobility in Florence, as was planned Ghibellines, indeed, had been made harmless Continued disturbances. before this, and the city long since was stanchly Guelf, and now and afterward one of the pillars of that party But the Guelf nobles now quarrelled among themın Italy. selves, and the Bianchi and Neri (Whites and Blacks), supporting the rival interests of the great families of the Cerchi and the Donati, succeeded to feuds as violent as those of the Guelfs and Ghibellines So the city was disturbed as much as ever, and the Ordinances are frequently defied; but they But the Ordiremain on the statute-book, and cause the power nances assure the city a demoto incline steadily from now on toward the cratic character more peacefully minded merchant class ranked So Florence owes much, on the whole, to in the greater arts. these provisions, if it enjoyed a longer republican interlude than, for example, Milan. They largely contributed to give the city the peculiar democratic character by which it is known. But the defect here, as elsewhere, at this time is that the government is still exclusively conducted by and for the richer citizens.

As we cast our eye over Italy about 1300 it presents a picture of the utmost political confusion The Middle Age was passing away, but had not yet vanished. Where the new society was established it was Italy, 1300. seeking a new political expression for itself, but the past could not be forgotten and was everywhere, but in different degree, asserting its claims In the northwest, in the mountainous and agricultural country of Piedmont, the old feudal Predmont. system had shown a particular tenacity. A number of great barons were disputing the province Prominent among them were the counts of Savoy, destined to grow after many centuries into the royal house of Italy. To the east of Piedmont, in the commercial and industrial Lombardy. provinces of Lombardy and the Veronese March, we have seen the establishment of the petty tyrannies follow the republican constitutions Tuscany Tuscany. to the south was drawn into the same current Across the middle of the peninsula ran the States of the Church. The Pope's weak and unmilitary The States of sway made it easy for the young and exuberant the Church. communities of the Romagna to defy his authority, and when, in 1300, the papal seat was moved to Avignon, the last impediment to independence was cleared away Bologna became a republic. Tyrannies fixed themselves everywhere, the Montefeltri at Urbino, the Baglioni at Perugia, the Malatesti at Rimini. etc, and Rome itself was not less turbulent. Rome. Ever since its attempt at a republican reorganization under Arnold of Brescia (d 1155),1 the memories of ancient glory and independence had proved too stubborn for

the Pope to break. The chief officer is the senator; Innocent III gets the right to nominate him (1205), but Innocent's successors cannot always make the right good, and are forced by the turmoils, chiefly of the nobles, to reside as much out of Rome as within it In Naples and Sicily Naples. Charles of Anjou, the conqueror of the Hohenstaufen, had established (1266) an absolute monarchy Charles was a cruel and stern fanatic, and, as a foreigner, soon inspired his subjects with hatred In 1282 the Sicilian Vespers, Sicilians arose and mercilessly butchered all the 1282. Sicily independent. French on the island (Sicilian Vespers) next year they invited Peter of Aragon (Spain) to take the crown of the island, and after a long war it was left finally in the hands of the Aragonese house (1302) The Anjou retained Naples

The free municipalities had perished almost everywhere by 1300. Only a few had withstood the general movement toward tyranny. Florence still held her own in Tuscany, and in the northeast and northwest, respectively, were the great rival trading republics of Venice and Genoa.

These two communities owed their greatness to the impulse given to commerce by the crusades They practically monopolized the immense trade of the Levant, and Venice and Genoa from thence reached out threads in their unbounded enterprise to India and even China For a time Pisa had been a dangerous competitor of Genoa in the western half of the Mediterranean These two young Rivalry of Pisa and Genoa. republics had built their power on the ruin of the Saracens (eleventh century) They took and shared Corsica and Sardinia and established themselves along the Spanish and French coasts Then came jealousy and quarrels After long wars the signal victory of the Genoese near Meloria, 1284. Meloria, off Pisa, fixed their unquestioned hegemony over the western seas (1284) Having acquired the commerce of the west, Genoa began gradually to reach out for

the commerce of the east. The fourth crusade, conducted by Venice and crowned by the conquest of Constantinople (1204), had given that city an immense predominance in the Orient 1 But it was counterbalanced Venice. by a success which the Genoese achieved in 1261, when they led the Emperor back to his capital and got for reward the monopoly of the trade in the Black Rivalry of Venice There follows a century of almost uninterrupted fighting The victory at Curzola (1298) inclines the But in 1380 Defeat of the Genbalance in favor of the Genoese they suffer a terrible reverse After having oese at Chioggia, taken Chioggia and besieged Venice itself, they are in turn besieged within the lagoons and forced to surrender at discretion Genoa never recovered from this blow, and Venice was henceforth supreme in the Mediterranean

Internal disturbances largely contributed to Genoa's decline. It was the old story. The first reference to the consular constitution is of the year 1099. Slowly the peo- Domestic history ple began to assert themselves, and soon, as of Genoal elsewhere aristocracy and democracy, under the name of Ghibellines and Guelfs, joined arms. The Doria and Spinola led the former, the Fieschi and Grimaldi the latter. In 1339 the dogato (government of doge or dux) for life was tried, in imitation of Venice. The institution remained, but gave no permanent relief, and Genoal was again and again reduced to call in a foreign peacemaker, who was, at first, usually a Visconti of Milan and later the king of France.

The history of Venice up to the end of the fourteenth century is only loosely connected with that of Italy

The half-flooded islands of the Lagoons, composing the City, were poorly settled in Roman times by

Venice. fishermen and traders

The invasions of the Huns (452) and the Lombards (568) drove thither many families of the continent for refuge, and the real life of the city begins about this time.

As neither the Lombards nor the Franks could subdue it, it remained subject to the eastern Empire. But in 697 the communities of the several islands met and elected their own chief officer, called doge (duke). The first doges seem to have been nearly absolute. However, a jealous aristocracy soon devised checks upon their power in the form of councils. of 697 was a virtual declaration of independence from the eastern Empire, and the freedom thus won was bravely maintained in spite of frequent attempts of the western Empire to challenge it (chiefly by Karl the Great's son, Pippin, king of Italy) The city's power and wealth now The territorial grew rapidly By the end of the eleventh cenexpansion of Venice. tury the Slavs of the Dalmatian coast had recognized the Venetian sovereignty Then came the crusades. Venice, more sober and selfishly practical than the rest of Europe, engaged in them rather from commercial than from religious motives, and in the fourth crusade (1204) acquired the Peloponnesus, Crete, and the Greek Archipelago, thus laying the foundation of her eastern possessions Genoa's threatening competition was, as we have seen, effectively beaten down (Chioggia, 1380)

Meanwhile (toward the end of the twelfth century), a general revision of the political institutions had taken place. The The constitution doge, although he is still elected for life, is now of Venice. definitely stripped of all real power. The authority is centred in the great council which takes the election of the doge away from the people and reserves it to itself This council consists of 480 members and is renewable every year. Associated with the doge as executive body is the small council of six (the signoria) For all important business another coun-The closing of the cil of 60 pregadi (invited citizens) must be consulted This constitution is a plain step toward oligreat council. 1207. garchy The movement was consummated by the famous act of 1297, the closing of the great council (serrata del gran consiglio), by which this body declared itself hereditary.

But the aristocracy did not feel itself secure against the people whose power it had suppressed It surrounded its constitution with safeguards In 1311 the terrible Council of Ten. council of Ten was established, with unlimited police powers of arrest and punishment The vigilance of this body made popular conspiracies impossible The world's history offers no parallel of any purely aristocratic constitution which displayed so much power and endured so long. patriciate of Rome had only a weak hold on the government in comparison. The causes of the success of the Venetian aristocracy have been much discussed. The terrible and successful machinery of tyranny summed up by the council of Ten is only partially accountable for it. More important is it that the patriciate of Venice was a patriciate of business men. and that it furthered the interests of the state when it furthered its own Then the best part of the popular element was always away from home doing service upon the seas. Lastly, the Venetians were inclined to mind their own business, and long kept well out of the Guelf-Ghibelline and other turmoils of the Continent So Venice alone of Italian cities enjoyed political stability, and owed it chiefly to a united oligarchy of practical men

Toward the end of the fourteenth century the city which had hitherto followed the sea so exclusively as to make it practically an extra-Italian state, took the momentous step of turning its conquests to the mainland.

Conquests of Venice on the mainland. It was first pushed thereto by the necessity of securing its lines of trade By 1420 it had already acquired Treviso, Padua, and Vicenza. Then it naturally came into conflict with the expanding state of Milan

The Ghibelline tyranny of the Visconti which we saw established in Milan toward 1300 had taken a great development. It took some time before the Della Torre family, and the Guelf interest which they represented, were entirely crushed. When Henry VII. made

(1311) Matteo Visconti his Vicar, the definite success of that family was secured Being now masters in their own city, the Visconti turned the civil forces, over which Power of the Visconti. they had unlimited control, toward territorial ex-It is a new mile-stone in Italian history The little tyrannies, moderately secure at home, were everywhere trying to become large tyrannies The practical talents of the Visconti and the greater resources of Milan co-operated to procure them the victory Neighbor after neighbor was either conquered or purchased, and by 1350 all Lombardy had bowed to one master But the height of power was reached under the bold Gian Galeazzo (1385-1402) He increased his territory until no independent state was left in the north but He even crossed the Apennines By an unparalleled Venice combination of fraud and force Pisa, Lucca, and Siena were acquired by him For a moment it looked as if this duke's audacious scheme of an Italy united under his sceptre was going to be realized But Florence still barred his way southward, and before he could crush the bold republic, the plague suddenly cut him off

A unique excrescence of Italian society must be noted at this juncture, the condottiere, the leader of mercenary bands He owed his rise to the peculiar social and political The leader of mercenary bands. condition of Italy On the one hand, the Italian people itself, engaged in business and arts, had dropped the employment of arms, and, on the other, the rulers, more especially the tyrants, naturally preferred a standing army dependent upon themselves to a militia of free burghers instead of being the support, the mercenaries just as often became the terror of their employers, whether these were tyrants or free cities The condottiers and their troops are at first foreigners. The Englishman, John Hawkwood, John Hawkwood. employed by Pope Gregory XI against Florence (1375), and later by that republic against the Visconti, made the institution popular. Ambitious natives saw their oppor-

tunity, and throughout the fifteenth century the leadership of a devoted mercenary band was the approved means of acquiring Where everything is in flux, as in the republics and tyrannies of Italy, and the aw, which stands for permanence, nowhere enjoys reverence, the final decision lies always with brute force It did not take the condottieri long to carry this truth to its last consequences The death of Gian Galeazzo Visconti (1402) was their chance He had held his immense territories together by force, and his sons were as yet mere boys. In a trice, therefore, half the conquered cities had shaken off their yoke, and the various commanders of Gian Galeazzo's armies scrambled for the rest Gian Maria and Filippo Maria, the sons, barely retained Milan proper they were sprigs of the old stock; gradually, and by the same iniquitous means, the revolted parts were recovered,1 and when Filippo Maria died (1447) his duchy was not much smaller than his father's had been before him

But Filippo Maria was the last of the Visconti race, and with his death the question of the Milanese succession was re-opened It is now that the most famous of the condottieri comes forward—Francesco Sforza His father. Sforza, Duke Jacopo, had founded the soldier company and of Milan. the family fame; Francesco planned to use the prestige thus acquired to carve out some principality for himself. other condottiers pursued exactly the same aim, and he was no worse than his time. In 1441 he had married Bianca, Filippo Mana's illegitimate daughter, in order to establish a sort of family connection with the Visconti At the duke's death Mılan proclaimed itself a republic. War shortly broke out

¹ These means deserve to be illustrated by an example In perusing the story the reader must remember that it is no exception, rather the rule, and not confined to Milan, but characteristic of every Italian tyranny Filippo Maria married the widow of Facino Cane one of his father s conditier? He did this to acquire by her Facino's soldiers and cities Then having attained his end, he had her accused of adultery and executed

with Venice—war with Venice had grown chronic during the last fifty years owing to the attempted extension of Venetian territory toward the west—and Milan foolishly engaged Sforza as her general. He drove back the enemy and then turned his victorious troops against the Milanese themselves. After attempting in vain to elude the necessity, the citizens received him as their duke (1450). Sforza's father had begun life as a peasant in the Romagna.

We left Florence in the throes of the troubles which followed the establishment of the new constitution based upon the exclusion of the nobility (1293) Florence after politics of this city are inextricably involved. 1300. Like the mind of the people itself they were in perpetual change, in fact, they afford a faithful expression Perpetual flux. of the brilliant, intoxicated genius of that community, which displayed a mental and industrial activity that hardly has an equal in history If its political chaos contributed-as some contend-to its achievements in the arts and literature, we, who enjoy the one and do not suffer from the other, may take solace But that the contemporaries suffered keenly from the continuous disorders is wit-Dante. nessed by the case of Dante He became a victim of the Bianchi-Neri troubles which succeeded the Ordinances of Justice 1 In 1301 he was sent into exile with the defeated party of the Whites, and never saw his native city In his "Divine Comedy" his love and his hatred of Florence have both found immortal expression. are your provisions," he says to his countrymen with bitter irony, "that the thread you spin in October does not hold to the middle of November. How many times only in these last years have you changed the law, coinage, offices, customs, and parties " (Purg, v1, 142) This spirit of change is one cause of the subsequent political calamities of Florence, the other is the desire it shares with every other community of the time, notably Milan and Venice, to reduce its weaker neighbors to obedience. Modern historians may well suggest that a federation of free Lombard or Tuscan cities might have saved Italy from foreign servitude; certain it is that the idea hardly occurred to a few advanced minds, and then too late.

Such are the general principles which govern the political and constitutional development of Florence. From 1293 to 1343 the city worked out the conditions created by the passage of the Ordinances of 1293. The troubles Athens, 1343. frequently grew so thick that the people surrendered temporarily to a foreign lord. In 1342 Walter of Brienne, a Frenchman and titular duke of Athens, was invited to the sovereignty. Before a year was over he had made himself so intolerable that the Florentines rose against him and drove him out in disgrace. As they renewed at the same time the laws against the nobility, this class may be said to have vanished finally, as a political factor, from Florentine history about this time

The increasing trade shortly created a new nobility of wealth (nobili popolani), and the fight for influence among the great began again. At the same time the lesser Rise of the lower arts, which had hitherto been generally disfranchised, aspired to political power Out of the new disorders rose the power of the Medici family The first popular riots (1378), occasioned by the wool-carders (ciompi), brought Salvestro dei Medici to the front as favorer of the The Medici favor people though he himself belonged to the greater arts which held the power He was wise enough to see that as the nobility had warred in vain against the greater arts, so these could not in the long run prevail against the lesser arts For a number of generations his successors consistently followed his policy They had acquired tremendous wealth, chiefly through their bank, a vast international institution, and gradually secured a wide following by corruption and interest. Cosmo dei Medici (d 1464) was the first of the family who became really sovereign in the city. But his was a veiled and insidious rule. The Medici attached no title to their names, even affected republican simplicity, and, above all, left the constitu-Government of tion intact All the old officers were appointed the Medici. as before, only they owed their nomination to the influence of the Medici Thus their position in the commonwealth may be likened to that of our American city rings, which, likewise, have no part in the constitution, but are, nevertheless, the real dispensers of patronage Cosmo's grand-Lorenzo, 1469-92. son, Lorenzo, called the Magnificent (1469-92), at length dared to throw aside the mask and abolish the useless republican machinery. But even he assumed no title significant of his power, though he ruled henceforth merely with a Privy Council of Seventy of his own nomination

The course of the Medici had not always run smooth had been, and still were, elements in the city which regretted the passing of the republic Savonarola will soon Opposition to the Medici. make himself their mouth-piece (1404) erto, however, the chief opposition had come from the disgruntled popolani (merchant nobility), who could not forget the political importance they had lost with the rise of the Medici. They had even succeeded for a short time once in having Cosmo banished (1433) But the most vigorous, and at the same time most horrible, attempt to break the Medicean power came in 1478 Lorenzo and his brother Giuliano then governed the state The Pazzı family instigated a conspiracy against them, the threads of which reached even to Saint Peter's at Rome It is a characteristic and memorable fact that, in addition to Pope Sixtus IV, the archbishop of Pisa and several other priests were parties to the plot. The murder of the two brothers was to be perpetrated in the cathedral of Florence at the moment of the raising of the host. Giuliano was stabbed in the back, but Lorenzo managed to escape people showed their Medicean tendencies by wreaking a stern vengeance upon the assassins.

Meanwhile the republic had been engaged in long wars. They were all waged for the dominion in Tuscany and the security and expansion of trade BvThe territorial Florence had become one of the foremost cities expansion of Florence. for woollen and silk goods, and undeniably the greatest banking centre in the world The whole modern bank and exchange system was first organized on a large scale by Florentines. The wars of the fourteenth century were chiefly directed upon Pisa, which had entered upon a steady decline after its defeat by the Genoese at Meloria (1284). In 1406 it was definitely conquered, and therewith all Tuscany had bowed to Florence 1 Meanwhile the wars had already begun with the larger neighbors, the Pope to the south, and Milan to the north In spite of great dangers, especially from Gian Galeazzo Visconti, the city succeeded in holding her own, and ranked, under Cosmo and Lorenzo, among the larger powers of Italy.

We saw that in 1300 the Pope definitely abandoned Rome for Avignon, and that with his removal the last shadow of authority over the city and the States of the Church threatened to depart from him This period of the Church after 1300. Pope's absence in France is called the Babylonian Captivity In Rome anarchy held sway The magistracy found itself powerless against the great barons, who, following the example of the nobility of the north, were trying to get control of the city The Colonna and the Orsini led the two rival factions, and, ensconced in the old deserted The Colonna ruins, filled the streets with violence In conseand Orsini. quence Rome was almost depopulated Among the wretched citizens, however, still dwelt the phantom of the city's ancient glory, and Nicholas or Cola di Rienzi now proved, Rienzi, 1347. as Crescentius (996) and Arnold of Brescia (1155) had proved before him, that it could be made to work won-

¹ Siena and Lucca are to be excepted The former maintained its independence till well into the sixteenth century, the latter even till the Napoleonic era

ders, at least for a time The signs of ancient greatness, scattered around at all hands, filled him with enthusiasm, and he soon began in public discourses to communicate this feeling to In May, 1347, an assembly convoked upon the Capitol gave him full powers to restore order. He took the Roman title of tribune, and actually swept the barons out of the city. But his success turned his head He seemed to fancy that the restoration of the mere name of the Republic sufficed to give it its ancient power, and he was so vain and foolish as to summon the two contending Emperors, Louis of Bavaria and Charles IV, before him to have their case adjudged. Finally, he alienated the people by his luxury and pride Before many months were over he had so thoroughly undermined his position that it took only a bold stroke of the exiled barons, supported by the Pope's anathema, to bring him down. In January, 1348, he fled from Rome.

Life, at least, and with it his plans, had been saved He applied to the Emperor Charles IV for assistance, but Charles laughed at the impracticable dreamer and sent him as a prisoner to the Pope at Avignon Here he remained confined some time, until Innocent VI. (1352–62), desirous of winning back his Italian lands, resolved to use him for this end

During Rienzi's absence from Rome the old troubles between the democracy and the nobles had broken out anew. ThereRienzi again at fore, when he appeared again, this time seconded Rome, 1354. even by the Pope's blessing and support (August, 1354), the people remembered only his former championship of their interests and received him with enthusiasm. He was restored to supreme power, receiving the title of senator. But he immediately fell into his old extravagances, and the fickle populace offered no permanent support. By October his short-lived glory had faded, and, like his noble predecessors in the ancient and real tribunate, the Gracchi, he was massacred in an insurrection.

Meanwhile the cardinal Albornoz, commissioned by the same Pope, Innocent VI, was taking more effective measures to win back the Papal States At the head of an army he made war upon the little tyrants of noz wins back Viterbo, Orvieto, Bologna, etc., and after a long the Papal States, struggle reduced them to obedience Now that the country was again governed by Papal legates, the Pope had no further excuse for not returning to Rome. Urban V. accordingly, made a beginning (1367), and finally Gregory XI, influenced strongly by the messages of Saint Catharine of Siena, took the important step of definitely Gregory XI retransferring the government back to the Eternal turns to Rome. 1377. City (1377) However, the action caused a split among the cardinals The majority were Frenchmen and preferred Avignon as Papal seat, consequently, when the Italian party in the college elected an Italian prelate, Urban VI, to succeed Gregory XI. (d 1378), on the condition of his making Rome his residence, the French cardinals were The Great Schism. gradually induced to set up an anti-pope, who took his residence at Avignon. This is the beginning of the Great Schism, which is only healed by the Council of Constance (1417).

The 'Conciliar epoch (1409–39) and the question of supremacy which it raised between Pope and Council, next engaged the Popes' attention. But already the whole the Papacy character of the Papacy was changing. The changes character troubles had done much toward undermining the respect of Europe for Christ's Vicar, then the decay of the Empire robbed it of that pillar, to which even, when it hacked at it most viciously, it owed its political world-importance, and lastly, the times themselves had changed. It was the early spring of the Renaissance, and men's energies were eagerly turning away from the old religious interests into the worldly channels of a new material, intellectual, and artistic life. The Popes were borne along by the current, and now appear no longer the gloomy and obstinate ascetics of the

Middle Age, but splendid Mæcenases or gifted dilettanti with all the tastes of any prince of the time. The word prince justities secularizately characterizes their new position in the world, and, as in intellectual aspirations and in carnal vices, so also in their territorial greed, they closely resemble the sovereigns of the neighboring states. They go so far in forgetting the holy character of their office that they use their power principally to establish their families in places of honor. They do not even hesitate to carve out principalities for them at the expense of the See itself. Never in the history of the Church had the vice called nepotism flourished so luxuriantly

Under these circumstances the highest aim of the average Renaissance Pope is to consolidate his dominion over Rome The Renaissance and the Papal states In the city the Colonna Popes. and Orsini and their large followings were as turbulent as ever, and the measures of cardinal Albornoz in the Romagna and Umbria had only temporarily put down the tyrannies, which soon raised their heads as defiantly as ever. Of course these all recognized the Pope's authority in some vague way, but practically they were sovereign Nicholas V., in their dominion Nicholas V (1447-55), who 1447-55. introduces the Renaissance Popes, and is an enthusiastic builder and collector of manuscripts, is the first to make himself real master of the city The occasion for doing this was offered him when Stefano Porcarı led what proved to be the last and the most ignoble of the popular uprisings in behalf of the delusive old Roman freedom (1453) The insurrection was sternly put down, and although the nobles were still turbulent occa-Calixtus III.. 1455-58. sionally after this, the democracy, at least, was well held in check. The next Pope, Calixtus III. (1455-58), was a Borgia, and is interesting only as the founder of the fortunes of that famous family Then comes Pius II (1458-Pius II., 1458-64 64), a great scholar and writer, better known, though, under the name of Æneas Silvius Piccolomini ever, the Popes, who by their policy are most characteristic of

this era, are Sixtus IV (1471-84) and Alexander VI. (1492-They were resolved by hook and by crook to oust the tvrannes and local governments, and to establish their undisputed sovereignty Their crimes 1 84, and Alexander VI , 1402-1503. are innumerable, their vices unnamable Sixtus IV had six nephews to set up in the world. Happily most of them destroyed themselves through their excesses, or the Papacy would have been ruined Poison and the dagger were with Sixtus the common way of removing opposition He was an undoubted party to the base Pazzi conspiracy at Florence. Alexander VI (Roderigo Borgia) the incredible immorality of the age had created a man after its heart Offices were sold at auction 2 under him, cardinals and bishops 8 were poisoned at his table, and the Vatican (the Pope's palace) saw festivals of lust worthy of a Nero Worst of all was the Pope's relation to his children, whom he openly recognized His Cæsar Borgia. son, Cæsar Borgia, was a man of remarkable powers of mind, but a monster of iniquity To him, as his general, Alexander entrusted the task of subduing the States of the This task Cæsar accomplished, regardless of means, with such thoroughness,4 that whatever else-and it is everything—can be said against him, the sovereignty of the Pope was never again questioned The rest of his history and that of the reign of his father lies beyond our scope.

¹ Sale of offices was the common means of getting money There were regular price-catalogues for the ecclesiastical honors, a deanship costing so much, a cardinal's hat so much, etc Sixtus IV remarked, "a Pope needs only pen and ink to get what he wants"

² A verse went the rounds in Rome to the effect "Alexander sells the keys, the altars, Christ Well, he bought them, so he has a right to sell them"

³ The Venetian ambassador wrote "Every night they find in Rome four or five murdered men, bishops and prelates, etc" There was even a Borgia poison, a white, odorless powder, the secret of which has been lost, which was dropped into the food or blown upon the body of the victim.

⁴ The snare he laid for the Orsini at Sinigaglia is famous They had helped him conquer the Romagna and he wanted to get rid of them They were suspicious, but such were his arts that he beguiled them to the castle where he stayed and had them all strangled

Although we last saw the House of Anjou stripped of Sicily, it long maintained all its old influence in Italy by championing the Guelf interest Robert (d 1343) even gave Nanles after a new lustre to his house by his literary inter-1300. Petrarch was his friend. Boccaccio frequented his court. ests But with his granddaughter, Johanna I (1343-82), begins a period of confusion which continues into the Johanna I., sixteenth century Tohanna was only eighteen 1343-82. years old at her accession, beautiful and cultured, but of south-She had lately married her cousin Andrew, of the younger branch of the Anjou, established in Hungary, but the match was unfortunate When Andrew was murdered (1345) the suspicion fell upon his wife, and his brother Louis, king of Hungary, accordingly invaded Naples to punish the After a time he retired without accomplishing malefactress anything, and Johanna now sinking deeper and deeper in her debaucheries, the barons ruled as they pleased and filled the poor land with predatory companies Finally, as if bent on increasing the confusion, she unnecessarily raised a question of The crown should have fallen by right to Charles succession. of Durazzo, of the Hungarian Anjou, but Jo-Charles of Durazzo hanna, merely to please a whim, resolved to give it to the French house of that name. Charles thereupon captured Naples and had her executed (1382) He was soon Ladislaus, 1386- followed by his son Ladislaus, an able and ambitious man (d 1414), but not without a long struggle with the French Angevin claimant His sister suc-As she bore the name of the former queen, Joceeded him. so she reproduced all the other's hanna. Johanna II.. abominable vices (1414-35) 1414-35-Finally there was a party formed against her in her own land and Louis. of the rival French line, put himself at its head Rivalry of the Houses of Aragon In defence she called in Alphonse V, king of and Aniou. Aragon, who already held of Italian territory Sardinia and Sicily, and, in default of heirs, appointed him

Louis III) and Charles of Maine (1481), the last of the Anjou, their possessions, with their claims, reverted to the House of France, and the conquest of Naples, and The king of therewith the enslavement of Italy, hinged from France inherits the claims to now on merely on the willingness of the French Naples. king to embark on the policy of foreign adventure which the pursuit of those claims entailed. Louis XI (d 1483) was much too practical a mind to allow himself to be enticed by the enigmatical prospects of distant Italy, but his son, Charles VIII., a young, incompetent, but Charles VIII. resolves to invade ambitious changeling, was, after long hesitation, Italy prevailed upon by a number of circumstances to undertake the expedition, which turned out to be the beginning of Italy's ruin (1404)

The country's only hope against this danger would have been the alliance of all against the common enemy distracted Italy of 1494 that was impossible The situation in Italy in 1404. national feeling was the first requisite of such a patriotic league, and since Italy had never been united, where was that to come from? The past spoke not for harmony, but The society of Italy had arrived at its modern for faction consciousness in the midst of and through civil wars, and had not yet gained its political equilibrium. But as we take a survey of the status of the peninsula immediately before the French invasion and compare it with the situation of about 1300, we note broadly this fact. Italy has progressed toward consolidation. The confusion of little states, especially in Lombardy, Tuscany, and the northeast marches, has given way to larger formations. The peninsula now holds five great powers the republic of Venice embraces the The five Italian territory of the northeast as far as the river Adda; the duchy of Milan spreads along the middle course of the Po, of the other three powers, all south of the Apennines, the republic of Florence holds Tuscany, the Papacy, Rome and environment, together with Umbria and Romagna, and the kingdom of Naples includes the whole south. Scattered in between are a number of independent republics and lordships, such as Ferrara, Siena, Urbino, and the territories of the House of Savoy in Piedmont, but they count just as little in the general politics of the peninsula as Belgium, Portugal, etc., do in the politics of Europe at this day Genoa is still rich through her trade, but oscillates in dependence between Milan and France.

These five powers were, if united, more than strong enough to repel any foreign invasion. It is, therefore, literally true that they have themselves and their distractions The relation of to blame for Italy's ruin Their political sys- the five powers. tem was very complex
It had found its typical form toward the middle of the fifteenth century under the direction of Cosmo dei Medici, and was largely determined by the common fear of the richest and strongest of the states, Venice. out of dread of Venice that Cosmo had favored the establishment of the Sforza in Milan (1450), and Florence and Milan had become close allies. Then Naples was persuaded to cast in her lot with these two states, and the triple alliance thus created secured a comparative stability to the peninsula during the whole second half of the fifteenth century. Of course, there was sporadic fighting, caused generally by the territorial ambition of the Popes or of Venice, but the outlook, on the whole, was promising. There even seemed to be a prospect of a permanent and general confederation But it proved a delu-There were germs of discord among the Italian states which could not be suppressed
Even the members of the triple alliance were not over-friendly to each other As long as Lorenzo lived his great personal authority kept them together, but his foolish son, Pietro, who succeeded him (1492), had no talent for playing the part of "the needle of the Ouarrel between political balance in Italy," as his father had Naples and Milan. done before him Milan and Naples drifted apart. Lodovico Sforza had seized the government of Milan as regent for

his nephew, the lawful duke '(1480), but having once enjoyed the power, had no mind to give it up. The nephew, however, was married to a princess of Naples, and king Ferdinand, in consequence, felt the injury done the young imprisoned duke personally. When Pietro dei Medici inclined to side with Naples, Lodovico felt that the hold which the triple alliance had hitherto afforded him was slipping away. He began to plot against the House of Aragon by supporting the Angevin Causes conspiring claim to Naples. His ambassadors were ordered to draw Charles to spur on king Charles of France to undertake VIII. to Italy. the expedition which promised so much honor. At the same time other influences were brought to bear on the young king, all tending toward the same goal A party of cardinals vehemently hostile to Alexander VI. (elected 1492) urged Charles to proceed to Italy in order to call a council and depose the Pope. Then, too, the domestic affairs of Florence had lately been going as badly as its foreign alliances ish Pietro's misgovernment aroused the old republican memories of the citizens and their temper was further Savonarola. inflamed by the sermons of Gırolamo Savonarola. Savonarola was a Dominican monk of stern moral stuff, who was horrified by the religious decay which he met on all hands Reform of manners, reform of the Church, were the subjects of his prophetic visions and his stirring addresses. He has rightly been called a precursor of Protestantism And with this religious programme he associated a political one. He openly attacked the Medici as the authors of the sins of Florence, and prophetically insisted that punishment for them and their indifferent subjects was at hand. His popularity and, with it, his authority became immense Rarely has history exhibited a more curious case of the domination of one man Under these circumstances there was wanted only the occasion and the Medici rule would come to an end

All these appeals and temptations and uncertainties were too much for Charles. He boldly threw them into the balance

with his own ambition, his military strength, and the prosperity of France, and in the fall of 1494 crossed the Alps. A new period began therewith for Italy. She was The invasion of overrun by foreigners, first the French, and then 1494 and its consequences. in rivalry by the Spaniards and the Germans, and soon subjugated to foreign influence. A new period began also for the world France, Spain, and Germany touched the new culture of the wonderful peninsula, the mother of Europe, and the currents of her finer intellectual and artistic impulses poured through and transformed their coarser civilization.

CHAPTER XVIII

FRANCE, 1108-1494; ENGLAND, 1070-1485

I. FRANCE, 1108 TO THE HUNDRED YEARS' WAR

During the eleventh century the royal House of France exercised but little authority The barons were strong and law-Condition of the less, and resisted the king The royal estates Crown. were very small and confined to the central and northern parts of France In going from one estate to another the king was compelled to pass through hostile territory The accession of Louis VI (1108crown was without honor 37), called the Fat, marks a change in the fortunes of the Capetian House. All but the last years of his life were spent in passing through his kingdom, punishing the rebellious barons, asserting his royal rights, acquiring territory, and, in general, in increasing the prestige of the royal name

Louis VI assumed the rôle of protector of the Church Many of his conquests were undertaken to restore some bishop or archbishop to his property or rights Louis VI., 1108ing the eleventh century the hostility between 37, and the Church. the Pope and the king of France was somewhat less pronounced, and early in the twelfth century we see evidences of a newly formed alliance between them The Pope needed help against the Emperor and also a place of safety to which he might flee when he was driven from Rome found them both in France The attitude of Louis toward the bishops and archbishops of his kingdom was wholly in keeping with this policy. He was their champion against all their oppressors No one could with impunity seize their lands, abridge their rights, or refuse them their dues It must be said, however, that his motive was not simply religious. These church lands paid him a good income every year, and it was greatly to his interest to see that they were kept unimpaired. His inclination coincided with his best interests. For this vigorous protection the clergy were devoutly thankful to him. Their whole influence was used to exalt his dignity and increase his authority. The crown profited immensely by this alliance.

In his own lands, the duchy of France, the king was at constant war with the barons, who were little better than brigands. They seized travellers and held them for ran-The nobility. som: robbed the trains of merchandise; seized the cattle and produce of the serfs, and even robbed the churches and monasteries Safe in their castles, they could swoop down on the passer-by and return in safety to their strongholds. It was the violence and exactions of the barons that led the cities to organize into communes for resistance. The communes were therefore good allies and helpers of the king in his struggle with them The king spent his reign in punishing these robber barons He developed a remarkable activity, passing continually from one part of his lands to another, righting wrongs and preserving order. By this means he was successful in instilling into the minds of his subjects a most wholesome respect for the royal power.

Louis VI was so busy with the affairs of his immediate lands that he had little time to devote to the great lords, who practically ruled the rest of France independently. The duke of Normandy, who was also king of England, Henry I, was one of his most powerful vassals and found innumerable opportunities to check and thwart him in his plans. Henry I had got possession of his brother Robert, who had been made duke of Normandy, and kept him in prison till he died. Robert's son, William Clito, appealed to Louis VI for help, and the struggle lasted till the death of William, which occurred in 1128. Louis VI. was not able to get possession of Normandy. It remained in the hands of the king of England. Henry I. also aided

and abetted Louis's rebellious and brigand nobles. Louis, however, found an excellent ally in the count of Flanders, who remained true to him throughout his whole reign. For the most part, however, he was compelled to resist his enemies alone. He provided for the acquisition of more territory by marrying his son, Louis VII, to Eleanor, the daughter of William X of Aquitaine. This seemed like a great acquisition, but it was so far away that the royal power never reached to it, and besides it was soon lost to the French crown by the unfortunate divorce of Eleanor.

Louis VI. was one of the most able of the Capetian family Until his growing corpulence made it impossible for him to travel he was constantly in motion Character of Louis VI. candid and open, and hated all fraud, duplicity, and perfidy. He was true to all his obligations humane and affable to all He found an excellent counsellor in Suger, Abbot of Saint Denis, who gave him great assistance in all his work. He tried to secure a good administration of justice in his lands by sending his own judges throughout all of his possessions to report to him anything that needed redress or correction. "He found the crown at his accession depressed to the lowest point of weakness and insignificance; he restored its dignity, asserted its prerogatives, and enforced its authority "

The character of his son and successor, Louis VII, was very different. He was religious, simple, credulous, and capriLouis VII., cious. The good understanding between him and the Pope was disturbed for some years by a quarrel over the appointment of the archbishop of Bourges. In the end, however, he yielded to the Pope, and peace was restored. Through the influence of the clergy his authority was increased in certain directions. So long as Suger lived he was able to keep the king from making many serious mistakes. The one great one which he did make was to go on the crusade. Suger had opposed this step, but without success.

During his absence in the east Suger practically ruled the country, and it was owing to his ability that Louis VII. found his kingdom in so good a condition when he returned. There is no doubt, however, that his long absence from the land worked some injury to the royal prerogative.

After the death of Suger, Louis made the politically serious mistake of divorcing his wife, Eleanor of Aquitaine. thereby, her possessions, the important duchy of Divorce of Aguitaine. This loss, however, was only a small Eleanor. part of the misfortune By her marriage with Henry II. of England Aquitaine was added to the already large English possessions in France All the western part of France, from Normandy to the Pyrenees, was thus lost to the French King. Within a few years a hostile state was established, embracing nearly one-half of the soil of France Louis VII would have found it even more difficult to resist the encroachments of Henry but for the quarrel of the latter with Beket and the ambition of his sons Louis intrigued with Henry's rebellious sons and aided them, so that Henry II was obliged to divide his French territory among them. This division materially weakened the English power, and prevented further encroachments on French territory

Louis's policy his more able son, Philip II, Augustus (1180-1223), carried consistently to a successful issue Philip II. was a diplomat and a politician in the worst Philip II.. sense which these words have acquired. 1180-1223. He was treacherous, cruel, and unscrupulous He had excellent political judgment, knew what was to be done, and was able to do it His life was devoted to the one object, which was to be attained in many ways—the increase of the royal power at the expense of the great feudal lords. Conspicuous among these were the kings and princes of England, whom he sought His Policy. in every way to deprive of their French possessions Henry II and his four sons were constantly quarrelling over their possessions in France Philip followed the policy of his father toward them, and always assisted the weaker of the parties He attacked the English possessions in Berry (1187), and before Henry II could bring assistance made himself master of much of the province A truce was made, and in the meantime the news of the fall of Jerusalem arrived two kings met and made a treaty preparatory to undertaking a It was soon broken, however. Philip aided Richard, Henry's son, in his revolt against his father and gained a decided advantage over Henry in battle Henry II. was forced to make peace and surrender all his claims to Berry Richard did homage to Philip II. for his French possessions. An account of the crusade of the two kings has already been given. Philip returned from the east in order, if possible, to secure some advantage over Richard. He made war on his possessions, but, in spite of favorable opportunities, met with little success until after the death of Richard (1199). King John, who followed Richard, offered a better opportunity He had put to death his nephew, Arthur, who had secured the possession of Anjou, Maine, and Touraine Philip summoned him to appear before his court and submit to trial When John disregarded the summons, Philip deposed him and John of England. confiscated his feudal possessions on the ground that he had violated his feudal obligations With astonishing rapidity Philip reduced Normandy, Maine, Anjou, Touraine, Poitou, Saintonge, and Angoulême. Shortly afterward nearly all of Aquitaine submitted to him John was left with only a small strip of territory in the southwestern part of France.

During the first years of his reign Philip had been compelled to face a dangerous alliance among some of his most powerful subjects, the counts of Flanders, Namur and Hainault, Sancerre, Blois, and the duke of Burgundy — For six years Philip carried on war against them, and defeated them one after another, and reduced them to obedience — He compelled them to surrender to him several rich districts, thus enlarging his domains

By wresting so many dependencies from the king of England

and attaching them to himself the French king, for the first time in the history of France, became more powerful than any of his feudal subjects. He was the largest land-holder in He was now king in fact as well as in name. France had acquired a long strip of sea-coast, which was a great advantage to him. The remaining nobles, however, saw the danger that threatened them, and from this time on there were constant alliances among them for the purpose of resisting the too great growth of the king They became clearly conscious of their danger when, in 1213, Philip II showed his easy superiority over the count of Flanders. This frightened all the feudal lords in the north, and led them to join a great alliance that was being formed against Philip and his ally, Frederick II of Germany. The parties of the confederation were John of England, the Guelf family of Germany, with Otto IV. at its head, and the principal barons of the Netherlands landed at Rochelle, in Poitou, and met with much success, but was stoutly resisted by prince Louis, the son of Philip. Otto IV united his forces with those of the Low Bouvines, 1214. Countries and met Philip II at Bouvines (July 27, 1214) Philip won a signal victory, and during the rest of his reign was undisturbed by the English He was master in Normandy, Touraine, Anjou, Maine, and Poitou, although some of the cities of the last-named county were still in the hands of the English

With the crusade proclaimed by Innocent III against the famous heretics, the Albigenses, Philip II had nothing to do in a direct way, but he was destined to derive great gain from it Southern France and northern

The Albigenses.

Innocent III during the first years of his pontificate tried to root out the heresy by peaceable measures. He sent his teachers among them, but all their efforts were without success.

Count Raymond VI. of Toulouse was himself in sympathy with his heretical subjects, and apparently shared their opin-

He was very lukewarm in his support of the papal representatives, and when, in 1208, one of them was murdered, the count was thought to have been guilty of the crime in an indirect way. A crusade was therefore preached against In order to save himself and his possessions Raymond made every concession demanded of him and submitted to the Pope's representatives The crusading army, however, continued its preparations and attacked Beziers, Carcassone, and other places The army was everywhere successful, and Raymond of Toulouse, in spite of his concessions, was next attacked. Simon de Montfort led the crusaders, and was able in a short time to drive out the count and get control of his territory. He was rewarded by the possessions of Raymond, which were given him as a fief. He went to Paris to take the oath of allegiance to the king for his newly acquired land The crown prince Louis then assisted Simon in the further reduction of some of the strongholds In 1218 Raymond made an effort to regain possession of his county. He appeared among his former subjects, who rose at his call and flocked to his banner. de Montfort was slain in trying to put down the rebellion. Raymond VI died in 1232, and his son, Raymond VII, finally was victorious over his enemies and got possession of all his ancestral estates But Honorius III preached another crusade against him, and Louis VIII having made war on him, conquered a large part of Languedoc This actually took place after the death of Philip II., but it lay in the line of his policy.

The reign of Philip II. was of fundamental importance for the growth of the royal power. The king's domain was more than doubled by him, and his income corredomain spondingly increased. For the first time the king was rich Philip II found the old system of administration insufficient. His estates had thus far been managed by a prévot, who administered justice in the name of the king, collected the taxes, and preserved order. Although these prévots were the king's officers, there was the tendency, in

accordance with the character of the age, for them to look upon their office as a fief, and hence hereditary To keep them from growing quite away from him, and also to get the best returns from his estates, Philip II created a new officer, the baillie He was put above the prevots, several of whom were generally in his bailiwick. He was required to hold court every month for the rendering of justice and to make a full report of his doings to the king. He was especially entrusted with collecting all the money possible for the king and delivering it at Paris The reign of Philip II. had resulted in two most important things—the great extension of the royal power and the better administration of the royal affairs hereditary character of the crown seemed so well established in his reign that he did not think it necessary to secure the election of his son It was taken for granted that the crown would pass on to him

The reign of his son, Louis VIII. (1223-26), was but the continuation of that of Philip II. Although he was thirty-six years old when his father died, he had never Louis VIII . occupied an independent position in the king-1223-26. dom He had served his father faithfully in many ways, but had never been officially recognized or united with his father in the government. His connection with the fortunate close of the Albigensian war has already been given He was successful in extending the imperial authority over certain other had for the most part been done by his father. He simply reaped the benefit of it. His work was to complete the conquest of Poitou and Saintonge and other provinces in the southwestern part of France Henry III of England was following a weak policy in that part of his kingdom. He left the communes there to themselves, and so permitted them to escape from his turisdiction Louis VIII had no difficulty in getting possession of all the country as far as the frontier of Gascony. Henry III. did little or nothing to check him. His reign, therefore, witnessed another important enlargement of the royal territory and power

As has been said before Louis had been kept in all respects dependent on his father Up to this time the royal princes had no independent income This was humiliating Creation of appanages. to them, especially since, although they were of the royal family, they must necessarily be far inferior in actual power and influence to many of the vassals of the king who were beneath them in birth. It is probable that his own unpleasant experiences while crown prince caused him to hit upon the plan of giving to each of his sons a certain territory to rule over. It followed, of course, that the son was entitled to the income of this territory, and was therefore in a manner independent of his father. While this measure had many things in its favor, it proved in the end to have been ill-advised, because it tended to alienate certain provinces from the crown at a time when everything possible should have been done to consolidate the royal possessions
It also opened up opportunities for endless strifes and ambitions in the royal family.

Louis IX (1226-70) was only eleven years old when his father died, and therefore could not rule in person mother, Blanche of Castile, seized the power as Louis IX . regent and administered affairs in the young 1226-70, and Blanche of king's name. The vigorous policy of the two Castile. previous kings had naturally produced great dissatisfaction among the high feudal nobility Blanche of Castile, being a Spaniard, and therefore a foreigner, was thoroughly hated by them. She was supposed to be devoted to the interests of Spain and was charged with sending French money to her people Her name was also linked in a very compromising way with Thibaut, count of Champagne, as well as with a cardinal who was living in Paris Since she was not known to possess any particular ability, the nobles now thought an excellent opportunity had come to regain their lost independence

and power The nobility accordingly conspired against the regent, and prepared to undo all that Philip II. and Louis VIII had accomplished for the royal house. The conspiracy was wide-spread, especially in the north and the south, and included the king of England, the counts of Boulogne, Brittany, Toulouse, La Marche, the duke of Burgundy and others. Every one who had any grievance against the government joined the rebels The treason invaded even the royal family. The count of Boulogne was the uncle of the young king, Louis IX.

The barons, however, were thoroughly mistaken in the character of Blanche She had, indeed, had no opportunity to show her ability until this time She now Victory for proved herself to be possessed of great courage the Crown. and cleverness, deep political insight, and remarkable executive ability. Although virile in her will and energy, she nevertheless possessed strong womanly qualities, which she knew how to use to her advantage She was engaging and persuasive in manner and managed people with consummate skill. She was able to win count Thibaut of Champagne to her cause, and although he more than once seemed about to desert her, she always succeeded in retaining his services had her son crowned at Rheims and then attacked the rebels one after another with such rapidity and force that she was every-For nearly six years the contest lasted, but where victorious when it was ended, the royal power was more secure than ever

By the treaty of Meaux (1229) the trouble begun by the Albigensian crusade was finally settled. The count of Toulouse agreed to destroy the walls of all his principal cities, to persecute all heretics, to go on a crusade for five years, and to give his daughter in marriage to a brother of Louis IX who should also be the heir to the county of Toulouse. Raymond VIII. of Toulouse, the successor of Raymond VII , was left only a small part of western Languedoc, while all the rest of his territory passed into the hands of the royal family.

The count of Brittany also made a most desperate resistance to Blanche, relying on the help of Henry III. of England. But Blanche was able to reduce him to subjection. By the year 1232 the kingdom was at peace and entirely under her control

Blanche displayed the same vigorous spirit in her relations with all the powers of France With the clergy she was more than once embroiled because of her interference in ecclesiasti-She disregarded feudal rights and customs, whenever it seemed good to her Her harshness led to some unpleasant results. In 1220 some of the students of the University of Paris engaged in a free fight with some of the citizens and beat them severely. The police of the city, disregarding the immunity of the students, punished them with great cruelty. The professors and the authorities of the University demanded reparation because their rights as a corporation had been infringed upon They appealed to the regent, but she refused to listen to them The whole faculty ceased lecturing and with the students withdrew from the city Pope, however, interfered as peacemaker, and succeeded in patching up the quarrel and bringing the professors back again.

Louis IX. became of age and began his independent reign His mother, however, retained a controlling influence over him so long as she lived She had given the most careful attention to his training and the devel-Character of Louis IX. opment of his character. It is her greatest honor to have borne such a son and to have made of him what she did. He was first of all a Christian and all his judgments were formed under the influence of a dominating Christian Few rulers have ever taken Christianity so seriously and followed its dictates, even when it was against their apparent interests, so closely. Christian conduct under all circumstances was to him the most important thing. His religious conscience was absolute master of him. This won for him

the reputation of the most perfect justice and made him the arbiter of all Europe. The Christian world was willing to submit all cases to him because it knew that he would decide according to the facts, and with the strictest loyalty. Although deeply religious, he was neither ascetic nor indifferent to the affairs of state. He loved the chase and the hunt, horses, dogs, and falcons were his pets, he was lavish in expenditure, rich in dress, and luxurious in the appointments of his court. But all these things were a part of his conception of the dignity of a king. He was the model Christian of his time, and, though the paths of conquest opened to him, he walked the ways of peace.

Although he increased the royal power during his reign, it was not his fixed policy to do so, at least in the same way as it had been the policy of his predecessors In 1241 a conspiracy against him was formed among the nobles in the western and southwestern parts of the country, backed up by Henry III of England. Louis had just established his brother Alphonso as count of Poitiers, and the conspiracy was primarily directed against him Louis took the field at once on behalf of his brother, and Poitou surrendered to him almost without a blow. Henry III was defeated before the city of Saintes (1242) and The conspiracy was broken up and Louis fled to Bordeaux. prepared to follow up Henry III and attack him, when he was taken ill, and the campaign was cut short The treaty of Lorris (1243) imposed upon the rebellious nobles as hard terms as those to which Raymond of Toulouse had been compelled to submit. Louis might now have conquered the whole of the English possessions. Henry III became involved with his barons at home, and Louis had a free field in southwestern His sense of justice, however, prevented him from improving his opportunities He even came to the conclusion that some of the conquests of Philip II had been made unjustly and contrary to the rights of those who had been deposed. To satisfy his conscience he therefore voluntarily ceded to

Henry III. several provinces, Limousin, Quercy, Périgord, and others. Henry III. in return gave up all his claims to Poitou, Blois, Brittany, La Marche, Auvergne, and other districts.

Raymond VIII of Toulouse died 1247, and all of his territory passed to Alphonso as his appanage. During his life Alphonso acted in complete harmony with his brother the king. He ruled the province well, restoring order and preserving the peace. The country was brought under the control of the French king, but so wisely that there was no difficulty about it. By assuming a conciliatory attitude, and adopting a mild policy, Toulouse was attached to the crown.

The struggle between the Emperor and the Pope caused He believed that both the papacy and Louis much distress the Empire were necessary to the existing order The Pope and Emperor. of things, and was pained at the bitter war between them. To him both were representatives of God could not, therefore, espouse unreservedly the cause of either. He had the highest sense of his duties and relations to both of He preserved, whenever possible, a neutral position and tried to reconcile them He disregarded the Pope's deposition of the Emperor, but when Frederick was about to attack Lyon in order to make the Pope a prisoner, Louis was willing to interfere on the Pope's behalf In 1239 Gregory IX offered the imperial crown to Louis's brother, Robert, but the king would not allow him to accept it. When the struggle ended in the victory of the Pope, Louis might have taken advantage of the weak condition of Germany to extend his boundaries on the east He refrained from anything of the sort and contented himself with preventing his enemy, Richard of Cornwall, from acquiring possession of the Empire He did all he could to raise up an opponent to Richard in the person of Alphonso of Castile His only acquisition of territory on the east was the county of Macon, in Burgundy By marriage the Provence became the possession of his brother, Charles of Anjou,

and fell therefore under the influence of the French House. When the Pope offered him the crown of Sicily he refused it, but permitted his brother, Charles of Anjou, to accept it. He made terms with the various Spanish rulers by which the Pyrenees were made the boundary between the two countries Barcelona became Spanish, and the king of Aragon surrendered all his claims to the southern parts of Languedoc and the Provence

Louis's relations with England have already been made clear. He made war on Henry III, but only for just cause. He did not follow up his advantages after he had gained a victory, but as has been seen above, surrendered certain lands which he thought had been obtained unjustly. His reputation for uprightness led the king of England and his barons to appeal to him to settle their quarrel. But they did not abide by his decision. The ruin of the Empire left France the strongest power in Europe. In about forty years the French king was to show his superiority by removing the seat of the papacy to Avignon, and by using the Popes as tools to further the interests of France.

From the reign of Louis IX date some of the most important changes and improvements in the administration of the government. More than eighty of his subjects had the Changes in the right to coin money, and up to this time the administration. money coined in any particular province was the only legal tender within that province. In travelling from one province to another it was necessary, therefore, to change one's money, always, of course, at some loss In 1263 Louis decreed that the money struck by the lords must bear a different inscription from that coined by himself. The king's money was to be the only legal tender in the provinces owned directly by himself, and in all other parts of the country was to be on a par with the local coinage. Several laws were published prohibiting counterfeiting and debasement of the royal money. This was, in fact, a good step toward the unity of the kingdom.

The office of baillie which had been created by his grandfather had not been kept entirely free from feudal influences and therefore rendered the king less valuable service Baillie. than it was capable of doing To correct this and bring the baillies back to their proper relation with the king, Louis renewed the custom of sending missi dominici who should inquire into the manner in which they were administering their office and make a report to him These were able to restore the close connection between the baillies and the crown, and so improved the government. In accordance with the information received from these missi, Louis published an ordinance (1254) regulating the office of baillie. It was prescribed that every baillie should take an oath to administer his office faithfully and justly, and to preserve local liberties as well as the rights of the king, he was forbidden to receive any money or gift from the people in his bailiwick; he was not to engage in any other business, or have any other interest in his bailiwick than to serve the king; he was forbidden to marry anyone from his district, or to surround himself with his relatives or to give them any office under him. He was ordered to hold court in person, regularly and in the appointed places, and to make reports to the king of all his doings. After being removed from his office, he was to remain in the province for forty days, in order that the opportunity might be given to prefer charges against him. Louis did all he could to secure uprightness, honesty, and efficiency in all his officials.

Around the person of the king there was constantly to be found a large number of people of different rank, who formed, in a loose kind of way, his court. The highest divided into three in rank of these were his council. Up to this groups. time all this court had helped him in the administration of the affairs of government. Louis IX introduced the principle of division of labor by dividing this council into three groups and assigning to each a particular kind of work. These divisions were the council proper, the officers of the

treasury, and the parlement The council retained the executive functions of the government The treasury officials had charge of the collection and disbursement of all the moneys of the king, while the parlement became the highest judicial body in the realm. Previous to this time the administration of justice had been made very difficult because the king was constantly travelling from one part of the kingdom to another, and since his council accompanied him and all cases must be tried in, or near, his presence, all the parties to a case were compelled to follow him about, and often several weeks, or even months, would elapse before a case might come to trial. This, of course, rendered it impossible for many people to receive justice. To remedy this, Louis established the parlement in Paris and gave it a fixed place of meeting

The jurisdiction of the parlement was also gradually ex-The revival of the study of Roman law brought out the imperial principle that the king is the source The parlement. of all justice The theory arose that the jurisdiction of the nobles was a fief held of the king He had simply delegated his authority to them It followed almost as a matter of course that every one should have the right of appealing to the king in case he were not satisfied with the result of his trial, and also that the king might call before his court any case that he might wish. For various reasons the king wished to make the number of these "royal cases" as large as possible and so interfered more and more in the baronial courts, and brought all the important cases before his own judges. A "royal case" had never been defined, and, therefore, because of this indefiniteness, the extension of the authority of the parlement was easy Louis forbade the trial by duel and put in its stead the appeal to a higher court parlement, therefore, became the court of appeal over all the baronial courts. The king's justice became superior to all baronial justice.

While Louis was truly religious in accordance with the

ideas of his age, and defended the Church against all violence and injustice, he nevertheless guarded his royal prerogatives Louis IX, and the against clerical encroachments He compelled the Church to contribute its part toward the support of the government by the payment of tithes and other He limited, to a certain extent, the judicial power of the bishops, and subjected a part of the clergy to the civil law. He greatly favored the mendicant orders at the expense of the clergy They were the most popular preachers and confessors He used them as ambassadors, as missi dominici, and in many Since the mendicants were the especial of his highest offices helpers of the Pope, he assisted half-unconsciously in extending the papal authority The famous Pragmatic Sanction attributed to Louis IX, which is often regarded as a sort of Magna Charta of the Gallican Church, is a forgery of the fifteenth century

Philip III (1270-85) was a faint reflection of his father, and a shadowy personality. In his reign for the first time we find powerful favorites at the court, known as Philip III . 1270-85. legistes, who by their great activity and influence, practically throw the king into the shade. Pierre de la Broce was one of these favorites, a man of the common people, but capable and ambitious Because both of his low birth and his high office he was hated by the nobility, who Favorites at coveted his power His influence with the king the court, the légistes was so great that his advice prevailed over that of all the rest of the king's counsellors. The queen, Mary of Brabant, was also his enemy, and after a bitter struggle against her and the nobility, Pierre's power was destroyed and he was His place in the king's affections was taken by Matthew, abbot of Saint Denis Matthew's influence was so great that a chronicle of the times declares that he ruled France.

The principal foreign complications during Philip's reign arose with Spain The first trouble with Spain was in connection with Navarre. At the death of the king of Na-

varre (1274) the kings of Castile and Aragon laid claim to his crown, and his widow, Blanche of Artois, took refuge with her child at the court of Philip III She was Trouble with well received, and her child, the heiress of Navarre, was engaged to the king's second son, who afterward succeeded him An army was sent into Navarre, which reduced it to subjection, and made of it practically a dependency of the French crown In 1276 Philip III attempted to interfere in the affairs of Castile in favor of another French princess, but without much success. In connection with the Sicilian Vespers (1282) and the consequent expulsion of the French, the crown of Sicily was offered to Peter III of Aragon To support his uncle, Charles of Anjou, Philip III collected an army and invaded Aragon At first the army was successful, but the climate and disease compelled it to retreat. The expedition was a failure and Philip died on the way home (1285).

During his reign the royal domain was enlarged by the actual acquisition of Toulouse—It embraced the territories of Poitou, Saintonge, Toulon, Albigeois, Quercy, Rouergue, and Auvergne—Agenais and Venaissin (Avignon) were also included, but the former was given to the king of England and the latter to the Pope—By the marriage of the heiress-apparent of Navarre to the son of Philip III., a claim to that kingdom was established.

In regard to the extension of the royal authority, Philip III followed the same policy as his father. The number of appeals to his parlement increased and the baronial courts lost accordingly. He punished with great severity the nobles who displeased him, or disturbed the peace. He defended the Church, but at the same time ruled it with a heavy hand. Large numbers of people had taken the tonsure and hence were called clergymen, but were engaged in business or led a wandering vagabond sort of life. Their clerical character gave them immunity from the laws of the land, and they abused the privileges thus obtained. Many of them

were married and lived in all respects as laymen. He deprived all such of the protection of the church law and subjected them to taxation and other state control. The clergy complained of this and their burdens, but without avail He established the right of amortisement, that is, he required the Church to pay him the income for two or three years of all her newly acquired lands. The Church had to pay in this way for her right to acquire property.

The character of Philip IV., called The Handsome (1285-1314), is even more uncertain than that of his father. temporaries, who wrote about him, have given Philip IV. 1285-1314. us contradictory estimates of his character and The difficulty is that he hid behind his counsellors ability. and favorites, even more successfully than Philip III had done The government During his reign the revived study of Roman Romanized. law began to bear much fruit The lawvers (legistes, that is, those versed in Roman law) furnished him with his conception of his office and rights, and therefore practically dictated his policy France, once the peculiarly Christian kingdom of Louis IX, became thoroughly Roman The ideals of Justinian dominated everywhere The king was taught to believe that he was and should be absolute influence of the Roman law on his reign may be seen in part from the fact that so large a number of great questions were settled by the form of trial

Among these lawyers who were at the same time the king's favorites and counsellors, were four worthy of especial notice.

Pavorite
They were Pierre Flotte, William of Nogaret, counsellors.
William of Plaisian, who served him during the first years of his reign, and Enguerrand de Marigny, who held the position during the last years of his life. They in turn were in reality, if not in name, prime-ministers, and their influence with the king was unbounded. Marigny was called by the men of the time the "coadjutor of the king and the governor of the kingdom". He was regarded as the master of the

king It is impossible to determine how much of the policy of the king was his own and how much was derived from the influence and teachings of these men.

At this time France became more than ever the leading power of Europe. Her foreign relations multiplied with astonishing rapidity. The king sent out embassies, composed mostly of clergymen, in all directions, and diplomatic relations were established betwen France and all the other countries of Europe.

Philip IV inherited from his father the unsettled Sicilian question. He had no thought, however, of carrying on the After several years of negotiation Sicily The Empire. was allowed to remain in the possession of the Aragonese On the German frontier he carried on a sharp aggressive policy He chose the most opportune times of interfering in the affairs of the small provinces on the frontier, and since the Emperor was without power, he was able to extend his boundaries considerably He got possession of several large principalities, such as Hainault, Montfaucon. Beaulieu, Bar-leduc, several places in Lorraine, Lyon, and Viviers The duke of Burgundy also did homage to him He met only with the most ineffectual opposition from the German Emperors. Since his power was, in its extent and character, practically imperial, he was ambitious to become Emperor. In 1308 he was a candidate for the honor, but the electors seem not to have thought of him seriously for a moment

The relations of Philip IV. with England were intimately connected with those existing between himself and Flanders, but for some time there was no hostility bephilip IV and tween himself and the king of England Edward I was engaged in other struggles and therefore did not wish to have any trouble with France At the request of Philip IV he came to France and did homage to him for the possession of Guienne. Many things happened, however, to cause trouble between the kings, and at last Philip cited Edward

to appear before him to be tried for his offences against his sovereign Edward refused to appear, and war was declared. Each sought for allies and naturally looked about to see who Since they were hostile were the natural enemies of the other to France, Edward I made alliances with the German Emperor, Savoy, and all the lords of the Low Countries; especially the count of Flanders was well disposed to England, because he was now in greater danger than ever before from the increasing power of France Philip IV found many allies in the southwestern part of the Empire, and most important of all he discovered the enmity between Scotland and England and made Scotland was only too glad to become the friend of so powerful an opponent of England. The alliance of France and Scotland, so injurious to England, was to last for three hundred years Philip IV was successful in all his engagements and Edward thereupon began negotiations for peace But as neither king was willing to desert his allies, especially Scotland and Flanders, the matter dragged for several years Many treaties were proposed only to be rejected At last the whole business stopped where it had begun Guienne was restored to Edward, and he again did homage to Philip for it

Peace was thus established between the principals, but only Edward I attacked at the price of the desertion of their allies Scotland and Philip IV continued the war with Flanders. His army invaded the country and the count was compelled to surrender. In 1300 all Flanders was conquered and united to the crown of France, and Philip IV and his queen made a tour through the province were received with the forms of the greatest honor, but the people showed no personal enthusiasm over their appearance wealth of the cities was, however, revealed to the French Flanders was at this time perhaps the richest part of Europe It had numerous cities, all of them seats of industry and commerce. The products of their looms were everywhere eagerly sought after. The display of wealth excited the cupidity of the

king as well as of his nobles — He placed Jacques de Chatillon as governor over the province, who began to extort money from the people in every way possible — His exactions led to a revolt in Bruges — Nearly 3,500 Frenchmen were murdered in that city (the matins of Bruges) and the king sent an army against the town to punish it — Almost the whole of Flanders joined in the rebellion and the French forces were utterly destroyed at the battle of Courtrai (1302) — Two years later the king sent another army, which was in part victorious in the battle of Mons-en-Pevèle near Lille (1304). But Flanders prepared to make a more determined resistance and Philip IV. feared to continue the war — He made peace with the count, who ceded to him a district on his southern boundary — The people of Flanders, however, regarded this as a national calamity and were opposed to its recognition

The quarrel of Philip IV with the papacy has already been The humiliation of Boniface VIII was combriefly described plete The election of the bishop of Bordeaux as The Papacy at Avignon. Clement V put the papacy entirely in the hands of the king of France Clement V spent several years moving about from one place to another in France, and finally in 1309 took up his permanent residence in Avignon. But Philip IV was not yet satisfied with his victory over Boniface VIII. and demanded that he be tried by the new Pope. A charge containing forty-three counts was preferred against him scarcely a crime of which he was not accused Clement V tried to escape from this necessity, but the king and other enemies of the dead Pope were relentless. The trial began and it was evident that nothing but condemnation would satisfy the accusers. But the king now signified his willingness to spare Clement the humiliation of pronouncing against one of his predecessors if the Templars were put at his mercy Clement The Templars yielded and for the sake of the good name of suppressed. the papacy, already sadly smirched, agreed to destroy the order. A council was held at Guienne (1311), in which Boniface

VIII. was cleared of all charges against him, but those who had done him violence were pardoned. Moreover, the order of the Templars was formally suppressed Their trial had already been in progress for some years They had been subjected to the greatest cruelty and injustice and base charges of all sorts had been trumped up against them. The evidence against them. however, was of the most flimsy sort. The most that could be said against them was that they were rich, luxurious, and idle. Individual members may have been guilty of sensuality The order had no doubt degenerated morally, but the same may be said of all the monastic orders. The real motive of the king was to get possession of the great wealth of the order There is good reason to believe that Philip IV. pushed the prosecution of Boniface only in order that Clement V, fearing the scandal that would come upon the papacy, might be forced to yield to him and condemn the Templars. At any rate, that was the outcome of the whole matter The king seized all the property of the order. He made a pretence of turning it over to the Knights of Saint John, but in reality it went into his own treasury

In the time of Philip IV order was introduced into the government by the creation of certain new offices, the functions improvements in of which were prescribed. The various sorts of the government. Work in the government were differentiated and each sort assigned to a particular set of officials. For the personal service of the king there was a court called at that time the king's "Hôtel," the chamberlain, the chaplain, and those who had control of the guard and the troops were the most important persons of the Hôtel. The "chancellerie" had charge of all public affairs and the government may be said to have been administered through it. All intercourse between the king and his people was conducted by means of it. Within the chancellerie there was a college of notaries who drew up all public or state documents. The heads of this college were called "clercs du secret," or private secretaries of the king.

because they were acquainted with the secrets of the king and The third chief division in the government was his council called the king's Council, the members of which had to take a special oath to the king They were his secret counsellors and deliberated with him all important questions The Statesgeneral 1 were not yet an organic part of the government, they may be regarded as a relic of the ancient Ger-The Statesmanic diets or Mayfields The attendance upon general. these, however, had in the process of time come to be limited to the more powerful nobles and to the abbots and bishops It had been customary for the king to summon them to obtain their advice whenever the special situation demanded. In 1302 when the trouble with the Pope was assuming large proportions, the king felt that he must know whether he would have the support of all his people if he proceeded to extreme measures against the papacy He therefore summoned the States-general and at the same time called on the cities each to send two or three representatives to attend the meeting. The king laid before the whole body his plans and asked for their judgment. After some deliberation, the whole body through its spokesman signified its approval and promised him the support of the whole people. In 1308 a similar meeting of the same body was held to discuss the charges against the Templars than two hundred cities sent their representatives and again the States-general did nothing but say yes to the king's proposals It is characteristic of the part which the cities played in this proceeding that they were "asked by the king to send deputies to hear, receive, approve, and do all that might be commanded them by the king." Again in 1314 the war with Flanders was about to be renewed and the king's treasury was empty The king, therefore, summoned the States-general and told them what he wanted The States-general did noth-

¹ It should be noted that "States-general" correspond to the Parliament in England, while in France the name Parlement was given to the body of the king's judges The Parlement in France is a judicial body, in England the Parliament is a legislative body.

of the parlement.

ing but express their submission to the will of the king. This was the much written about entrance of the Third Estate into the political history of France. French historians never tire of exalting its importance. But as a matter of fact, the influence of the Third Estate was, and remained, practically nothing, till the time of the French Revolution. It had no such history and development as the House of Commons in England. In France the authority of the king prevailed, and the Third Estate was simply permitted to say yes when it was commanded to do so.

The growth of the parlement during this reign was remarkable. Ordinary cases arising on the royal domain were tried before it, and the number of appeals from all parts of the kingdom greatly increased. The absolute supremacy of the king's court and the king's justice over all baronial courts and baronial justice was more than ever recognized. The right of appeal was made use of to such an extent that the king was compelled to empower his baillies to decide many cases in order to prevent the parlement from being overwhelmed with work. Feudalism received a heavy blow by the establishment and development

As the government grew more thoroughly organized, it became much more expensive. Louis IX had always had enough income to support the government. Philip IV. was always in debt. He made the most strenuous efforts to raise money. Even by taxes, seizures, aids, forced loans, confiscations, persecutions of the Jews, taxation of all the foreign merchants in France, taxation of the Church, the seizure of the possessions of the Templars, and many other questionable means, Philip IV was not able to keep his treasury full

Philip IV was succeeded by his three sons in turn; Louis X. (1314-16), Philip V, called the Long (1316-22), and Charles IV. (1322-28) They were not able to preserve the

monarchy in that state to which their predecessors had brought There was a general reaction on the part of the nobles against the absolutism of Philip IV, and they End of the direct were able to force from these kings many pro-Capetian line. vincial charters which restored and safeguarded local feudal rights Louis X especially made a large number of such concessions. When he died he left no male heir, but as his wife was pregnant at the time, it was hoped that an heir might yet be born. The queen was indeed delivered of a boy, but he died within a week, and Philip V, who had been acting as regent. was now crowned king. Louis X had left a daughter, but her rights were passed over, and to justify the usurpation Philip V. called a council which declared that a woman could not inherit the crown of France, nor could the crown pass by way of the female line This was based on an obscure law in the code of the Salian Fianks in regard to the inheritance of property.1 It had never had anything to do with the inheritance of the crown

The reign of Philip V was productive of many laws concerning the development and establishment of the Hôtel, the council, the parlement, the administration of finance, the treasury, and many other parts of the machinery of government. The tendency of all his work was to unify the government and to centralize it in the hands of the king. He tried to make the royal money the only legal tender in the whole kingdom and took away from the barons the right of coinage. His exactions were many and grievous. His work was not understood by his people, and he died hated by them all. His successor, Charles IV, turned to the east and tried to secure his election as Emperor. In order to give his whole attention to imperial affairs, he made peace with Edward III, and ceded him Guienne. Ludwig, the Bavarian, however, patched up his quarrel with the Pope and secured the imperial crown.

¹ Lex Salica LIX (5) De terra vero nulla in muliere hereditas non pertinebit sed ad virilem sexum qui fratres fuerint tota terra perteneat. That is, the wife could not inherit the land of her husband.

Since Charles IV also died without a male heir the question was at once raised as to who should succeed him. Louis X had left a daughter, and Philip V. two daughters, but it had been decided that the crown could not pass by the female line The nearest male heir was Philip of Valois. father was Charles of Valois, a brother of Philip Philip of Valois. He was therefore a first cousin of the dead Edward III., of England, however, was induced to present a claim to the crown on the ground that he was the nearest male heir by the female line His father, Edward II., had married Isabella, the daughter of Philip IV. He was therefore a nephew of the last king The daughters of Louis X and Philip V., however, were nearer the crown than he, if it was to pass by the female line. The wife of Charles IV. was also pregnant at his death. There was considerable discussion as to who should be regent, for unless the expected child should be a boy, it was probable that the regent would receive the crown The claims of Edward III were presented by some lawyers, but they were rejected and Philip of Valois was made regent queen died in giving birth to a daughter, and Philip of Valois was crowned king (1328-50) Edward III gave up his pretensions and came to Amiens to do homage to the new king, Philip VI., for his feudal holdings There was still some question in his mind about the right of succession, but in 1330 and again in 1331 he sent letters to Philip VI in which he acknowledged himself without any reserve as the feudal subject of the king of France. He thereby gave up all his claims to the French crown.

2. ENGLAND, 1170 TO THE BEGINNING OF THE HUNDRED YEARS' WAR

The Norman genius showed itself in the government of William the Conqueror. He gave his conquered land an excellent rule. But as he collected much money for the expenses of his government, and devastated a large tract of land to make a game preserve, he won a bad reputation among the common people. His great work was not understood by them.

He had the difficult task of ruling two peoples in one country He was in danger alike from the English, and from the Norman barons. He used the one to keep the The government other in check. He weakened the English by Conqueror. confiscating their lands He always had a Norman army at his command and he erected fortresses in all the large towns, which he garrisoned with Norman soldiers tried to make the English see that he was just, and so hoped to win their confidence The lands which he took from them he gave to his Norman nobles, but lest these might become too strong for him, he gave them their lands in small pieces, scattered throughout all England He made it impossible for one baron to consolidate his possessions in one great tract. He did not perpetuate the great English earldoms because he feared their power might be dangerous to him He also kept the fyrd or English militia ready to use against his Normans if necessary His precautions were shown to be wise by the revolt made by the Norman barons in 1075 Two of the Norman earls plotted against him, but William easily put them down.

William was exacting in the matter of his feudal dues. In order to learn just how much taxable property there was in England he caused to be made an exact list of Domesday Book, the possessions and holdings of every man in his 1085-kingdom. He sent out his men to take this census, and their work was brought together into one great book, known as the Domesday Book (1085–86). By means of this information, and on the basis of it, William levied and collected his taxes with great regularity and exactness. The feudalism of England was essentially changed by the feudalism. oath which William required of all men whether his direct vassals or not. In 1086 William called on all his people to come

to Salisbury and take an oath of obedience directly to him All sub-vassals swore that they would support the king, even against their lord, if the latter failed in his duty to the king. This gave William a certain amount of control over his sub-vassals and established between him and them a personal relation which might be used to great advantage. He continued to call together the Witenagemot, but this name was gradually changed to the Great Council. It was composed of those who held land directly from the king

Under William the Church of England was brought into closer relations with the Church on the Continent, and espewilliam and cially with the Bishop of Rome. The king apthe Church. pointed Normans to all the high places in the Church. Lanfranc, Prior of the famous monastery of Bec, was made archbishop of Canterbury. William was heartily in favor of the Cluniac ideas of reform, but had no thought of yielding to the Pope his right to rule the Church. He resisted the pretensions of Gregory VII and continued to exercise the right of appointing bishops and archbishops. However, he chose only good and able men to fill ecclesiastical offices.

At his death (1087) the duchy of Normandy passed to his eldest son, Robert, and England was given to his second son, William II., William Rufus, whose reign in England was one 1087-1100. Of violence He was resisted by the Norman barons, but by the aid of the English established himself on the throne. He was covetous in the extreme, and his officers were permitted to make all kinds of unjust exactions from the people. He was blasphemous but superstitious, and abused the Church as he did his people

Early in his reign William II seized Cumberland and fortified it against the Scotch A few years later Malcolm, king of Scotland, retaliated by devastating large parts of Northumbria William II. was prevented from any serious attempt against Scotland by a revolt of some of his barons which engaged him for some time. The son of Malcolm was eventually made king in Scotland and agreed to hold the crown as a vassal of William.

William II. met his death in the New Forest, while hunting, probably at the hands of some one whom he had wronged was bitterly hated by the people and there was Henry I., no sorrow felt at his fate He was succeeded by 1100-35. his younger brother, Henry I (1100-35). On his accession Henry published a charter of liberties which contained concessions to the Church, the vassals, and the nation at large was intended to propitiate all classes by assuring them that they should not be subjected to the wrongs that had been inflicted upon them during the reign of his liberties. brother. He abolished the "malæ consuetudines," that is, all the illegal exactions that had been made by his brother title to the crown was not very good, and the contest which he feared with his brother Robert made him desirous of conciliating his people He made himself more popular still by his marriage with Eadgyth, known as Matilda, daughter of Malcolm of Scotland Her mother was an English princess, a descendant of the old ruling family of the Anglo-Saxons When Henry ascended the throne his brother Robert, duke of Normandy, was in the east He returned and got possession of Normandy and was ambitious to acquire also the crown of England His invasion of England came to nothing, because the English people stood faithfully by Henry Robert gave up all claims upon the crown, and returned to Normandy Normandy. His bad administration in Normandy led Henry to interfere there. War ensued, and in the battle of Tinchebrai Robert was defeated and made prisoner He was shut up in Cardiff Castle, where he spent the rest of his life, and Henry took possession of Normandy

In England many towns and boroughs were becoming large and rich and were inclined to resist the heavy exactions of their feudal lords. They now began to appeal to the king for protection. Henry was the first English king to grant to such boroughs charters by which they were allowed to administer their own justice and were protected from unjust tolls and taxations. He also established an institution known **Town Charters** as the "Curia Regis," to have control of the finances of the kingdom, and to try all cases in which the king's tenants in chief were concerned From the fact that they met in a room which was called the Exchequer, The king's lustice and finances. from the chequered cloth on the table, its members were called barons of the Exchequer. In order to make their work more effective they sent out itinerant justices who heard all cases in which the king was concerned, and collected the taxes. They were a sort of "missi dominici" who brought the people into more direct connection with the king

During his reign there began a strong religious revival marked by the establishment of many Benedictine and Cistercian monasteries. Many churches were begun during this time and a little later. The Benedictines had become rich and, as was generally the case under such circumstances, relaxed their asceticism. The Cistercians, however, were far more strict in their rules. They shunned all society and established their monasteries in far out-of-the-way places, while the Benedictines established their monasteries often either in or near a town

The only son of Henry and Matilda was drowned (II20), and when Henry came to die the question of the succession was a pressing one. Henry compelled all his nobles to take an oath that they would accept his daughter Matilda as their ruler. While yet a mere child she had been married to Henry V. of Germany. Henry I now gave her in marriage to Geoffrey, the count of Anjou. At the death of Henry, however, one of struggle for the his nephews, Stephen of Blois, came to London crown.

and secured his own election as king. The result of this step was a war between him and the supporters of Matilda, which grew more lawless and irregular during almost

the whole of his reign. David, king of Scotland, being the uncle of Matilda, espoused her cause. In two invasions he did much damage to the country, but was both times defeated and driven back Stephen became embroiled with the clergy by imprisoning a member of the powerful family of the bishop of Ely He also alienated many of his friends among the lay nobility by attacking some of them who were, or seemed to be, his friends All the barons ranged themselves nominally on one side or the other, but many of them took advantage of the war to engage in plundering on their own account. The greatest anarchy followed Matilda came to England (1130) and fought a battle at Lincoln, in which she was victorious and Stephen was taken prisoner. She now began to rule and was recognized by many as queen But her violence was worse even than that of Stephen, and a general revolt against her took place The queen's brother was taken prisoner by the insurgents, and she was compelled to set Stephen free in exchange for him Fortune now favored Stephen, and Matilda was driven to the Continent. The war was continued there and she and Geoffrey conquered Normandy Their son Henry they made duke of Normandy (1149) and he continued the struggle with Stephen His first invasion of England (1150) was unsuccessful. In 1152 he married Eleanor of Aquitaine, the divorced wife of Louis VII of France, and thereby acquired that great duchy He now went back to England, and his success at arms was so great that it seemed he must succeed in dethroning Stephen Since Stephen's son and heir died (1153) he was willing to make terms with Henry was agreed that Stephen should rule as long as he lived, but Henry should succeed him On this basis they made peace and combined to restore order in England The barons were unable to resist them and the island began to enjoy the advantages of peace Stephen survived the treaty only a short He died in 1154 and Henry II. succeeded him without opposition.

Henry II (1154-89) was strong and active, and able, therefore, to endure the hard work necessary to secure a good govern-He had but one ideal, that of unifying ment Henry II.. the government, and establishing the whole 1154-80. power in his own hands The nobility and the Church stood in the way of this policy, and his reign is famous for the struggle which he had with them. Henry had no regard for feudalism He faced the problems of government almost or for custom. in a modern way, and tried to invent the machinery to do the work which he saw must be done if he was to be strong. All his reforms were calculated to break down the authority of the barons

His first work was to complete the restoration of order which had been left unfinished by his predecessor He then attacked the county and manor courts, by putting his own courts into For the purposes of consultation, he called the the foreground Great Council together often, and compelled many of the small feudal holders to attend it The Curia Regis was also strengthened and its work of rendering justice emphasized In 1166 Assize of Claren- he called a meeting of the Great Council at Clarendon and published a set of decrees called don. 1166 the Assize of Clarendon By its terms the old custom of compurgation was prohibited. In its stead a new system was Twelve men in every county and four men from introduced each township in it were to form a kind of jury for the purpose of deciding who should be brought to trial the work of our grand jury He revived the custom of sending out itinerant justices, who by rendering strict justice in the king's name brought the manorial and county courts into In 1170 Henry inquired into the way in which the various barons who held the office of sheriff were performing their duties As the result of the inquiry nearly all were turned out and replaced by men of lower birth, who would, therefore, be more dependent on the king They served from this time on to keep a check over the higher nobility

tried to break down his opposition by persecutions. Henry at length called on him to make a report to him of all the money he had received from him while he was chancellor. He could not, of course, do so. Upon being declared a traitor he fled to Fiance, where he added fresh fuel to the quarrel between the two countries.

Henry's policy toward the barons had alienated them from him and he needed help against them. He was afraid that they would not accept his eldest son as king, and therefore determined to secure his election before his own death. always been the right of the archbishop of Canterbury to crown the king, but Beket was on the Continent and at feud with the sovereign Henry therefore called on the archbishop of York to perform the ceremony for his son Henry (1170) day before the coronation was to take place Beket sent a letter to the archbishop of York excommunicating him and all bishops who should take part in the act. The king now determined to yield. He made peace with Beket, who returned to England in the same year. His conduct and attitude, however, were not in the least changed. Henry was angered at him again, and in response to a hasty remark some of his knights went to Canterbury and murdered Beket The murder of Beket. in his own church. The popular indignation at this outrage was great Beket was at once regarded as a martyr, his grave became the object of pilgrimages, and it was believed that many miracles were performed there In order to propitiate the Pope Henry acted in accordance with the terms of a bull which had been issued to him by Hadrian IV, crossed into Ireland, received the submission of a part of the Ireland. island, and made its Church subject to Rome When the papal legates arrived in 1171 Henry swore that he was innocent of the death of Beket, offered Ireland as a peaceoffering to the Pope, and withdrew the Constitutions of Clarendon. He now had his son Henry recrowned, but the effect was that the young Henry demanded that the country now be

given over to him. Supported by his father-in-law, Louis VII. of France, and the great barons and the king of Scotland, he began a war on his father Henry II. did penance at the tomb of Beket, and at the same time his armies were victorious over all his rebellious subjects.

The military service which the barons owed Henry was limited to forty days and to their immediate neighborhood. It was impossible therefore for him to use them in any war carried on in his territory in France. Their service even in England was not worth much. Henry persuaded them to commute this service into a money payment (scutage) They were by that means practically put out of the army and, at the same time, Henry was able to hire mercenaries to fight for him on the Continent. He further strengthened himself against the barons by reorganizing the fyrd (1181). Every free man was bound to come at his call equipped at his own expense and ready to fight. This was not a feudal army but a return to the old Anglo-Saxon idea of the duty of all free men to bear arms whenever necessary.

The last years of his life were embittered by the revolts of his sons, to which their ambition and the intrigues of the king of France led them. Two of them, Henry and Geoffrey, died, and Richard made an alliance with Philip II. His favorite son, John, also joined in the rebellion. Henry died 1189, after having been defeated and humiliated by his sons and their allies.

His son, Richard I. (1189-99), had little to do with England, since he spent only a few months of his reign in that country. His character has been made sufficiently plain in Richard I., the account of his crusade Although a knight, 1189-99. he was no ruler, and yet, when he kept his romantic love of adventure under control, he was able to rule well. When he left England he established two justiciars to rule the country in his absence. One of them, however, drove out the other, only to be put down himself by the king's brother John, who seized

the government. John was so violent and oppressive, however, that the people were glad to welcome back Richard when he was finally set free in 1194, although they had been fearfully taxed in order to pay his ransom. Richard soon left England never to return to it. He established the archbishop of Canterbury, Hubert Walter, as his justiciar, under whose wise control good progress was made and the various practical problems of the government were brought nearer to a solution. The shires were allowed to name the members of the jury mentioned above, and representatives of the county were often allowed to give their advice in the matter of the assessment of the taxes. The authority of the juries was also extended to many cases which, before this, had been reserved for the king. English local independence was slowly developing

Much of John's reign (1199–1216) has already been touched upon. His nephew, Arthur, was acknowledged in Normandy and received the support of Philip of France. Arthur was eventually taken prisoner, and, it was believed, put to death by John The action on the part of the provinces was favorable to the invasion by Philip, and his success was so great that the territory south of the Garonne was about all that was left to the English king

John had much of the ability and all of the vices of the Angevin family He was strong, active, and ambitious His quarrel with had great political and diplomatic ability, but the Church. he was unscrupulous and dishonest to the last He was utterly without all sense of honor; he broke his royal oath without the least compunction. It was his perfidy that led to the successes of the people against him planning to recover his lost French possessions, when his quarrel with Innocent III. began The monks of Canterbury had chosen one of their number archbishop but John ordered them to elect one of his favorites Both parties appealed to Innocent, who rejected both applicants and appointed in their stead Stephen Langton. John refused to accept him. Innocent

threatened him with excommunication, but John declared that he would banish the clergy from England and mutilate all the Italians he could find in his kingdom if the ban were pronounced against him Innocent actually put England under the interdict (1208), and excommunicated John (1209). To raise the large sums which he needed, John oppressed and robbed all the people he could Even those who were faithful to him he wronged in the most cruel way. He took their property and outraged their wives and daughters. At last Innocent resorted to the most extreme measures He deposed John, proclaimed a crusade against him, and intrusted the task of enforcing the edict to the king of France (1212) Philip II prepared to invade England, and John collected his army to resist him discovered, however, that his army was plotting against him, and to save himself he disbanded it and fled to Nottingham Castle. There was only one way out of the difficulty, and rather than lose his crown, he made peace with the Pope (1213) with the danger of an invasion was at once gone Tohn now prepared to invade France after making an alliance with the count of Flanders and with the Emperor of Germany. Many of his barons refused to go with him on the ground that they were not required to follow the king outside of England. John could not then wait to punish them The defeat of his allies at Bouvines disconcerted him and emboldened the barons to resist The charter of Henry I. was discovered and presented to the barons first at Saint Albans, and later at Saint Paul's. Stephen Langton saw that this charter could be used as a basis for the reforms which they desired Several of the barons met at Saint Edmundsbury and made a secret agreement to demand the redress of their wrongs and the security of their liberties under the seal of a charter. In 1215 Magna charta. they met the king at Runnymede and almost without opposition the king signed the charter which they had drawn up. In this the king promised to observe the ancient laws and customs, to abate all wrongs, and to require only the

legal feudal dues The Church was to have her liberties restored, the barons and the people were to be subjected to no violence. The king agreed neither to pass, nor to execute, any judgment upon anyone until he had been tried by his peers Justice was to be rendered strictly and uprightly Twenty-five men were empowered to act against the king in any way they might think fit if he should act contrary to the terms of the charter.

John, however, had no thought of keeping his word. appealed to the Pope at once, who absolved him from his oath. The barons after securing the charter had dis-Louis VIII, of banded and John, who had been waiting for this. France offered the crown. was now able to overcome them one by one. London, however, remained firm The Pope put the city under the interdict, but no attention was paid to it. At last the barons offered the crown to Louis, the son of Philip II. He landed with an army on the island of Thanet, May, 1216, and John was compelled to withdraw before him. A few remained true to him, but his death put a different aspect on the whole affair (1216) Although his son, Henry III., was but nine years old, nearly all classes turned to him and deserted Louis. The next year Louis was defeated and withdrew from the coun-

The government was put, for the present, into the hands of three regents. In 1219 the power passed to the justiciar, Hubert Henry III., de Burgh, a capable man who acted for the best interests of the kingdom. The charter of John with some changes was sworn to by Henry and his regents. The barons were humbled and order re-established. In 1227 Henry III. became of age, and his character was soon revealed by his conduct. Like his father he had little or no regard for his royal word. He made the unprincipled Peter des Roches his justiciar, and proceeded to press money out of his subjects by all sorts of unjust means. In 1236 he married Eleanor of Provence, and his court at once swarmed with her relatives

try, and Henry III. was left in sole possession.

and favorites, to whom the king gave high positions. The barons resented this, but were powerless. The king was constantly asking for money and the barons always compelled him to take a new oath to the charter before granting him any subsidy. Henry never hesitated to take the oath to the charter, but never paid any attention to it

The king and the Pope vied with each other in demanding money of the English people and clergy These exactions became so burdensome that the feeling against both Pope and king was very bitter. The great Council, which was now coming to be known as a Parliament, met often, and in 1254 four knights from each shire were asked to meet with it in order to say how much money could be collected from their respective shires. Henry's son, Edmund, was given the crown of Sicily by the Pope, but in return large sums of money were promised him. Richard of Cornwall, brother of the king, was made Emperor of Germany, and he needed money to establish himself there The country was afflicted with a bad harvest, but, in spite of this disaster, onethird of the income of the year was demanded by the king for the Pope. These successive charges brought on a revolt The barons came armed to Oxford to meet the Provisions of Oxford, 1258. Parliament and to make known their demands All foreigners were to be driven out and the government put into the hands of a committee of twenty-four, and a council of fifteen Some reforms were brought about in this way, but the sub-tenants complained that only the great vassals were benefited by Prince Edward, the eldest son of the king, took up their cause and secured them some relief

The barons soon quarrelled among themselves and the king was thereby enabled to throw off all the restrictions they had put upon him. The leader, who had the interests of the people at heart, was Simon de Montfort. fort, the son of that de Montfort who had carried on the crusade against the Albigenses. He became the acknowledged

head of the people, and when the king refused to keep the provisions of Oxford, as the treaty of the year 1258 was called. Simon made war on him The king was driven into great straits, for Simon was a skilled general Both parties appealed to Louis IX. of France, and since his decision was agreeable to neither, hostilities were renewed. The battle of Lewes (1264) resulted in the defeat of the king's forces, and the king, prince Edward. and Richard of Cornwall were taken prisoners. Simon could He and two others were to elect nine now dictate terms counsellors who should name the ministers of state. A Parliament was then called in which, besides the knights of the shires, two burghers from certain towns also sat Again the barons who had been supporting Simon deserted his Commoners in Prince Edward escaped from prison, and cause the Parliament. 1265. many of the barons joined him. He suddenly attacked Simon and defeated his army Simon himself was slain. The popular imagination busied itself with his name and he was soon called a saint Miracles were said to take place at his tomb, many poems and songs were written about him, and . litanies produced in his honor Prince Edward was now so popular that the government was practically given over to him, and Henry III. paid little attention to the affairs of government during the last years of his life He died 1272.

Edward I (1272-1307) followed out the principles of Simon in his government He accepted the charter and tried to keep its! He himself had the spirit of a legislator. terms Edward L. 1272-1307 He had the advice of the best men in his kingdom and, besides, never published a law without first consulting those who would be affected by it He was successful in a war against Wales, and had his son recognized as the Prince of Wales (1284). He built strong forts to keep the Welsh in check, but also conciliated them by wise measures Alexander III, king of Scotland, died 1285, leaving only a daughter. She was engaged to be married to the Prince of Wales, but died 1290. Three cousins of the dead queen claimed the

throne, John Balliol, Robert Bruce, and John Hastings Edward I. was appealed to to settle the case He decided in favor of John Balliol, but required that he himself should be recognized as the overlord of Scotland. Edward interpreted his lordship to mean that in trials all appeals must be made to This angered the Scotch, and they made an alliance hım with Philip IV of France (1295). To meet these foes Edward called what has come to be known as the "Model Parliament" It received this name because of the fact that it The Model Parliament, 1205. was attended by all his barons, high clergy, two knights from every shire, two burgesses from every town, and representatives of the cathedral chapters and the common paro-Each class voted on the amount of subsidy which chial clergy it was willing to pay the king. It is not known whether they all sat in the same chamber or not. Since the various classes voted different sums, it is probable that at any rate they transacted business separately.

Edward then invaded Scotland, took Balliol prisoner, and declared himself king of the country The stone of Scone, on which all Scottish kings had been crowned, was New wars and carried off to Westminster The expenses of his new taxes. Edcampaign and government were great, and the ward master of Scotland. king needed more money By dealing with each class separately he succeeded in getting many grants Heavy export duties were charged on many things, especially on the wool that was exported to Flanders. The clergy refused to pay him any taxes, and Edward withdrew They were suffered to be robbed or his protection from them maltreated by any one without any redress This quickly brought a change, and they evaded the order of the Pope and paid what was demanded of them under the name of gifts to the king Eventually, however, the barons resisted his taxation, and Edward was compelled to yield In 1297 he had to agree not to collect customs duties without the consent of the Parliament In the meanwhile the famous William Wallace brought about

a revolt in Scotland After six years of hard fighting Wallace was exiled, and Edward obtained complete control of the country for the second time. This led to the incorporation of Scotland with England (1305) Bruce, a grandson of the Bruce mentioned above, led another revolt (1306), which after some years was ended by the battle of Bannockburn (1314), in which the English under Edward II were totally defeated. Scotland had successfully maintained her independence.

The reign of Edward I was fruitful, as we have seen, in the advance in influence of the common people. The shires and The reign of towns were recognized as having certain rights Edward I. quite as inviolable as those of the lords. The common people had made their entry into the field of political influence during the reign of Edward's father. Their position in Edward's council or parliament was confirmed by the meeting of the Model Parliament (1295). From this modest beginning the House of Commons was to develop until it became the real ruler of England.

Edward's legislation was not always so wise or so just He vielded to the popular clamor against the Jews, and in 1290 banished them from England For the most part, however, his laws were effective, and he had a keen eye to the interests of the royal power. He forbade all further gifts of land to the clergy, because all such lands were thereby freed from Every gift of land to the Church diminished the income of the king by so much In order to increase and strengthen the direct connection between himself and his subjects, he forbade all further sub-infeudation His whole policy was to establish himself as the king of the people, not simply as the overlord of the vassals. He was ambitious, not so much for himself as for his kingship. Looked at from the point of view of his own times, he was a model king, and much of the criticism that has been passed upon him is unjust, because it judges him from the point of view of a much later age.

The reign of his son, Edward II. (1307-27), was, in almost every respect, a failure He was indifferent to the duties of a king, and allowed himself to be controlled by Edward II.. First, Piers Gaveston held his affec-1307-27. The barons tried in various ways to control the government, and exclude the influence of Gaveston, but were unsuccess-At length they took arms against the king, made Gaveston prisoner, and put him to death The Despensers then got the ear of the king, and practically ruled the country Edward was married to Isabella, the daughter of Philip IV of France, but she proved unfaithful to him In 1325 she went to France, and the next year returned with her paramour, Roger Mortimer, to make war on the king. Almost the whole country was angry with Edward, because of the misrule of the Despensers, and therefore joined the queen. The king was helpless, and in 1327 a parliament was held by which he was compelled to resign his He was imprisoned for a few months, and then mur-His son, Edward III. (1327-77), aldered Edward III , though only fourteen years old, succeeded to the 1327-77. crown, but Mortimer acted as regent for three years At the end of that time Edward III, by leaguing himself with the regent's enemies, was able to take him and put him to death

One of the first things to occupy the attention of the young king was the question of the French succession. His mother was the sister of the last three kings in the direct male line of the Capetian dynasty, and Edward was led for a while to think that he might have a claim on the French crown. His better judgment soon prevailed, however, and he did homage to Philip VI. for his French possessions.

3. THE HUNDRED YEARS' WAR

The deeper questions at issue in the Hundred Years' War were whether Scotland should remain independent, and whether the king of France should control all of France, or whether all

of Scotland and France should be subjected to the king of Eng-It had come to be the established purpose of England to land reduce Scotland to subjection, and she already The questions held so large a part of France as to be able to prevent the unification of that country Scotland, on the other hand, was determined to be and remain free, and the possession of all the French soil had come to be the most important question that confronted the king of France The struggle between England and France was sure to come, and it could end in but one of two ways. either the king of England must conquer the whole country and displace the French king, or the king of France must drive out the English, and reconquer all that territory which the topography of the country and the similarity in language and cu toms had marked out as a legitimate object of his ambition

The Hundred Years' War began in Scotland At the death of Bruce (1337) the crown of Scotland passed to his son, then only five years old Edward Balliol laid claim The beginning of the war. to the crown, and by the help of some Englishmen succeeded in getting himself crowned. He was soon driven out, however, and appealed to Edward III for help Edward restored him, but compelled him to cede him all of Scotland that lay south of the Forth The young Bruce, David by name, thereupon fled to France and besought the aid of Philip VI Since it was Philip's keenest wish to drive the English out of France, he regarded Bruce as a most opportune ally. If he could strengthen Scotland and enable it to engage all of England's attention, he might hope to succeed in his plans.

The English also found allies on the Continent Philip VI. had supported the count of Flanders against the people of the county, and restored him to power over them Their submission lasted only for a short time, and they were easily persuaded to make an alliance with the English. Robert, the count of Artois, had been deprived of

his ancestral estates, and Philip VI refused to restore them to him. He resorted to forgeries to prove his rights, but the fraud was detected, and he had to flee for his life Embittered by his failure, he repaired to England and appealed to Edward III to assist him Philip VI finally (1336) published a proclamation in which Robert of Artois was declared to be an enemy of the state, and all were forbidden to protect or assist him in any way Confiscation was threatened on all who should disobey in this matter. This act was aimed against Edward III, who so understood it, and on both sides Edward III. preparations for war were begun. At the same claims the French crown. time Robert of Artois prevailed on Edward III to announce that he would renew his claim to the French War was declared against France (1337), but Edward was not able to begin it till the next year He crossed to Flanders (1338), but did nothing The people of the Low Countries were unwilling to serve him unless he would definitely assume the title of king of France It was not till 1340 that Edward broke with his scruples and actually called himself king of France This step was really what might be called a war measure, for Edward had already admitted that he had no right to the French crown, and had recognized Philip VI. as the rightful ruler of the country

For some years acts of mutual depredation had been committed by the French and English sailors. The French navy controlled the Channel, and Edward found it necessary to collect a fleet to attack it. In 1340 a naval battle was fought (called the battle of Sluys) in which the French fleet was destroyed, and the English became master of the sea. For some years little was done on either side. Two claimants for the duchy of Brittany arose, and since one of them was championed by Philip VI, the other appealed to Edward III. There was some fighting, but no decided advantage was gained by either king. In 1346 Edward invaded Normandy, intending to cross over into Flanders. Finding it difficult to cross the

Somme, the army followed up the course of the river almost to Paris before a ford could be found. Philip VI in the meantime prepared to take the offensive Edward at last found a place where he could lead his army over the river, and then drew up his forces to await the attack. The battle was fought near Crécy (1346), and the English bowmen won a most decisive victory. Edward proceeded to lay siege to Calais, which surrendered to him (1347). A truce was now made between the two kings, and Edward withdrew to England, taking with him much treasure of all kinds.

Philip VI. died (1350), and was succeeded by his son John, surnamed the Good (1350-64) The truce was continued to 1355, when hostilities were again begun in southern France. In that year Prince Edward, known as the Black Prince, landed at Bordeaux and made an invasion into southern France, plundering and destroying as he went. The next year he made a similar tour through central France, and was everywhere successful. With an army of about 8,000 men he was withdrawing to Bordeaux when he was attacked near Poitiers by King John with an army of about 50,000 men. King John with an army of about 50,000 men. King John's army was almost destroyed in the battle which ensued, and he himself was taken prisoner. A truce was made for two years, and the Prince returned to England, carrying with him his royal captive.

Hostilities had been kept up between Scotland and England in a very intermittent way, and without any marked success on the part of either. In 1357 Edward III changed his policy. He put David Bruce on the throne, and sought to win the country by conciliation. In 1359 Edward again invaded France, but so great was the devastation his former invasions had caused, that he could hardly find food sufficient for his men. He met with no opposition. It is said that he was overtaken by a severe thunder-storm near Chartres, which he interpreted as an expression of God's anger with him for the great ruin he had wrought, and, in consequence, he offered

to make peace with France. This step resulted in the peace of Brétigny, by the terms of which John was to be set free for a large ransom Edward gave up all claim to the Peace of French crown, but received Calais, Ponthieu, Brétigny, 1360. and the whole of the duchy of Aquitaine The king of France surrendered all feudal claims to these territories, and Edward was recognized as their sovereign king

Edward III sent his son, Edward, the Black Prince, to rule over Aquitaine. He was soon foolishly engaged in the affairs of Spain Pedro the Cruel, king of Castile, The Black Prince had by his violence and injustice made himself thoroughly hated by his subjects. Having, furthermore, incurred the wrath of the Pope, he was excommunicated by him, and his illegitimate brother, Henry of Trastamara, laid claim to the crown Charles V of France, who had succeeded John in 1364, gave Henry his support, and permitted Bertrand du Gueschn to collect all the soldiers he could and go to assist him in acquiring the kingdom of Castile. Pedro, thereupon, appealed to the Black Prince, who, because of the mere fact that a king was about to be replaced by a bastard, went to aid He was able to restore Pedro, but got nothing for his pains, for Pedro refused to pay him what he had promised. The Black Prince himself was taken ill and four-fifths of his army died of a pest He was compelled, therefore, to return to Bordeaux. His work was quickly undone, for Henry invaded Castile again, drove out his half-brother Pedro, and took the crown.

His troops demanded the pay of which they had been defrauded by Pedro, and the Black Prince, to satisfy them, levied a hearth-tax on Aquitaine. The people resisted this tax, and appealed to Charles V to defend them against such injustice. Charles summoned the Black Prince to appear before him, claiming that he was still the feudal lord of Aquitaine, on the ground that the treaty of Brétigny was invalid, because of some irregularity. This was equivalent to a declaration of the re-

newal of the war. Edward III again assumed the title of king of France In 1369–70 the Black Prince made another marauding incursion, but since the French refused to engage in a pitched battle, very little was accomplished. John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster, brother of the Black Prince, made a similar invasion from Calais through the central part of France, but his troops suffered so much for food and from the cold that only a handful of them reached Bordeaux. Aquitaine revolted against Edward, and submitted to Charles V. The English were compelled to make a treaty and surrender all of their possessions except Calais, Cherbourg, Brest, Bayonne, and Bordeaux

The struggle now practically ceased till the accession of Henry V. in England (1413-22) Henry V, in 1414, renewed the claim to the French crown and be-Henry V , 1413gan the war expressly for the purpose of en-22. renews the forcing this claim. His father, Henry IV. claim to the French crown. (1399-1413), had seized the English crown after deposing Richard II (1377-99) Henry V. felt, therefore, that his hold upon the English crown was somewhat He hoped to make himself popular in England by a successful war with France He sailed across the channel (1415), and landing at the mouth of the Seine, took Harfleur after a siege His army in the meantime had been attacked by disease, and two-thirds of his troops had died. With about 15,000 men he did not dare begin the march upon Paris. determined, therefore, to go to Calais Since the bridges over the Somme were destroyed, he had to go far up the river before finding a ford He turned toward Calais, after crossing the river, but found near Agincourt a French Agincourt, 1415. army of 50,000 men waiting to attack him secured the advantage of position, and his English archers again won a great victory over a far larger body of French. The losses of the French were enormous Hostilities were not renewed till 1417, when Henry overran Normandy and got

possession of it. For several years the king of France, Charles VI (1380-1422), had been a helpless imbecile, and the country was almost ruined by the struggles of the parties which were trying to control the government. The two opposing parties were headed by the duke of Burgundy and the count of Armagnac respectively. When it became apparent that Henry V. would conquer the whole country these two parties united. The duke of Burgundy met the heir-apparent, the Dauphin, to make his submission to him, but while kneeling before him was murdered by one of the Dauphin's followers to avenge a former crime of the duke. This made peace between the two parties impossible. The new duke of Burgundy, blinded by a desire for revenge, went over to the English, and even the queen of France deserted her son France was tempo-Treaty of By the treaty of Troyes (1420) Troyes, 1420. rarily lost Henry V. was recognized as regent till the death of Charles VI., when he was to succeed him as king of France.

Two years later Henry V died and was succeeded by his son, Henry VI, a child only nine months old. Charles VI died in the same year. Henry VI was recognized Henry VI, in many parts of France as king, and the gov-1422-61 ernment of the country was put into the hands of John, duke of Bedford, brother of the late king The Dauphin, however, claimed the crown as his hereditary right, and was known as Charles VII. (1422-61) He controlled nearly Charles VII, all the land south of the Loire Bedford carried 1422-61. on the war against him, and hoped in a short time to reduce the whole of France His brother, the duke of Gloucester, did the English cause great harm by putting forth an ungrounded claim to Hainault and Holland He offended thereby the duke of Burgundy, whose friendship was half the explanation of the English success

The city of Orleans was about all that remained to Charles VII north of the Loire In 1428 Bedford laid siege to it If he should succeed in taking that city, Charles VII would have

little chance of resisting him further The city seemed to be doomed when help came from an unexpected quarter d'Arc, a peasant girl, seventeen years of age, Jeanne d'Arc. believed herself to have received a commission from God to lead her king, Charles VII., to Rheims and secure his coronation. The troubled times had wrought upon her mind till she was fully possessed with this idea She was not the only woman in France who thought herself appointed for this high work In these times of excitement and national depression other women came forward with about the same claims. Jeanne was the only one fortunate and capable enough to get a hearing. She lived at Domremy, in the duchy of Bar one at first had any confidence in her, but at last, when there was no other help possible, she was taken before the young king, who determined to give her a chance to test her divine calling She was given command of the army, but only a part of her orders were obeyed, because some of the things which she commanded were manifestly impossible. The real commanders of the army made good use of her presence to fire the enthusiasm of the troops to the highest pitch. She led the attack on the English before Orleans, and was successful in breaking up the siege of the city Therewith the tide had turned, and everyone was wild with joy and enthusiasm. belief in her miraculous mission made the army irresistible The English were driven back, town after town was taken by the French, and Charles VII was soon crowned at Rheims Jeanne longed to go home then, being tired of the life which she was leading, but so long as the English remained in possession of any French soil there was still work to do, and so she was persuaded to remain She wished especially to take Paris. Unfortunately, however, she was taken prisoner by the Burgundians and sold to the English She was carried to Rouen, where, after a long trial, she was condemned to death on a mixed charge of sorcery, heresy, apostacy, and other crimes, which only the Middle Age could invent Her youth,

her simplicity, her nobleness availed nothing, she was burned at the stake May, 1431.

But even dead she was still a power in France. Her name gave an impetus and courage to her countrymen which was destined to result in driving out the English entirely. Bedford found the current in France setting stronger and stronger against the English. At his death (1435) the duke of Burgundy deserted the English cause and became the subject of Charles VII. For some years the war was continued, but at The English length (1454) the English had been driven out driven out, 1454 of every place in France except Calais. The Hundred Years' War was over. The final result of it was the unification of France. Both England and France had been profoundly influenced by this war, and at its close they were ready to enter upon a new period of their development.

The constitutional changes in England during the period of the Hundred Years' War must be briefly noted. In 1322 Edward II, and Parliament declared that in the Constitutional future all matters pertaining to the interests of changes in England. the country should be settled by Parliament, in which not only the clergy and the barons, but also the common people should be represented Edward III was well inclined to a constitutional government He gave up his right to exact certain feudal taxes, and relied upon securing sufficient funds for the government by parliamentary The House of grants. In 1341 the House of Commons was Commons. separated from that of the Lords. The composition of the House of Commons was of the utmost importance. In it were to be found the knights of the shire, as well as the representatives of the towns or burgesses. Only in England were the knights associated with the commoners. In all the countries of Europe they were a part of the nobility, and refused to have any connection with the common people. This union of the two classes in England was to be the source of the greatest strength for both. Edward III., in 1360, made permanent the officials known as justices of the peace. It was their duty to preserve the peace in their county, take all criminals and even try them. They were appointed by the king from among the local landholders.

In 1376 another important event occurred. The Parliament refused to grant any money till it should receive an account of all the moneys collected and expended by the king and his counsellors. After a struggle it was victorious, and succeeded in forcing some of the council from their office. A new council was then formed and some of the former members were charged with embezzlement, tried, convicted, and punished. This is the first case of impeachment of the king's council, and was of great importance as a precedent

Richard II. (1377-99) ruled for a while in a constitutional way. He appointed his own ministers, but when Parliament met he compelled them to resign, in order that charges might be made against them by any one who wished to do so. After it became clear that no one was dissatisfied with them he restored them to office

The vassal relation was gradually changed. In place of vassals the great lords now surrounded themselves with men who change in the were called retainers and wore the badge of feudal relation. their respective houses. With these retainers a lord could influence both the administration of justice and the elections. The abuse became so great that in 1390 the maintenance of such retainers was forbidden. It was to be more than a hundred years, however, before the prohibition could be fully enforced.

Richard II, toward the end of his reign, was thought to be mad. His violence and injustice were unendurable, and led to his deposition. His cousin, the duke of Lancaster, succeeded in compelling him to resign, and then put forth his own claim to the crown on the ground that he was in the proper line of succession. Parliament gave its assent, and Lancaster became king Henry IV. The succession fell to Henry IV., how-

ever, not owing so much to the fact that the crown was hereditary as to the belief still common that it was elective. The deposition of Richard II and the election of Henry IV. were looked upon rather as the work of the people by its Parliament. It was the victory, at Agincourt, of Henry V that rendered the Lancastrians popular in England and made their seat tolerably secure.

The fourteenth century was marked by a movement among the people which showed itself in many ways. In 1348 a plague spread over all Europe, which resulted Social in the death of perhaps half of the population. movements. Whole districts in England were almost depopulated This, of course, made the demand for the service of free laborers much The natural effect was that all workmen demanded far larger wages than they had ever before received. The English sense of the binding force of custom and tradition was thereby deeply offended, especially since at the same time the expense of farming was increased In 1349 both Houses of Parliament met and passed a statute that the same wages should be paid as were customary before the plague, and made it a crime for anyone to demand more. The immediate effect of this measure was to increase the bitterness already existing between the classes

An important sign of this opposition between the classes was the appearance of the work of Langland, called Piers the Plowman (1362) After the victories of Crecy and Piers the Plow-Poitiers and the successful invasions of France, man. the English returned to their homes laden with spoil. There was great increase in luxury, idleness, and vice Langland gives a stirring picture of this condition of things, and sets over against the idle nobleman the simple, honest plowman or peasant, whose life was one long round of duties well performed.

All the legislation prohibiting the demand of higher wages was without avail. The work must be done, and the peasants refused to do it without an increase in pay. This led the landlords to try to reduce the free laborers to villainage again. In many cases the villain had secured his freedom by paying a

small sum of money to his landlord. Since the service had become so much more valuable, the landlords now declared that the contract into which they had entered was unfair, and they refused to accept the sum of bellion (1381) money agreed upon in place of service They tried, therefore, to compel the peasants to become serfs again and render the old service which had formerly been required of them. would have solved the difficulty and the landlords would have thereby acquired a sufficient amount of labor to till their The injustice of it, however, caused a revolt of Wyclif's preachers espoused the cause of the peasants, and there arose besides a large number of peasants who went about inciting the people to resistance. There was an uprising all over England. The property of the nobility was attacked, their game and fish preserves destroyed, the records of the villains' dues were burnt, and even many people put to death. An army of more than 100,000, led by Wat Tyler, Jack Straw, and John Ball, marched upon London, expecting to appeal to the king to support them against the nobility. They got into London and put many to death, among them the lawyers of the new inn of the Temple and the archbishop of Canterbury, who had proposed many of the obnoxious measures in Parliament. Richard II., still a mere boy, met them and promised to abolish villainage, whereupon the majority of the peasants About 30,000 of them, however, were bent returned home on mischief, and could not be dispersed until an army attacked and scattered them. The revolt was followed by severe punishments The leaders were put to death, as well as many who had taken part in it All England was united against the insurgents, and the lot of the peasants became harder than ever before

This peasants' revolt had a bad effect on a great movement which had for its author John Wyclif By an independent study of the Bible he had come to differ radically from the Church in many points. He attacked the authority of the Pope and the doctrine of tran-

substantiation, later even the mass At first he had attacked only the abuses in the Church, the worldly clergy, the heavy ecclesiastical taxes, the sale of indulgences and pardons, pilorimages, the use of relics, and the worship of saints The war with France, which was then the home of the Popes, and the papal Schism probably had a good deal to do with the development of Wyclif's doctrines Opposition developed his ideas until he broke out into open hostility to the Church in almost everything He based all his doctrines directly on his interpretation of the Bible He sent out many preachers to carry his teaching to the people, and they succeeded in gaining many adherents His sympathies were, for the most part, with the common people, and his cry for reform was taken up by them. It was due in part to his agitation that the peasants' revolt took place The violence committed on that occasion frightened the nobility and even the common people, and Wyclif's movement thus fell into disrepute His preachers, called the Lollards, or idle babblers, were repressed and persecuted He himself was bitterly opposed by the clergy, but escaped personal violence He was compelled, however, to leave Oxford and retire to his home at Lutterworth, where he spent the last years of his life in revising an earlier translation of the Bible He was ordered to appear at Rome to defend himself, when death overtook him Political considerations, the alliance between Henry V and the papacy, led to the repeated persecutions of his followers, and so all of Wyclif's efforts at reform came to nothing But the cry for the reform of the Church was never again hushed in Europe Through one of his pupils, John Huss of Prague, his teachings were carried to Bohemia, where they also caused a great uprising.1

During the last years of Henry VI the civil war began which was to be known as the Wars of the Roses This was a struggle between the great houses of England, at first for the control of the king, and later for the possession of the crown. Henry VI.

suffered from attacks of insanity, during which the government was put into the hands of a regent The duke of York was appointed regent under the title of Protec-Poses. tor (1454), and the duke of Somerset, who had been the favorite of Henry VI, was thereby displaced next year, however, the king recovered for a short time and again assumed authority He at once restored Somerset. duke of York, fearing violence, took up arms and attacked his In the battle of Saint Albans (1455) he was victorious, and the duke of Somerset was slain. He went to London, taking the king with him, who was soon seized with another fit of insanity, whereupon York again became Protector. After a short time the king again recovered and tried to make peace between the contending families There could be no lasting peace, however, and in 1460, after a victory over the royal army, the duke of York laid claim to the crown on the ground that he was the heir of Edward III through his son Lionel, who was older than John of Gaunt, from whom Henry VI. was The Parliament refused to depose Henry VI. but agreed that the duke of York should succeed him at his death. The son of Henry VI, Prince Edward, was thereby excluded from the succession The queen refused to submit to this injustice, and appealed to the Scotch for help. At the battle of Wakefield (1460) she was victorious, and York was slain son Edward, however, at once became the leader of the Yorkist He defeated the queen's army at Mortimer's Cross, and in a second battle of Saint Albans (1461), and followed these victories up with another at Towton, in which her army was almost destroyed The queen fled to Scotland, taking Henry VI. with her, and Edward IV was crowned king at Westminster in June, 1461.

Edward's first Parliament was most pliant, and declared the three previous kings of the House of Lancaster to have been usurpers and their supporters traitors. When the queen returned with an army, she met only with defeat. Edward IV.

thereupon put to death many of the families and supporters of the Lancastrians But his policy, and especially his marriage to Elizabeth Woodville, who was not of high warwick, the birth, estranged his best helpers, and led to a king maker. revolt. In 1470 the earl of Warwick drove Edward IV. out and restored Henry VI. to the throne Edward IV returned the next spring, and was successful in two decisive battles, one at Barnet, the other at Tewkesbury. The leading members of the Lancastrian party were slain, and Henry VI. himself was put to death in the tower.

Edward IV now felt himself secure on the throne, and found leisure to begin a war in connection with Charles the Bold of Burgundy against Louis XI of France He hoped to prevent the extension of French power in the Netherlands, but was unable to do so. The death of Edward IV put his son, Edward V., a boy of twelve years, on the throne. His second son was known as the duke of York. His brother Richard, duke of Gloucester, took possession of the two boys, and was made Protector They were both put into the tower, and the relatives of their mother, Elizabeth Woodville, who had been exercising great influence up to this time, were either imprisoned or put to death. Fearing that if the young king were once crowned and acknowledged, his own life would be in danger, Richard III . Richard, by the most shameless charges against 1483=85. the honor of his own mother, secured the recognition of himself as king He was crowned as Richard III. (1483). met with some opposition, but was able to resist it successfully. He felt, however, that he was not safe so long as the young Edward V and his brother lived. They were accordingly put to death in the tower by Richard's orders This crime cost him his popularity, and all the nobility of England feared him. The duke of Richmond, another descendant of Edward III., through John of Gaunt, was encouraged to invade England, and in the battle of Bosworth (1485) Richard III was defeated and slain, and the duke of Richmond was made king under

the title of Henry VII For nearly thirty years England had suffered terribly by these civil wars, and the people were worn They were willing to do anything or to subout with them mit to anything if only they might have peace. Henry VII. It was not so much that the great houses were (1485=1500) brings peace. destroyed, it was rather the horror that was everywhere felt for civil war that now opened the way for the Tudor House, of which Henry VII. was the head, to become practically absolute, and rule without regard to constitution or Parliament. The people felt that nothing could be worse than civil war. They were glad to have a strong king, because they believed that such a ruler alone was able to preserve peace and order.

The Renaissance was just beginning to be felt in England at Richard III was himself one of the most promithis time The Renaissance nent supporters of the new learning, and did all in England. he could to foster it. Before he saw the way open to the throne he had been especially active in this direc-It was unfortunate both for him and for the cause of learning that the temptation was put in his way to seize the crown. But even as king he was active along the same line He passed a law forbidding any hindrance or injury to any one who was engaged in importing or selling books in the kingdom. The patriotic Caxton had brought back from the continent a printing-press He was not only a printer, but also an author, or, at least, a most industrious translator. Learning suddenly became with many a passion The movement was still in its swaddling-clothes, to be sure, but the foundation was being laid for the glorious achievements of the sixteenth century

The last years of Charles VII were not so fortunate as the first. The victories which Jeanne d'Arc won for him secured A standing army him the title of the Victorious He established in France a standing army and became independent of his vassals for military service. But he quarrelled with his son

Louis. Louis thereupon intrigued against his father and made alliances with his father's enemies. The king also fell under the control of bad ministers, and his court was vitiated by the presence of infamous women

Louis XI (1461-83) was, from the point of view of the kingship, one of the most successful of all French kings, but he has won the reputation of being the most cruel, crafty, Louis XI.. and unprincipled of men. He was a master 1461-83. in the arts of duplicity and deception. His settled policy looked toward the acquisition of territory and the strengthening of the royal power. Several of the great appanages were added to the royal domain during his reign, and two most important acquisitions were made on the eastern frontier. 1477, at the death of Charles the Bold, duke of Burgundy, his duchy was seized by Louis XI, and in 1481 he got possession of Provence by the death of the last Anjou, who declared Louis XI his heir In this way the eastern boundary of France was much extended Louis XI established provincial parliaments, thereby dividing and weakening the body that was most able to hinder the growth of the royal His successor, Charles VIII. (1483-98), increased his possessions by the addition of Brittany (1491) The unification This practically completed the unification of France, although there were still several provinces which were yet to be incorporated with the royal domain This was reserved for the sixteenth century to accomplish. The power of the king was now rapidly increasing, while that of the feudal nobility was practically broken The king was ruler in fact as well as in name With the whole of France in his hands the way was open for Charles VIII to look abroad His invasion of Italy (1494) marks the beginning of a new era in French history. The country was practically united, and her superfluous strength was now to be used in the endeavor to extend her boundaries by the conquest of peoples of a different nationality.

CHAPTER XIX

THE LESSER COUNTRIES OF EUROPE TO 1500

In this chapter it is proposed to give, in the briefest manner possible, a bird's-eye view of those parts of Europe which played no great rôle in the Middle Age, but were nevertheless engaged in the slow process of political development

In the northern part of Spain there were gradually formed certain principalities, such as the kingdoms of Leon, Castile, Aragon, and Navarre, and the counties of Catalonia and Portugal About 1040 Leon and Castile were united, and a hundred years later Aragon and Catalonia were made one The county of Portugal was established about 1095 It was practically independent and in 1139 became a kingdom About 1250 Navarre established relations with France, and for a long time had little in common with the rest of the peninsula

When the Ommiad Khalifate came to an end (1031), five large Mohammedan kingdoms were established (Toledo, Seville, Cordova, Saragossa, and Badajoz), besides a great many little independent principalities. The struggle between these and the small Christian states on the north was constantly carried on during the Middle Age, and the Christians slowly won territory after territory from them. In 1086 the Mohammedans called on the Almoravides of northwest Africa for help. This resulted in the destruction of the Christian army, indeed, but also in the conquest of the Spanish emiss, and the establishment of the Almoravides as rulers of Mohammedan Spain. About fifty years later (1145) another sect having risen to power in Africa, the Almohades crossed the strait and in a few years de-

feated the Almoravides and united all Mohammedan Spain under themselves. Their rule also was of short duration. In the year 1212 the Christians were able to destroy their power Before the end of the thirteenth century all of Spain was again in the hands of the Christians except the southeastern part, which formed a principality known as Granada.

Fall of the This remained Mohammedan until 1492, when Moors, 1492. Ferdinand and Isabella conquered it and completed the unification of Spain.

Castile and Aragon had meanwhile become the most powerful states and gradually absorbed all the others. Sicily and Sardinia were added to Aragon during the last years of the thirteenth century. The consolidation of the two leading Spanish states was accomplished (1474) by the marriage of Isabella of Castile to Ferdinand of Aragon. The union of Spain was soon after completed and she was prepared to take her place among the leading states of Europe

In 1005, when king Alphonso gave the county of Portugal to his son-in-law, Henry of Burgundy, it consisted of only the small territory between the Douro and Minho Portugal. In 1139, after a great victory over the Moors, the count was made a king, and from that time on the struggle with the Mohammedans for territory went steadily for-In about one hundred years the kingdom was extended to nearly its present boundaries. The Portuguese turned their attention to the sea and became the most daring sailors and explorers in the world The Madeira and the Azore Islands were taken and added to their possessions In the fifteenth century their voyages of discovery were directed by Prince Henry, known as "the Navigator." Vasco da Gama, a Portuguese, discovered a route around the Cape of Good Hope to the East Indies (1498), thereby increasing Portuguese commerce and enabling her to get possession of many islands. Another result of this discovery was to diminish the amount of trade between the east and west, which had been carried on by

way of the eastern Mediterranean, whose great ports now began to lose their importance. Portugal's activity on the sea was so great that she competed with the larger countries of Europe for the control of the new world which was just then being discovered and opened up

The territory now known as the Netherlands (Holland and Belgium) was slow in attaining a complete independence and a separate national existence. It was a part of the Netherlands. Empire of Karl the Great, and in the division of 843 (Verdun) was given to Lothar Nearly all the territory west of the Rhine from Basel to the North Sea was called Lotharingia, and came to be divided into two parts, upper and lower. The latter comprised all the territory north of the Moselle It included, therefore, nearly all of modern Belgium Following the feudal tendency Lotharingia and Holland. broke up into several fiefs, most of which succeeded in rendering themselves practically free from foreign control these feudal principalities were the counties of Namur, Hainault, Luxemburg, Holland, Gelderland, and others, the episcopal sees of Liège, Cambrai, and Utrecht, and the duchies of Brabant and Limburg. To the west of these lay the county of Flanders, which had been able to break away from the kingdom of France and become practically independent. The growth and power of the cities in all this territory were remarkable. Their inhabitants became rich from industry and commerce, and early took part in the communal revolt. They naturally wished to be free from Germany and France, one or the other of which had sovereign claims over all this land, and hence were the allies of England in the Hundred Years' War. progress in civilization was rapid, and during this period they laid the foundation of the strength which they were to develop in the sixteenth century in their tremendous struggle with Spain.

During the last years of the fourteenth century and the first of the fifteenth the French dukes of Burgundy got possession by marriage and conquest of almost all of these little independent territories after they had seriously weakened themselves by making war on each other By the marriage of Mary of Burgundy, the daughter of Charles the Bold, with Maximilian of Austria (1477), afterward Emperor, the Netherlands came into the possession of the House of Hapsburg The Emperor, Charles V (1519-55), inherited them from his grandmother, Mary of Burgundy, and gave them to his son, Philip II. of Spain Against him and his misrule they revolted and carried on an heroic war for eighty years The history of this revolt belongs, however, to another period

The conquests and settlements of the Norsemen have already been described In the ninth and tenth centuries Denmark was united into one kingdom. One of the Denmark. greatest of its sovereigns was Knut, whose con-Norway, and Sweden. quest and government of England have already The kingdom of Denmark had a period of been recounted. considerable power, but this was followed by another of de-Sweden also became a kingdom in the ninth and cadence tenth centuries Christianity was thoroughly established there by about 1050 Norway was not united until about the year For some centuries the history of these countries is but a confused succession of wars and civil strife.

In 1363 Waldemar Atterdag, king of Denmark, married his daughter Margaret to King Haco VI This Haco was the son of Magnus Smek, who had become king of both Norway and Sweden After reigning for several years Magnus had been compelled by the nobility to surrender the crown of Sweden to his eldest son, Eric, and that of Norway to another son, the Haco VI mentioned above After a long civil war Haco was the only representative of his family left alive, but the Swedes refused to accept him and elected Albert of Mecklenburg as their king In 1365 Waldemar Atterdag died, and Margaret secured the crown of Denmark for her son Her husband, Haco VI. of Norway, died 1380, and Mar-Olaf

garet took possession of that country also for Olaf Denmark and Norway were, therefore, united under one ruler Although Olaf was king in the two countries, his mother Margaret was the real ruler. At his death (1387) she was elected queen in Norway and regent in Denmark Since 1380 Margaret had also assumed the title of queen of Sweden, although Albert of Mecklenburg had been chosen its king in 1365 now began a war on him to make good her claims to the crown of Sweden. For some years the struggle continued, but Margaiet was in the end victorious. In 1396 she had one of her nephews, Eric, crowned king of the three countries, and in 1307, by the union of Calmar, they were firmly united. Theoretically, the union of Calmar put the three countries on the same plane. In reality, Denmark was the leading power and dominated the other two Sweden made several attempts to revolt and gain her independence, but without success, till the appearance of Gustavus Vasa (1523) Norway, however, remained united to Denmark till 1814.

The victory of Emperor Otto I over the Hungarians on the Lech (955) put an end to their invasions to the west. During the tenth century Christianity was introduced among Hungary. them from Germany and Constantinople the year 1000 their duke, Stephen, sent to Rome to ask for the establishment of an independent Hungarian archbishopric at Gran, and also that he himself be made king. Both petitions were granted, and he became the subject of the Pope time of Henry III, in consequence of a heathen reaction, the Christian king, Peter, was driven out Henry III restored him by force of arms and made him his vassal The relation was little more than nominal, because the German Emperors were so taken up with their problems in the west that they had no time to attend to Hungary Croatia was added to Hungary (1091), although afterward lost for a short time German influence was felt all along the western frontier, and especially through the Saxon immigrants, who were invited at various times to settle

in different parts of Hungary, more particularly in the southeast districts now known as Siebenbuergen (Transylvania). The country suffered terribly under the invasion of the Mongols (from 1241 on), but the devastated countries were repeopled with Germans. The family of Stephen (the Arpad dynasty) held the throne till 1301, when it became extinct, and the crown went to an Angevin of the French family of Charles of Anjou, who had established himself as king of Sicily and Naples. After the failure of this dynasty (1437) the crown was fought over for nearly one hundred years. The country was gradually weakened by this strife, and at the same time the Turks invaded it. Solyman II was able to destroy the Hungarian army at the battle of Mohacs (1526), and to get possession of a large part of Hungary, which he held for nearly one hundred and fifty years The rest of the country passed into the hands of the Hapsburgs and was added to Austria, but always enjoyed a measure of independence.

In consequence of the efforts of Otto I to extend Christianity, and, at the same time, German influence to the east, several bishoprics (Merseburg, Zeitz, Meissen, Havel-Poland. berg, Brandenburg) were established under the archbishop of Magdeburg These were the missionaries to the Duke Mieczislav of Poland did homage to Otto I. and received the rite of baptism. Christianity spread among the Poles The process of Germanizing them, however, was checked by the establishment of Gnesen as an archbishopric (1000) directly under the Pope. This secured Poland an independent ecclesiastical development, and also the preservation of its nationality Duke Boleslav I first took the title of king. In the eleventh century Poland consisted of the territory on both sides of the river Warthe. Pomerania was conquered in the next century, and thus Poland acquired a seaboard. The Mongols in the thirteenth century ravaged almost the whole of the country. By the marriage of a Polish princess with the prince Jagello of Lithuania Poland acquired a new

dynasty and all the territory of the Dnieper and Dniester rivers. By some victories over the German Order, established since the thirteenth century on the Baltic, her boundaries were also extended on the north. Her territory at this time reached from the Baltic to the Black Sea. German influence was strong in many parts of Poland, because of the large number of German colonists who settled there. At the end of the Middle Age Poland seemed a powerful state and possessed of great possibilities. The nobility, however, was omnipotent, and the common people oppressed with too great burdens. The dynasty of Jagello died out in 1572, and the crown became elective. The quarrels that arose over the recurring royal election were to be the cause of Poland's destruction. She lost her sea-coast, and having no good natural boundaries, could not resist dismemberment.

The settlements of the Norsemen at Novgorod and Kiev, and the dynasty established by them, have already been spoken of.

These settlements were united about 900 A.D, and shortly afterward were Christianized from Constantinople The political chaos of the next centuries was very great. The Mongols established themselves north of the Black Sea, and compelled all the principalities of Russia to pay tribute. A large part of Russia continued subject to them till the end of the fifteenth century, when Ivan III threw off the yoke. He also reduced all the independent principalities and took the title of Czar He built the royal palace at Moscow (the Kremlin), and laid the foundation for the growth of Russia in the next centuries.

The Greek Empire was engaged in constant struggle with the Mohammedans The Seldjuk Turks, as we have seen, control Greek quered nearly all the Imperial possessions in Empire Asia. In spite of all the efforts that were made about the time of the crusades to drive them out of Asia Minor, they kept a firm hold upon a part of it The Osman Turks came from central Asia about the middle of the fourteenth cen-

tury and began a brilliant career of conquest They soon won territory in Europe From this time they encroached steadily on the territory of the Empire. All the Balkan Peninsula was conquered by them, and they extended their sway far north beyond the Danube The fall of Constantinople (1453) marks the end of the Byzantine Empire While Mohammedanism was being utterly driven out of Spain, it was firmly establishing itself on the Balkan Peninsula, from which vantage ground it was yet to threaten some of the Christian states of Europe.

CHAPTER XX

GERMANY, 1254-1493

I. The death of Conrad IV. (1254) marks the end of the Hohenstaufen kingship in Germany No king succeeded in gaining general recognition for twenty years. The interregnum This period (1254-73) is therefore called the in-William of Holland (till 1256) and, later, Richard, duke of Cornwall (brother of Henry III., king of England), and Alphonse X. of Castile are proclaimed king, each by a small party, but their rule is nothing but a farce Universal anarchy prevails Since the only law is that which is humorously called Faustrecht (fist-law), every one must help himself as best he The cities rise to the occasion In spite of the untoward conditions of the land, this period is marked by a great development in industry and commerce The formation of the league of Rhenish cities (1254) for mutual protection against illegal exactions indicates the parallel rise of a healthy political spirit of self-help

Finally, the election of Rudolph I. (1273-91) ends the political confusion. Rudolph, count of Hapsburg, whose possessions lay in Suabia, was a prince of only secondary Rudolph of Hapsburg. rank, and recommended himself to the great territorial princes precisely on this account These princes wanted an Emperor who was not likely to slip from their control At his election was seen the consummation of a development which had been preparing for some time He was chosen by the great princes only, seven in number, and holders of the seven high offices (Erzaemter), without the intervention of the lesser nobility These seven from now on vindicate this right

to themselves, and we shall soon see this, at first merely customary practice, receiving the sanction of law. Of the seven electors (*Kurfuersten*), three are spiritual and four lay princes. They are the archbishops of Mainz, Cologne, and Trier, and the king of Bohemia, the duke of Saxony, the margrave of Brandenburg, and the count palatine of the Rhine.

With the accession of Rudolph the German monarchy signally changes character Occasionally, dreamers or ambitious politicians, like Henry VII and Charles IV, Change in the show an inclination to revert to the old idea of the character of the monarchy. world-monarchy, for the sake of which so many Ottokar of Bohemia. imperial families ruined themselves, and all but ruined their state, but from Rudolph on, the elected German kings stay well at home, on the whole, and content themselves with their German monarchy What is more strictly called the Middle Age was at last passing away for Germany, as well as for the rest of Europe, and its high-flying idealism was replaced in the new epoch by a sober realism which looked well to material interests. Rudolph affords an immediate illustration. In spite of tempting invitations from the Pope, who was in terror from those same Angevins whom he had summoned to Naples to replace the Hohenstaufen, he shrewdly declined to enter what he called "the lion's cave" This was not cowardice, for Rudolph was a brave, simple, and effective man. He preferred to attend to nearer business first And there was He had not entered upon office without such in profusion Ottokar, King of Bohemia, who had created a large empire in the east of Germany, composed of German and Slav elements, tried to render himself independent of the Em-On the Marchfeld (near Vienna) he was defeated and killed (1278) and Rudolph confiscated his possessions. hemia proper he returned to Ottokar's son, but Austria and

¹ The seven high offices were distributed as follows. The three spiritual princes were chancellors respectively of the three integral paits of the Empire. Germany, Italy, and Burgundy, Bohemia was cup-bearer, the count palatine was seneschal, Saxony marshal, Brandenburg chamberlain.

Styria he kept for his own house. Rudolph's hereditary possessions were very small, and this chance for making so considerable an addition to them, came very opportunely at a period when the imperial office itself put no reliable resources at the disposal of the Emperor, either in the form of an army or of money, and his authority was dependent in the last instance upon his house-wealth. The centre of gravity of the Hapsburg dominions was thus removed to Austria and Vienna, and it has remained fixed there ever since. After his personal interests had thus been provided for, Rudolph found time for Germany He travelled extensively from point to point, hearing complaints, judging violence, and putting down anarchy. The necessity of such a peace-bringer after the chaotic interregnum may be inferred from the fact, that along the Main and Rhine alone he destroyed one hundred and forty robber strongholds.

The election of Adolph of Nassau (1292-98) as Rudolph's successor illustrated another fact of importance in connection with the changed character of the monarchy. Adolph and Albrecht. Theoretically, the monarchy had always been elective, but the great Emperors of the Middle Age had had no difficulty in creating a certain predilection for hereditary succession, and the Saxon, Franconian, and Suabian Emperors had followed each other in hereditary order as long as there were any direct heirs left. At the death of Rudolph the weakened condition of the monarchy was emphasized by the refusal of the electors to take his successor from the Hapsburg line. Their choice fell upon Adolph of Nassau Nassau was another prince of the second order from whom the great dukes had nothing to fear When he attempted to form a strong house-power, something after the fashion of Rudolph. the princes deserted him, and set up as his rival Albrecht of Austria, Rudolph's son. Having defeated Adolph at Goellheim, he becomes his successor

Albrecht I. (1298-1308) was a politician of intelligence and

But the revival of imperialism in Italy was a dream Henry received both the Lombard and imperial crowns, but after a few successes in the north, of no permanent character, and a signal failure before the walls of Guelph Florence, he died suddenly near Pisa (1313).

There followed a disputed election The Luxemburg party, finding John, king of Bohemia and son of Henry VII, too young, put up Louis of Bavaria (House of Wittelsbach), while the Hapsburg party elected Bavaria. Frederick the Fair of Austria A long civil war between the two contestants was concluded by the battle of Muehldorf (1322), in which the Austrian party was defeated and Frederick captured In the pact signed by the combatants, Frederick had to acknowledge Louis as Emperor, but secured for himself the title of King of the Romans, together with the regency during the absence of the Emperor This settlement enabled Louis to go to Italy (1326), where, in the absence of the Pope at Avignon, he received the imperial crown, against the established custom, from a layman, the syndic of the Roman commune, Sciarra Colonna, but accomplished toward the settlement of the peninsula even less than Henry VII. It was with difficulty that he persuaded any German princes to follow him, and the Italians hardly minded his presence his visit marks another plunge downward of imperialism, but, at the same time, shows how obstinately the dead chimera persisted in some heads. "To destroy the Empire," Louis could still write in good faith to Pope John XXII., "is to put the Church itself in confusion and to sow heresy and discord "

Meanwhile he was engaged in a hot struggle with the Papacy, resident since the beginning of the century (1309) at Louis's struggle with the Papacy.

Avignon, and dominated by French influence.

John XXII., still filled with the inherited aggressive ideas, had abruptly ended a dispute with Louis concerning the appointment of a royal Vicar in Italy by

declaring Louis deposed. Then he proceeded to reassert the old claims of the Papacy to the effect that the Emperor had to be confirmed by the Pope, and that during an interregnum the rights of the office devolved upon the curia. A fierce war of pamphlets ensued, in which Louis was supported by a wing of the Franciscans, which had quarrelled with the Pope on account of his anti-christian living, and by some of the best lav minds of Europe. Marsilius of Padua, especially, made a deep impression with his sweeping arraignment of the Papacy in the Defensor Pacis (Defender of Peace) in which he denies the Pope a right to territorial power and subjects him to the General Council of the Church Finally, the Empire itself was moved to take official action with regard to the Pope's claims, which, if allowed, threatened its own independence, as well as that of the Emperor The electors met at Rense (1338), and there solemnly declared that the German king was created by them, and that his confirmation by the Pope was superfluous. This was an act of national assertion which deserves to be set side by side with the similar measure of the French estates of 1302 with reference to the exorbitant claims of Boniface VIII The nations, and even discordant Germany among them, were cutting loose from the second hampering international institution, the Church, just as they had freed themselves of the Empire a century or two before manners and national institutions were everywhere receiving an increasing attention

The harmony established between Louis and the princes of the Empire by the act of Rense did not continue to the end

Driven by the necessities of his impoverished condition, he used his office, like every other Emperor of this epoch, to increase his hereditary dominion Brandenburg and some of the provinces of the Netherlands were acquired by him get the Tyrol involved him in a violent conflict with Charles of Bohemia, grandson of Henry VII, which ended in Charles

The contest between Louis and Charles of Luxemburg.

His plot to

being put up as rival German king (1346). In the midst of the new struggle Louis died (1347), whereupon Charles reigned alone

It was at this time that there were laid the foundations of what is now Switzerland. The history of the origin of this state takes us back to the last Hohenstaufen During the Origin of Switzerland. reign of Frederick II, the two forest cantons of Urı and Schwyz had acquired letters-patent from the Emperor, by which they were freed from the sovereignty of the counts of Hapsburg, whose territory lay in that part of Germany (southern Suabia). In 1291 representatives from these two cantons met with some of Unterwalden, where the Hapsburgs still had seignorial rights, and swore to protect each other as confederates (Eidgenossen) against every attack upon their liberties. This is the beginning of the Swiss confederation These simple, hardy peasants, neatherds, and foresters, who had preserved much of the old Teutonic vigor, and even many of the old Teutonic institutions in their isolated mountain homes, had never been assimilated to the feudal system, and now that it began to rritate them with restrictions on their freedom, they resolved to shake it off The fact that their feudal lords, the Hapsburgs, had risen to the Empire in Rudolph and Albrecht did not frighten them from their resolution They even ventured upon encroachments of the neighboring territory This was more than Hapsburg pride and patience would submit to, and Leopold. brother of Frederick the Fair, who was engaged in contesting the Empire with Louis of Bavaria, invaded their territory with the flower of Austrian chivalry to visit them with condign punishment At Morgarten (1315) the Confederates suddenly fell upon Leopold, and his proud feudal armament was annihilated by low-born bands of peasants, equipped at random with axes and pitchforks
It was a spectacle new and surprising to the world, prophetic of the passing of knighthood and many antiquated things besides Owing to this success of the confederation new adhesions gradually poured in, until by the middle of the century, Zurich and Bern having joined their lot to their neighbors, the confederation embraced the so-called eight old cantons (Orte). It was repeatedly called upon to defend itself against the Hapsburgs and their feudal allies of Suabia, but with the battle of Sempach (1386), won over another Leopold, it raised itself beyond danger from princely authority. This battle was, in its character of peasant versus baron, a repetition of Morgarten, and the touching story of Arnold of Winkelried, who is said to have made the first breach in the serried ranks of the enemy by gathering to his breast as many spears as he could grasp, truthfully illustrates the style of manhood destined in the new social order to supersede the knight.

With Charles IV (1347-78) the Luxemburgers again received the crown, and held it with a slight intermission for Charles is still famous among the ninety years Charles IV. of Bohemians. He favored his hereditary king-Luxemburg. dom as much as lay in his power, and acquired a wreath of new provinces all around it With Brandenburg, Silesia, and Moravia added to Bohemia, that state acquired an unusual importance Its capital, Prague, was variously enriched Charles loved study, and founded there the first university of Germany (1348), he loved the arts, and drew there the best German artists of the day (Prague School of Painting) But if he proved efficient as a local ruler, he cut only a sorry figure as Emperor 1 However, it is only fair to remember that perhaps even a Barbarossa could not have done any better as things stood Charles completely resigned himself to the impotent position to which the course of history had reduced his office, and even gave that impotence the sanction of the law. The Golden Bull, which he issued in 1356, reduced the functions of the king. the king's relations to the princes, and the princes' sovereign

¹ The Emperor Maximilian used to say of him, "He was indeed Bohemia's father, but the Empire's stepfather"

² Called so from the golden capsule containing the imperial seal (bulla) which was attached to important documents.

rights, all of which matters had hitherto had, more or less, a loose customary character, to inflexible forms, and became the fundamental law or constitution of the Empire—It was an act gratefully received by the electors, whose newly acquired powers it duly confirmed—By its terms the electorates were definitely fixed at seven, and assigned to the princes whom we saw officiating at Rudolph's election—The electors, furthermore, got full jurisdiction in their own lands and the regalian rights (mint, taxes, etc.)—The Golden Bull is a very important measure in the development of the federal character of the German constitution

Charles's Italian policy was as little creditable to him as his German policy In 1354 he crossed the Alps to receive the imperial crown There were still Ghibellines The Italian policy of Charles. willing to gather to his banner, but he thought only of turning the Empire's few remaining rights in Italy to cash, and hurried back as soon as possible His second journev (1368) had no better issue The Italians scoffed at his poor retinue and military weakness, and well disclosed their general attitude toward him by their treatment of him at Siena, where he—the Emperor of the world !—had the key turned on him in the palace one day, and was almost starved.

He also made an attempt to save, at least, the name of authority in the old kingdom of Arles or Burgundy, and had himself crowned there in 1365 But it was vain Charles in the kingdom of Burfor the Empire in its weakened state to hope to gundy. Dissohold this remote province much longer. lution of Burgundy was bound to go the way of Italy, and to gundy split like it into independent states or be absorbed by more powerful neighbors All through the thirteenth century it had gradually been breaking up into disconnected and more or less independent lordships, Dauphine, Provence, Savoy, Franche Comte, etc., and, one after another, these had succumbed or were destined to succumb to the natural attraction exercised upon them by the expanding kingJom of France

It was well for Germany that people of German stock, although unsupported by their government, had meanwhile been engaged in making good for her by con-German expanquest in the east what she was losing in the west. sion toward the It will be remembered that the Teutonic Order east The Teutonic Order. of Knights had been founded during the crusades, about contemporaneously with the Templars and the Hospitalers, to fight the heathen and do offices of Christian charity. Chance diverted them from their original field of activity in Palestine to a more useful one in the northeast of The heathen Prussians, living along the south shore of the Baltic, had long resisted every attempt at Christianization. In 1226 the case was brought to the attention of Hermann von Salza, the grand master of the Order, and he was not slow to take it up He acquired from Frederick II a grant of the land to be conquered, and immediately bands of these soldier-monks, whose picturesque figures clad in white mantles adorned in front with emblematic black crosses, appeal to our imagination, streamed from all parts of Germany to the Baltic For the rest of the century they were engaged in steady, hard fighting against the heathen Prussians, who, with a commendable stubbornness, generally preferred extermination to submission. soon as a district was conquered by the soldier, the farmer and artisan, called in from the west, took it in occupation, and

The territory having been won, it had also to be defended. It was only natural that the neighbors, notably Poland, should regard the Order's greatness with envy. Thus the knights found before them employment and high service in plenty, and by such incentives long maintained their manly vigor. This colonization of Prussia was in progress while Louis of Bavaria and Charles IV were daily exhibiting, as we have seen, the

German civilization began to flourish where heathenism had dwelt before By union with a similar local Order, the Order of the Sword, not only Prussia proper, but also Livonia and Esthonia were conquered within the next hundred years

increasing weakness of the monarchy The further fortunes of the German Order, as far as the Middle Age reveals them, can be conveniently anticipated here. After two hundred years of prosperity the Order declined The knights forgot their military cunning and were utterly defeated in 1410, at Tannenberg, by the king of Poland That would not have meant catastrophe by itself. The Order might first have reorganized its ranks and then relit its spirit by placing itself at the head of a national movement against the threatening Slav. It did neither the one thing nor the other. It fell only into greater dissipation, and, instead of satisfying the cry of the colonists for a national parliament, stuck obstinately to the traditional arbitrary government exercised by itself The estates (nobles and burgesses) resolved to help themselves They made common cause with the Poles against their oppressors, and after a long war, ended finally by the Treaty of Thorn (1466), the Order was reduced to cede one-half of its dominion (West Prussia) to Poland outright, and, after having given up the second half (East Prussia), received it back again in fief from the Polish The ludicrous weakness of the Empire during this period is illustrated by its absolute deafness to the continuous appeals for help addressed to it by the unfortunate defenders of this German outpost.

Charles IV. managed by bribery to secure the succession for his son Wenzel (1378–1400). Wenzel's naturally small talents were soon utterly dissipated in debaucheries. He became a confirmed drunkard, and that at a time when supreme gifts would hardly have sufficed for the situation. For his reign was marked by the outbreak of a long-expected civil war.

We have already noted that the cities flourished everywhere in the thirteenth century under the stimulus of industry and commerce. In the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries this movement reached its height. The cities were of two kinds imperial cities (Reichsstaedte), subject to the Emperor only,

and seignorial cities (Landesstaedte), subject to the princes.¹
Both classes of cities, thanks to their continual possession of ready coin and the continual dearth of that very necessary article with Emperor and princes alike, had gradually purchased a great number of priverse.¹

ileges, so that by this time—and it is especially true of the imperial cities—they governed themselves like so many free republics. The power was usually in the hands of a comparatively few wealthy and ancient families (Patriciate)—From among these were elected the burgomaster and the assisting council (Rath), who together formed the magistracy—The increasing industrial population was divided into guilds (Zuenfte), and these, induced by the consciousness of their strength, were beginning, during the fourteenth century, to aspire to a share in the government.

The first concern of the cities was the security of their commerce, and since the central government could not procure them a general peace (Landesfrieden), it was The cities ? only natural that they should band together for their protective leagues. We have heard of such a league protection of Rhenish cities formed as early as the interregnum, but this and all similar organizations of the Rhine region were easily eclipsed by the Suabian League (founded 1344), which came to embrace all the cities of southwestern and southern Ger-So formidable an organization was felt by the princes and the knights who had just been taught a lesson by the free Swiss (Sempach, 1386), to be a threat, and was soon answered by a similar confederation on their part So the new and old society armed for a great conflict The war broke out under Wenzel and ended in the complete discomfiture of the cities at Doeffingen (1388) at the hands of Eberhard of Wuertemberg, who led the united leagues of princes and knights. However, the consequences of the defeat were not as grievous as

¹ Compare with these two classes of cities the communes and the villes de bourgeoisie in France, Chapter XVI.

might have been expected. In spite of the prohibition put upon the cities to form leagues in the future, they were still concluded from time to time as necessity required. There was an irrepressible energy in the citizens which allowed them to live down all checks. Even the internal tumults, brought about by the rivalry between patriciate and guilds, caused no permanent disturbance of prosperity, although the domestic struggle had reached its acme during the late war and continued some time to come. Finally, the guilds almost everywhere forged their way to the front. They acquired a due representation in the council (Rath), and even in some few cases (Regensburg) excluded the patriciate from the government altogether. On the other hand, an occasional city, like Nuernberg, successfully maintained its aristocratic character in spite of democratic disturbances.

The north of Germany had at the same time organized a similar league of cities, which in fame, riches, and success far outstripped its southern competitor It called The Hanse itself the Hanse Hanse is a word of Low German origin by which was designated an alliance of German merchants resident abroad When the expansion of industry and commerce, which marks the thirteenth century in Germany, had largely increased the number of German traders and the amount of German trade in foreign parts, and thus made home unions necessary for purposes of a protection which the weak central government could not give, the name Hanse was transferred to the league of cities which undertook that task 1 The Hanse grew very rapidly during the fourteenth century Its highway was the Baltic, and its end the development and monopoly of the trade of all the Baltic states. In the period of its greatest vigor (1350-1500) it included all the important coast and even inland cities of North Germany from Holland to the newly conquered territories of the German knights in

¹ The word soon invaded foreign tongues There was a Hanse of Paris, a Hanse of London, etc

Prussia and Livonia, and had outposts or factories in Denmark, Sweden, Russia, and England Novgorod and London were the limits, east and west, of its activity. The Scandinavian countries were reduced to economic vassalage and their imports and exports alike were carried in vessels that floated the Hanseatic flag. When the Danish kings, who naturally chafed under this tutelage, tried in the person of Waldemar IV. to shake it off, a signal defeat (1370) was the consequence, and Denmark became also politically dependent upon the great confederation by pledging itself to accept no king without its confirmation. For the next hundred years it may be said that the Hanse held the north in fee.

During its best period the Hanse numbered about eighty-five cities These were divided into four quarters, of which the cities of Luebeck, Cologne, Brunswick, and Danzig, re- The organization spectively, were the centres. Luebeck, largely because of its favorable position, which made it central with reference to the cities of the league and still gave it an exit upon the Baltic, was easily the most prominent
It was recognized, too, as capital, the league directory and treasury being This directory served as executive of the Hanse located there and was dependent upon a general parliament of Hanseatic delegates, which controlled the mint, provided for the security of the highways, voted moneys, and declared war. It also served as a strong support of the local patriciates, who here, as in the south, held the reins of government in their hands, and, it may be added, kept them in spite of the democratic aspirations. For, on the whole, democracy was not so strong in the commercial north as in the industrial south, where the numerous artisan guilds, more significant in a society of craftsmen than among traders, formed its backbone. It was not till the beginning of the sixteenth century (Reformation) that the decay of the league began A number of causes then operated together to transfer its commerce to other parts. In the first place, England, Holland, and the Scandinavian countries grew economically more independent. Then the discovery of America and the new passage to India around the Cape of Good Hope threw commerce into other channels. Finally, there were internal disruptions. But all that hes beyond our period.

As we cast our eye in a comprehensive survey over the Germany of this epoch we are not struck by a pleasant pict-The cities are the ure. We observe the impotent national government; we are disgusted by the selfish and usurpand civilization. ing electors, princes, and bishops, who, though averse to imperial authority, cannot preserve order within their dominions and put an end to the desolating private warfare. we note the knights, especially strong in South Germany, who, without respect for peace and law, try to replenish their exhausted pockets by highway robbery from the burghers, we observe these conditions and by them note at what a hopelessness of social disorder the feudal system had arrived picture is not vet complete. There are still the cities to take into account, and these are the points of light illuminating at frequent intervals the dark chaos With their commercial initiative, their artisan skill, their democratic spirit, their civic love of law, they represent the vigorous germs of the modern social and political system, which will be ready to supplant the old mediæval order at its expected passing. And these cities are laying the foundation of a new culture, too. It is the period of the Gothic style in architecture. The town-halls, churches, and residences of Nuernberg, Augsburg, and Strassburg date in their best elements from this period. An honorable public pride begins the celebrated cathedral piles of the Rhine and Danube valleys The cathedral of Cologne was begun in 1248 (choir consecrated 1322), of Strassburg in 1277, and of Ulm in 1377. Sculpture adorns the market-places with fountains, and painting, after the invention of the oil-technique in Flanders, by the Van Eyck brothers, decorates the church interiors. In 1440, John Gutenberg of Mainz, first made use of movable types, which marks the beginning of the art of printing.

and the great reproductive arts of engraving and wood-cutting were, if not invented in these thriving cities, at least widely practised there and largely improved. So these German cities present, during the later Middle Age, a picture of busy activity of a high order. Æneas Sylvius, afterward Pope Pius II (1458-64), was filled with wonder by them. He wrote: "No people in Europe has cleaner cities. Their appearance is as new as if they had been built yesterday. They pile up riches. At meals the citizens drink out of silver beakers, and there is no burgher's wife without her jewelry." Æneas Sylvius was an Italian and had Siena, Florence, and Venice, with their great wealth and culture, to serve as standards of comparison.

Wenzel proved so flagrantly incapable that he had to be deposed (1400) Rupert of the Palatinate became his successor (1400–10) Rupert was a well-intentioned man, but the national kingship was altogether too hopeless an institution to bring success or profit to any one His gilded misery is eloquently exhibited by his testament. He ordered that his crown and jewels be sold at his death to pay the bills of the Heidelberg tradesmen!

About this time the Vehmic Court took a marked development. The Vehmic court, or Vehme, was a peculiar outgrowth of German conditions. It was a court the Vehmic of law, which, though it was no part of the regular judicial machinery, assumed jurisdiction over capital crimes and heresy, and acquired such power that its sentences never failed to be executed. Its origin lies in Westphalia, and it is customary to regard it as a relic of the ancient count's court (Grafengericht) of Karl the Great. Possibly it maintained itself among the free peasants of that region because it seemed to them an honorable Teutonic practice. Its essence was the acknowledged right of every freeman to be tried for capital

¹ Origin and jurisdiction of this court are still much mooted The word means "punishment" See the curious theory of Thudichum in his "Femgericht und Inquisition,"

crime by a jury of peers who served as justices (Schoeppen). Now, owing to the general breakdown of the Empire, this court, which stood for the simple Teutonic notion that every freeman had an interest in maintaining the social order, gained a wide popularity and multiplied rapidly. As it entered intocompetition, however, with the local and official princes' courts, it was often reduced to hold its sessions in secret, and, as its sentences, in most cases, fell like thunder-bolts out of a clear sky, it got the reputation of an awful mysteriousness which was hardly justified. The fact that men, whom the Vehmic court had condemned, were found dead upon the streets of a city where it had no recognized existence, struck the contemporary imagination with terror. But those men were generally the thieves, robbers, and disturbers of the peace, so frequent in that anarchic day The Vehmic court was, in a word, an effective system of self-help in a period of violence, and it was for that reason that several Emperors, notably Rupert, formally recognized it However, it was irregular, and therefore abus-When the regular courts would do the ive, from the first work of keeping down violence, as society had a right to demand of them, it would become superfluous and could be abolished That duly occurs about the beginning of the modern period (1500)

The death of Rupert occasioned a disputed election. A part of the electors gave their suffrages to the Luxemburger,

Sigismund.

Sigismund.

Sigismund, brother of Wenzel and king of Hungary, a part put up Sigismund's cousin, Jobst of Moravia, and, as the deposed Wenzel still held obstinately to his title, there was now the unusual number—unusual even for distracted Germany—of three Emperors. By a strange coincidence the Empire's spiritual counterpart, the Church, fell into a similar anarchy at the same time. A third Pope, elected by the Council of Pisa (1409) to supplant the other two and heal the Schism, which was then raging, could not obtain a general recognition, and so only in-

volved the situation further. Three Emperors matched by three Popes could not fail to draw the ridicule of the world upon the institutions for which they stood. However, the confusion of the Empire was solved first. In 1411 Jobst died and Wenzel resigned his title to his brother. Sigismund (1410-37) could now give his undivided attention to the ecclesiastical Schism. To reform the Church was an old imperial right and duty (Otto I, Henry III). Sigismund thought the reform would be best accomplished by a General Council. A Council was proclaimed, and met accordingly at Constance (1414), and, after much debate, got its immediate business settled by deposing all the papal claimants and electing Martin V. Pope (1417)

Of more direct importance for Germany are two German events with which this Council connects its name The first is the investiture, by the Emperor, of Frederick The Hohenzolof Hohenzollern, burgrave of Nuernberg, with lern are investthe electorate or mark of Brandenburg (April, ed with Brandenburg. Brandenburg had lost its first line of German princes (Ascanians) in 1319, and, having reverted to the Empire, was absorbed by the then Emperor, Louis of Bavaria At his death (1347) it gradually succumbed to the encroachments of his successor, Charles IV, of the house of Lux-But both lines, that of Bavaria as well as that of Luxemburg, had made a bad business of the government of Brandenburg, and the mark, representing the advance-guard of Germanism, had fallen into anarchy internally and was threatened by the Slavs without Now (1415) it was Sigismund's property by right of inheritance, but Sigismund had variously fallen into debt to Frederick of Hohenzollern, and, finally, since insolvency was chronic with him, and hope of payment very small, he resolved on the above settlement in land. Frederick was a practical, virile man. He took firm hold in Brandenburg and soon reestablished order Under the Hohenzollern, with whom it continued from now on uninterruptedly,

the mark grew steadily, though at easy stages, until after some centuries it developed into the kingdom of Prussia (1701) Prussia has lately achieved the unification of Germany (1871) Sigismund did not dream that he had, in a sense, created in that Hohenzollern margrave a distant successor to himself

The second event of German consequence which befell at Constance made far more rumor in the world at the time than the investiture of the Hohenzollern with Bran-The execution of John Huss. denburg On July 6th John Huss was declared a heretic and burned at the stake, in spite of the Emperor's safeconduct, under which he had ventured on the journey to attend the Council John Huss (1369-1415) was a Bohemian Czech, and a Professor at the University of Prague. He had come under the influence of the great English heretic, Wiclif (d 1384), and then had begun to attack the clergy in lectures He condemned its worldliness, denied its and pamphlets right of secular possessions, and objected to the supremacy of The Bible, according to him, ought to be the sole rule of faith. John Huss anticipated the Protestant Revolution by a hundred years The struggle with Catholicism begun at Prague in this vigorous fashion did not, however, remain a purely religious one Unfortunately a national and political element invaded it and deflected it from its purpose.

Bohemia was originally inhabited by Czechs, the westernmost branch of the Slav family. German artisans and merthe Hussite chants, however, had long ago begun invading the country and were gradually making a peaceful conquest of it. This the native element resented. Now, when Huss, a native Czech, was burned by a flagrant breach of the imperial promise, the religious and national hatred, which had been long gathering, flamed up wildly against Sigismund, the German Emperoi, and when, in 1419, Sigismund became, by the death of his brother Wenzel, lawful king of Bohemia, it burst forth into open insurrection. Urged by indignation and by patriotism the Bohemians enthusiastically adopted the

eligious principles of Huss, called themselves Hussites, and ınder their resolute leader, Ziska, again and again defeated he armies which Sigismund led against them. The Empire's nismanaged feudal levy was no match for an infuriated people which stood shoulder to shoulder in the service of the same nspiring idea Even Ziska's death (1424) did not give the Hussites pause; they carried the war into Germany and terrioly harried Silesia and Saxony Such heroism would have endered the Bohemians invincible if they had only remained inited. But radical counsels soon dominated many Schemes vere broached looking toward the total abolition of the priest-100d and community of goods Those who engaged in the var for definite, attainable reforms became frightened and re-Sigismund saw where the lever had to be applied, and, t the Council of Basel, succeeded in persuading the Church to rant to the moderates a few minor points of the matters in disoute, among which concessions the most notable was the perassion to laymen to partake of the cup at Holy Communion The cup had hitherto been reserved to the clergy. nd the revolted Bohemian laity had declared that the distincion was odious and unwarranted. The agreement of Basel radually quieted the country A large sect henceforth took ne communion in both forms (sub utraque specie) The memers of the sect received the name of Utraquists This is all ne "reform" that came of the Hussite movement, for the Itraquists (called also Calixtines, from calix, cup) now joined igismund against the radicals (called Taborites, from their ssembly-hill near Tabor) and soon crushed them utterly 'herewith order was restored and Sigismund could enter his ingdom to be crowned (1436)

The Luxemburg family became extinct in its male branch t Sigismund's death. Albrecht II of Austria, ho, as Sigismund's son-in-law, united the Luxnburg territory to the Austrian possessions, succeeded him iso in the Empire (1438–39).

This Albrecht has justly been called the second founder of the Hapsburg dynasty, for he not only reacquired for the Hapsburgs the imperial crown, lost at the death of the house of the first Albrecht (1308), but he also first united under the dominion of his house all the possessions which substantially have composed the Austrian monarchy down to our day. The archduchy of Austria proper, Styria, Carniola, and Tyrol, together with Bohemia and Hungary, were swayed by his sceptre. With a single insignificant exception in the eighteenth century, the German crown now falls regularly to the Hapsburgs until the end of the Empire in 1806.

Frederick III. (1440-93), Albrecht's nephew, succeeded him. He was heavy and phlegmatic, and richly merited the misfortune which befell him both in the Empire and Frederick III. in his hereditary dominions. The chronicle of The decay of the Empire. Speier passes this judgment on him. "He was a useless Emperor, and the nation almost forgot, during his long reign, that it had a king " As he had a strong clerical bias, he signalized his accession by undoing the reform work of the Council of Basel by means of a special Concordat concerning papal rights in Germany, which he concluded over the heads of the Council with the Pope himself (1448) In 1452 he was crowned Emperor at Rome, and figures as the last German king who found the honor worth the trouble of the journey Hereafter the German king takes the title of imperator electus immediately The longing for imon the act of his election at Frankfort perial Rome had finally bled itself to death.

Nor did the stolid Frederick have any more success than his predecessors in maintaining the general peace of the realm Troubles of the (Landfrieden) Cities and princes carried on their warfare at pleasure during his reign. Frederick himself contributed to the public disturbance by inviting the lawless bands of the Armagnacs from France to assist him in putting down the Swiss, whom the Hapsburgs, in spite of

Sempach, had not yet given up the hope of conquering These French robbers, who had lost their employment in France with the conclusion of the English wars, were diverted from their march upon the Swiss, and horribly harried southwestern Germany with fire and sword until expelled by a popular levy (1444) That is the miserable sum of Frederick's activity in the Empire

His rule in his own dominions was substantially as great a failure, but it was not characterized, at least, by the same listlessness. He even had a sort of contemplative ambi-

ness He even had a sort of contemplative amortion 1 which helped him stand out against defeat, and, after a while, the habitual Hapsburg luck returned to him and lent an unmerited lustre to

Troubles of Austria. Revolt of Hungary and Bohemia.

his last days At the beginning of his reign he again lost for his house Bohemia and Hungary, only just acquired these countries made one final attempt to establish a native line of monarchs in order to preserve their independence Bohemia George Podiebrad (d 1471) was elected to the throne by Utraquist influence, and brilliantly maintained himself against Frederick's machinations, while Matthias Corvinus (d. 1400), upon being elected rival king of Hungary, even invaded Frederick's German territory and occupied Vienna death of Matthias without issue, Wladislaus II, of Bohemia, successor of Podiebrad, was elected also to succeed Matthias in Hungary, but at Wladislaus's death (1516) without male offspring, the united crowns of Bohemia and Hungary passed to his son-in-law, Ferdinand, great-grandson of Frederick III.. and so were rewon, and this time permanently, by the house of Hapsburg (1526) With the loss of their independence the Czech and Hungarian nations fell into a decay from which they have only recovered in this century.

The signal and unmerited good fortune which befell Freder-

¹ His seal bore inscribed upon it the vowels A, E, I, O, U, which he read as follows Austria est imperare orbi universo (It is Austria's destiny to govern the world).

ick's House and gave new lustre to it was the acquisition of the greater part of the states of the duke of Burgundy During the

The House of Hapsburg acquires Burgundy and Spain. fifteenth century a collateral branch of the House of France had gradually added to its French fief of Burgundy the whole of the Netherlands? Charles the Bold, duke of Burgundy (1467-77),

had thereby become one of the foremost rulers of Europe ambition looked toward the establishment of a great middle kingdom between France and Germany, independent of either. In this scheme the Swiss proved a stumbling-block Their territory lay so opportune for his plans that he resolved to subjugate But the brave mountaineers beat back his invasion at Granson and Murten (1476), and finally his whole splendid chivalry went down before them at Nancy (1477) Charles himself was among the dead Since there was only a daughter, Mary, to succeed him, Louis XI. of France immediately seized the crown fief, the duchy of Burgundy proper,1 on the claim that it was vacant, and would have taken more had not Frederick promptly acquired Mary's hand in marriage for his son Maximilian (1477), and thus established a legal claim to the rest. So the territorial expansion of the House of Austria was not checked even under this weak king, and a similar chance of a happy matrimonial alliance gave it, a few years later, the vast possessions of Spain (1516).2 Maximilian's son, Philip, married Joan, heir of Ferdinand and Isabella of Spain, and their son, Charles, is the famous Emperor Charles V. (1519-56), who could dream of renewing the Empire of the west

Though the Hapsburgs figure, from the fifteenth century on, among the most powerful dynasties of Europe, the Empire in nowise profited from their strength. The decay of this institution had continued from the twelfth century on, and was des-

¹ Louis also took Artois (fief of France) and the county of Burgundy (fief of the Empire) But these were given back to Austria in 1493

² The world regarded such manner of growth half-enviously, half-derisively, as is witnessed by Matthias Corvinus's popular lines "Bella gerant alii, tu, felix Austria, nube! Nam quæ Mars aliis dat tibi regna Venus"

tined to continue without interruption. One by one its cosmopolitan claims had been exploded. It was now only the national government of Germany. But even in Germany we have seen it lose its authority, and, although it Permanent decay fided itself over to the nineteenth century of the Empire. (1806), it was never again anything more than a body without a soul. Germany had lost her central government in all but name. German strength and civilization, as far as they acquired political expression at all in the modern period, sought refuge among the local governments of the princes and the cities.